Global Formation of Topological Defects in the Multiferroic Hexagonal Manganites
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The spontaneous transformations associated with symmetry-breaking phase transitions generate domain structures and defects that may be topological in nature. The formation of these defects can be described according to the Kibble-Zurek mechanism, which provides a generic relation that applies from cosmological to interatomic length scales. Its verification is challenging, however, in particular at the cosmological scale where experiments are impractical. While it has been demonstrated for selected condensed-matter systems, major questions remain regarding, e.g., its degree of universality. Here, we develop a global Kibble-Zurek picture from the condensed-matter level. We show theoretically that a transition between two fluctuation regimes (Ginzburg and mean field) can lead to an intermediate region with reversed scaling, and we verify experimentally this behavior for the structural transition in the series of multiferroic hexagonal manganites. Trends across the series allow us to identify additional intrinsic features of the defect formation beyond the original Kibble-Zurek paradigm.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Topological defects are ubiquitous in nature, emerging in various forms in a large variety of physical systems from atomic to cosmic length scales. In the context of cosmology, Kibble first inspected the link between the possible topology of the corresponding defects and gauge symmetry breaking [1]. Subsequently, Zurek derived a scaling law relating the density of defects and the speed at which the transition point is crossed [2]. Their combined theory is known as the Kibble-Zurek mechanism. Under the appropriate conditions, this mechanism is expected to describe the formation of topological defects in a system that is driven through a continuous phase transition at a finite cooling rate. Since Kibble-Zurek scaling is determined by the critical behavior and should be the same for all systems in the same universality class, Zurek proposed the study of condensed-matter analogues to cosmic systems for its verification.

A variety of condensed-matter systems have been investigated to date in an effort to verify the Kibble-Zurek mechanism. Early attempts were carried out on liquid crystals [3,4], superfluid 4He and 3He [5–8], and superconducting rings [9,10]. More recent studies have been conducted on multiferroics [11–13], Bose-Einstein condensates [14,15], ionic crystals [16,17], Landau-Zener setups [18], and colloidal monolayers [19]; for a review, see Ref. [20]. The case of multiferroics is particularly interesting, as they have provided the first experimental setting clearly compatible with a Kibble-Zurek scaling beyond mean field [11]. On the other hand, for the same system, a drastic reversal of this scaling (termed “anti-Kibble-Zurek scaling” [11]) has been reported for fast quenches, although its origin is not understood and its existence has been questioned [13].

In this work, we combine first-principles calculations and the theory of critical phenomena to provide a global picture of the Kibble-Zurek mechanism in which, by...
increasing the cooling rate, defect formation evolves from the fluctuation-dominated Ginzburg region to the mean-field regime, with the Ginzburg temperature as the energy scale for this crossover. This picture naturally encompasses features of anti-Kibble-Zurek behavior, which can emerge from the crossover between these two distinct regimes.

The possibility that a system can evolve from mean field to a borderline regime beyond mean-field behavior was already recognized in connection to inhomogeneous coolings [21]. Even earlier, the role of the Ginzburg temperature in providing a special energy scale was grasped by Kibble, even though he rather related it to the energy scale determining the formation of topological defects [1,22]. [The defect formation is actually determined by the (critical) dynamics.] Finally, continuation of defect formation after reaching the Ginzburg regime has also been demonstrated [11,13,23].

Despite all these spotlights on the difference of fluctuation regimes in relation to Kibble-Zurek physics, an overarching model interrelating these fluctuation regimes and describing the transfer in between them within the Kibble-Zurek formalism has not been developed. Here, we accomplish this, employing the series of hexagonal multiferroic manganites, $R\text{MnO}_3$, here with $R = \text{Y, Dy, Er, and Tm}$, as a model system for this investigation.

