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1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

The stories of the women in the OT have intrigued me for as long as I can remember. Learning that the status and prestige of women was profoundly connected with their procreative abilities, increased my interest for the lives of women in OT times. During my work on this thesis, I came across a noteworthy comment made by Anneli Aejmelaeus which have, among other things, motivated me. In *The Traditional Prayer in the Psalms*, Aejmelaeus elaborates on certain themes of petition which are not fully represented in the Book of Psalms:

> There are several situations in life for which one could expect to find a prayer in a collection of prayers representative of OT religion. For instance, in narrative texts we often read about women praying to have a child. Hardly any psalm is appropriate to this situation. [...] It is obvious that the material we possess in the Book of Psalms is a very limited sample which has survived from the rich traditions of psalms and prayers available to the Israelites during the various periods of their history.¹

The OT is given to us through the writing, redaction, and translation of texts. And by the same writing, redaction, and translation of these texts, the lives of the people that fundamentally created the OT, are removed from us, making the OT distant and foreign. Still, through our studies, we may hope and try to comprehend some of the aspects of human condition and understand how these aspects affected their lives and, subsequently, their religion.

1.2 TOPIC AND RESEARCH QUESTION

The topic of this thesis is the motifs of childlessness and motherhood, or – more specifically – the motifs of childlessness as curse and of motherhood as blessing. We will consider how these motifs are conveyed in the Law and the historical books.

---

Furthermore, and most importantly, we will investigate how these motifs are employed in oracles of judgment and salvation in the prophetic literature.

An important aspect concerning this topic is the depiction of Yahweh as sovereign and intervening in the lives of humans. This is truly a multifaceted image – crucial to the renderings of this thesis – but will not be discussed in depth as a topic of its own.

The goal for this thesis is not to determine the redaction behind the larger biblical narrative or between the legislative, historical, and prophetic literature in the OT. A hypothesis, however, is that the motifs of curse and blessing which we find in the Law and the historical books are applied – or alluded to – in prophetic oracles of judgment and salvation, such as we may find in Jeremiah and Isaiah when alluding to the Law’s regulations on curse and blessing.

The research question of the thesis is: How are the motifs of childlessness and motherhood employed to convey judgment and salvation in prophetic literature? Some of the supporting questions may also be mentioned: How are childlessness (or barrenness) conveyed as curse and motherhood (or offspring) as blessing? How are the elements of childlessness and motherhood intertwined with other conditions of the lives of women in OT times?

1.3 Material

The main material chosen for this thesis is Jer 15:5-9 and Isa 54:1-10. The oracle of judgment in Jer 15:5-9 is chosen because it depicts the punishment of Yahweh as bereavement. Whilst there is no mention of a barren woman in this passage, the terminology is kept within a similar semantic field: wives lose their husbands, mothers lose their sons and a mother of seven children languishes. What exactly this may mean, we will look at more closely. The oracle of salvation in Isa 54:1-10 is chosen because of its direct reference of a barren woman. Additionally, the woman is illustrated as forsaken, rejected, and widowed. The anger of Yahweh has turned and the woman is restored as wife and mother.
Within the prophetic literature in the OT, there are other texts that could have been employed for the purpose of this thesis. DI comprises other passages with relevant terminology, such as Isa 49:20-23 (‘bereaved and barren,’ v. 21) and 50:1-3 (‘divorce,’ v. 1). Lam 1 speak of childlessness, bereavement, and widowhood, e.g. in vv. 1, 5, and 20. And child birth of redeeming significance are depicted in Isa 7:14-16 and Mic 5:2-5. However, Jer 15:5-9 is prioritised as it is a compact oracle of judgment with reference to bereavement and a mother of seven. Additionally, Isa 54:1-10 is prioritised because of its explosive character expressing a substantial turning-point. My findings will, of course, be coloured by the choices I have made among the material available as well as my interpretation of the chosen texts.

In addition to the exegetical work of Jer 15:5-9 and Isa 54:1-10, a brief overview of women’s conditions in the OT and the implications of childlessness and motherhood will be given. Thusly, we shall look at the portrayal of curse and blessing in the Pentateuch, partly in general and partly with regards to offspring. We will glance upon the narratives of the patriarchs and matriarchs, the promises of Yahweh (e.g. Deut 7), and a law for convicting adulterous sin (Num 5).

Moreover, the Book of Ruth and the story of Hannah (1 Sam 1; 2:19-21) are chosen to illustrate different aspects of female conditions and discern why having a male heir was of such great significance; Naomi and Ruth are both widows and childless while Hannah is childless and has a co-wife. The significance of these narratives is emphasised as they illustrate obedience to the Law and the blessing that comes from this. Even though less attention is provided for the story of Sarah than to the stories of Naomi, Ruth, and Hannah, Sarah is still considered to be the prototype of ‘the barren woman narratives’ in the OT. It would be fruitful, no doubt, to study further the stories of the matriarchs in Genesis. However, the Book of Ruth and 1 Sam 1:1-2:21 are prioritised as they stand in extension of the Law, as parts of the historical literature.

Additionally, some light will be shed on the song in 1 Sam 2:1-10, also called the Song of Hannah. This lyrical text depicts the image of the sovereign Yahweh and
underscores that he intervenes through both blessing and curse. In v. 5 we are presented to a role reversal (at which we will look closer) between a barren woman and a mother of many children.

1.4 Methodological Considerations

Since chap. 2 will contain an overview of a mix of related topics, from different types of material, there is need of some methodological clarifications. With the texts from the Pentateuch we will mainly try to establish an understanding of blessing and curse, specifically concerning the blessing of motherhood and the curse of childlessness. When reading the narratives of Naomi, Ruth, and Hannah we will make sociolinguistic considerations with support from Hennie J. Marsman’s survey, *Women in Ugarit and Israel: Their Social and Religious Position in the Context of the Ancient Near East*, and an article by Philip F. Esler, “The role of Hannah in 1 Sam 1:1-2:21: Understanding a biblical narrative in its ancient context,” in addition to theological dictionaries.

With regard to 1 Sam 2:1-10, the Song of Hannah is understood as a later redaction to the narrative in 1 Sam 1:1-2:21 and that is must have had “a different setting and function before its ascription to Hannah.” We will not go into details concerning this discussion. Although the song is “not wholly unsuitable to its secondary context,” for the purpose of this thesis, we will primarily investigate it as a song belonging to a category of biblical psalms elaborating Yahweh’s sovereignty and beneficence.

Chaps. 3 and 4 are exegetical discourses of Jer 15:5-9 and Isa 54:1-10. The translations provided are my own, unless otherwise is noted, and based on Biblia

---


4 Ibid., 74.
Hebraica Stuttgartsenia. The employed tools for translation are BDB and Accordance Bible Software.5

Since we will investigate childlessness and motherhood in different contexts, we mainly refer to them as motifs. In the exegesis, however, we come across the use of metaphors in Jeremiah and Isaiah. Consequently, we should venture to define metaphor and imagery. An image expresses similarity or analogy by using ‘figure of speech.’6 We use imagery both to speak of God and to speak of ourselves in relation to God.7 When trying to say something familiar about something we know little of, we employ words and language that are known to us, with which we are confidential, but we employ them as images. We do this not to define objectively, and not to infer boundaries, but to put forth suggestions of interpretation.8 While an image is most often metaphorical, metaphors are not necessarily images.9 A metaphor consists of a ‘source domain’ and a ‘target domain,’ where the ‘target domain’ is illuminated by the ‘source domain.’10 Personification, in example, is a form of metaphor that “brings to expression something which cannot be expressed in plain language.”11 Later in the thesis, we will take a closer look on the personification of Jerusalem/Zion as female.

1.5 Structure

The first main part of this thesis, chap. 2, is the overview of the motifs of childlessness as curse and motherhood as blessing – based on the material from the Pentateuch, the Book of Ruth and 1 Sam 1:1-2:21. This provides information

---

5 Occasionally, I will provide translations from LXX based on Greek Septuagint, Stuttgart: Deutsche Bibelgesellschaft, 2006. For these translations, I have employed A Greek—English Lexicon of the Septuagint (LEH), (Stuttgart: Deutsche Bibelgesellschaft, 2003), as translational tool.
8 Ibid., 100.
9 Watson, Classical Hebrew Poetry, 251.
10 Øystein Lund, “Min vei er skjult for JHWH...”: Veimetaforikk og veitematikk i Jes 40-55, (Oslo: MF Norwegian School of Theology, 2004), 44. Lund builds on George Lakoff’s definition of metaphor.
interesting for us in the thesis’ next main part, i.e. the exegesis of Jer 15:5-9 and Isa 54:1-10 in chaps. 3 and 4. Finally, chap. 5 will conclude the thesis. We will attempt to draw together the findings of each main chapter and endeavour to answer the research question. The following afterword is devoted to a few thoughts concerning motherhood and childlessness – connected with the image of God – today.
2. **BLESSING AND CURSE**

2.1 **The Blessing of Motherhood and the Curse of Childlessness in the Law**

*Blessing*

The main root for ‘to bless’ in the HB is קָרָם, which may also refer to ‘to kneel’ and ‘to praise, greet.’ The noun קָרַם means ‘blessing, praise.’ The root is essential in the קָרַם-formula (as with קָרֵא in the קְרֵא-formula: the formula for cursing), e.g. used when wishing a blessing upon another. In the OT, “the godly man knew that the only kind of benedictory wishes he could utter were those which God alone could bring to reality.”

Among the different settings where benedictory wishes were common, the setting of house and family is the oldest (cf. Gen 24:60; 28:6; Ru 2:4). A wish of blessing was custom when showing gratitude or respect. Subsequently, we find the slightly paradoxical formulas used with Yahweh as the object (cf. Ps 103); since blessings come from Yahweh, blessing him would mean to ‘praise’ or ‘give thanks.’

For a woman in the Ancient Near East, being a mother was the most prestigious position one could have. And in the Book of Genesis, through the narratives of Sarah and Abraham, Rebekah and Isaac, and Rachel and Jacob, motherhood (and fatherhood) is conveyed as a blessing. The blessings of a sustained family line is explicitly expressed in the promises to Abraham (Gen 12:1-3, cf. 15:5) and transferred to Sarah in Gen 18:9-15; 21:1-7. The promises of Yahweh is repeated in Deut 7:12-14. If the people keeps the laws of Yahweh, he will keep the covenant and loyalty which he swore to do: ‘he will love you [קָרַם], bless you [קָרַם], and multiply you; he will bless the fruit of your womb [קָרַם] [...] You shall be the most..."
blessed of peoples, with neither sterility [נָעַר] nor barrenness [נָעַר] among you and your livestock” (NRSV, v. 13-14).

Through the blessing – and intervention – of Yahweh, the women would be fertile and bear children and, consequently, the family line would be blessed (cf. Deut 28:4, 11). Similarly, in other cultures of the Ancient Near East, when a woman bore children, “she knew she was blessed by the gods.”17 For the people of Yahweh, the condition for such blessings would be that they, in return, follow the commandments (cf. Deut 11:27). In Deut 28, the blessings and promises of Yahweh are those of power, wholeness, and prosperity for the people; the condition is for the people ‘not to turn aside’ (אַל וְיָשְׁרָהוּ) from the law and the commandments (cf. v. 14).

In several places outside the Law, we find descendants of the number seven to be especially significant with regard to the blessing of children: Job is blessed with seven sons and three daughters (Job 42:13);18 Ruth is more than seven sons to Naomi (Ru 4:15); and in 1 Sam 2:5 and Jer 15:9, a mother of seven is referred to, however, not in a positive manner in Jer 15. This may also be said about the mother in 2 Macc 7 who is bereaved – in a most horrendous way – of her seven sons. Nonetheless, Marianne Grohmann writes that the number seven “can be understood as the proverbial symbol of fullness.”19 A mother (or father) of seven may then count herself blessed in more than one way.

Curse

דָּרָשׁ, כָּבָּב, and כָּלָה are three of the most common roots for ‘to curse,’ all of which may be used parallel to תְּרֵס,20 also, refers to ‘curse’ or ‘oath’ (cf. Deut 29:18-20; Isa 24:6; Jer 23:10). The curse of childlessness is made explicit in Num 5:11-31,

17 Ibid., 199.
18 Marsman pointed out Job 42 for me, cf. ibid., 224.
19 Marianne Grohmann, “Psalm 113 and the Song of Hannah (1 Samuel 2:1-10): A Paradigm for Intertextual Reading?” in Reading the Bible Intertextually, ed. R. B. Hays et.al. (Waco, Texas: Baylor University Press, 2009), 127. See also Klein, 1 Samuel, 17.
20 For תְּרֵס, see Gen 27:29; Num 22:6; 24:9. For כָּבָּב, see Deut 11:26-29. For both דָּרָשׁ and כָּלָה, see Gen 12:3, where they are used as synonyms. For כָּבָּב, see Num 23:11, 25.
in the law of jealousy (תֵּאֶזֶר, v. 29). If a man suspects his wife of infidelity, and he finds himself being jealous, this law could then be applied. The punishment for unfaithfulness was a curse of infertility. The law explains that the husband could lead his wife to the priest. The priest, then, prepares cursed water and makes the woman take an oath. If she is guiltless, she will not be affected by the bitter water (כַּלְכֵל): “then she shall be immune and be able to bear children” (NRSV, v. 27). If she is guilty, however, she will be cursed: “the Lord make you an execration and an oath among your people, when the Lord makes your uterus drop, your womb discharge; now may this water that brings the curse enter your bowels and make your womb discharge, your uterus drop!” (NRSV, vv. 21-22). The water should cause bitter pain (כַּלְכֵל) as well (v. 24). In this way, the woman should bear her iniquity (cf. v. 31).

As with regard to ‘blessing,’ it is Yahweh who has the power, ultimately, to curse people (cf. Num 23:8). Yahweh may curse the people, if they do not keep his commandments and they turn aside (בּוֹלְקָה) from his way (cf. Deut 11:26-28). Juxtaposed to the blessings of Deut 28:3-6 (בַּרְכָּה-formula), are the curses (רָעָה-formula) in Deut 27:15-26. The latter of these curses, encompasses them all: “Cursed be anyone who does not uphold the words of this law [תֵּאֶזֶר] by observing them” (NRSV, v. 26). Concerning childlessness, Yahweh is depicted as the agent ‘closing the wombs’ of women (cf. Gen 20:18).

