Unravelling key controls on the rift climax to post-rift fill of marine rift basins: insights from 3D seismic analysis of the Lower Cretaceous of the Hammerfest Basin, SW Barents Sea
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ABSTRACT

In this study, we investigate key factors controlling the rift climax to post-rift marine basin fill. We use two- and three-dimensional seismic data in combination with sedimentological core descriptions from the Hammerfest Basin, south-western Barents Sea to characterize and analyse the tectonostratigraphy and seismic facies of the Lower Cretaceous succession. Based on our biostratigraphic analyses, the investigated seismic facies are correlated to 5–10 million year duration sequences that make up the stratigraphic framework of the basin fill. The seismic facies suggest the basin fill was deposited in shallow to deep-marine conditions. During rift climax in Volgian/Berriasian to Barremian times, a fully linked fault array controlled the formation of slope systems consisting of gravity flow deposits along the southern margin of the basin. Renewed uplift of the Loppa High north of the basin provided coarse-grained sediments for fan deltas and shorelines that developed along the northern basin margin. During the early to middle late Aptian, the input of coarse-grained sediments occurred mainly in the NW and SW corners of the basin, reflecting renewed uplift-induced topography in the western flank of the Loppa High and along the western Finnmark Platform. The lower Albian part of the basin fill is interpreted as a post-rift succession, where the remnant topography associated with the Finnmark Platform continued to provide sediments to prograding fan deltas and adjacent shorelines. During the Albian, a series of faults were reactivated in the northern part of the basin, and footwall wedges comprising various gravity flow deposits occur along these faults. During the latest Albian to Cenomanian, the south-eastern part of the Loppa High was flooded by a rise in eustatic sea-level and differential subsidence. However, the western part of the high remained exposed and acted as a sediment source for a shelf-margin system prograding towards the SE. It is concluded that the rift climax succession is controlled by: along strike variability of throw and steps of the main bounding faults; the diachronous movement of the faults; and the nature of the feeder system. The evolution of the post-rift succession may be controlled by rifting in adjacent basins which preferentially renew sources of sediments; local reactivation of faults; and local remnant topography of the basin flanks. We suggest that existing tectonostratigraphic models for rift basins should be updated, to incorporate a more regional perspective and integrating variables such as the influence of adjacent rift systems.
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INTRODUCTION

Marine rift basins are commonly prolific for hydrocarbons because of their large preservation potential of all the elements in a potential petroleum system (e.g. seal, reservoir and source rocks) (Gawthorpe & Leeder, 2000). The prediction of facies related to source rocks, and particular reservoir rocks and their lateral and vertical continuity is challenging, because depositional environments in rift basins may range from continental to deep-marine environments due to the contrast in topography along the faults (Ravnás & Steel, 1998). The infill of marine rift basins is controlled by several variables: climate, eustatic sea-level, subsidence, drainage evolution, footwall lithology, nature of the feeder system (e.g. point source, multiple source or linear source), variability along the strike of the faults and basin physiography (Stow et al., 1996; Ravnás & Steel, 1998; Allen & Densmore, 2000; Gawthorpe & Leeder, 2000; McArthur et al., 2013; Somme et al., 2013; Elliott et al., 2017). Some of these variables are determined by the evolution of fault propagation, which typically depends on the stage of the rift evolution (Cowie et al., 2000; Gawthorpe & Leeder, 2000). A single rift phase is constituted by rift initiation and rift climax, followed by a post-rift phase (Prosser, 1993). The rift initiation is characterized by several small and isolated basins with low rates of subsidence as a result of strain being distributed along many minor faults (Prosser, 1993; Gupta et al., 1998; Cowie et al., 2000; Gawthorpe & Leeder, 2000). Rift climax is characterized by fully linked faults, where deformation is concentrated over the major faults (Gupta et al., 1998; Cowie et al., 2000; Gawthorpe & Leeder, 2000; Leppard & Gawthorpe, 2006). Subsidence commonly outpaces sedimentation, resulting in the deposition of deep-marine mudstones with localized coarse clastic wedges deposited close to the footwall area (Leppard & Gawthorpe, 2006). Coarse-grained sediments have been described at the base of the fault scarp in slope aprons, slumps and slides, talus and coarse-grained aggradational or progradational fan deltas (Surlyk, 1978, 1989; Stow et al., 1996; Gawthorpe et al., 1997; Leppard & Gawthorpe, 2006; Larsen et al., 2010; Henstra et al., 2016; Elliott et al., 2017). Progradation tends to occur with low accommodation or high sediment supply or a combination of these factors (Gawthorpe & Leeder, 2000). The boundary between the syn-rift to post-rift stages can be diachronous and is marked by the end of the faulting and thermal contraction subsidence influence (Prosser, 1993; Nottvedt et al., 1995; Gabrielsen et al., 2001; Zachariah et al., 2009). The post-rift phase is usually divided into 1) an early post-rift phase, where wedge geometries are commonly developed associated with remnant topography inherited from the rift phase (Prosser, 1993; Nottvedt et al., 1995; Zachariah et al., 2009) and 2) a late post-rift period, where the continued erosion of the footwall crest leads to a reduction in the topographic highs which usually yields finer grain-size sediments (Prosser, 1993). Much effort has been made to understand the variables controlling the sedimentation in rift systems (e.g. Prosser, 1993; Nottvedt et al., 1995; Gupta et al., 1998; Ravnás & Steel, 1998; Cowie et al., 2000; Gawthorpe & Leeder, 2000; Gabrielsen et al., 2001; Leppard & Gawthorpe, 2006; Zachariah et al., 2009; McArthur et al., 2013; Elliott et al., 2017). In addition, tectonostratigraphic models have been developed for single rift systems (Gawthorpe & Leeder, 2000), and more recently updated to include multiphase rifts (Henstra et al., 2017). However, variables such as the influence of adjacent rift systems in the post-rift evolution have been poorly documented.