In our scanning probe measurements, both the Kibble-Zurek scaling and the anti-Kibble-Zurek behavior are demonstrated unequivocally as a general feature in hexagonal manganites. In addition, trends that we uncover by studying the $R\text{MnO}_3$ series as a whole reveal additional quantitative features suggesting that the topological defect formation is affected by supplementary ingredients beyond the original Kibble-Zurek theory. We discuss the emergence of additional time scales and length scales as likely candidates for these extra features, which can appear naturally from the propagation of the phase-transition front, the vortex-growth process, or directly from the eventual discrete nature of the corresponding symmetry breaking.

II. RESULTS

A. Preliminaries

We prepare single crystals of $\text{YMnO}_3$, $\text{DyMnO}_3$, and $\text{ErMnO}_3$ using the floating-zone (FZ) technique as described in Appendices A and B. To complete our analysis, we also consider data for $\text{TmMnO}_3$ reported in Ref. [13]. These $R\text{MnO}_3$ compounds undergo a high-temperature lattice-distortive unit-cell-trimerizing transition at $T_c^\text{Y} = 1259$ K, $T_c^\text{Dy} = 1223$ K, $T_c^\text{Er} = 1429$ K, and $T_c^\text{Tm} = 1514$ K [12,24]. By driving the systems through this structural transition, topological defects are created in the peculiar form of discrete vortices, as sketched in Fig. 1 [11–13,24–27]. These vortices correspond to particular solutions of the Landau free energy [27].

\[ F = \frac{a}{2} Q^2 + \frac{b}{4} Q^4 + \frac{1}{6} (c + c' \cos 6\Phi)Q^6 + \frac{s}{2} [(\nabla Q)^2 + Q^2 (\nabla \Phi)^2], \]  
(1)

where $Q = (Q \cos \Phi, Q \sin \Phi)$ is the primary order parameter associated with the condensation of a zone-boundary $K_3$ phonon. This condensation induces the spontaneous polarization $P \sim Q^3 \cos 3\Phi$ (with $n = 0, 1, \ldots, 5$). The polarization alternation of the resulting six trimerization-polarization domain states around the vortices...
TABLE I. Parameters of the Landau free energy Eq. (1) obtained from DFT calculations (see Appendix C). Here, $a_0$ denotes the zero-temperature value of the parameter $a$ [in the simplest case, $a = -a_0 T_c \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon = (T - T_c)/T_c$ is the reduced temperature]. The parameter $s$ in Eq. (1) corresponds to the averaged stiffness $s = (s_x^2 + s_y^2) / 3$. The zero-temperature correlation length $\xi_0$, renormalized zero-temperature correlation length $\tilde{\xi}_0$, and Ginzburg number $\text{Gi}$ are derived from these values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$a_0$ (eV/Å$^2$)</th>
<th>$b$ (eV/Å$^2$)</th>
<th>$s_x = s_y$ (eV)</th>
<th>$s_z$ (eV)</th>
<th>$\xi_0$ (Å)</th>
<th>$\tilde{\xi}_0$ (Å)</th>
<th>$\text{Gi}(s = s_x)$</th>
<th>$\text{Gi}(s = s_z)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YMnO$_3$</td>
<td>-3.2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DyMnO$_3$</td>
<td>-3.6</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ErMnO$_3$</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TmMnO$_3$</td>
<td>-3.9</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>20.18</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[see Fig. 1(c)] enables their real space imaging by piezoresponse force microscopy (PFM). The parameters of Eq. (1) for the series of hexagonal manganites calculated in this work using density functional theory (DFT) (see Appendix C) are given in Table I.

B. Quenching experiments

As detailed in Appendix B, suitable sets of samples are prepared by preannealing a larger set of samples above the respective transition temperature and selecting only those samples that reveal, for every compound separately and within statistical error, the same density of vortices under identical annealing conditions. In addition, we verify in YMnO$_3$ samples that they lose any memory of the ferroelectric preannealing domain state after exceeding $T_c$ by at least 20 K. For a cooling rate of 5 K/min, we explicitly verify that the initial temperature of the cooling produces no significant change in the domain-vortex density if the cooling starts above said value of $T_c + 20$ K. Only the cooling rate itself matters in that case. Additionally, we find that, at least up to 20 K below $T_c$, postannealing runs produce no essential change in the ferroelectric domain structure (see also Ref. [24]).