2.2 NAOMI AND RUTH: WIDOWS AND CHILDLESS

The Book of Ruth is a narrative about two childless widows (three, when counting Orpah). Naomi loses her husband, Elimelech – an Ephrathite – whilst living in Moab. Her two sons, who have married Moabite women, also die, making Naomi childless and Ruth and Orpah widows. Neither Ruth nor Orpah have children. In the

---

21 This is similar to other customs of the Ancient Near East, cf. Marsman, Women, 172.
22 Both תֵּאֶזֶר and רָעָה is used in this text, cf. respectively vv. 18, 19, 22, 24, 27 and vv. 21, 23.
23 Appropriately named Mahlon and Chilion, meaning 'sickness' and 'destruction, corrosion.'
times of the OT, a woman’s dignity was “bound up with children.” And the opportunity to lead a good – or sustaining – life, was for a woman also bound up with men: her father, brothers, cousins, husband or sons. Even though some widows could be economically independent, and also own land, they would most likely not be as socially secure as men were.

Harry A. Hoffner, suggests that the term for widow, חֱיָזָקָה, carries a completely negative nuance in the HB; it refers to women that have lost some or all her male protectors and are living without means and influence. The חֱיָזָקָה is, therefore, often mentioned together with the orphan and the Levite (cf. Deut 14:29; 16:11, 14). These vulnerable groups were of special concern in the laws, both in the ancient Mesopotamia and in the HB (cf. Deut 26:12). A related Near Eastern term for widow literally means “one who does not have any peace.”

Hennie J. Marsman argues that the image of the widow in the HB is somewhat ambiguous. She quotes Karel van der Toorn:

The widow may once have been a respectful daughter and a loyal wife; yet now she is free. Her unchosen freedom makes her an ambiguous figure. [...] On the one hand, she is a monument of devotion, wisdom, and chastity; on the other hand, she is known as an easy prey for religious fanatics, a prattler, and a woman of loose sexual habits.

If a widow had young children, she could manage the property of the late husband until her children had grown and could inherit. Children, at least sons, were necessary to ensure the future generations and to provide for the parents in sickness and old age. In fact, sons could appear for the mothers before a court law.

---

27 Ibid.
28 Marsman, Women, 318.
29 Ibid., 320.
30 Baltzer, Deutero-Isaiah, 435.
A widow could remarry, if she had a rich dowry or was young. She could also return to her father’s house.\(^{31}\) Childless widows had the right to levirate marriage (marrying the husband’s brother, Duet 25:5-10). In Gen 38, Tamar was asked to stay in their father’s house until levirate marriage could be arranged. Childless widows could also be asked to return home, as Ruth and Orpah are asked to return to their mother’s house (cf. Ru 1:8). Being childless, however, meant in general humiliation and reproach (cf. the story of Rachel, Gen 30:1, 23). This may imply, and we may assume, that returning home as a childless widow could mean shame for both the woman and her family.

In the Book of Ruth, Naomi finds herself in need to return to her hometown, Bethlehem. She asks both Ruth and Orpah to return to their homes; only Orpah returns. Ruth will not leave her mother-in-law and gives her a pledge of loyalty – both earnest and beautiful: “Where you go, I will go; Where you lodge, I will lodge; your people shall be my people, and your God my God. Where you die, I will die – there will I be buried,” (NRSV, 1:16-17). Ruth is not only showing loyalty to her mother-in-law, she is also obedient to Yahweh. When the two women arrive in Bethlehem, the reaction in the town portray the implications a return of a widow may have had: “the whole town was stirred because of them” (NRSV, 1:19). Naomi gives herself a new name, Mara (מָרָה) – from the same root that is used for ‘bitterness’ and ‘bitter pain’ in Num 5. Not only is she bitter, her condition is also defined by emptiness as she proclaims: “I went away full, but the Lord has brought me back empty” (NRSV, 1:21).

As a widow, Naomi could not inherit, but had the usufructuary rights to Elimelech’s land and to manage this land; when she died, “the land would be redistributed within the [ַגָּם]” – within the family.\(^{32}\) In Bethlehem, Ruth and Naomi seek favour (לֶאָג, cf. 2:2, 10, 13) from their kinsman (בּוֹז) Boaz. Firstly, Ruth is allowed to glean barley safely in his fields (2:15). Secondly, Boaz ensures Ruth the security of

\(^{31}\) Hoffner, "מַעְלָה", 290.

\(^{32}\) Marsman, Women, 312, 463, 718.
marriage (3:1, 9-13; 4:9-10). Boaz’ wish that Ruth may find refuge (יהלומים) under the wings (ชำמים) of Yahweh (2:12) is indeed a self-fulfilling prophecy: Ruth finds refuge under Boaz’ cloak (-campus, 3:9) and he truly becomes her redeemer (לתם).

Naomi is again blessed: Through the love (ב袂) from Ruth and her son, Obed, Naomi, too, is redeemed: “He [Obed] shall be to you a restorer of life and a nourisher of your old age” (NRSV, 4:15). Indeed, Naomi is truly blessed: Ruth is, to her, more than seven sons (בני יִשְׂרָאֵל, cf. 4:15).

The Book of Ruth exemplifies obedience to Yahweh and his blessing by giving a child. The family line of Naomi and Ruth was not to be broken – the same family line in which the king David is to be born (4:22). Yahweh is keeping his promise of blessing – נְתַן – not only to Ruth and Naomi, but to the people of Israel as a whole.

2.3 Hannah: Co-wife and Childless

The story of Hannah, in 1 Sam 1:1-2:21, is interesting to us as a barren mother narrative comparable to the stories of Sarah, Rebekah, and Rachel in Genesis as well as Samson’s mother (Judg 13:2-25) and the Shunammite woman (2 King 4:8-37). In a related culture, in Mesopotamia, childlessness was associated with the sins of the women. We see the texture of this in the HB as well (cf. Num 5). However,

---

33 There are disagreements on whether or not the marriage between Boaz and Ruth is a levirate marriage, since Boaz was not a brother of Elimelech. Some argue that it may be seen as levirate marriage because the duty of Ruth’s late brother-in-law would then go to the next-of-kin. However, it seems as though there are no clear indication on Ruth having the right to a levirate marriage, compared to Tamar in Gen 38. We may conclude, as Frederic Bush, that the marriage of Ruth and Boaz at least can be referred to as “a levirate-type marriage,” cf. ibid. 314, 317-18.


35 Hannah is not called ‘barren’ explicitly, but it is implied in the text, i.e. “she had no children” (1:2) and “the Lord had closed her womb” (1:5, 6).

36 Marsman, Women, 196.
Interestingly, none of the barren mother narratives convey that the sin and blame of these women were the cause for their childlessness.37

Nevertheless, we know that being barren was considered a reproach (cf. Gen 30:23). When women did not bear children, they bore shame and, possibly, also fear. This fear would come from the threat of exclusion; in some customs of the Ancient Near East, childlessness was considered a reason for divorce.38 In the story of Hannah, Yahweh is portrayed as the agent for Hannah’s barrenness: “the Lord had closed her womb” (NRSV, 1 Sam 1:5, 6).39 And Yahweh is, ultimately, the one who can take away her reproach and secure her place in the household.

Typical for the sons of the ‘barren mother type’ in the OT, is that they grow up to become important leaders for the people in crisis, as with Isaac, Jacob, Joseph and Samuel: “The Deity acts not only in the particular event of childbearing, but also on a larger scale in fulfillment of divine promises to Israel.”40

In the setting of the story of Hannah, of the books of Samuel, Israel is waiting. Israel, portrayed as a marginal community, is waiting for something to bring an end to the chaos. And this waiting “begins in barrenness wherein there is no hint of future,” in hopelessness.41 Then, through the intervention of Yahweh, Israel is given “a new historical possibility where none existed.”42 Samuel is born.

**Polygyny**

But before this vindication, Hannah is waiting in misery. She is married to Elkanah, an Ephramite. Elkanah has a second wife, Peninnah, and while Hannah has no children (נָּשַׁלְתִּהוּ), Peninnah has several (נָּשַׁלְתִּיהָ), cf. 1:2, 4. Peninnah is Hannah’s

---

42 Brueggemann, *Samuel*, 12.
rival (נָמָּה)\(^{43}\) and she provokes and torments Hannah because of her barrenness
(1:6). Still, Hannah is the one whom Elkanah loves (בְּנָה, 1:5). The provocation,
however, increases during the annual trip to Shiloh for the offering in the temple.

In his sociolinguistic reading of 1 Sam 1-2, Philip F. Esler investigates the features of
patrilinearity, patrilocality and polygyny in the light of Hilma Granqvist’s research
on Palestinian Arabs from 1925 to 1931. Patrilinearity (keeping the inheritance
within the family through the line of the father, e.g. marriage with the father’s
brother’s son) and patrilocality (when the married woman moves away from her
father’s house to live in the household of her husband or her husband’s father) are
not my main concern here.\(^{44}\) The custom of polygyny may, however, help us shed
some light on the importance of motherhood relevant for the story of Hannah.

In her research, Granqvist found there was several reasons for, or benefits of,
polygyny: the husband wanted a younger wife; the household needed more female
labour; the wife was barren; or there were no male heirs.\(^{45}\) When a man died, and
there were no male heir, the consequences for the surviving wife could be severe.
The heredity would go to a male cousin and the women would most likely face
marginalisation and perchance even exclusion from the household. For this reason,
barren women saw polygyny as means to protect oneself; if a co-wife produced a
male heir, the childless wife might still have a chance to remain in the home.\(^{46}\)
Whom of the wives that gained control in the house in the case of polygyny, was not
always obvious. The first wife, despite being barren, might still be the ‘beloved one’
or ‘preferred one.’\(^{47}\) Nevertheless, in the setting of Granqvist’s research, barrenness

---

\(^{43}\) Through a search in Accordance and the HMT, the root נמָה is not found used on any other rival
wife or concubine in the Old Testament. It is a root most often used for enemies, foes or adversaries
(cf. Gen 14:20; Ex 23:22; Isa 59:18; Ps 136:24), distress, anguish, or trouble (cf. Gen 32:7; 42:21; Isa

\(^{44}\) Philip F. Esler, “The Role of Hannah in 1 Samuel 1:1-2:21: Understanding a biblical narrative in its
ancient context,” in Kontexte der Schrift, Band II: Kultur, Politik, Religion, Sprache – Text, ed. C.
Strecker, (Stuttgart: Kohlhammer, 2005), 18.

\(^{45}\) Ibid., 21. Marsman elaborates similar reasons for bigynous and polygynous marriages within


\(^{47}\) Ibid., 22.
“was considered a curse and a reproach.” And if the second wife bore children, there might also be a possibility that the husband would still divorce his first wife.

We may never be able to justify a comparison between Granqvist’s research among Palestinian Arabs in the 1920’s and the story of Hannah. However, with this as a background we may imagine that Hannah’s distress over her situation is not only caused by the disgrace of her barrenness and the spiteful mockery from her rival wife, but also a realistic fear of future marginalisation or exclusion (either by widowhood or divorce).

**Hannah’s petition and the gift of Samuel**

At Shiloh, with a culmination of distress, Hannah walks to the temple and prays to Yahweh (1:9-10). Then, she makes a vow: “O Lord of hosts, if only you will look on the misery of your servant, and remember me (הַנַּעַנְנֵי), and not forget (יִשְׁחַת) your servant, but will give to your servant a male child, then I will set him before you as a nazirite until the day of his death” (NRSV, 1:11).

Hannah does indeed find favour (עֵז, cf. 1:18). Yahweh remembers (יִשְׁחַת) her and she conceives a son, Samuel (1:19-20). The name, לֵאָה, is a play on the word for ‘to ask, inquire’ and ‘to loan’ לֶאָה (cf. 1:17, 20, 27, 28). Hannah asks for a son לֵאָה, then she lends him לֶאָה and gives him לֶאָה back to Yahweh.

---

48 Ibid., 23.
49 Ibid., 22-23.
50 Esler, himself, writes: “Clearly there were significant differences between this culture [Palestinian Arab] and that of Israel in the Persian period, but there were also similarities in their social systems that meant these Palestinians were much closer culturally to ancient Israelites than they are to those of us who have been socialised into the cultures of Northern Europe (and its colonial offshoots) and North America,” ibid., 19. This seems likely, however, we will not go as far as Esler does in his application of Granqvist’s research.
52 Note the play on the word for favour, עֵז, and Hannah’s name, לֵאָה, cf. Klein, 1 Samuel, 9.
53 Cf. ibid., 9, 11.
The boy Samuel is a gift in more than one way. He is a gift from Yahweh to Hannah, restoring her from barrenness, shame and fear to the status of motherhood. He is a gift from Hannah to Yahweh, to serve him as a priest and prophet. And finally, Samuel is a gift to the people of Israel, bringing hope in an unsettling time through his leadership and conduct. He leads Israel from marginalisation to the position of monarchy.

2.4 **The Role Reversal**

The roles between Hannah and Peninnah had thusly shifted. Peninnah was no longer a threat as Hannah’s rival since Hannah increased her status when becoming a mother. The hymn in 1 Sam 2:1-10 portrays many such role reversals: the mighty have their bows broken and the weak gain strength (2:4); the satisfied must hire themselves out and the hungry become fat with spoil (2:5a); the barren becomes a mother to seven children while the mother to many withers (2:5b). Yahweh is “ascribed authority over universal justice”: he is the one who kills and brings to life, who brings down to Sheol and to raises up (2:6); who makes poor and rich and brings low and exalt (2:7); and who raises the poor from the dust and lets them sit with princes (2:8).

Our interest here lies mainly with 2:5b and the key words and . The first term, , means to ‘barren, sterile, childless’ and may refer to both male and female – of mankind and animals – (Deut 7:14, male: , female: ) and specifically to women (Gen 11:30, to Sarah; Judg 13:2, 3; Job 24:21; Ps 113:9). The word derives from the root which also means ‘to root up, pluck.’ The second

---

54 Yahweh gives Hannah additional children as well, in 1 Sam 2:21.
55 For more on the form, context, and setting of 1 Sam 2:1-10, cf. Klein, 1 Samuel, 14-15; Marttila, “The Song of Hannah,” 500-505.
56 McCarter, 1 Samuel, 74.
57 The verses are paraphrased from NRSV.
term, יְהֹוָה, has a little more ambiguous meaning which may be best portrayed by looking at the root and its various contexts.