The Hammerfest Basin is located in the south-western Barents Sea (Fig. 1). The basin experienced rifting during the Late Jurassic—Early Cretaceous times (Berglund et al., 1986; Gabrielsen et al., 1990; Faleide et al., 1993), but did not evolve to break-up. Lower Cretaceous clastic wedges deposited during this rift event are considered a play model in the area (Seldal, 2005; NPD, 2017); oil and gas discoveries (e.g. wells 7120/2-3S, 7120/1-2) and rocks with reservoir potential (e.g. wells 7120/10-2, 7120/6-3S and 7122/2-1) have been found (Seldal, 2005; NPD, 2017). Previous studies in the Hammerfest Basin have analysed the Lower Cretaceous succession in isolation, and no systematic tectonostratigraphic framework has been built in order to map the temporal and spatial variations of the syn-rift to post-rift sequences. There are few published sedimentological descriptions of the wedges (see Sandvik, 2014 for core descriptions of wells 7120/1-2 and 7120/2-2 located in the north-western part of the basin). Neither their internal architecture, lateral variability nor their ages have been characterized for the entire basin. In addition, there are large uncertainties regarding their depositional environments. Some of the wedges have been described as shallow marine (wells 7120/1-2 and 7120/2-2) or fan deltas (well 7120/2-2), whereas others have been interpreted as distal turbidite systems (wells 7120/12-1 and 7120/10-1) (Knutsen et al., 2000; Seldal, 2005; Sattar, 2008; Sandvik, 2014). This reflects the complex distribution of facies in marine rift basins.

Aim of the study

The main aims of this study are as follows: 1) to use tectonostratigraphy and characterization of seismic facies to understand the factors controlling the rift climax to post-rift basin fill. Particularly to investigate how rifting in adjacent basins may control the evolution of the post-rift succession; 2) to describe and interpret the stratigraphic architecture of the Lower Cretaceous succession of the Hammerfest Basin and variability in depositional
environments with respect to the recognized stages of rifting; and 3) to improve the age control of the seismic facies and correlate them within a basin wide stratigraphic framework (Marín et al., 2017). This is achieved by combining two- and three-dimensional seismic data with wireline logs, core data and dinoflagellate cyst (dinocyst) biostratigraphy. Seismic facies analysis formed the basis for the sedimentological interpretations. Where available, well control and cored intervals aided our interpretations. The range of the seismic facies described here helps to elucidate the distribution and the origin of the Lower Cretaceous sandstones in the Hammerfest Basin and can be used for facies prediction in areas with challenging geophysical imaging (e.g. presalt section).

GEOLOGICAL SETTING
Tectonic framework

The Hammerfest Basin is a symmetric and elongated ENE–WSW-striking basin. The southern border towards the Finnmark Platform is defined by the Troms–Finnmark Fault Complex (TFFC), (Fig. 1) (Sund et al., 1986; Gabrielsen et al., 1990). The north-western boundary is marked by the Asterias Fault Complex (AFC), which separates the basin from the Loppa High. The western boundary with the Tromso Basin is marked by the Ringvassøy-Loppa Fault Complex (RLFC), and the eastern boundary towards the Bjarmeland Platform is not faulted (Figs 1 and 2) (Gabrielsen et al., 1990).

During the Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous, the basin experienced extension (Berglund et al., 1986; Gabrielsen et al., 1990; Faleide et al., 1993). Some of the faults formed in this event were conditioned by the structures of the Caledonian basement (Gabrielsen et al., 1990; Doré, 1991). A gentle central high was formed during the Late Jurassic–Early Cretaceous in the western part of the basin, as a flexural rollover due to the activity of the AFC and TFFC (Fig. 2a) (Berglund et al., 1986; Sund et al., 1986; Gabrielsen et al., 1990; Faleide et al., 1993; Larssen et al., 2002). The eastern part of the basin is interpreted as a sag basin, with a monocline in the north-eastern boundary with the Loppa High (Gabrielsen et al., 1990). β-Factors of 1.8 or 3 have been calculated for the neighbouring Bjørnøya Basin (Clark et al., 2014) and <1.3 for the Hammerfest Basin for the Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous rift event (Leknes, 2008). A local compression during the earliest Cretaceous has been suggested for the AFC in the north-western part of the basin, forming a local high and along the TFFC (Fig. 2a) (Berglund et al., 1986; Sund et al., 1986; Gabrielsen et al., 1990; Indrevær et al., 2016). The compression has been interpreted as a result of strike slip movements (Berglund et al., 1986; Sund et al., 1986; Gabrielsen et al., 1990) or as a localized inversion due to differential uplift of the Loppa High (Indrevær et al., 2016). Moreover, three Cretaceous extensional phases (Berrissian–Valanganian, Hauterivian–Barremian and Aptian–Albian) have been interpreted for the adjacent Tromsø Basin (Faleide et al., 1993). Faleide et al. (1993) described that the Kolmule Formation thins...
in proximity to the RLFC, suggesting an influence of the Aptian event in the Hammerfest Basin.