Taking all these precharacterization results into account, the subsequent cooling-rate-dependent experiments are performed by heating samples to between 40 and 210 K above $T_c$ and subjecting them to cooling rates ranging from $10^{-2}$ to $10^5$ K/min down to between 50 and 460 K below $T_c$ (details in Appendix B). To exclude surface-related effects, samples are then thinned by about 100 μm before polishing them and resolving the ferroelectric domain structure by PFM at room temperature. The resulting images are shown for DyMnO$_3$ and ErMnO$_3$ in Fig. 2; the behavior of YMnO$_3$ is similar. Both compounds exhibit the characteristic domain pattern in which meeting points
of the six trimerization-polarization domains identify the location of the topological vortex defects. DyMnO$_3$ shows an increase of vortex density $n$ up to a cooling rate of 1 K/min, followed by a striking decrease of $n$ by 2 orders of magnitude upon further increase of the cooling rate. ErMnO$_3$ displays qualitatively the same behavior, but the decrease of $n$ sets in at higher cooling rates than in DyMnO$_3$. Note that previous experiments [12,13] were performed at slower cooling rates, and so did not discover the turnaround in the slope of $n$.

The vortex density as a function of cooling rate for all four RMnO$_3$ compounds is displayed in Fig. 3, clearly revealing that both the Kibble-Zurek and the anti-Kibble-Zurek behavior are generic features of the vortex formation in the hexagonal manganites. We also find an intriguing dependence on the crystal chemistry, with larger $R^{3+}$ radius correlating with higher $n$ at a given cooling rate within the Kibble-Zurek region, as well as with a decrease of the cooling rate at which the turnaround occurs. In addition, we observe deviations from Kibble-Zurek scaling in the ultraslow-cooling regime to which we return in Sec. III. Note that variations of the temperature at which we begin and end the controlled cooling above and below $T_c$, respectively, according to Appendix B, have no influence on the data. This becomes particularly clear by the similarity of the data for YMnO$_3$ and DyMnO$_3$ for which the controlled cooling is maintained down to 500 and 50 K, respectively, below $T_c$. This confirms that the temperature intervals of the quenchings are wide enough to observe Kibble-Zurek physics.

### C. Global Kibble-Zurek mechanism

We now discuss the origin of the turnaround between Kibble-Zurek and anti-Kibble-Zurek behavior. According to the Kibble-Zurek mechanism, the vortices are expected to emerge from critical fluctuations with a density that is essentially determined by the rate of cooling through the phase transition and the critical slowing-down of the system [28]. When the relaxation of the order parameter becomes slower than the changes introduced by the quenching, the thermal fluctuations freeze out and give rise to a nonequilibrated order-parameter distribution.

We first revise this picture by making a distinction between two fluctuation regimes, namely, the Gaussian (about mean-field) and the Ginzburg (or scaling) regimes [29–31]. Roughly speaking, fluctuations are assumed to be noninteracting fluctuations in the Gaussian approximation while their interaction becomes crucial and controls the critical properties in the Ginzburg regime. These fluctuations determine the vortex density $n$ expected according to the Kibble-Zurek hypothesis as

$$n \sim \frac{1}{\xi^2(t_\epsilon)} = \frac{1}{\xi_0^2} \left(\frac{t_\epsilon}{\tau_q}\right)^{2\nu/(1+2\nu)}.$$  (2)

Here, $\xi(t_\epsilon)$ is the coherence length at the freeze-out time $t_\epsilon$, which in turn is the time at which the quenching process becomes faster than the relaxation of the order parameter $\tau$.