The meaning of the root יְהֹוָה varies from context to context; it may mean to be feeble, to be or grow weak, to languish, to pine away. It may also indicate the loss of fertility, as we see in 1 Sam 2:5. This root is infrequent; in the HB there are only 18 hits of יְהֹוָה. It is used to describe the conditions of different objects and corresponds to words such as יְהֹוָה ('to mourn, lament, languish, dry up'); יְהֹוָה ('to lament, mourn'); יְהֹוָה ('to wither, fade, droop,' also: 'to be senseless, foolish'); יְהֹוָה ('to be decayed'); יְהֹוָה ('to be abashed, ashamed, confounded'); יְהֹוָה ('to be dry, withered'); and יְהֹוָה ('to devastate, to be devastated'). Furthermore, the subjects for the root vary profoundly: fields (Isa 16:8); fishermen (Isa 19:8); creation (Isa 24:4); vines (Isa 24:7); the land (Isa 33:9); the gates of Judah (Jer 14:2); a sick heart (Ezek 16:30); all who live in the land (Hos 4:3); failing oil (Joel 1:10); the walls of daughter Zion (Lam 2:8); and, of course, women (1 Sam 2:5; Jer 15:9).

The role reversals in 1 Sam 2:4-9 depict Yahweh lifting up and giving strength to the weak, the marginalised, the poor, and the faithful. Furthermore, the song illustrates Yahweh’s power and sovereignty to weaken, kill, bring low, and punish and destroy. What does the poet convey, then, when he juxtaposes these two women? The mother of many withers away, while the barren woman brings forth seven children. The song does not talk about bereavement. However, we may

---

60 BDB, 51.
61 Through a root search in Accordance and the HMT.
63 Psalm 113 is thought to be in relation to 1 Sam 2:1-10. This is due to the literal reference between 1 Sam 2:8 and Ps 113:7-8 in addition to the motif of the barren woman in v. 9. Shortly, Ps 113 can be said to depict the transcendent Yahweh, worthy of praise, reaching down to earth to bless. For discussion on the intertextuality between the two texts, and further analysis, see Leslie C. Allen, Psalms 101-150, WBC, (Nashville: Thomas Nelson Publishers, 2002), 136; Grohmann, “Psalms 113 and the Song of Hannah,” 123-31; Frank-Lothar Hossfeld, Psalms 3, Hermeneia, ed. K. Baltzer, trans. L. M. Maloney (Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2011), 185; Gert T. M. Prinsloo, "Yahweh and the poor in Psalm 113: Literary motif and/or theological reality?" in OTE, vol. 9, issue 3 (1996), 468-69.
64 Cf. Klein, 1 Samuel, 15-16.
assume a graveness in the consequences of this role reversal, in light of the parallel images; the one who did not have, will now be given plenty, and the one who had much, will have that taken away. This interpretation suits the NRSV translation of "she who has many children is forlorn." NO2011, on the other hand, uses a Norwegian word for ‘withering away’ and a childless life is thusly conveyed as “a wilted, dried-up, atrophied existence.” Either way, the woman being subjected to in 1 Sam 2:5b: “she who has many children is forlorn.” NO2011, on the other hand, uses a Norwegian word for ‘withering away’ and a childless life is thusly conveyed as “a wilted, dried-up, atrophied existence.” Either way, the woman being subjected to may be identified as a woman no longer within the providence of Yahweh’s grace; she becomes ‘as good as’ a barren woman, with the shame and fear which that condition brings. While the barren woman gains the fullness of Yahweh’s blessing, bearing seven children. Ultimately, it is a juxtaposition between life and death.

2.5 Concluding Remarks

The curse of childlessness and the blessing of motherhood are referred to in several occurrences in the Law, through the narratives about Sarah, Rebekah, and Rachel as well as legal code (Num 5) and the promises of Yahweh (e.g. Deut 27-28). We find that the blessing of offspring is in the very nature of the covenant between Yahweh and his people (Gen 12:2; 15:5; Deut 7:12-14). On the other hand, Yahweh is depicted as the agent for barrenness as he may ‘close the wombs’ of the women (Gen 20:18).

For a woman, a barren life would mean shame and reproach (Gen 30:1, 23) since producing an heir to her husband would ensure her status in the household and, subsequently, secure her economically and socially from the threat of marginalisation. This is profoundly intertwined with other components of a woman’s life. If subjected to widowhood, a woman would depend on other male relatives, preferably her own son. Had she no son, as Naomi and Ruth, her future would be less secure, depending on her husband’s relatives or the chance to remarry. Barrenness could also be the reason for polygyny, as with Elkanah’s marriage to Hannah and Peninnah. And even if the co-wife would produce a male

---

heir, the barren woman may not be entirely safe in her marriage (i.e. divorce) or in her widowhood – if her husband was to die and there were no male relatives either willing or able to take care of her.

Regarding the Book of Ruth and the story of Hannah, we have seen what the blessing of a son may lead to. It changes entirely the prospects of life. Naomi and Ruth are redeemed by their kinsman Boaz and their future is ensured by Ruth’s son, Obed. Naomi is abundantly blessed; Ruth is for her more than the equivalent to seven sons. Hannah is freed from the torments of her co-wife and is elevated to a status beyond Peninnah. Her marriage is safe with Elkanah and, should she outlive him, so is her future as widow with a male heir.

Through the stories of Naomi, Ruth, and Hannah and their redeeming male heirs, is the redemption of Israel by Yahweh exemplified. Both families experience the blessing of a sustained family line, through which Israel will experience elevation: David, grandson of Obed, will become the great king of Israel, chosen by Yahweh through the judge Samuel.

Divine power and intervention is further emphasised in the Song of Hannah. Again, we see Yahweh portrayed as sovereign over life and death. Yahweh has the power to reverse roles: blessing the barren woman with seven children and letting the mother of many languish.

Finally, it is worth mentioning that there seems to be a slight ambiguity with the element of curse. On one side, curse is explicitly stated as a consequence for disobedience (Deut 11:26-27). Barrenness is defined as a due punishment for sin and childlessness is depicted as shameful. Yahweh may ‘bring you back’ as childless (cf. Naomi’s bitter lament in Ru 1:20-21). On the other side, the reason for Yahweh closing Hannah’s womb is not given and Sarah’s, Rebekah’s, and Rachel’s barrenness is not explained as caused by sin. However, childlessness may nevertheless be seen as a curse in these incidents, because of its negative affect in the lives of women. In this ambiguity of the element of curse, Yahweh is still
understood as sovereign, while the reasons behind his acts of sovereignty are perhaps not depicted just as easily comprehended.
3. **JUDGMENT: JEREMIAH 15:5-9**

3.1 **Translation**

5) For who will have compassion for you, Jerusalem?
And who will lament for you?
And who will turn aside and ask about your welfare?

6) You have forsaken me, declares Yahweh, you have walked backwards.
I stretched out my hand towards you and destroyed you.
I became weary of having compassion.

7) And I have winnowed them with a winnowing fork by the gates of the land.
I bereaved and made my people perish
for they would not turn back from their ways.

8) More numerous than the sand of the sea are their widows to me.
I brought to them, upon the mothers and upon the young men,
a destroyer at midday,
I let rage and terror fall upon her with suddenness.

9) The one having borne seven languishes.
She has breathed out her life, her sun has gone down in the middle of the day.
She is ashamed and abashed.
And the rest I give to the swords of their enemies,
declares Yahweh.

---

66 As mentioned, my translation is based upon BHS. LXX, however, has several deviations from the MT. For a discussion on the relation between the Hebrew text and the Vorlage of LXX, see Peter C. Craigie, Page H. Kelley, and Joel F. Drinkard, *Jeremiah 1-25*, WBC (Dallas: Word Books, 1991), xli-xlv.
67 מقيام—cf. G, S, T.
68 cf. S Ṝהָרָה = (ὁ στῆλις ἐκ νησίου).
3.2 **Structure**

**vv. 5-6**  *Personification of Jerusalem as female*

v. 5  Divine lament – rhetorical questions concerning the desolation of Jerusalem

v. 6  The judgment of Yahweh, addressing 2. fem. sg.

v. 6ab  The sin of Jerusalem

v. 6cd  The indictment and punishment of Yahweh

v. 7  *The people*

v. 7  The judgment of Yahweh, referring to 3. masc. pl.

v. 7ab  The indictment and punishment of Yahweh

v. 7c  The sin of the people

**vv. 8-9abcd**  *Further destructions*

v. 8  Referring to women

v. 9abcd  Referring to the woman

v. 9ef  Referring to the rest

v. 9g  *Declaration of Yahweh*

3.3 **Context, Form, and Setting**

Jer 15:5-9 is thought, by commentators such as Holladay and Craigie, Kelley & Drinkard, to belong to the wider context of 14:1-15:9 – a passage consisting of both prose and poetry.⁶⁹  This passage is seen as a ‘counter-liturgy.’⁷⁰  It resembles a liturgy composed for a time of emergency, as found in Joel 1-2. However, in Jer 14-15, following the liturgy – the intercessions – are not good news, but bad news; following is not salvation, but judgment: “One is then faced with the possibility here that the sequence is to be understood as an imitation liturgy, a counter-liturgy as a vehicle for the judgment of Yahweh.”⁷¹

---


⁷⁰ Cf. ibid., 422; Craigie et al., Jeremiah 1-25, 200.

⁷¹ Holladay, Jeremiah 1, 422.
Holladay argues that the internal structure of the sequence is supported by the related functions of sections 14:2-6; 14:17-18; and 15:5-9. The section of 14:2-6 describes the emergency of drought, 15:5-9 describes the emergency of a military catastrophe, while 14:17-18 binds these two together with a description of both destructions from famine (a consequence of drought) and from sword. An additional emphasis done by Holladay, is on the possible references to Sheol found in this passage (for further discussion, see 4.4).

Craigie, Kelley & Drinkard see 15:5-9 as divine lament following the divine response in 15:1-4 (which again parallels the divine response in 14:10-17a). They, too, see the section as a counter-liturgy: “when Jeremiah intercedes on behalf of the people, the opposite of the expected occurs. Instead of blessing, the Lord pronounces judgment. Jeremiah’s intercession mocks the intercession and the promises of the false prophets [Jer 14:13-16].” Jer 15:5-9 is included in the scope of 14:1-15:4 as divine lament expressing “God’s sorrow over the necessity of this judgment.”


Jack R. Lundbom maintains that 15:5-9 begins “a new editorial unit that concludes at 15:21.” The upper limit is defined by the change from prose in v. 4 to poetry in v. 5. The prose in 4b (“because of what King Manasseh son of Hezekiah of Judah did

---

72 Berridge, on the other hand, argues that 15:5-9 is not a continuation of the drought liturgy of Jer 14 because constellations of הבש (shame) and כוש (disgrace) “never has any bond with drought.” כוש (to be weak, languish), often related with drought, should in Jer 15:9a is to be understood as in 1 Sam 2:5. Cf. John M. Berridge, Prophet, People, and the Word of Yahweh: an Examination of Form and Content in the Proclamation of the Prophet Jeremiah (Zürich: EVZ-Verlag, 1970), 177, n. 335.

73 Holladay, Jeremiah, 422.

74 Cf. the table of structure in Craigie et al., Jeremiah 1-25, 199.

75 Ibid., 200.

76 Ibid.


78 Jack R. Lundbom, Jeremiah 1-20, AB (New York: Doubleday, 1999), 724.
in Jerusalem” *NRSV*) is a later supplement encompassing Deuteronomic theology.\(^79\)

The lower limit is defined by the formula ‘oracle of Yahweh,’ followed by a *setumah*.\(^80\)

Our proposal is similar to that of Craigie, Kelley & Drinkard and, to some degree, Thompson. We see 15:5-9 as belonging to 14:1-15:4, however, feasibly as a secondary redaction.

The form of the section 15:5-9 is composed of different genres. Vv. 7-9 consist of judgment, v. 6a expresses indictment.\(^81\) However, v. 5 is in lament meter (*qinah* meter); the second colon is shorter than the first so as to present a falling rhythm and consequently portrait the lament of the hopelessness experienced by the singer.\(^82\)

Craigie, Kelley & Drinkard proposes a probable date and setting of the text during the exile, more specifically “during or after the first deportation and before the second deportation, thus during the reign of Jehoiachin or perhaps Zedekiah, about 597 B.C.”\(^83\) Similarly, Thompson argues that the “imagery points to the ravages of invasion and war and probably reflects the Babylonian invasion of 597 B.C. (2 K. 24:10-17).”\(^84\)

Holladay posits the date of November/December 601. Among other reasons, this is based on the fast which was declared in the ninth month of the fifth or eighth year of the reign of Jehoiakim, meaning the month of Chislev in either 604 (according to *M*) or 601 (according to *G*), cf. Jer 36:9.\(^85\) Holladay follows *G*’s reading. Behind lies the specific historical setting: “it was a combination of the real drought and Jrm’s

\(^{79}\) Ibid., 722.

\(^{80}\) Ibid., 724.


\(^{84}\) Thompson, *Book of Jeremiah*, 389.

\(^{85}\) Here are Holladay’s abbreviations employed: *M* – Masoretic Text; *G* – the Septuagint.
perception that the Babylonian army was a real threat which called forth the present sequence."\textsuperscript{86}

\section*{3.4 Interpretation}

\textbf{v. 5} The rhetorical questions in v. 5 is to be answered loudly and clearly: ‘No one.’ Jerusalem is left alone. The three questions may even uncover each their own ‘group of subjects’ willing to leave Jerusalem in desolation: there is no god who will have compassion for them (v. 5a); there is no prophet who will bemoan them or lament for them (v. 5b, cf. Jer 14:11); there is not a single person – not a neighbour nor a ‘passer-by’ – who will turn aside and ask about their welfare (v. 5c). Jerusalem has no one.

Berridge reads Ps 122:6 with Jer 15:5: “Pray for the peace of Jerusalem” (\textit{NRSV}). Here we find both the root of לְמָהוּג (‘to ask, pray’) and מְהֵדָע (‘peace, welfare’) as in v. 5c: “It is a possibility that this terminology was used frequently in association with a blessing of Jerusalem.”\textsuperscript{87} If this is true, such terminology might lead the hearer to associate the blessings towards Jerusalem with the omen of v. 5: that “Jerusalem may lose forever her old exalted position”\textsuperscript{88} and with it the loss of Yahweh’s protection of his people (cf. v. 9).

Holladay argues that לְמָהוּג carries a double meaning: ‘to ask’ and ‘to Sheol’. ‘To ask for one’s peace/welfare’ is the equivalent to asking ‘How are you’ (cf. 2 Sam 8:10) but it may also be a pun played on a reference to Sheol.\textsuperscript{89}

The speaker of the verse is somewhat hard to identify. It could be either Yahweh or Jeremiah. Craigie, Kelley & Drinkard calls it a “brief word of lament by Jeremiah, in \textit{qinah form}”\textsuperscript{90} preceding the divine lament of vv. 6-9. Holladay, too, acknowledges

---

\textsuperscript{86} Holladay, \textit{Jeremiah 1}, 428. For more on Holladay’s view of the historical background for the fast and the dating of Jer 14:2-15:9, see pp. 427-29.