**Stratigraphy**

During the latest Volgian to earliest Valanginian, a regional unconformity (and its correlative surface) known as the Base Cretaceous Unconformity (BCU) was formed in the Barents Sea (Fig. 3b) (Arhus et al., 1990; Lundin & Doré, 1997; Mørk et al., 1999). The Lower Cretaceous succession in the Hammerfest Basin is divided into three formations: Knurr, Kolje and Kolmule formations, which consist of claystone with minor limestone and sandstone interbeds deposited in an open-marine environment (Fig. 3) (Dalland et al., 1988; Mørk et al., 1999). Laterally, discontinuous sandstone beds and conglomerate packages have been identified in the Knurr and Kolmule formations forming wedges along the margins of the Hammerfest Basin (Mørk et al., 1999; Seldal, 2005), suggesting a major variability in the depositional environment. The depositional setting for these wedges is interpreted to be submarine fans in the south-western part of the study area (Seldal, 2005) and mainly offshore transition to continental for the wells 7120/1-2 and 7120/2-2 in the north-western part of the basin (Fig. 1) (Sandvik, 2014). Due to the lateral variability of the facies, uncertainties in the correlation of formations and the limited age control in the Lower Cretaceous succession, a sequence stratigraphic framework of seven genetic sequences (S0-S6) bound by flooding surfaces (sensu Galloway, 1989) is used in this study for well and seismic correlations (Fig. 3) (Marín et al., 2017). The sequences are defined using stacking patterns in the Gamma Ray (GR) logs and lap terminations on seismic data, and their boundaries are marked by downlaps and high GR values (Fig. 3a). The sequences represent a time span of 5–10 million years and can be correlated through all the basin and in areas such as the eastern Barents Sea and partially

Fig. 2. Regional seismic sections showing the seven genetic sequences (S0 to S6) interpreted in this study. (a) Section located in the western part of the Hammerfest Basin. Note the location of the central high, the structural high associated with the Asterias Fault Complex (AFC) and the Troms-Finnmark Fault Complex (TFFC) to the south. Note that the central part of the basin is less faulted and have a gentler gradient than in the west. Note that S5 and S6 were deposited in the SE part of the Loppa High. (b) Section located in the eastern part of the basin. (c) Section located in the northern part of the basin. Note the migration of the depocenters to the east. (d) Lower Cretaceous time thickness map with the main active faults.
with Svalbard (Grundvåg et al., 2017 and Marín et al., 2017). They are also partially comparable with the North Atlantic cycles described by Jacquin et al. (1998). These sequences are interpreted as being controlled by regional factors, although locally modified by the fault activity in the Hammerfest Basin, as pointed out by Sneider et al. (1995) for the North Sea. The oldest sequences, 0 and 1 (S0 and S1; Boreal Berriasian/Volgian–Valanginian and Hauterivian–early Barremian), are approximate time correlative with the Knurr Formation. Sequence 2 (S2; early Aptian–middle late Aptian age) is partially time correlative with the Kolje Formation, and the youngest sequences 3–6 (S3–S6; Albian–Cenomanian) are approximate time correlative with the Kolmule Formation (Fig. 3a).

METHODS

Two- and three-dimensional, conventional reflection seismic data covering the Hammerfest Basin were provided by the Norwegian DISKOS database (Fig. 1). The seismic data quality varies and has frequencies ranging from 10 to 50 Hz. A total of 12 wells with a full suite of logs were included in this study (Fig. 1). Detailed sedimentological log description for intervals of six available cores is presented (7120/2-2, 7120/2-1, 7120/2-3S, 7120/6-3S, 7120/1-2 and 7120/10-2).

In this study, the age control for the three oldest sequences (i.e. S0–S2) is improved (cf. Marín et al., 2017). Furthermore, a biostratigraphical framework for the four youngest sequences (i.e. S3–S6) is provided here. To achieve this, dinocyst analysis on samples collected from wells 7121/5-2 (S0–S6) and 7122/2-1 (S0) was performed (Fig. 3). Samples from well 7122/2-1 were collected from a sediment core. Palynological slides from well 7121/5-2 were prepared from mainly ditch cutting and only few sidewall core samples. Palynological slides from well 7122/2-1 and the upper part of the 7121/5-2 well were prepared at the Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland (GEUS) following methods described by Nøhr-Hansen (2012).

The age frame is tied to the seismic with synthetic seismograms (Fig. 3c). Time thickness maps and seismic facies are described for each sequence. The seismic facies description and interpretation is based on information such as: foreset angles, presence or absence of topsets and bottomsets, external geometries (e.g. mound, wedges), internal configurations (e.g.