For a linear quench with $T(t) = (1 - t/\tau_q)T_c$, where $\tau_q$ is the characteristic time set by the cooling rate $r = T_c/\tau_q^{-1}$, the freeze-out time is given by $t_\epsilon \sim (\tau_0 \tau_q^{-1})^{1/(1+2\nu)}$ [2,20]. Here, $\nu$ and $\nu$ are the dynamical critical exponent and the critical exponent for the correlation length according to $\tau(e) = \tau_0/|e|^\nu$ and $\xi(e) = \xi_0/|e|^\nu$, where $e = (T - T_c)/T_c$ is the (time-dependent) reduced temperature [32–34].

We see in Eq. (2) that the precise form of the Kibble-Zurek scaling is fundamentally related to the nature of the critical fluctuations at the freeze-out. In the mean-field regime the critical exponents are $\nu = 1/2$ and $\nu = 2$, which leads to a mean-field Kibble-Zurek exponent $2\nu/(1 + 2\nu) = 1/2$. In addition, the microscopic correlation length is $\xi_0 = \sqrt{s}/a_0$ in terms of the Landau free-energy parameters in Eq. (1) with the expansion $a = -a_0 T_c e$. On the other hand, the critical exponents for Eq. (1) in the Ginzburg regime take the values $\nu = 0.672$ and $\nu = 2$ of the 3D $XY$ model [13,24,35,36]. As a result, the Kibble-Zurek exponent becomes $2\nu/(1 + 2\nu) = 0.58$. The onset of interaction between fluctuations characterizing the transition to the Ginzburg regime modifies...
not only the critical exponents but also “microscopic” parameters such as $\xi_0$, which becomes

$$\bar{s}_0 = \left( \frac{5bk_BT}{\pi^2s^2} \xi_0 \right)^{2v/5} \xi_0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\bar{s}$ is the relevant parameter determining the gradient stiffness (see Appendix D).

The crossover between the mean-field and Ginzburg regimes is defined by the Ginzburg-Levanyuk criterion as the point at which the order-parameter fluctuations in a correlation volume of size $s^3$ reach the magnitude of the order parameter itself [29–31]. This crossover can be more accurately estimated from direct observables such as the specific heat. Thus, with Eq. (1) one obtains the so-called Ginzburg-Levanyuk number $Gi = \varepsilon_{\text{crossover}} = (bk_BT_c)^2/(4\pi^2a_0^3)$ [30].

This has to be compared with the reduced freeze-out temperature $e(t_c) = (t_c/t_0)^{1/(1+\nu_z)}$ to determine the formation of vortices in the Kibble-Zurek picture. At slow cooling rates, where $e(t_c) < Gi$, the Kibble-Zurek mechanism probes the fluctuation-dominated Ginzburg region. However, as the cooling rate increases, the freeze-out eventually occurs so far from $T_c$ that $e(t_c) > Gi$ and, hence, the Kibble-Zurek physics emerges from Gaussian fluctuations in the mean-field regime. The critical exponents and the microscopic parameters then change accordingly.

The expected crossover for a system described by Eq. (1) is illustrated in Fig. 4. Since the Kibble-Zurek exponent $2v/(1+\nu_z)$ is larger in the Ginzburg regime than in the mean-field regime, an offset between the related lines in the double-logarithmic plot is expected. The density of defects can then be expected to show a dropdown if the transition from the Ginzburg to the mean field is sufficiently abrupt (see Fig. 4, red solid line, in contrast to the softer transitions shown as red broken lines). The observed scenario eventually depends on the particular system under consideration.

The values for $Gi$ obtained from DFT calculations (see Table I) justify the slow-cooling scenario of the Ginzburg regime with its value of 0.58 for the Kibble-Zurek exponent. Note that the $Gi$ values in Table I are unusually large (see, e.g., Ref. [37]) and could imply unphysically high crossover temperatures. Smaller, possibly more realistic values of $Gi$ are obtained if we assume that the relevant stiffness controlling the fluctuations is $s_z$ instead of the averaged value $s$, which may be justified in view of the anisotropy of our system. This replacement would also reduce the resulting crossover temperature. Thus, the expected crossover in the Kibble-Zurek scaling is fully compatible with the dropdown observed in our experiments.