\textsuperscript{87} Berridge, \textit{Prophet}, 178.

\textsuperscript{88} Ibid., 179.

\textsuperscript{89} Holladay, \textit{Jeremiah 1}, 441.

the qinah meter in v. 5. However, he also argues that “there is no reason to divide
the poem by speaker”91 as there are no such shifts of speaker in 14:2-6 and 14:17-
18, which Holladay see as parallels to 15:5-9. As part of a sarcastic counter-liturgy,
having Yahweh as the speaker of both v. 5 and vv. 6-9 intensifies the mockery of the
counter-liturgy.92 Lundbom claims that two oracles are indicated (by the oracle
formula in v. 6). Jeremiah may, however, be the speaker in v. 5; Yahweh and
Jeremiah are then seen to speak alternately, as in 15:10-12.93

v. 6 In v. 6 the speaker is Yahweh (1. sg) and the addressee is Jerusalem (2. fem.
sg). The reason for Jerusalem’s desolation is this: She has forsaken (בָּשַׁלְכָּה) Yahweh.
In Deut 32:15 the root נִנְשָׁת is used similarly, about Jacob’s rejection of God of
creation and salvation: “He abandoned God who made him, he scoffed at the Rock of
his salvation” (NRSV). Parallel to יְהֹוָה is נְשָׁת: Jerusalem has walked
backwards. This may either refer to the degeneration of Judah’s moral or emphasise
the deliberate character of the rejection of Yahweh.94

Jerusalem is now forsaken (cf. v. 5) because Jerusalem has forsaken Yahweh. She is
to be indicted and punished. Yahweh is depicted as the agent of the devastation of
Jerusalem; his outstretched hand brings destruction; he has become weary of
having compassion and giving comfort, he is exhausted of patience – יָדָה נִנְשָׁת יִנְשָׁת
in niphal means ‘to be sorry,’ ‘to have compassion,’ or ‘to comfort oneself, to be
comforted.’95 NRSV translates the clause to “I am weary of relenting.” An exhaustion
of נִנְשָׁת would be perceived as most severe. In Jon 4:2 and Joel 2:13, נִנְשָׁת is parallel
to נִנְשָׁת, נִנְשָׁת, and נִנְשָׁת. When Yahweh is weary of having compassion, he is weary
of keeping the covenant. The end of נִנְשָׁת is also the end of Yahweh’s loyalty in grace,
mercy, and love.

91 Holladay, Jeremiah 1, 427.
92 Cf. ibid., 427.
93 Lundbom, Jeremiah 1-20, 724.
94 Cf. Thompson, Book of Jeremiah, 389; Lundbom, Jeremiah 1-20, 727.
95 Cf. BDB, 637.
The verb tenses in LXX differs from the verb tenses in MT. In LXX, vv. 6-7a and 9e, we find future tense in verbs with Yahweh as subject (i.e. 1. sg. fut. act. ind.) and aorist indicative (i.e. 1. sg. fut. act. ind.) in 7b-8. Generally, commentators choose to translate these verbs from the MT (e.g. hiph. waw cons. 1. sg.; piel perf. 1. sg.) into past tense, with variations of present perfect and simple past.\(^96\)

With the 2. sg. fem. form in vv. 5-6, Jerusalem is personified as female. Personification of cities were common in the customs of the Ancient Near East. In Mesopotamian languages, cities were depicted in masculine or neuter terms. In Western Semitic languages feminine portrayal was more common. A city would be put in relation to a god or goddess as patron or, when feminine, as a deified spouse to the god. What we find in the HB is a modified form of this since deification of a city would not be possible within a monotheistic theology.\(^97\) Jerusalem was no goddess, but rather a mortal (and sinful) woman.\(^98\)

Female personification of Jerusalem in Jeremiah occurs chiefly in texts of judgment and is associated with military invasion.\(^99\) Only one place in Jeremiah is the personified city found in association with a salvation oracle (cf. Jer 30:12-17).\(^100\) In Jer 15:5-6 the personification of Jerusalem is sustained with the use of 2. sg. fem form.\(^101\) However, Boase maintains that the woman in v. 9 is not herself Jerusalem. Nonetheless, she reasons that:

> the close relationship between the personified city and her residents is evident. The events described are in past tense and are a reflection on the disaster that has befallen the city. Through the lament, however, the city/people are indicted.\(^102\)

**v. 7** The receiver of the judgment in v. 7 changes from ‘you’ to ‘the people,’ referred to in 3. masc. pl. We may detect a chiastic parallel between v. 6 and v. 7;

---


\(^97\) Elizabeth Boase, *Fulfilment of Doom*, 54.

\(^98\) Marsman, *Women*, 706.

\(^99\) Boase, *Fulfilment of Doom*, 63.

\(^100\) Ibid., 75.

\(^101\) According to Boase, Jer 15:5-6 includes a “developed personification,” meaning that the qualification of action is attributed to the city, cf. Ibid., 74.

\(^102\) Ibid.
the sin of Jerusalem in 6ab and the people’s sin in 7c; and Yahweh’s condemnation and punishing actions in 6cd and 7ab.

Yahweh has winnowed his people with a winnowing fork.\textsuperscript{103} The figure of speech is taken from harvest terminology and would be:

well understood by the people of Judah, who annually gathered their harvest onto the threshing floors of the land and, after cutting the stalks to pieces with the threshing sledge, would toss the mixture of chaff and grain into the air with large wooden forks to let the wind winnow the grain. The chaff would be blown aside and the grain would remain.\textsuperscript{104}

The image of chaff (\(\text{Chew} \) or \(\text{qaw} \)) scattered by the wind, we find in other Old Testament poetry, cf. Ps 1:4; 35:5; 83:13; Is 17:13; Jer 13:24. We may picture the scattering of the people as making them weak and, even more so, alone and forsaken: “a metaphor for judgment and defeat.”\textsuperscript{105}

Yahweh winnows the people \(\text{X®rDaDh yérSoAvV;b} \) – ‘by the gates of the land.’ What does this mean? Lundbom suggests that ‘gates’ are synecdoche for ‘cities,’ similarly to the image we find in Jer 14:2 with ‘Judah’ and ‘her gates’ meaning the ‘cities of Judah.’\textsuperscript{106} Is the people, then, scattered outside the protection of the cities? What does \(\text{X®rDaDh} \) signify?

\textit{The gates of Sheol}

Holladay argues that \(\text{X®rDaDh} \) is a reference to Sheol. He argues for several references to Sheol (as \(\text{Dor} \)) in Jer 14:1-15:9; specifically 14:2, 18; 15:7.\textsuperscript{107} In the case of 14:2, Holladay scrutinises \(\text{X®rDaDh} \). Directly, it translates to ‘be dark’ and ‘to the

\textsuperscript{103} Note the play on words, הילל תאם ב ור. Cf. LXX: διασπερώ αυτούς ἐν διασπορᾷ: ‘I will disperse them in diaspora.’ The repetition of ‘disperse’ may be called \textit{figura etymologica}, cf. Georg A Walser, 

\textsuperscript{104} Thompson, \textit{Book of Jeremiah}, 390.

\textsuperscript{105} Holladay, \textit{Jeremiah 1}, 441. Cf. Isa 41:15-16; Jer 51:2; Ezek 22:15.

\textsuperscript{106} Lundbom, \textit{Jeremiah 1-20}, 695; 728.

\textsuperscript{107} Ibid., 422.
ground, to the earth,’ creating a contrast to הָעַל (‘rise, go up’) in the last colon – the same contrast we find in Isa 14:12-13. The root חָג means also ‘to be dressed in mourning attire.’ Here we find additional similarities with Lam 2:9 (‘Her gates have sunk into the ground,’ NRSV) and Isa 3:26 (‘And her gates shall lament and mourn; ravaged, she shall sit upon the ground.’ NRSV). Holladay advocates a reading with Isa 14:12-15, with regard to the meaning of לֹא (in Jer 14:2). Subsequently, he suggests this translation of Jer 14:2c: “(her gates) are dark to/in Sheol.” He argues thusly: “Sheol, too, has gates (Ps. 9:14), which are evidently implied in 15:7, and that the gates of Jerusalem might merge in this poem with the gates of Sheol, or remind the hearer of the gates of Sheol, is horrifying: one notes that Ps 9:14-15 contrasts the gates of Sheol with the gates of Zion.” Is the ‘outcry of Jerusalem’ rising up (in 14:2) "an outcry from the living city of Jerusalem? Or is it an outcry of Jerusalem from Sheol?”

With regard to 14:18, Holladay argues for an allusion to Sheol, i.e. לֹא (literally: ‘to the/a land’), because of the theme of death in the verse in addition to the related diction in Jer 23:11-12. He writes: “The prophets and priests will peddle their wares all the way to the grave.” Holladay omits לֹא and suggests to translate חָג לֹא with either ‘the land which they do not know’ or ‘land where there is no knowledge.’ This again relates to Ps 88:13 where Sheol is described as ‘land of forgetfulness.’

What, then, are ‘the gates’ in 15:7? Exegetes often interpret this as the gates of the cities throughout the land or even outside Judah’s boarders. Holladay argues that

---

108 Ibid., 429-30.
110 Holladay, *Jeremiah 1*, 430.
111 Ibid.
112 Ibid.
113 Ibid., 437.
114 Ibid., 438. Lundbom, on the other hand, would argue that this refers to the exile, where the priests and prophets must have wandered to places they did not know beforehand, *Jeremiah 1-20*, 713.
115 Holladay, *Jeremiah 1*, 420, n. 18a.
116 Ibid., 438.
the text clearly refers to the gates of Sheol, (cf. Is 38:10, יָדְתָן תָּבָל יָדְתָן; Job 38:17, יָדְתָן תָּבָל), that Yahweh has scattered his people in the gates of Sheol.\textsuperscript{118}

Additionally, Holladay suggests this translation of v. 7c which would support this theory: “I have wiped them out from their ways of no return.”\textsuperscript{119}

Similarly, Mitchell Dahood writes, in his comment to Ps 9:14, about ‘the gates of Death:’ “The domain of the dead was pictured as a vast city with gates ruled by King Death. The poet aims to contrast the gates of Death with the gates of Daughter Zion in the following verse.”\textsuperscript{120} Moreover, when translating Ps 18:8, Dahood translates יָדְתָן with “the nether world” and explains this with a comparison with Isa 14:9.\textsuperscript{121}

Concerning Jer 15:7, Dahood translates the clause to: “the gates of the nether world.”\textsuperscript{122}

How do we know, then, when to translate יָדְתָן with ‘land, earth’ and when to translate it with Sheol? NRSV follows Dahood’s suggestion in Is 14:9, but not in Ps 18:7[8]. Dahood argues that many scholars have found insights from “Akkadian, Aramaic, Ugaritic, and biblical literature,” but still “the philological datum seems to have made little impact upon translators of the Bible.”\textsuperscript{123}

If Holladay and Dahood are correct in their assumption of Sheol in Jer 15:7, these could be some of the consequences: the severity of the poem intensified; the punishment of Israel emphasised; and the brutality of the motif of the mother languishing in v. 9 magnified. However, the general translation of יָדְתָן is ‘land, earth.’ Lundbom is most likely correct in his clarification of יָדְתָן: ‘gates’ is indeed a

\textsuperscript{118} Holladay argues that Jerome has a similar understanding, ”the thresholds of the nether-world,” cf. \textit{Jeremiah 1}, 442.

\textsuperscript{119} Ibid., 421, 442.


\textsuperscript{121} Ibid., 101, 106.


\textsuperscript{123} Dahood, \textit{Psalms I}, 106.
synecdoche for ‘cities,’\textsuperscript{124} cf. Deut 28:52; Judg 9:44 (reference with ‘city’: ר י ל ו
לשphalt); Isa 14:31 (ך ו ל ו, paralleling ר י ל ו). In Nah 3:13 we find a similar clause to Jer 15:7: ר י ל ו ר י ל ו
(‘the gates of your land’). Neither here do we detect a reference to Sheol. Furthermore, Lam 2:10 includes ר י ל ו ר י ל ו twice; this is probably an implication of mourning, not Sheol. There are, however, literal reference to Sheol in e.g. Isa 38:10: ר י ל ו ר י ל ו (‘the gates of Sheol’) and 1 Sam 2:6 ר י ל ו ר י ל ו (‘he brings down to Sheol,’ NRSV). This points at the possibility that when Sheol was thought of, Sheol could be written explicitly. Explicit expressions are not, of course, a necessity in Hebrew poetry, but the biblical data show that it is high possibility for the translation of ‘the gates of the land’ in Jer 15:7. Additionally, we should not follow Holladay’s translation of Jer 15:7c (“I have wiped them out from their ways of no return”). The indictment of Yahweh in 7c is referring the moral decline of the people.

Should we take a look on the Greek text, it reads: διασπέρω αὐτούς ἐν διασπορᾷ ἐν πύλαις λαοῦ μου (‘I will disperse them in dispersion: in the gateways of my people’). Here ‘the gates’ are connected to the people of Yahweh.\textsuperscript{125} Moreover, it is not unproblematic to see ‘dispersion’ as a reference to Sheol. There are references to both death and dispersion in LXX Jer 41:17 and Dan 12:2. Any reference to death, both in LXX and HB, should not, however, be taken as an indication of Sheol without further proof. Besides, it is my viewpoint that the poem does not need a reference to Sheol to prove the severity of the message: death, ruin, and nothingness is now the lot of the people.

To bereave and make perish

In Jer 15:7, Yahweh is depicted as the agent (cf. v. 6) for scattering the people, bereaving them and making them perish. Yahweh has punished not just any people, but his people, because ‘they would not turn back from their ways.’

\textsuperscript{124} Lundbom, \textit{Jeremiah 1-20}, 728.

\textsuperscript{125} Cf. ibid.
Bereavement is an extreme form of punishment. The root לֶכֶת can mean to bereave someone, e.g. to make childless (cf. Gen 42:36; 1 Sam 15:33; Hos 9:12), as well as causing miscarriage, for both women and animals (cf. Ex 23:26; 2 King 2:21). The root may also express the barrenness or unproductivity of land, cf. 2 King 2:19. “describes the form of God’s punishment upon his people for disobedience. It is not that God will curse them with sterility, but rather he will take away the children whom they already have by violent means, for example by the sword.” There are, however, exceptions. Some people are not bereaved due to disobedience, e.g. Job and the loss of his children (cf. Job 1). In 2 Macc 7, seven brothers are tortured and killed and their mother thusly bereaved. This devastation is depicted as ultimately governed by Yahweh (cf. 7:33), even though the seven sons suffers due to the command of a heathen king. The crisis is interpreted as response to sin (cf. 7:32).