![Fig. 3. (a) Well correlation showing the seven sequences and their defined stacking patterns. Formation ages from Mørk et al. (1999). Wedges are observed in most of the sequences in different areas of the basin; (b) structural map of the BCU; (c) synthetic seismogram for well 7121/5-1.](image)
chaotic, continuous reflectors), amplitude and continuity of the reflectors. Some of the seismic lines with cliniforms are converted to depth and decompacted (for details, see Marín et al., 2017) in order to get the original depositional approximate geometry (Deibert et al., 2003; Salazar et al., 2015). The sedimentological interpretation of the seismic facies is constrained by cores and GR logs.

In this study, we refer to the full fan-shaped geometry composed of different architectural elements as submarine fans, whereas lobe is referred to as the down-dip part of the submarine fan formed at the end of a channel (Normark, 1978; Walker, 1978; Stow et al., 1996; Grundvåg et al., 2014).

RESULTS

Age model

Dinocysts from sequence 0 (S0) were studied from the sediment core in well 7122/2-1. The basal part of S0 yields (possibly reworked) late Early to early Middle Jurassic dinocysts, such as Nannoceratopsis gracilis, Susadinium sp., Susadinium scofoides and Parvacysta nasuta. The upper part of S0 in 7122/2-1 yields Pseudoceratium anaphrissum which suggests an early Barremian age. The dinocyst assemblage from the base of S0 in well 7121/5-2 is similar to the assemblage from the Barents Sea described by Arhus et al. (1990) and dated to the Boreal Berriasian/Volgian. The middle and upper parts of S0 in 7121/5-2 are of Valanginian age. In Marín et al. (2017), the middle and the upper parts of S0 in the 7120/10-2 well were tentatively dated as latest Ryazanian to Valanginian or younger. In the same area, APTEC (2007) observed palynomorphs from Norian–Rhaetian, Late Callovian–Middle Oxfordian and Late Pliensbachian to Early Bajocian and interpreted them as reworked. Summarizing all observations, S0 is dated to Boreal Berriasian/ Volgian–Valanginian or to early Barremian. The sequence is also characterized by a significant degree of reworking.

In contrast to the material analysed in Marín et al. (2017), the dinocysts from sequence 1 (S1) in the well 7121/5-2 are abundant and diverse. The most characteristic dinocysts are Batioladinium longicornutum and Pseudoceratium anaphrissum. Dinocyst assemblages narrow the age frame for the sequence and suggest that the base of S1 is of upper Hauterivian age, whereas the middle and upper part is early Barremian. In Marín et al. (2017), only the middle part of sequence 2 (S2) was studied for biostratigraphy and tentatively dated to middle late Aptian. Dinocyst assemblages from S2 in 7121/5-2 yield, for example, Circulodinium brevispinosum. This suggests that S2 is of early Aptian to earliest Albian age. Within sequence 3 (S3), the dinocyst preservation is moderate and the diversity is rather low. The assemblages yield dinocysts with long ranges. The best age constraint is given by the single SWC sample from the middle part of the sequence, which yields Surculospheeridium longifurcatum. In the Boreal realm, the first appearance of the species is dated to 111.16 Ma, (i.e. the earliest Albian; see Williams et al., 2004). Sequence 4 (S4) yields, for example, Chichaaouadinium vestitum and Wigginsiella grandstandica. The dinocyst assemblages suggest that the lower part of the sequence is (tentatively) of middle Albian, whereas the upper part is of late Albian age. The most important dinocysts observed within sequence 5 (S5) are Endoceratium turneri and Apteodinium grande. Our results indicate latest Albian to earliest Cenomanian age for S5. Sequence 6 (S6) yields, for example Endoceratium dettmanniae and ‘Sidridinium’ sp sensu Bailey (2017). The dinocyst assemblage suggests that S6 spans early to late Cenomanian age.

Fault Families

Four main types of fault families (i.e. faults with similar strike and age), affecting the Lower Cretaceous sequences, are observed in the study area (Figs 1 and 2). Fault family 1 (FF1) is constituted by normal faults with a NNE–SSW strike and is located in the western part of the basin. Most of the faults in FF1 belong to the RLFC in the boundary with the Tromsø Basin and they offset all the sequences. The throw of the RLFC has been interpreted to be more than 5000 ms TWT (Gabrielsen et al., 1990). Fault family 2 (FF2) is constituted by NE–SW striking normal faults of the segmented TFFC in the south and to the discontinuous AFC in the north (Figs 1 and 2). The TFFC and AFC in the NW offset all the sequences and throws can be up to 1100 ms TWT (Fig. 2a). The NE faults only offset younger sequences than S3 (Fig. 2b). Fault family 3 (FF3) is constituted by E–W striking normal faults and is located in the central part of the basin. Some of the faults offset all the sequences and some of them stop at the BCU level. The faults can be linked or isolated (Figs 1 and 2). Fault family 3 has throws up to 200 ms TWT. Fault family 4 (FF4) is constituted by NW–SE striking normal faults, and they are usually isolated. Fault family 4 is located in the eastern part of the study area, affecting the S0–S2 or stopping at the BCU level. Throws can be up to 400 ms TWT (Figs 1 and 2b).