Two additional effects determine the relative position of the Ginzburg and mean-field asymptotic lines in Fig. 4. On the one hand, we see in Table I that the hexagonal manganites have a consistently larger correlation length $\xi_{0s}$ in the Ginzburg than in the mean-field regime, $\xi_0$. This should tend to reduce the offset shown in Fig. 4 and could even lead to a crossing of the Ginzburg and mean-field graphs (Fig. 4, inset), along with a smooth transfer between the regimes as indicated by the line. On the other hand, a central factor discriminating between the two scenarios is the renormalization of the relaxation time $\tau_0$. Equation (3) shows that the correlation length increases in the Ginzburg regime, and with this we expect the relaxation time $\tau_0$ to go up. Hence, the dropdown scenario is the more likely one, providing an explanation for our experimental results in Figs. 2 and 3. We expect that experiments at higher quench rates, which we have not yet been able to access, should show a second turnaround, as vortex densities begin once again to increase with quench rate following a mean-field scaling.

Note that, in the seemingly unrelated case of a quantum phase transition driven by a noisy control parameter, the possibility of a dropdown has also been pointed out [38]. The analogy between this system and our system is that, in both cases, the departure from the Kibble-Zurek scaling is due to the running of the critical exponents—which, in Ref. [38], change from their nominal to their noise-limited value.

**III. DISCUSSION**

We first discuss the deviations observed in our ErMnO$_3$ samples in the ultraslow-cooling regime. As shown in Fig. 3, here the vortex density increases but without reaching expected value. Such behavior was already noticed for other systems [4,14,39] and attributed to vortex-antivortex annihilation. This explanation is consistent with our finding that the falloff is largest at very slow...
cooling, when the time spent close to $T_c$ is large. It is also consistent with its absence in the flux-grown samples of Ref. [12]; our samples are grown using the floating-zone method, which we expect to have distinctly different stoichiometric modifications. We indeed observe that the mobility of vortices below $T_c$ can vary substantially among samples depending on the annealing gas atmosphere.

We now analyze the pronounced trends with the chemistry across the $R\text{MnO}_3$ series that are clear in Fig. 3. In particular, the vortex density for a given cooling rate in the slow-cooling regime increases by 3 orders of magnitude from Tm to Dy, i.e., with decreasing slow-cooling regime increases by 3 orders of magnitude. Particular, the vortex density for a given cooling rate in the fluctuations (see Table I) indicate that the mean-field value for $\xi_0$ is essentially the same in the four systems, as is the renormalized value $\bar{\xi}_0 (= 1.3\xi_0)$ in the fluctuation regime. Therefore, to obtain a factor $\sim 10^3$ in the vortex density in either the conventional mean-field or fluctuation-dominated Kibble-Zurek picture, $\tau_0$ would need to change by an unphysical factor of $\sim 10^6$. Strictly speaking, the renormalization of $\xi_0$ should be computed at the freeze-out temperature $T_r = [1 + (\tau_0/\tau_0^{(1 + z)})]T_c$. However, this does not help us to reconcile the differences, since to increase the vortex density by the observed factor $10^3$, the freeze-out temperature would have to reach $T_r \sim 100T_c \gtrsim 10^5$ K. This is again unphysical. We thus see that the vortex formation in the $R\text{MnO}_3$ systems displays quantitative features challenging the interpretation in terms of the original Kibble-Zurek mechanism. Specifically, the trend with chemistry does not fit with the standard scenario, even with the role of critical fluctuations beyond the mean-field description fully taken into account.