In LXX לֶכֶת is given various translations, showing us the width of this root. Some of the most relevant translations are: ἀποκνύω ('to make childless, make/be barren, miscarry,' cf. Gen 27:45; Deut 32:25; 1 Sam 15:33; Jer 15:7; Ezek 36:12-14; Hos 9:12, 14; Lam 1:20); ἁγονος ('sterile, childless,' cf. Ex 23:26, here parallel with στειρα – ‘sterile, barren’); ἀσθενεω ('weak, feeble,' cf. Mal 3:11).

In our text, Jer 15:7, the meaning of לֶכֶת is to make the people childless, to bereave and kill the children of the people. It would not be possible to emphasise the extremity of the retribution any further. This is emphasised with the parallel word for יָתיַה, namely יָתיַהְ. Here in v. 7, the root יָתיַה means to “cause to perish, destroy, kill” in judgment for sin. And to let someone perish, is to bereave them: to bereave a family of their family line; to bereave of life. For a nation, it means destruction, it indicates extermination.

---

126 BDB, 1013-14.
128 Ibid.
129 Ibid.
130 BDB, 2.
v. 8 The theme of bereavement continues in v. 8: wives lose their husbands and mothers lose their sons (cf. Isa 47:8-9). From the overview of actions happening to ‘the people’ in v. 7, the poem’s ‘lenses’ now focuses in on the women. The language seems to be less metaphorical than of vv. 5-6: “Yahweh talks now about real widows and real mothers made childless.”\(^{131}\) In a way, the poem takes a step closer to reality and the effect is that of escalation of tension and chaos.

The expression ‘more numerous than the sands of the sea’ (נַחֲלָתֵי הָאָרֶץ) is “stock hyperbole for an exceedingly great number that cannot be measured ([J]er 33:22; Gen 41:49).”\(^{132}\) In Holladay’s words, it is “a terrible reversal of the great promise to Abraham and Jacob,” cf. Gen 15:5 and the expression ‘counting of stars.’\(^{133}\)

There are some text critical issues to consider concerning v. 8. and three of them will be commented upon here. Firstly, we follow G, S, and T in translation of ‘their widows’ (נָשִׁי הָאָרֶץ) with the 3. masc. pl. Holladay notes that מַרְפִּיהֵי הָאָרֶץ “must be construed as an aberrant spelling of ‘his widows’ [...] the mem evidently dropped out by haplography.”\(^{134}\) Secondly, G omits ‘to them.’ Here, Holladay suggests that this may be dittographic from בָּשָׁנֶה יִשְׂרָאֵל.\(^{135}\) Here, we have chosen to follow the reading of S when translating ‘and upon the young men’ (transliterated to Hebrew: בְּשָׁנֶה יִשְׂרָאֵל), keeping בָּשָׁנֶה. This 3. masc. pl. suffix encompasses both the young men and the mother. בָּשָׁנֶה is translated into the plural (cf. Craigie, 196; NRSV). However, other commentators have kept the mother in singular (cf. Holladay, 421; Lundbom, 728; Thompson, 389).

The destroyer (דֶּשֶׁן from the root תָּדָשָׁה, ‘to devastate, ruin’) is sent upon both the young men and their mothers: killing the men and bereaving the mothers. This

---

\(^{131}\) Lundbom, Jeremiah 1-20, 724.

\(^{132}\) Ibid., 728.

\(^{133}\) Holladay, Jeremiah 1, 442; cf. Craigie et.al., Jeremiah 1-25, 204.

\(^{134}\) Ibid., 421, n. 8a-a.

\(^{135}\) Ibid., 421, n. 8b.
destroyer is brought upon them by Yahweh (יִתָּהֵב). The destroyer is sent at midday, the "time of supposed security." The young men are men of soldiering age being “crushed by the battle” at noon, “the time of surprise attacks.” Noontime might also indicate dying unexpectedly, or prematurely. The devastation for the women in v. 8 is indeed grave, both in character and in consequence. The ruin is said to come suddenly (מָאָס), with rage (יָרֵע, cf. ‘wrath’ in Hos 9:11) and terror (פָּרַע). As for the consequences (both in the short and long run), they too are critical. As we have seen in chap. 2, women were on a large scale dependent on men, their husbands as well as their sons, to have a place in society and in the household. In the setting of Jer 15:5-9, the women are faced with military invasion. And even if they would survive themselves, in the aftermath they would be left with nothing, only extreme trauma and deep emotional wounds.

**v. 9** In v. 9, the poem focuses in on the one woman, the mother of seven – signifying “the fulfilled or complete mother” (cf. 1 Sam 2:5; Ru 4:15; 2 Macc 7). Thusly, the escalation of the poem’s tension is taken on a higher level; as the picture of one woman languishing is enlarged, the intensity of the poem is magnified: “The poem is not symmetrical: the center of gravity is strongly toward the end, giving an emotional climax to the sequence.” In the Norwegian translation, this is accentuated with the adverb ‘even’: “Even she who has borne seven children, withers.”

Bereft, forlorn, or loss of fertility?
And the costs for this woman are disastrous: She languishes, like the gates of Judah do in Jer 14:2. As we have seen in chap. 2, the meaning of the root לְמַעַן

136 BDB, 843.
137 Holladay, Jeremiah 1, 443.
138 Lundbom, Jeremiah 1-20, 728. Cf. Holladay, Jeremiah 1, 443.
139 Craigie, Jeremiah 1-25, 204.
140 Holladay, Jeremiah 1, 425.
141 My translation from NO2011 into English.
varies greatly. It may mean to be feeble, to be or grow weak, to languish, to pine away\textsuperscript{142} or indicate the loss of fertility.\textsuperscript{143}

The Greek word used in Jer 15:9, LXX, is \textit{κενόω}, which translates ‘to be left empty, waste away, languish’.\textsuperscript{144} John Chrysostom, in his comment on the clause \textit{ἐκενῶθη ἡ τίκτουσα ἔπτα}, interprets thusly: “I.e., she with many children became without children.”\textsuperscript{145}

In translating \textit{محمد ن سد}, NO2011 uses (as in 1 Sam 2:5) ‘withers’ (“visner”), while \textit{NRSV} translates to ‘languishes’ in Jer 15:9, but ‘is forlorn’ in 1 Sam 2:5. To be forlorn and to languish is not the same thing. To be forlorn is to be left, or – perhaps – worse, to be bereaved (cf. v. 7). For a woman, to languish may mean the same as hitting menopause, as losing fertility. This is not a tragic thing if the woman already has given birth to seven children. However, the build-up of the poem is that of desolation (v. 5), destruction (v. 6), bereavement (v. 7) and devastation (v. 8). As mentioned, the movement of the poem’s lenses toward the woman in v. 9 magnifies the tension; likewise we may assume that the severity of \textit{محمد ن سد} in v. 9 mirrors the grade of severity in the rest of the poem or, in fact, amplifies it. This acuteness is underscored by the clauses following: the woman ’has breathed out her life,’\textsuperscript{146} her sun has gone down in the middle of the day. She is ashamed and abashed.’

The poem does not describe the ‘simple’ loss of fertility for a mother of seven; it implies the loss of her children, perhaps the loss of her husband as well, and definitely the loss of good, sustaining conditions in life. She is, we might say, as the ‘virgin daughter’\textsuperscript{147} in Jer 14:17 “struck down with a crushing blow, with a very grievous wound” (\textit{NRSV}). And the woman’s shame (\textit{حساب, فطور}) is similar to the

\textsuperscript{142} Hayden, “محمد ن سد,” 426-27.
\textsuperscript{143} BDB, 51.
\textsuperscript{144} LEH.
\textsuperscript{145} Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.904 – quoted in Walser, \textit{Jeremias in Codex Vaticanus}, 289.
\textsuperscript{146} Note the assonance between \textit{محمد ن سد} and \textit{محمد ن سد}.
shame (שרא), humiliation (מלד), and dismay (תקד) the nobles and the farmers suffer under because of the drought (Jer 14:3-4).\textsuperscript{148}

Lastly, v. 9 disembarks by describing Yahweh’s actions towards the rest – the remains of the people. The poem, in a way, ‘zooms out’ again and connects v. 9 with ‘the people’ in vv. 6-7. This “destruction of the remnant”\textsuperscript{149} is by the hand of Yahweh; he gives (yyval) them ‘to the swords of their enemies.’ Yahweh ‘hands them over’ (cf. Num 21:3; Deut 7:2; Judg 20:13; Ps 118:18; Hos 11:8; Mi 5:3).\textsuperscript{150} The expression of ‘the remnant’ (ילם) may constitute a reversal of the ‘first-fruits of Israel’ (cf. Jer 2:3) to be the ‘last-fruits of Israel’ (cf. Jer 6:9).\textsuperscript{151}

The curse is overwhelming. The destruction is total. The judgment is absolute. There is no mention of ‘a shoot’ or ‘a branch’ from where to once more grow and prosper (cf. Isa 11:1): even the rest shall perish.

3.5 **Concluding Remarks**

Jer 15:5-9, a divine lamentation as part of the counter-liturgy in Jer 14:1-15:9, is an oracle of judgment. The indictment and punishment of Yahweh interprets the attack on Jerusalem, assumed 597 B.C. The portrayal of Jerusalem, personified as female, is that of desolation and misery. No one is there for her, no neighbour, no prophet, not God. The cause for this is Jerusalem herself: she has forsaken Yahweh and, subsequently, walked away from his Law. Therefore, she is cursed (cf. Deut 27:26). Yahweh brings destruction and bereavement upon his people; they are winnowed, spread like the chaff in the wind, destined for devastation. Although there is no reference to Sheol in the poem, the punishment leads to death: families are to perish and the people is to be ruined.

\textsuperscript{148} Cf. Holladay, *Jeremiah 1*, 443; Craigie et.al., *Jeremiah 1*-25, 204.
\textsuperscript{149} Holladay, *Jeremiah 1*, 443.
\textsuperscript{150} יֵלֶם may also be used for ‘turning one’s back on someone’ (v. 5, cf. 2 Chr 29:6). See also Jer 15:4, “I will make (>({^א})) them a horror to all the kingdoms of the earth” (NRSV).
\textsuperscript{151} Holladay, *Jeremiah 1*, 213.
The female terminology is expanded in vv. 8 and 9. There is no curse of barrenness, and no mention of the expression הַכֹּלֵל. However, והלאה, והלאה, and והלאה convey the horrid conditions; childlessness is, in the least, alluded to. The people are bereaved. Women who used to be safe, with husbands and sons, will now become widows and childless: they will be traumatised and in despair; empty and bitter as Naomi (cf. Ru 1:20-21). The fulfilled mother of seven, languishes. She is ashamed, abashed, and most likely bereft of her children. And the image from 1 Sam 2:5 is again reversed. The curse cast upon the people is conveyed as complete and the totality of the judgment irreversible.
4. **SALVATION: ISAIAH 54:1-10**

4.1 **Translation**

1) Rejoice, barren one that have not borne!
Break forth with shouts of joy and cry aloud
you that were never in labour!
Because more children shall belong to the desolate one
than children to the married one,
says Yahweh.

2) Enlarge the place of your tent
and let the curtains of your dwelling place be stretched out.¹⁵²
Do not hold back: lengthen your tent cords
and strengthen your tent pins.

3) Because right and left you will increase,
and your offspring will inherit the nations
and inhabit the desolate cities.

4) Do not be afraid, for you shall not be ashamed.
And do not be confounded, for you shall not be abashed.
Because the shame of your youth you shall forget,
and the reproach of your widowhood you shall not remember any longer.

5) Because your husband is your Maker,
Yahweh Sabaoth is his name,
and your Redeemer is the Holy One of Israel;
called God on all the earth.

¹⁵² NRSV has a similar translation: "let the curtains of your habitations be stretched out."
6) For as a wife forsaken, and hurting in spirit, Yahweh calls on you, and [as] a woman of one’s youth that was rejected, says your God.

7) For a brief moment I left you, but in great compassion I gather you.

8) In a flood of wrath I hid my face from you for a moment, but in everlasting kindness I have compassion for you, says your Redeemer Yahweh.

9) Because this is like the waters\textsuperscript{153} of Noah for me:
As I swore not to let the waters of Noah pass over the earth again, thusly I swore not to be angry with you and not to rebuke you.\textsuperscript{154}

10) Because the mountains may depart and the hills may shake, but my love for you will never depart and the covenant of my peace will never be shaken, says Yahweh, who has compassion for you.

\textsuperscript{153} Following the MT, but G proposes יַעַל. 
\textsuperscript{154} Some support for the translation of v. 9 is found in the translation by Baltzer, Deutero-Isaiah, 432-33.
4.2 Structure

vv. 1-5 Appeals
  v. 1 Appeal to rejoice and the reason why
  vv. 2-3 Appeal to expand the dwelling place and the reason why
  vv. 4-5 Appeal to not be afraid or humiliated and the reason why

v. 6 The calling of Yahweh

vv. 7-9 The abandonment of Yahweh coming to an end
  v. 7 The moment of abandonment
  v. 8 The wrath of the moment
  v. 9 The flood of the wrath

v. 10 Yahweh renews the covenant of his peace

4.3 Context, Form, and Setting

W. A. M. Beuken argues for the unity of Isa 54. He sees vv. 1-6 as prophetic speech and vv. 7-17 as speech by Yahweh. Furthermore, a single woman is the addressee throughout the passage, apart from 17c which “prepares the way for the change-over to the plural form of address, which is found throughout Ch. lv.” Others (e.g. Claus Westermann), too, see chap. 54 as one unit, but would divide the subsections between v. 10 and v. 11. There is a coherent theme in vv. 1-10: the profound shift from despair to salvation.156

There are disagreements on how comprehensive the hymnic nature of the passage is. An element of promise may be detected in relation to a fertility oracle (cf. Gen 24:60). This may thusly be seen as a combination of a fertility oracle and an oracle of salvation.157 Furthermore, in “the view of Westermann, the element of promise dominates the entire chap. in the sense that vv. 1-6 corresponds to the ‘Ich-Klage’, vv. 7-10 to the ‘Anklage Gottes’.”158 We may here also have in mind the petition of

157 F. Crüsemann argues for the fertility cult as the original Sitz im Leben, cf. Baltzer, Deutero-Isaiah, 434; Koole, Isaiah III, 348.
158 Koole, Isaiah III, 348.
Hannah in 1 Sam 1:11: “O Lord of hosts, if only you will look on the misery of your servant, and remember me, and not forget your servant, but will give your servant a male child” (NRSV).