Seismic facies

Twelve seismic facies related to the Lower Cretaceous fault activity have been defined and are summarized in Table 1.
Table 1. Summary of the seismic facies recognized in the Lower Cretaceous succession of the Hammerfest Basin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facies description</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
<th>Example</th>
<th>GR Pattern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A Mound, variable internal reflector, discontinuous, chaotic, bidirectional onlaps</td>
<td>Coalescent fan deltas</td>
<td>Fig. 5a</td>
<td>7122/2-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1 Wedges, internal chaotic to continuous. Prograding reflectors are common</td>
<td>Continuous slope system/aggradational fan deltas</td>
<td></td>
<td>7120/1-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2 Small scale wedges, high amplitude reflectors</td>
<td>Talus, fringes, fault degradation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not drilled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1 Lens, internal high amplitude discontinuous reflectors</td>
<td>Slope channel system</td>
<td></td>
<td>7120/2-35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2 Lens, internal high amplitude discontinuous reflectors</td>
<td>Turbidite lobe fed by a point source</td>
<td></td>
<td>7120/6-35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D High amplitude continuous parallel reflectors, bidirectional onlaps</td>
<td>Turbidite lobe</td>
<td></td>
<td>7120/10-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E Medium to low amplitude parallel reflectors. Mounds are common.</td>
<td>Lobe fringe/off axis environment</td>
<td></td>
<td>7120/6-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1 Low angle clinoforms (1°), with a height of 40-100 m</td>
<td>Sediments prograding in a shelf</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not drilled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2 Clinoforms with foreset angles of 2.5° and a height of 80-210 m</td>
<td>Shelf-margin clinoforms</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not drilled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3 High angle clinoforms (2.5°), a height of 80-200 m and associated with a scarp</td>
<td>Progradational fan deltas/shorelines</td>
<td></td>
<td>7120/10-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G Chaotic, medium to low amplitude reflectors, with imbrications</td>
<td>Mass transport complexes</td>
<td></td>
<td>7120/2-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H Incisions</td>
<td>Incised valleys, gullies or scours</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not drilled</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Facies A (Mounds)

Description
Mounds with variable internal reflectors can be discontinuous or chaotic. Truncations are locally observed (Table 1). Clinoforms are sometimes identified in the dip direction, with a height of 40–80 m and bidirectional downlaps in the strike direction (Fig. 4a,b). Facies A was penetrated by well 7122/2-1, and the GR log shows a blocky pattern (Table 1). A fifty-metre-long core shows sandstones with pebbles and shell debris (Fig. 5a; Table 2).

Interpretation
Mounds (facies A) are interpreted as shallow marine coalescent fan deltas (sensu Dabrio, 1990) (Fig. 5a; Table 1). The sedimentological characteristics of well 7122/2-1 indicate shallow marine to a possible transgressive shoreface environment (Table 2). The height of the clinofoms (40–80 m) reveals a prograding shoreline/fan (Fig. 4a). The truncations are interpreted as a local unconformity, followed by a flooding event marked by the top of S0.

Facies B (Wedges)

Description
Facies B is always observed next to a fault scarp and is subdivided in B1 and B2 (Fig. 4a; Table 1). Facies B1 is characterized by wedges with a high slope angle (approx. 5–6°) and with internal chaotic or continuous reflectors, forming a laterally continuous feature next to the main fault scarps. Topsets are usually not observed (Fig. 4a; Table 1). Small wedges (facies B2) are located in narrow areas close to fault planes (Table 1).

Interpretation
The lateral continuity of facies B1 indicates the presence of laterally continuous slope deposits alike the slope system described by Leppard & Gawthorpe (2006). These deposits are interpreted as coalescing fans consisting of gravity flow deposits. The lack of topsets in this facies suggests direct deposition to the slope, presumably detached from the source, as described by Surlyk (1989) in East Greenland. Some of these wedges were probably fed by fan deltas which typically have a narrow subaerial part with low preservation potential and a subaqueous part, which in deep-marine settings tend to aggrade due to the high amount of available accommodation space (Surlyk, 1989; Dabrio, 1990; Reading & Collinson, 1996). Facies B2 is more restricted to narrow areas close to the bounding faults, suggesting talus or fault degradation complexes, similar to those described by Surlyk (1978 and) Surlyk (1989) and Henstra et al. (2016). Wells 7120/2-2 and 7120/2-1 penetrated facies B1 in the north-western part of the basin and the amount of sandstone varies (Fig. 5ab, Table 2). Wedges (facies B1) in the north-western part of the basin are interpreted as talus cones formed in shallow water. This is supported by sedimentological observations of wells 7120/1-2 and 7120/2/2, which indicate the presence of offshore transition deposits with interbedded gravity flow deposits, grading upward into shallow marine (Fig. 5ab; Table 2) (Sandvik, 2014).