Another trend in Fig. 3 is the several-orders-of-magnitude shift of the cooling rate at which the departure from the initial Kibble-Zurek scaling occurs and which is not predicted by the calculated values for $G_i$ in Table I. Specifically, the $G_i$ number turns out to be essentially constant across the $R\text{MnO}_3$ series even if it has the usual strong dependence on the relevant gradient parameter. At the same time, it is striking that the huge spread of the vortex density in the Kibble-Zurek range is substantially reduced in the anti-Kibble-Zurek regime (from 1000 to 4), and, in fact, almost restores the approximate $R$ independence expected from Table I.

At least in part, extrinsic factors may be responsible for these unexpected trends with chemistry. Temperature-dependent processes related to chemical or mechanical impurities could affect the vortex formation differently across the $R\text{MnO}_3$ series, simply because of the substantial increase of $T_c$ from Dy to Tm. In addition, the chemical and mechanical quality of the samples may change with $R$. DyMnO$_3$, in particular, is much harder to grow hexagonally than, e.g., TmMnO$_3$ because of the closer proximity of the competing perovskite phase. In fact, we find that batches of the same material grown under slightly different conditions can show, at a specific cooling rate, a vortex-density spread up to factor 4 with overall trends with cooling rate like in Fig. 3 (see Appendix B).

These factors can modify, in particular, the thermal conductivity of the samples. This conductivity is another ingredient limiting the overall thermalization of the system, and is such that the instantaneous temperature can additionally be position dependent across the sample. If this happens, the local transitions will not be simultaneous during the experiments. Instead, there will be a phase-transition front propagating at the speed $v_f = [(dx)/(dt)][T(x,t) = T_c$, which needs to be computed with the characteristic speed $v_c = \xi_0(\epsilon)/\epsilon = (\xi_0/\tau_0)|e|^2$ of the order-parameter relaxation [40–43]. Thus, if the front propagates much slower than the order-parameter perturbations, the formation defects can be heavily suppressed in a composition-dependent fashion.

Finally, we briefly discuss other possible origins of the anti-Kibble-Zurek behavior. The thermal conductivity could be one of them since, according to the above, a maximum in the vortex density can be expected at $v_f = v_c$, followed by a quick decrease as the cooling rate (and, hence, $v_f$) increases. On the other hand, the reversal from Kibble-Zurek to anti-Kibble-Zurek scaling has also been demonstrated in a recent Bose-Einstein-condensate transition experiment [15]. In that case, it has been ascribed to vortex-vortex repulsive interactions. Such interactions could also play a role in our $R\text{MnO}_3$ systems, which certainly display a rather dense vortex pattern in the crossover region (see Fig. 2). In addition, there are additional time scales that can become relevant in the problem. The most obvious one is the time scale needed to obtain a fully developed vortex from the initial seed. Indeed, by increasing the cooling rate, this process can be expected to be gradually suppressed—simply because the phonons will not have time to propagate the order-parameter perturbations [44]—which will eventually lead to a decrease in the vortex density.

Thus, the overall trend in Fig. 3 may still be largely determined by intrinsic properties, and, hence, directly related to the corresponding universality class. In this regard, the discrete nature of the symmetry breaking can play a crucial role, especially if, as has been repeatedly underlined (see, e.g., Refs. [45–47]), the defect formation is decided after crossing the transition temperature. In fact, a generic feature of $\mathbb{Z}_6$ models like Eq. (1) is the emergence of a second correlation length below $T_c$ associated with the phase of the order parameter supplanting that of its amplitude [24]. This, however, is missed in the usual Kibble-Zurek mechanism formulated for $U(1)$ models. This second correlation length diverges faster than the standard correlation length [24]. Thus, if the critical slowing-down of the phase itself becomes a dominant process, the vortex density might become affected in a substantial way.
IV. CONCLUSION