The setting of the passage is that of Israel's restoration from the Babylonian exile, drawing upon the experiences of both the barren Sarah and the Egyptian bondage. Will Yahweh yet again be able to bring blessing and salvation to his people?

### 4.4 Interpretation

**v. 1** The structure of v. 1 may be set up like this:

1aα Rejoice,
1aβ barren one that have not borne!
1bα Break forth with shouts of joy and cry aloud
1bβ you that were never in labour!
1cα Because more children shall belong to the forsaken one
1cβ than children to the married one,
1d says Yahweh.

Westermann argues that 54:1 echoes “the age-old lament of the childless woman.” The prophet uses elements from contemporary laments in a way that must have seemed paradoxical to the hearers. It would seem meaningless and pitiless to call on a barren woman to sing and rejoice. But the explanation comes in 1c: ‘Because more children shall belong to the forsaken one than children to the married one.’ In this way, according to Westermann, 54:1 draws upon both hymns (such as Ps 113) and laments.

---


161 Ibid., 272.
Parallels are found between 1a\(\alpha\) and 1b\(\alpha\) as well as 1a\(\beta\) and 1b\(\beta\). 1c\(\alpha\) and 1c\(\beta\) are additional contrasting parallels, with the repetition of הָנִּים (masc. plur. constr.):

A role reversal is about to happen: the desolate woman is to have more children than the woman with a husband. V. 1 is an imperative to rejoice targeting a barren woman, giving her the promise that soon she will become a mother.162

The main meaning of the root יָנָה is ‘to rejoice’ and it belongs primarily to the cultic language (but is not limited to this). Parallel verbs to יָנָה, too, indicate loud expressions, e.g. נָהַל (‘shout, cry’) and מָצָא (‘break forth with’), as we find in v. 1. Usual subjects for יָנָה are people or personal concepts, e.g. Zion.163 An appeal to rejoice may come as response to the redeeming acts of Yahweh – or as expectations to Yahweh’s deliverance: “Deutero-Isaiah envisions proleptically the people’s expected deliverance and return when he calls for rejoicing.” 164 We find similar phrasing in Ps 98, a psalm of victory, with יָנָה, מָצָא, and נְבָל (‘to praise through music’) in v. 4, יָרֵע (‘song, to sing’) in v. 1, and דָּרוּ (‘to shout’) in v. 4 and 6. Additionally, in the context of a wedding, common celebration was rejoicing and song of women, subsequently alluding to wedding and marriage.165

In the case of imperative hymns which includes the root יָנָה (cf. Isa 54:1; Zeph 3:14f), we may illuminate three elements of relevance: “(a) the impv. is a fem. sg., 
(b) the ki clause following the impv. contains an address to the one called to rejoice (in these cases Jerusalem/Zion), and (c) the topic points to the fertility cult.”166 This may, then, show connections to the fertility cult. However, it cannot be stated that

---

162 Cf. Baltzer, Deutero-Isaiah, 433: “call for joy.” See also Oswalt, Book of Isaiah, 413: “Chapters 54 and 55 are a lyrical announcement of salvation, and a call to participate in and rejoice in its reality.” We may also bear in mind the Song of Hannah, a song of thanksgiving (rejoice) which, in its context, is ascribed a bearing woman and includes a similar role reversal.


165 Baltzer, Deutero-Isaiah, 2001, 435: “jubilation and song at the lord’s return.”

166 Ficker, “Nøn,” 1242.
is developed from this cultic scene as it occurs with many other motifs as well.167

_In relation to the Servant Song_

Isa 54:1-10 follows the fourth and last of the Servant Songs (52:13-53:12.) The redemption described in 54:1-10 could be seen as the result of the sacrifice given by the Servant. John N. Oswalt reasons that there is little direct connection between the fourth Servant Song and chapters 54-55. However, going directly from 52:12 to 54:1 is not that simple either. The character of 49:14-52:12 is one of contention and appeal; Israel is to believe in future restoration. This changes in chapters 54 and 55:

_israel is restored _– in the mind of the writer, at least – and is called to bask in that reality. If nothing intervenes between 52:12 and 54:1, the shift is unaccountable. But if 52:13-53:12 is understood as an expression of the means by which a restored relationship between God and his people is possible, then the change in atmosphere is entirely understandable._168

This understanding is supported by a comparison to 42:23 and 49:13. Both verses are responses of praise (_ל spline and דחנה_) and they stand “in direct juxtaposition with a Servant passage.”169 Chaps. 54-55 continues to stress “the issues of sin, righteousness, mercy, pardon, and relationship with God” which we also find in the passage of the Suffering Servant.170

Furthermore, there are several expressions repeated between the ‘Fourth Song’ and 54:1-10, such as רֹמִים (54:3, being ‘desolate’) and יָדַע (52:14, being ‘appalled’); רֹמִים (54:3, ‘your offspring’) and יָדַע (53:19, ‘his offspring’); and יָדַע (54:8, ‘I hid my face’) and יָדַע (53:3, ‘hide faces’). In both passages

167 Ibid.
168 Oswalt, _Book of Isaiah_, 413. Cf. Beuken, “Isaiah liv,” 41: “…the motivation for rejoicing is at the same time experience and promise. God reminds Israel of what can be observed in the life of desolate women.” While Oswalt and Beuken focus on the shift of theme, Koole additionally points out that “an immediate connection of chap. 54 and 52:11f. is improbable on account of the plural forms used there,” cf. Isaiah III, 347.
169 Oswalt, _Book of Isaiah_, 415.
170 Ibid., 414.
the character involved is promised “elevation after humiliation.” Koole argues that the fourth Servant Song has a bridging function, “in which the call to return in 52:11f. can now [...] turn into a description of reality.”

The barren woman

refers to a barren, infertile woman. is not interpreted as unambiguously. There are several possible understandings of the expression ‘have not borne.’ This could either be describing a woman before her wedding or it may, as Baltzer points out, even be a reference to “the ‘virginity’ of a goddess” being a polemic statement towards other deities (e.g. Baal’s beloved Anat). Nevertheless, and are coinciding images: the barren woman will, in fact, be in labour (1bβ) and have many descendants (1ca). This image alludes to Sarah (cf. Gen 11:30) and other barren mother stories. Sarah was barren, but she became “the mother of nations.” In fact, Isa 51:1-3 is the only place outside the Pentateuch, that Sarah is mentioned specifically. This underscores the “link between Sarah and the Jerusalem tradition” in Deutero-Isaiah.

The desolate woman

(qal part. fem. sg. abs.) of the root translates into ‘the forsaken/desolate woman.’ The same root is used about the desolate cities in v. 3. In v. 1, the expression conveys a deserted woman: her husband is not pleased with her; she has failed him in one way or another; and he has left her (cf. v. 6). Beuken considers as one of the epithets given to ‘the woman’ in 54:1-10 that do not necessarily coincide well with the other epithets (see further discussion below, under “The identification of the woman”).

171 Cf. Koole, Isaiah III, 347.
172 Ibid., 348.
173 Baltzer, Deutero-Isaiah, 434.
175 Beuken, “Isaiah liv,” 37-38: “Her [Sarah] entering on the scene certainly has to do with the growing influence of the Abraham tradition during the exile, as is reflected in the prophecies of Ezechiel and Dl.”
176 Baltzer, Deutero-Isaiah, 434.
For “a woman can mean that she has been cut off from marital intercourse (cf. 2 Sam 13:20).” The great miracle is, then, that the solitary woman will now bear many children. She is no longer forsaken and will no longer be cut off from marital intercourse. In other words, the pre-exilic Israel and Jerusalem were Yahweh’s wife, with the exile they became desolate, and after the exile there was hope for deliverance.

**vv. 2-3**  Following the appeal to rejoice is the appeal to ‘enlarge the place of your tent’ (2α). This clause corresponds to ‘let the curtains of your dwelling place be stretched out’ (2αβ) and this accentuates the appeal. This is further emphasized by the parallels in 2b. In the Ancient Near East, the erection of the tents were the responsibility of the women. This image may allude to the years in the desert, after the exodus from Egypt.

The metaphor implies growth and prosperity, further explained in v. 3: they shall, indeed, increase both right and left, become large as nations, and inhabit the deserted cities. The vocabulary echoes the promises to Abraham: ’(‘to break through’) is used in Gen 28:14, (‘seed, offspring’) in Gen 12:7, and (‘inherit, possess’) in Gen 15:7-8. Jan L. Koole argues that v. 3 echoes Gen 28:14 as the offspring of Jacob is to “spread abroad to the west and to the east and to the north and to the south” (NRSV). This would mean, then, that to increase both right and left “does not now mean dispersal and territorial expansion [...] but an increase in family.” If, in fact, we are to interpret ‘inherit the nations’ in the broadest sense, an interesting light can be shed by Isa 49:17-22: those who ‘inherit’ will not only be the “children born in the time of your bereavement” (49:20, NRSV), but also the children of the ‘nations’ and the ‘peoples’ (49:22). This gives the passage a universal movement.

---
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v. 4  Verse 4 comprises two bicola with delicate parallels between 4a and 4b, and 4c and 4d:

4a: Do not be afraid (α), for you shall not be ashamed (β).
4b: And do not be confounded (α), for you shall not be abashed (β).
4c: Because the shame of your youth (α) you shall forget (β).
4d: and the reproach of your widowhood (α) you shall not remember any longer (β).

’yIa ‘(do not be afraid)’ is the formula used to encourage the anxious or afraid, found in many text where Yahweh empowers people, (cf. Gen 15:1; Num 21:34; Josh 8:1; Isa 41:10; Jer 1:8). This is the typical formula of an oracle of salvation: ‘fear not.’

In this verse, we find four synonyms for being ashamed or humiliated: בוש (‘ashamed,’ 4aβ; 4cα), חרהב (‘shame, disgrace,’ 4bβ), חלי (‘confounded, humiliated, discouraged,’ 4bα), and מ鸫 (‘reproach, shame, mock,’ 4dα). We find חרהב and מ鸫 as common parallels in other texts as well (Isa 1:29; 24:23; Jer 50:12; Mic 3:7). In Jer 15:9, both of these roots is used to describe the desperate condition of the languishing woman. This woman had nothing left, she had breathed out her life. In Jer 14:3 (and similarly in e.g. Isa 30:3; 61:7; Jer 3:25; 51:51; Ezek 16:52) בוש and חלה are parallel, describing the shame of the nobles when there is no water to find:

“They are ashamed and dismayed and cover their heads” (NRSV). An important element to sustain life has been taken away and the people react with shame and humiliation. Ezekiel 16 and 23, on the other hand, talk about the shame and reproach of whoredom, when describing the sin of Jerusalem.

In Isa 54:4, the woman is asked to forget her shame, to not fear. What sustains life is about to be given back and she will no longer remember the pain from the past.

________________________

183 Cf. ibid., 348.
184 Baltzer, Deutero-Isaiah, 439.
185 יזע (forget) and עז (remember) are used as parallel in other texts as well, cf. 1 Sam 1:11; Job 11:16; Ps 9:12.
The identification of the woman

‘The shame of your youth’ (4cα) and ‘the reproach of your widowhood’ (4dα) signify two different things. A young woman’s shame is the shame she suffers when rejected, when no one will marry her. The reproach a widow suffers from is the consequences of being without husband and children, especially sons (cf. chap. 2.2). Here, in v. 4, society’s ‘codes’ of an unmarried woman and a widow are implied. With the background of Jer 15:8 we can sense the severity of the situation. But this is to be the case no longer! The unmarried shall find a husband (5a) and the widow shall find a redeemer (5b).

Beuken points out the plurality of the ‘female roles’ in vv. 1-6, as the woman is called both unmarried (4c), widow (4d) and abandoned (v. 6). As mentioned above, these epithets are, in general, inconsistent. The only common denominator may be that women in these situations must live alone, without a husband, even though they are in the right age for marriage and though they have already been married. A similar dissonance may be seen with the ‘roles’ of Yahweh as well: “He cannot at the same time be her first husband […] kinsman […] and the man who takes back his wife.”

Beuken speaks of the lament of the foremothers (cf. Isaac’s prayer for Rebekah, Gen 25:21) and argues for the Sitz im Leben according to Crüsemann: “It rather constitutes a prophetic expression of encouragement tracing its origin to the oracle of salvation which replies to the sterile woman’s lament.” This genre has been transferred to Isa 54:

As the childless woman wails over her loneliness in the tent, so the mother bereft of her children complains that she has none to put up her tent. The abandoned mother, however, to whom the prophet addresses his oracle of salvation, will have to enlarge her tent-space in order to make room for her children. The image changes

---

186 Cf. Beuken, “Isaiah liv,” 35-36. In n. 1, Beuken argues that the “shame of your youth” means the shame a nubile, yet unmarried girl/young woman had to bear.
187 The image of nubility does not quite fit as Israel in fact had been married. And the image of widowhood does not quite fit since “God did not die.” Cf. ibid., n. 1.
188 Ibid., 36.
189 Ibid., 37.
190 Ibid., 42
as the situation of the woman Israel changes, whether ancestress [Sarah] or people, before God.\textsuperscript{191}

Koole, also, brings up the discussion of the identification of the woman in 54:1, with regard to the question of universalism. As Zion is described as a mother bereft of her children in Isa 50:1; 51:20, how can she in 54:1 be described as a barren woman? The patristic tradition (cf. 2 Clemens 2:3) saw a distinction between Zion being described in chaps. 49-51 and who the woman in chap. 54 might be: it would no longer be “referring to the Jewish people but to the heathen world or at least a congregation from the heathen world.”\textsuperscript{192} This is an explanation, according to Koole, that does not do justice to the historical and literary context. The barren woman is to be related “to the situation of Israel during and after the exile until the great increase in the congregation, referred to in v. 1b\textsuperscript{b}, would be realized.”\textsuperscript{193}

I would argue that the plurality among the metaphors, used for Zion and concerning the restoration from exile, paints a picture which shows the severity and diversity in Israel’s self-awareness as forsaken by Yahweh. The metaphors are all within the semantic field of female conditions: being barren, deserted, or widowed – conditions offering little chance in life – explain how acutely aware Israel had become of their dependence on Yahweh and the greatness of his compassion. And the somewhat paradoxical mix of these metaphors do not weaken their position. Metaphors in themselves are often paradoxical. This may be exemplified by the related theme in Isa 49:20-21, with images such as “The children born in the time of your bereavement” and “I was bereaved and barren” (NRSV).