Facies C (lens)

Description
Facies C is lens shaped, narrow in the proximal part (facies C1) and wider in the distal part (facies C2), with internal high amplitude and discontinuous reflectors (Table 1). Facies C1 and C2 were drilled in wells 7120/2-3S (proximal) and 7120/6-3S (distal), respectively. The core of well 7120/2-3S penetrated fining upward units of poorly sorted conglomerates, sandstones and siltstones (Fig. 5d, Table 2). The distal well 7120/6-3S found claystones and siltstones interbedded with normally graded sandstone beds (Fig. 5f; Table 2).

Interpretation
The fining upward conglomerate beds drilled in well 7120/2-3S (facies C1) are interpreted as gravity flow deposits emplaced in a slope conduit (Fig. 5d; Table 2). The normal grading sandstones and the trace fossils in well 7120/6-3S (distal part of facies C2) indicate deposition by turbidity currents in a lobe fringe setting (Fig. 5f; Table 2) (Kneller, 1995; Grundvæg et al., 2014). Based on seismic facies, attribute maps and sedimentological observations, facies C1 and C2 are interpreted as a submarine fan fed by a single point source, where the discontinuous reflectors may represent channels (Fig. 7a,b; Table 1).

Facies D (continuous reflectors)

Description
Parallel reflectors with high amplitude (facies D) (Fig. 4c,d). This facies is commonly observed adjacent to facies B1, where high slope angles (approx. 5–6°) are replaced by parallel reflectors in the southern part of the basin. (Fig. 4c). Facies D was drilled by well 7120/10-2 (Fig. 5e). The GR log shows a blocky pattern, which tends to be more heterolithic towards the top. An 8.5 m core in the upper part of the unit shows a tripartite subdivision: a) a lower heterolithic unit; b) a...
middle homogenous sandstone, thick-bedded and capped by a thin mudstone and a muddy sandstone bed; and c) an upper sandstone-dominated unit (Fig. 5e; Table 2).

**Interpretation**

Facies D is interpreted as a turbidite lobe. This facies was penetrated by well 7120/10-2, and its lower heterolithic part is interpreted as a distal/off axis turbidite lobe in a slope setting (see Grundvåg et al., 2014 for similar deposits). Trace fossils that can be attributed to the Zoophycos Ichnofacies, common in slope settings (Frey & Pemberton, 1985), support the interpretation (Figs 4d and 5e; Table 2). The fans middle part is more sandstone-dominated and was probably deposited by high-density turbidity currents in a proximal turbidite lobe. The upper unit, which is upward fining, may suggest deposition in a lobe fringe to proximal lobe environments (see Grundvåg et al., 2014 for similar deposits) (Table 2). The relationship between the steep wedges (facies B1), deposited next to the TFFC and the parallel reflectors with high amplitude (facies D) (Fig. 4e), presumably reflects the transition of deposition from mass movements or cohesive debris flows in the proximal part (facies B1) to a fully turbulent flow (facies D, supported by the observations in well 7120/10-2). This is a consequence of a change in the slope gradient and an increase in water depth (Figs 4d and 5e and Table 2), as described by Lowe (1982); Mulder & Alexander (2001); Leppard & Gawthorpe (2006); Henstra et al. (2016) in other settings.

**Facies E**

**Description**

Parallel reflectors with medium-to-low amplitude (facies E). This facies can occur as mounds with internal continuous reflectors (Table 1). Facies E is commonly observed in the central part of the basin. The Gamma Ray log from well 7120/6-2 (Table 1) shows mainly high values with thin intervals of low values.

**Interpretation**

Facies E identified in the central part of the basin is interpreted as a lobe fringe facies, where the thin intervals of low GR values suggest thin-bedded turbidite deposits (see Surlyk, 1978; Grundvåg et al., 2014 for similar examples). This facies can have mound shapes, which are interpreted as the finger-like protrusion from the distal part of a lobe (Prélat et al., 2009), enhanced during compaction (Shanmugam & Moiola, 1991).

**Facies F (clinoforms)**

**Description**

Facies F is subdivided into three groups: 1) facies F1 is characterized by clinoforms with a height of 40–100 m and foreset angles of 1–5̊; 2) facies F2 is characterized by clinoforms with a height of 80–210 m and foreset angles of 2–5̊; and 3) facies F3 is characterized by clinoforms associated with fault planes, with a height of 80–200 m and foreset angles of 2–15̊ (Table 1). Facies F3 was penetrated by well 7120/10-1, and its GR log shows intervals with low values (Fig. 7d).

**Interpretation**

The clinoforms are interpreted based on their height (Steel et al., 2008; Helland-Hansen & Hampson, 2009; Sanchez et al., 2012). Facies F1 is interpreted as prograding sediments in a shelf environment; facies F2 is interpreted as shelf-margin clinoforms (see Marín et al., 2017 for details); and facies F3 is interpreted as prograding fan deltas/shorelines due to their proximity to a scarp (Table 1) (i.e. Loppa High and the Finnmark Platform).

**Facies G**

**Description**

Facies G is characterized by chaotic reflectors with imbrications. The GR log of well 7122/2-1 shows mainly high values with thin intervals of low values (Table 1).

**Interpretation**

Facies G is interpreted as mass transport complexes (MTCs), where the imbrications represent syndepositional thrusts (sensu Moscardelli & Wood, 2008).