In summary, we show theoretically that the Kibble-Zurek picture inherently includes a transfer from the asymptotic scaling, where the system is well inside the Ginzburg region, to mean-field scaling, where fluctuations represent small perturbations. This transfer is general since all phase transitions, from the interatomic to the cosmological scale, are formally expected to undergo such a crossover. We match our predictions with the experimental behavior in the series of hexagonal manganites and show that this crossover can be behind the striking reversal of the topological defect density as a function of the cooling rate (anti-Kibble-Zurek scaling). In addition, using density functional theory, we quantify the expected Kibble-Zurek behavior across the R
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powders is pre-reacted in an alumina crucible overnight up to 1250 °C (dwell time 4 h) under air. The sintered powder is then ground and pressed into rods which are sintered for 4 h at 1310 °C under air. Next, the polycrystalline sintered rods are processed by floating-zone melting in a Cyberstar mirror furnace under flowing argon (99.999\%, flow rate 24 liter/h) and with a zone speed or growth rate of 8 mm/h.

APPENDIX B: SAMPLE PREPARATION

Samples are grown at ETH (DyMnO

3

), PSI (YMnO

3

), and Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (ErMnO

3

) by the FPZ technique as described in Appendix A and elsewhere [49–51]. Rods are oriented by Laue diffraction and cut into z-oriented platelets of a few mm lateral size and a thickness of about 0.7 mm.

For minimizing sample-dependent drifts in measurements of the cooling-rate-dependent ferroelectric domain vertex density, all samples of a batch are preannealed under identical conditions by heating above \( T_c \) for several hours.
(YMnO$_3$, 1400 K; DyMnO$_3$, 1270 K; ErMnO$_3$, 1470 K) and cooling through $T_c$ at a rate of 5 K/min. To reveal the intrinsic 3D bulk domain structure and suppress surface-dependent effects, samples are then thinned by at least 100 $\mu$m by lapping with Al$_2$O$_3$ powder. This is followed by etch polishing with a silica slurry, revealing shiny surfaces with a root-mean-square roughness below 1 nm before determining the vortex density by PFM.

The PFM characterization of the preannealed specimens reveals that the vortex density changes systematically with the location in the original FZ-grown rod from which the samples are extracted. A variation of up to a factor 2 was observed for a distance of 10 mm along the growth direction of the rod. As described in the main text, we therefore preselect only those samples that reveal, within statistical error, the same density of vortices under identical annealing conditions.

The quench experiments are performed like the preannealing experiments but with a dwell time above $T_c$ of few tens of minutes and varying cooling rate through $T_c$. In order to avoid accumulation of chemical drift occurring during the quench cycles, each data point is gained during the quench cycles, each data point is gained.

To extract the parameters in the Landau free energy, Eq. (1), we first fully relax the electrons in the pseudopotential cores. To extract the projector-augmented wave method as implemented in the ABINIT code [52–55]. We use a plane-wave cutoff of 30 Ry and a $6 \times 6 \times 2$ k-point grid. To take into account correlation effects on the Mn atoms, we use the LDA + U method within the fully localized limit method as introduced in Refs. [56, 57]. We choose a value of $U$ of 8 eV and $J = 0.88$ eV. For all our calculations we adopt an A-type magnetic ordering of the Mn ions and freeze the rare-earth $f$ electrons in the pseudopotential cores. To extract the parameters in the Landau free energy, Eq. (1), we first fully relax the $P6_3/mmc$ structure to an accuracy of $10^{-6}$ Ry/bohr. We find unit-cell volumes of 364.73, 364.42, 367.50, and 353.00 Å$^3$ for YMnO$_3$, DyMnO$_3$, ErMnO$_3$, and TmMnO$_3$, respectively. We then calculate the force constants using the finite displacement method and extract the eigenvectors of the force constant matrix. We then gradually freeze in the eigenvector of the unstable $K_3$ mode and fit a sixth-order polynomial to extract the $a_0$ and $b$ terms. To calculate the gradient term $s$ we exploit the fact that in $q$ space $s(\nabla Q)^2$ reduces to $s^2|Q_q|^2$ and $s$ can then be obtained by fitting a parabola to the corresponding branch of the force constant dispersion, as shown in Ref. [27]. We note that the values of the parameters are dependent on the choice of exchange-correlation functional because $a$ scales quadratically and $b$ to the fourth power with the lattice constant. The trends across the series, however, are robust to the computational details.