\textit{Universalism?}

Oswalt argues that since the term ‘Zion’ is not used in 54:1-10 (as a matter of fact, not in the whole of 52:9-59:19, a part from the mentioning of ‘Jerusalem’ in 52:9), this can be seen as a conscious choice of the prophet. Subsequently, this would mean that the imagery is not only referring to Zion, but to all who suffer from barrenness or desolation:

\begin{enumerate}
\item \textsuperscript{191} Ibid., 42-43
\item \textsuperscript{192} Koole, Isaiah III, 350.
\item \textsuperscript{193} Ibid.
\end{enumerate}
the absence of that term [Zion] here when it had been used frequently immediately before suggests that, because of the work of the Servant, all who feel barren and dejected and alone as a result of their sins have reason to shout for joy now. All, Gentiles and Jews alike, may become the blessed people of God.\textsuperscript{194}

In this oracle of salvation we may see an expansion of the people of Yahweh. Are there, however, enough evidence to see the female imagery as a definite expansion of the people of Yahweh? Perhaps we may venture to explain it with Koole, with emphasis on the development of the kingdom of God: “In any case this verse [v. 1] involves a certain change in the description of Zion after chaps. 49-50; the emphasis shifts now from the city bereft of children to the spiritual reality of God’s kingdom, 52:7f.”\textsuperscript{195} This echoes the promises to Abraham (through the barren Sarah) and Gen 12:3: “in you all the families of earth shall be blessed” (\textit{NRSV}).

\textit{The epithets of Yahweh}

\textbf{v. 5 } Oswalt describes the nature of the language in vv. 1-3 (and 11-17) as “almost that of explosive relief” and with “the most extravagant imagery.”\textsuperscript{196} This description could go for the entire passage. V. 5 stands out in its richness of epithets of Yahweh. He is called ‘your husband’ (יָרָהךְ עָנָיו; ‘your Maker’ (יַעֲנוֹן) ; ‘Yahweh Sabaoth’ (‘Lord of hosts’ – מַלְאָכֶיךָ הַשִּׁמְשָׁם); ‘your Redeemer’ (יַעֲנֵה); ‘the Holy One of Israel’ (יְמַלְאֵךְ אֱלֹהִים); and ‘God on all the earth’ (אֵין הָאֵל אֵין הָאֵל). We find, here, theological themes such as creation, (‘your Maker’) and monotheism (‘God on all the earth’).\textsuperscript{197}

\textit{Husband and Maker}

יָרָה can mean both ‘husband’ and ‘lord.’\textsuperscript{198} Through the imagery of a marriage contract, the renewal of Yahweh’s covenant of peace (cf. 54:10) is emphasized. As

\textsuperscript{194} Oswalt, \textit{Book of Isaiah}, 415: Oswalt argues, in n. 25, that the “fem. nouns and verbs used throughout do not indicate a restricted connotation to Zion. The personification of a people or a group as fem. is common.”

\textsuperscript{195} Koole, \textit{Isaiah III}, 351.

\textsuperscript{196} Oswalt, \textit{Book of Isaiah}, 414.

\textsuperscript{197} Themes such as these are found in the Song of Hannah as well, cf. Marttila, “The Song of Hannah,” 511.

\textsuperscript{198} Cf. LXX: κύριος ὁ ποιῶν σε.
her 'Maker' (לָשׁוּחַ), Israel owes her existence to Yahweh. The syntactic relationship between בָּשַׂרְתְּךָ and נַעֲשָׂתָךָ deserves some further inquiry. If the subject is נַעֲשָׂתָךָ and בָּשַׂרְתְּךָ is the predicate, then the emphasis is on Yahweh acting favourably unto his people. However, if it is the other way around, and Koole seems to think so, then “the congregation, which owes its existence to its Maker (לָשׁוּחַ), can now learn that its loneliness has come to an end because it is wedded (בָּשַׂרְתְּךָ) by Yahweh.”199 It is my opinion, however, that it is not of great importance to argue what the subject and the predicate between in the clause are; the covenant Yahweh now makes (or renews) with his people here are of enormous impact either way. If the message is 'your Maker is your husband', Yahweh indeed shows full responsibility for his creation; not only has he created them, he will continue to maintain their existence by wedding them. If the message is 'your husband is your Maker' then the emphasis lies on the appeal to not fear; the one who weds you is your Maker, i.e. you can fully lay your trust in this marriage, pointing out that as 'your Maker' Yahweh will not (again) leave.200

Yahweh Sabaoth and the Holy One of Israel

'Lord of hosts' is an often used title on Yahweh by Isaiah and in various books of the OT (cf. 2 Sam 7:8; 1 King 18:15; 1 Chr 11:9; Ps 24:10; Isa 1:9; 5:9). This title is connected with the unicity of Yahweh (Isa 44:6) as well as creation and deliverance (Isa 45:12-13; 51:14-16).201 Together with the title ‘the Holy One of Israel,’ 'Yahweh Sabaoth' echoes the Trisagion in Isa 6:3: יְהֹוָה עָזָא אֵל עָזָא יְהֹוָה ‘The Holy One of Israel' is found – often with epithets, such as 'Redeemer' – in Isa 41:16, 20; 43:14; 48:17; 49:7. In 54:4, the name “denotes God’s faithfulness to the promise which he once made to Israel and the unfathomable way in which he keeps this promise.”202 Between the two, 'Yahweh Sabaoth' and 'the Holy One of Israel,' the

199 Koole, Isaiah III, 360-61.
200 Baltzer points out “that 'husband,' 'Creator,' and 'Yawheh Sabaath' are one and the same.” Cf. Deutero-Isaiah, 442.
201 Koole, Isaiah III, 361.
202 Ibid., 362.
first designates the heavenly powers of Yahweh while the latter signifies his earthly sovereignty.203

**Redeemer**

Furthermore, Yahweh redeems Zion, as Boaz redeemed Ruth and Naomi (Ruth 4:6, 14). Baltzer interestingly notes that redemption “is the declaration immediately touching on the present.”204 Redemption is a state of wholeness, a state of salvation. There is hope for the future and the effect is immediate.

**God on all the earth**

Koole rightly calls the title ‘God on all the earth’ “very striking.”205 It is not found elsewhere in Isaiah. Nonetheless, there are other proclamations of the incomparability and oneness of Yahweh in DI (40:18; 43:10, 13) and elsewhere in the OT, e.g. titles such as ‘Ruler/Lord of the whole earth’ (cf. Josh 3:11; Ps 97:5) and ‘King of the whole earth’ (cf. Ps 47:8). Combining these titles with ‘God on all the earth,’ would mean that the Ruler and King has also become the Protector, the God in whom the entire world may trust [...] and whose glory (דֹּתְבָא) fills the world, 6:3. The use of precisely this name as an addition to ‘the Holy One of Israel’ therefore links up meaningfully with the promise of Abraham’s abundant offspring, which will also include the nations vv. 1ff.206

**v. 6** Yahweh is calling on his people as he would call on a forsaken wife (רְדוֹתָא רְדוֹתָא).207 The image of a ‘forsaken wife’ coincides better with the context than the image of ‘the barren one’ in v. 1: “the wider context in DtIsa is the reinstallation of ‘the spouse of God’ in her original position.”208 רְדוֹתָא רְדוֹתָא is a part of the

---
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terminology of divorce (cf. ‘to hate’ in Isa 60:15) indicating that Israel has been sent away as a “woman can be sent away for unfaithfulness” (cf. Hosea and Ezekiel).\footnote{Ibid., 443.}

The expression הַרְאָיָה הַגְּדוֹלָה ('hurting in spirit') conveys the intensity of the sadness and spiritual pain that the woman must endure when forsaken. Additionally, if “this pericope can be seen in connection with chap. 53, the text here is not just talking about sorrowful circumstances but also awareness of guilt.”\footnote{Koole, Isaiah III, 364.}

The act of ‘calling someone by the name’ were fundamental parts of marriage contracts.\footnote{Yahweh’s marriage to Zion is usually depicted as monogamous, with the exception of Ezek 23 where Yahweh is conveyed as “the bigamous husband of Oholah/Samaria and Oholibah/Jerusalem,” cf. Marsman, Women, 456.}\footnote{Baltzer, Deutero-Isaiah, 444.} Yahweh, the husband, has already been called in v. 5 (אֲרֵבָיָה) and in v. 6 the woman, the wife, is called (רָאָיָה) and her status is secured: “She is and remains ‘the wife of [her husband’s] youth’ (אִשָּׂה לְיouth).”\footnote{My translation of NO2011 into English, Isa 54:6b. Koole translates similarly: “and a wife of youth, would she be rejected?” Cf. Isaiah III, 344.}\footnote{Beuken, "Isaiah liv," 37, n. 1.} NO2011 translates v. 6b as a rhetorical question: “Can one discard the woman of one’s youth?”\footnote{The wife of youth’ expresses connotations to the blissful time of newly weds, cf. Oswalt, Book of Isaiah, 421.} The answer to the rhetorical question is: No, he cannot cast away the woman of his youth. Syntactically, it is not clear that this should be translated into a rhetorical question; commentators and other translations tend not to (cf. Baltzer; Oswalt; Watts; NRSV; KJV). Whether or not, the message is: Yahweh will no longer reject (חָפֵץ) his people, he has remembered ‘the wife of his youth.’\footnote{The wife of one’s youth’ is both a wisdom recommendation (Prov. v 18) and a prophetic demand (Mal. ii 14f).} The ‘woman’ will now be restored to the marital covenant and motherhood. Additionally, we may note that fidelity to “the ‘wife of one’s youth’ is both a wisdom recommendation (Prov. v 18)
In a way, v. 6 builds a bridge between vv. 1-5 and vv. 7-10. The imagery changes from metaphor to simile with the use of the participle  וּלְכַּבְדַּת. Furthermore, the theme of Yahweh ‘calling on’ bridges to vv. 7-10 where Yahweh himself is speaking in 1. sg.

**vv. 7-8** There are close connections between the parallels we find in v. 7 and v. 8. In both 7a and 8a, expressions and language are taken from words of lament (cf. Lam 5:20; Ps 88:15). Each of the four declarations in these two verses starts with the participle וָלֹא ('in, at, with').

We find a contrasting parallel between 7a הָעִיסְקָדִים ('moment small/brief') and 7b לְרֵיחַמְיָתוֹ ('compassion great’) – this clause provides an echo to הָעִיסְקָדִים as an epithet of Yahweh (cf. Jer 10:6; 32:17-19). The verse conveys: yes, it is true, Yahweh had left Zion, but only for a moment. He has now come back and will gather לְרֵיחַמְיָתוֹ his people. Baltzer calls the expression of Yahweh ‘gathering’ his people, *strange*. However, he mentions it may “be a collective understanding of ‘the woman.’ [...] In Nehemiah it is a catchword for the ‘gathering’ of the community.”

The same root, לֵותַיָּד, is used for ‘gathering’ in Deut 30:1-4. This texts speaks of the blessings and curses that Yahweh have set before Israel and the commandments that the people must keep. If they do so, then Yahweh will have compassion לְרֵיחַמְיָתוֹ for them and gather לֵותַיָּד them: “Even if you are exiled to the ends of the world, from there the Lord your God will gather you, and from there he will bring you back” (30:4, NRSV). ‘Gathering’ thusly means salvation. Again are the promises of the Torah brought to mind.

---

220 BDB p. 868. In Jer 15:7 we have seen the opposite; Yahweh is there dispersing his people: “I have winnowed them with a pitch-fork by the gates of the land.”
Another similar contrasting parallel is between 8a and 8b. 8a explains: for a moment (אברג, again!) – and in ‘a flood of wrath’ (בזון, בזון פנים פנים). Hiding one’s face is the equivalent of withdrawing one’s grace and mercy.222 Showing mercy, too, may be described in different ways connected to the בזון: concealing one’s face from the sins of others (cf. Ps 51:11; Isa 59:2); or to shine (אלוה) one’s face onto someone (cf. Num 6:25, here as a parallel to †דה ל – ‘being gracious to’). One would also ‘seek favour in the sight’ of someone, cf. Ruth 2:2, 10. The flood of wrath which only lasted a moment provides, then, a great contrast to the everlasting kindness (מלנו דר רジー, 8b) in which Yahweh now promises compassion (מלנו הלאים, 8c).

Compassion and everlasting kindness

is of the root רジー, meaning ‘mercy’ or ‘compassion.’ This root is shared by all the Semitic languages with similar derivations: ‘compassion,’ ‘mercy,’ ‘affection,’ ‘love,’ ‘protection,’ and ‘womb’ (the latter: רジー).223 רジー belongs in the “social realm” and can be used with ‘forgive,’ ‘pardon,’ ‘pity,’ ‘strengthen,’ but to neither of these terms can be fully synonymous רジー – it is “a fundamental attitude that takes effect in various actions.”224 As an attribute of Yahweh, רジー is a gift he can freely give – or withhold.225 The parallel between דר רジー והלאים in Isa 54:7-8 in the setting of the marriage between Yahweh and Israel emphasizes the “overtones of the intimacy that exists between Yahweh and his people.”226 Similarly, Baltzer points out that דר רジー והלאים may be “a marriage vow reduced to a brief formula” cf.
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221 Notice the rhyming of בזון פנים פנים against בזון פנים פנים since רジー would be the regular root from which to derive, cf. BDB, 1009.
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Hos 2:21-22.  The combination of terms such as הָעַנְנָה and מַעֲרַמְיָה in Hos 2, is the bride price Yahweh is paying for the marriage with Israel.  

means ‘kindness, goodness’ or even ‘love’ and ‘lovingkindness.’ The etymology of the root is unknown and it has a wide semantic range of usage, both within the secular and the religious contexts. The beneficiaries of Yahweh’s ‘kindness’ or ‘love’ varies and may be individuals, such as Ruth, Orpah, and Boaz (cf. Ruth 1:8; 2:20), but also whole people, devout to Yahweh (cf. Exod 20:6; 34:7; Jer 32:18) – it is by ‘kindness’ Yahweh has led Israel out of Egypt, through the desert and, indeed, back from exile, after judgment. is also and act of ‘strength,’ ‘victory,’ and ‘salvation’ and is something that endures ‘for ever.’

is found in two common liturgical formulas in the OT. One of them is provided in Exod 34:6, with מַעֲרַמְיָה: “a God merciful [מַעֲרַמְיָה] and gracious, slow to anger, and abounding in steadfast love [מַעֲרַמְיָה] and faithfulness” (NRSV). The other is in Ps 100:5 (cf. Jer 33:11): “For the Lord is good; his steadfast love [מַעֲרַמְיָה] endures forever” (NRSV). The very nature of מַעֲרַמְיָה, it seems, is that of loyalty.