**Facies H**

**Description**

Facies H is characterized by incisions of different dimensions, which can have more than a couple of hundred metres, to below the seismic resolution (Fig. 6c; Table 1).

**Interpretation**

Facies H is interpreted as incised valleys, gullies or scours.

**Lower Cretaceous sequences**

The main depocenters, geometries, lateral variability and geographic distribution of the seismic facies are described below for each sequence (Figs 8 and 9).
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**Sequence 0 (S0)**

Sequence 0 is present across the entire Hammerfest Basin, except in some small areas in the northern, central and southern parts, where it onlaps onto the Loppa High or uplifted footwalls (Figs 8a and 4e). There are isolated and segmented depocenters, located next to the main boundary faults of FF2 and north from the central high, associated with FF3 (Figs 1 and 8a). The thickness of this sequence is not constant, and its maximum value is 465 ms TWT. The main seismic facies recognized in S0 are as follows: 1) facies A (mounds) observed mainly in the N-NE part of the basin, forming a linear belt of 70 km long (Fig. 9a); 2) facies H (incisions) are closely related to facies A. Facies H are located in the southern part of the Loppa High, where they are observed until S3 and have a NW–SE to N–S direction (Figs 7a and 9a; Table 1) or together with facies A, with a NE–SW to E–W direction (Figs 9a and 4a). Additionally, facies H is also identified in the central high (Fig. 4a); 3) facies B1 (wedges) is located immediately adjacent to fault scarps and is particularly common in the boundary with the Finnmark Platform, associated with the TFFC with a length of more than 80 km (Figs 4c,d and 9a); 4) facies B2 (small wedges) are observed in association with FF3, in the central high (Table 1); and 5) facies D (high amplitude, parallel reflectors) is commonly observed adjacent to facies B1 in the southern part of the basin (Fig. 4c,d). In the eastern part of the basin, facies D is confined to a NW–SE graben (FF4) (Fig. 9a), and 6) facies E (medium amplitude, parallel reflectors) is observed in the central part of the basin (Fig. 9a).

**Sequence 1 (S1)**

Sequence 1 onlaps onto structural highs in the eastern, the central and partially in the southern part of the basin (Figs 6a and 9b). Similarly to S0, the main depocenters are isolated and are located close to main boundary faults in the NW and SE, associated with FF2 and in the SW associated with FF4 (Figs 1 and 8b). The maximum thickness of S1 is 450 ms TWT. Facies E (medium amplitude, parallel reflectors) is the dominant seismic facies within S1 (Figs 4c and 6a). Facies E is located not necessarily immediately adjacent to a fault plane (Table 1). Facies B1 (wedges) is now more aerially restricted than in S0 (Fig. 9b). Two main wedge levels are identified in the north-western corner of the basin (Knutsen et al., 2000; Sandvik, 2014), where the lower level belongs to S0–S1 and the second level to S2 (Figs 6b and 7b). Amplitude extraction at the top of this sequence...
Sequence 2 (S2)

Sequence 2 is present across the entire basin except on a small high in the southern and in the north-eastern part of the basin, where it onlaps against structural highs (Fig. 9c). The main depocenters are located in the north-western and south-western part of the basin associated with FF2 and FF3. A minor depocenter is located in the south-eastern part of the basin, associated with FF4 (Fig. 8c). The maximum thickness of S2 is 550 ms TWT. In S2 and younger sequences, wedges are mainly restricted to the northern and southern fault boundaries (FF2). The top of this sequence partially onlaps onto S0–S1 wedges close to the TFFC in the eastern and central parts (Fig. 4c). However, this relationship is not observed in the western segment of the TFFC. Instead, clinoforms (facies F3) prograding to the NW are observed (Fig. 7d). An amplitude extraction at 50 msec below the top of this sequence, in the north-western part of the study area, shows two fan shapes with NW–SE direction, turning to E–W and SW–NE in the distal part (Fig. 7a). In cross section, the fan is narrow in the proximal part (facies C1) and wider in the distal part (facies C2), (Fig. 8, Table 2). Facies B1 (wedges) and facies F3 (clinoforms) are also observed in the north-western part of the basin (Fig. 7b). In addition, facies H (incisions) are present within S2, but they are not present in the south-western part of the Loppa High (Fig. 7a). Along the strike, to the E, facies B2 (small-scale wedges) is observed, which is also common in S1 and S3 next to the SE part of the Loppa High (Fig. 7a). A local unconformity is observed at the top of this sequence in the western part of the basin (Fig. 2c).