**APPENDIX D: DERIVATION OF EQ. (3)**

Here, we derive Eq. (3) for the renormalized correlation length in the Ginzburg region.

First, we note that due to the actual anisotropy of the gradient term in Eq. (1), the integrals in Fourier space become

$$\int d^3k f(\mathbf{q}^2) = \frac{1}{s^{3/2}} \int d^3q f(q^2) \rightarrow \int d^3k f(s, k_1^2, s, k_2^2, s, k_3^2) = \frac{1}{(s^{3/2})^{1/2}} \int d^3q f(q_1^2, q_2^2, q_3^2).$$

This defines $s = (s^2k^2)^{1/3}$ as the appropriate mean for the gradient coefficient, which we use in the following.

To derive Eq. (3) we follow Larkin and Varlamov [30]. Thus, we obtain the renormalization-group equations,

$$\frac{\partial a(T, \Lambda)}{\partial \Lambda} = -k_BT\mu_D \frac{b(\Lambda)\Lambda^{D-1}}{2(\Lambda + \Lambda^2)}; \quad (D1)$$

$$\frac{\partial b(T, \Lambda)}{\partial \Lambda} = \frac{5k_BT\mu_D}{4} \frac{b^2(\Lambda)\Lambda^{D-1}}{(\Lambda/2 + \Lambda^2)^2}; \quad (D2)$$

for the parameters in the Landau free energy Eq. (1) (here and hereafter, $s$ has to be understood as $s$). Here, $\mu_D = D/[2D_D^{1/2}]$, where $D$ is the dimensionality of the problem ($D = 3$ in our case). Strictly speaking, these equations are valid close enough to $T_c$ in the Ginzburg region for $D \rightarrow 4$. Nevertheless, the critical behavior of our 3D system can be studied from these equations by means of the so-called $\epsilon$ expansion [30].

The generalized correlation length is defined by the equation

$$\xi^{-2}(\Lambda) = \frac{\alpha(T_c, \xi^{-1}(\Lambda))}{s} T_c e, \quad (D3)$$

where $\alpha$ is determined from the expansion $a(T, \Lambda) = a(T_c, \Lambda) + a(T_c, \Lambda)T_c e$. For this latter quantity, the self-consistent solution of the renormalization-group equations gives [30]

$$a(T_c, \Lambda) = a_0 \left[1 + \frac{10k_BT\mu_D}{s(4-D)}(\Lambda^{D-4} - 2^{D-4})\right]^{-2/5}. \quad (D4)$$

As $T \rightarrow T_c$ the right-hand side of this expression is dominated by the term containing $\Lambda^{D-4}$. Accordingly,
(T_c, \xi^{-1}) \approx \alpha_0 \left\{ \frac{10k_B T \mu_D}{|s|^2 (4 - D)} \right\}^{-2/5} \xi^{2(D-4)/5} \text{ and, therefore,}

\xi^{-2} \approx \frac{\alpha_0 T_c}{s} \left( \frac{10k_B T \mu_D}{s^2 (4 - D)} \right)^{-2/5} \xi^{2(D-4)/5} e. \quad (D5)

By means of simple manipulations, this equation can be transformed into

\xi = \xi_0 \left( \frac{10k_B T \mu_D \xi_0^{2(D-4)}}{s^2 (4 - D)} \right)^{1/(1+D)} e^{-\nu}, \quad (D6)

where \nu = 1/[2(1-(4-D)/5)]. Thus, applying this result to the 3D case, we obtain

\xi = \xi_0 \left( \frac{5k_B T b_s}{\pi^3 s^3} \xi_0 \right)^{2e/5} e^{-\nu}, \quad (D7)

with \nu = 3/5. Equation (3) directly follows from this expression.