We may assume that the religious concept of מַעֲרַמְיָה was borrowed from the secular sphere, as both ‘agreement’ and ‘divergence.’ The agreement with the secular use is mirrored by the active, social and enduring nature of מַעֲרַמְיָה. The Book of Ruth is an example of how מַעֲרַמְיָה is “a relational concept” (cf. Ruth 3:10). The divergence is seen in “the expansion of the realm of מַעֲרַמְיָה from the fellowship of the family and

---

227 Baltzer, Deutero-Isaiah, 444.
228 Simian-Yofre, “מַעֲרַמְיָה,” 447.
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233 Ibid., 51, 62.
234 Ibid., 49. The term, in secular use, is also connected with מַעֲרַמְיָה homework ('justice').
clan to the nation of Israel and finally the whole world.”\textsuperscript{235} In postexilic theology, the term was closer connected with the idea of the הְרוּת הַבּוֹ (‘covenant,’ cf. Isa 54:10). Despite this, however, הַרְוָעַת did not become a legal term, but continued to denote “promise and grace, mercy and unexpected kindness.”\textsuperscript{236}

Indeed, מֵדַחְיָהוֹ and הָיִם הַרְוָעַת show that Yahweh is proclaiming a restoration of the relationship between him and his people. Yahweh’s newly professed loyalty to the covenant (הָיִם) is further developed in vv. 9-10.

\textit{The flood of wrath}

\textbf{v. 9} Water is an important theme in Di, both as a threat towards life and as a gift bestowing life. Yahweh is lord over the weathers and, cf. Isa 55:10-11, “the rain ‘that waters the earth’ is the correspondence to God’s word.”\textsuperscript{237} V. 9 takes up the theme of the ‘flood of wrath’ from v. 8 and illustrates this with the image of ‘the waters of Noah.’ \textit{G} proposes כֹּלֶפֶר, the ‘days of Noah,’ instead of כִּי. Concerning this, Baltzer writes: “If read as ‘the days of Noah’ (correctly the phrase would have to be written: ‘כּוֹלֶפֶר ‘as the days’) this could be a reminder of the beginning of the story of the flood as told in Genesis 6-9. [...] On the other hand, v. 9a could be pointing to the end of the flood story when it says that ‘the waters of Noah should not again cover the earth’.”\textsuperscript{238}

In v. 9, Yahweh repeats his promise from Gen 9:9-11 and swears (ךָּבְשֵׁשֶׁב) to withhold his anger (ךָּמָשַׁל) and reprimand (ךָּסֶנָה). With the expression ‘the waters of Noah,’ “Yahweh declares that the exile has the same meaning for him (ךָּגֶּשַׂ) as the Flood once had” and now, a new beginning is laid before Zion as was the case after the Flood and by the covenant with Noah (cf. Gen 9:11).\textsuperscript{239}

\textsuperscript{235} Ibid., 62-63.
\textsuperscript{236} Ibid., 64.
\textsuperscript{237} Baltzer, Deutero-Isaiah, 446.
\textsuperscript{238} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{239} Koole, Isaiah III, 370-71.
Steadfast love and the covenant of peace

v. 10 The renewal of Yahweh’s promises is further established in v. 10: ‘the covenant of my peace will never be shaken.’ The liturgical formulas about Yahweh’s ‘steadfast love/kindness’ (cf. Exod 34:6; Ps 100:5) is echoing in the background.

The roots סְלָמִים (‘depart’) and חֲמוֹרָה (‘shake’) correspond twice.240 Firstly, in 10ab, ‘the mountains may depart (כֹּל הַגֶּשֶם)’ and ‘the hills may shake (כֹּל הָעֵר).’ Secondly, in 10cd, ‘my love for you will never depart (כֹּל לָבְדָא)’ and ‘the covenant of my peace will never be shaken (כֹּל הַמַּעַל).’ The mountains and hills are “models of permanency,”241 but even though something as seemingly permanent as mountains and hills may falter, the love (כֹּל לָבְדָא) of Yahweh and the peace (כֹּל הַמַּעַל) of the covenant (כֹּל הַגֶּשֶם) shall not falter. Because Yahweh is נְנוֹב נְנוֹב (he is one who has compassion for his people. Here are ‘covenant’ and ‘love’ held together (and yet another connotation to a marriage contract is given242). The enduring character of Yahweh’s kindness is revealed through the covenant of Yahweh’s peace, upon the promise of compassion.

Peace is, indeed, more than the absence of war and hostilities: “it describes a condition of wholeness. God commits himself that the relationship between him and his people will be one of productivity, fruitfulness and blessing.”243 Interestingly, when ‘covenant’ is mentioned in Isaiah in the context of the Servant, (Isa 42:6; 49:8; cf. Mal 3:1-2) it is a usually as a ‘covenant to the people.’ The Servant, subsequently, “mediates the covenant and is its personification.”244 The promises of old are restored and Israel may yet again believe in the future.

---

240 Note the assonance between the roots, especially with כֹּל הַגֶּשֶם and כֹּל הַמַּעַל.
241 Koole, Isaiah III, 372
242 Cf. Baltzer, Deutero-Isaiah, 446.
243 Oswalt, Book of Isaiah, 423.
244 Koole, Isaiah III, 374; cf. Oswalt, Book of Isaiah, 424.
4.5 Concluding Remarks

Isa 54:1-10 is an oracle salvation, of hymnic nature. A single woman is addressed throughout the passage. The woman is called the ‘barren one’ and in the oracle we may detect her foregoing lament. She is one who never became a mother, one who was forsaken and rejected by her husband, one with shame from youth and widowhood. How meaningless for her to rejoice. Yet this woman is urged to rejoice and shout aloud – for she will at last become a mother. Her offspring will be so numerous, she must make the dwelling place of her family larger. She is encouraged to no longer be afraid, because the shame and disgrace she has carried thus far will be taken away. Because her husband is no other than Yahweh, the Lord of hosts. Her redeemer is no other than the Holy One of Israel, God of all the earth. She was once left by Yahweh, she was once subjected to his wrath, but she will be forsaken no longer. Yahweh renews the covenant of his peace, with great compassion, kindness and love.

The restoration of the woman, the wife, is a metaphor for the restoration of Zion and Israel, from the exile in Babylon. However, the oracle stretches far beyond the implications of the exile; the perspective is that of salvation history. “The figure of laughing Sarah emerges” from the image of the barren woman rejoicing and the promises to the patriarchs and matriarchs appear.245

The text is filled with covenantal terms and phrases which refer to Yahweh’s covenant with the ancestors of the people, the patriarchs, and with the people itself. To the right and to the left the descendants of the woman will increase (v. 3) while the offspring of Jacob is to expand ‘to the west and to the east and to the north and to the south’ (Gen 28:14). Yahweh will gather the woman (i.e. the people, v. 7) as he does in Deut 30:3-4. Yahweh will keep his covenant and have compassion (לְבָנָה, v. 7, 8, 10), kindness and love (רִמְנוּנָה, v. 8, 10) as portrayed in Exod 34:6. Yahweh will be steadfast and loyal. The covenant from old is restored. And through the echoes of the covenant and the preceding Servant Song, Isa 54:1-10 may be seen to take on a

245 Cf. Koole, Isaiah III, 345.
universal tone – the Servant functions as a bridge to the new reality and an expansion of the concept the people of Yahweh.

In this oracle are the “image and the thing imaged”\textsuperscript{246} coinciding. Salvation is conveyed with the metaphor of a barren woman bearing children. At the same time, the oracle proclaims a literal expansion of the people of Yahweh, through numerous descendants and, perhaps, even through the encompassing of other people.

The blessing is overwhelming. The salvation is all-embracing. As a wife protected by the marriage contract, the people of Yahweh is to be protected by the covenant of his peace. In fact, they are restored not only as a wife, but as a mother – with regained position and value. And where there are children, there is future filled with life and hope.

\textsuperscript{246} This expression is borrowed from Baltzer, Deutero-Isaiah, 435, only here Baltzer uses it with regard to the wife-metaphor.
5. CONCLUSIONS

Yahweh’s covenant with his people is one of promise of blessing. In this thesis, we have investigated the blessing of offspring and a sustained family line, like the promise given to Abraham (Gen 12:1-3; 15:5) and his barren wife, Sarah (Gen 18:9-15; 21:1-7). The opposite, barrenness and childlessness, may then be seen as a curse, as a fate not preferred. Childlessness is portrayed as a punishment for adulterous sin in Num 5. In the Law, Yahweh stands as the benefactor of blessings in return for obedience (Deut 7:12-14; 11:27) and the mediator of curse in return for disobedience (Deut 11:28; 27:26).

Subsequently, in the historical books of the OT, the blessing of offspring is given to those who show obedience to the Law. By exploring the Book of Ruth and the story of Hannah, we have seen the despair in which the childless women suffered. Naomi and Ruth return empty to Bethlehem, as widows and childless. Hannah is tormented by her rival-wife because of her barrenness. They are in need of a redeemer and/or a son. We have seen what positive impact a male heir had in the lives of women with regard to their status in the household, thusly intertwined with their social and economic security. These narratives – these blessings – are set in the greater setting of salvation history: the same male heirs, Obed and Samuel, are not only blessings to Naomi, Ruth, and Hannah, they become significant characters in the larger narrative, as grandfather to David and judge of Israel. The portrayal of the offspring’s impact on the women elucidates the impact of the elevation of Israel. Yahweh is both compassionate towards these women and loyal to his covenant.

The image of Yahweh as sovereign, causing both life and death, is further emphasised in the Song of Hannah. He lifts up and empowers the weak, the marginalised, the poor, and the faithful and he weakens the strong and mighty. In him is the power to kill and to bring to life, to bring low and to exalt. The barren woman may be blessed with – seven – children and the mother to many languish.

In the oracle of judgment in Jer 15:5-9, interpreting the Babylonian attack of 597 B.C., Jerusalem and the people of Yahweh are condemned for their sin and their
rejection of Yahweh. Whilst this oracle does not speak of childlessness as barrenness (there is no mention of the term שָׁפַר), Yahweh imposes a most severe punishment; he bereaves and destroys his people. He reverses his promise to Abraham by making the widows ‘more numerous than the sands of the sea’ and destroying the sons of mothers (v. 8). And, in v. 9, the mother of seven, languishes, implying the loss of her children; she is struck down in a time of life when she should be flourishing. The women portrayed as losing their husbands, their sons; a truly grave situation women such as Naomi and Ruth were familiar with. In Jer 15:5-9, however, there is no end to the rage of Yahweh and the curse is crushing. Not even a remnant shall be left safe and unharmed; even the rest shall perish. It truly seems as though the promises to the patriarchs and matriarchs are erased, far beyond hope of restoration.

Nevertheless, the oracle of salvation, in Isa 54:1-10, does depict a restoration – an overwhelming one as such. There will be redemption for the exiled Israel. Yahweh is conveyed as steadfast to his covenant, in his love and compassion; in its allusion to Sarah, ‘the mother of nations,’ the oracle is placed within the history of salvation. As the barren and forsaken woman is to rejoice and no longer bear any shame or fear, the greatness of Yahweh and end of his abandonment are proclaimed. There is no longer a threat of causing numerous widows, but promises of the blessing of numerous descendants. Yahweh shall gather (Isa 54:7) instead of disperse (Jer 15:7). The forsaken woman will have her husband; the widow will have her redeemer, as with Naomi and Ruth; and the barren mother will have her children, as with Hannah. She needs no longer fear marginalisation. The woman shall rejoice and break forth with shouts of joy as she becomes mother to many; the promises to the patriarchs and matriarchs endures and Israel will regain fullness, prosper, and even expand – implying the other peoples, as of the promise to Abraham in Gen 12:3. The covenant is restored and the promises of נָשִּׁיָּהוֹן, יִשְׂרָאֵל, and לְעֵתֵיַךְ רְאָאָה are renewed.

We see, then, that in the prophetic literature, the motifs of childlessness as curse and motherhood as blessing (as seen in the Law) are applied in oracles of judgment
and salvation; alluded to, e.g. by ‘bereavement,’ in Jer 15:5-9 and referred to, e.g. by ‘barrenness,’ in Isa 54:1-10. Here, too, the motifs are intertwined with other conditions vulnerable for women, such as rejection, abandonment, shame, and widowhood. That which we have seen from the Law’s regulations on curse and blessing as well as the conditions in the lives of Naomi, Ruth, and Hannah, give us the background of understanding these motifs of fundamental human reality. Curse and blessing respectively signals profound distance and intimate closeness to Yahweh; and the exclusion of judgment and the redemption of salvation define the people’s relation to Yahweh in an overwhelming, all-encompassing manner.

The motifs of childlessness as curse and motherhood as blessing are encompassed in the biblical narrative from the Law, through the historical books, to the prophetic literature. Whether portrayed as illustrations of God’s intervention in the narratives or depicted in more metaphorical language in prophetic oracles, childlessness and motherhood are more than terminology. The motifs fully seize the fundamental reality of humankind. The lack of descendants means an end of the family line and, ultimately, and end of life. Thusly, childlessness may convey judgment. While the prospect of descendants, of children, means – unmistakably – life. And thusly, motherhood may convey salvation.
6. AFTERWORD

The purpose of this afterword is not to turn my thesis into one of systematic theology. There are, however, some thoughts I wish to express.

In our days, technology has greatly developed the ways of procreation. Additionally, it has become more common to choose not to have children. Still, we find that the longing for children has not ceased from what is was in the antiquities; I believe that the reasons for wanting children back then were as many as they are in the world today.

Many people would still use the expression ‘gift of God’ when describing their joyful feelings about having children. Parents to a new born child, or adoptive parents, may truly, deeply feel blessed. But what, then, do we call childlessness, be it caused by barrenness or loss? I am not sure of the term curse. We may, perhaps, rather call it bereavement. The bereavement of the opportunity to love or the bereavement of someone who is loved and who, in a way, is a part of oneself. Given an abstract nuance, bereavement may be connected with nothingness. A void-creating element.

When we speak of God in connection with childlessness, we touch upon a multifaceted image and walk into a terrain in which it can be hard to navigate. It will always be difficult to try to understand the sovereignty of God. But I find comfort in the ambiguity behind the acts of sovereignty that, I believe, can be detected in the Bible (as in the Book of Job). That there are still no easy answers or explanations.

Children truly carry hope for the future and the potential of life sustained – in a family, in a society, and in the world. In a very fundamental way, they are the gift of life, given in life and from life. Life is, I believe, ultimately a gift of God. And in life, there are both meaningless bereavement and overwhelming joy.

---

247 I talk, here, of unwanted childlessness, not childlessness wanted and chosen.
248 I also wonder about the impression I have gained, that there are more specific promises of blessings of motherhood, than actual threats of curse of childlessness. That, however, is another study.
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