Sequence 3 (S3)

Sequence 3 is present across the entire basin, except in the north-western area where it onlaps onto S2 (Fig. 6b). The main depocenter is located in the NE. The central high affects neither this sequence nor the younger ones (Fig. 8d). The maximum thickness of S3 is 280 ms TWT. Sequence 3 is characterized by medium amplitude
Table 2. Summary of the seismic facies recognized in the Lower Cretaceous succession of the Hammerfest Basin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facies Example</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fig. 5a</strong></td>
<td>Medium- to coarse-grained cross-stratified and plane parallel stratified sandstones. Massive beds occur locally. Occasional thin siltstone are interbedded with the sandstones. Pebbles of various lithologies and shell debris are typically occurring throughout the section. Bioturbation is very sparse and includes <em>Thalassinoides</em> and <em>Skolithos</em>. Escape traces are observed.</td>
<td>Shallow marine, possible transgressive shoreface environments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fig. 5b</strong></td>
<td>Upwards thickening and coarsening grain size trends which end in cross-stratified and plane parallel stratified sandstones. Above, a heterolithic interval of mudstones and cm/dm scale of very fine- to fine-grained sandstones with current ripple cross-lamination. <em>Taenidium</em> and <em>Planolites</em> are observed. Pyrite and siderite nodules and synaeresis cracks are present.</td>
<td>Shallowing upward shoreface parasequence packages.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fig. 5b</strong></td>
<td>Layers of siltstones alternating with finger-thick, wave and current ripple cross laminated, silty sandstones. Soft sediment deformation and dewatering structures are found. <em>Planolites</em>, <em>Teichnus</em>, bivalve burrows and <em>Taenidium</em> are observed.</td>
<td>The <em>Teichnus</em>, <em>Planolites</em> and <em>bivalve burrows</em> Ichnofacies suggest <strong>Offshore conditions.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fig. 5c</strong></td>
<td>Heterolithic, bioturbated silty mudstones interbedded with thin, sharp-based sandstone beds either intensely bioturbated or containing swaley or wave ripple cross-lamination. Sandstones are fine to medium grained and moderately sorted. Bioturbation typically occur in transitions between the sandstone and the fine grained units. Siderite nodules are common throughout. Shell beds and shell fragments are present. <em>Teichichnus</em>, <em>Phycosiphon</em> and <em>Cylindrichnus</em> trace fossils are common.</td>
<td>The fine grained character and the <em>Cruziana</em> Ichnofacies suggests deposition in a well oxygenated <strong>open-marine shelf</strong> environment. The normally graded, sharp based sandstones with swaley or wave ripple cross-lamination indicate episodic storm deposition.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
Table 2. (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Table 2.</strong> (Continued)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fig. SD</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fig. SE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fig. SE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fig. SE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fig. SF</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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reflected, highly faulted, with a polygonal pattern in map view (Fig. 10b,c). Facies G (chaotic reflectors with imbrications) are also common, (Table 1). Facies F3 (clinoforms) with a height of 80–100 m prograded across a narrow area, from the Loppa High to the SE. Furthermore, facies B1 (wedges) are present in the boundary with the Finnmark Platform in the south-eastern part of the basin (Fig. 10a, Table 1).

**Sequence 4 (S4)**

Sequence 4 is present across the entire basin, and the main depocenter is located in the N-NE (Fig. 8e). The maximum thickness of S4 is 310 ms TWT. Facies B1 (wedges) associated with the development of FF2 dominates this sequence in the northern part of the basin (Figs 6b, 9e and 11a). Two different types of clinoforms are observed: 1) clinoforms with a height of 100–200 m, foreset angles of approx. 4° and associated with a fault plane (facies F3); and 2) facies F1 (clinoforms), which prograded to the SW in the north-eastern part of the basin (Fig. 11b). In other areas of the basin, the reflectors are parallel with medium amplitude.

**Sequence 5-6 (S5-S6)**

Sequences 5 and 6 are present across the entire basin and on the south-western part of the Loppa High (Fig. 9f). The sequences are truncated by an unconformity (the top of S6) to the W (Fig. 2a). The main depocenter is located in the eastern part of the basin (Fig. 8f). The maximum thickness to S5–S6 is 560 ms TWT. Facies F2 (clinoforms with a height of 80–210 m) is observed in the northern part of the study area, prograding to the E-SE (Fig. 6a). In other areas of the basin, the reflectors are parallel with low–medium amplitude.

**DISCUSSION**

**Palaeogeographic evolution**

**Boreal Berriasian/Volgian to Barremian (S0–S1)**

During the deposition of S0 and S1, there were two main sources of coarse-grained sediments in the basin: the Loppa High and the Finnmark Platform (Fig. 12a,b). The topography in the north and south was periodically renewed due to the successive uplift of the Loppa High (which started in the Late Jurassic; Wood et al., 1989; Gabrielsen et al., 1990 or earliest Cretaceous; Glorstad-clark, 2011) and the Finnmark Platform. Following each uplift event, the drainage was readjusted (similar to what Henstra et al., 2016 described from East Greenland), forming multiple incised valleys in the south-eastern Loppa High (facies H, Figs 7a and 12a) and depositing multiple wedge levels (Figs 6b and 7b). Reworked palynomorphs of Late Triassic to Middle Jurassic in the Valanginian sandstones are common in the downflank of the Troms-Finnmark Platform and the Loppa High (wells 7120/10-2 and 7122/2-1). The medium- to coarse-grained and well-sorted sandstones from the cores in wells 7120/10-2, 7122/2-1 and 7120/2-2 (Fig. 5a,b,e) contrast with the fine-grained Lower to Middle Triassic sandstones (Mork et al., 1999). These observations suggest that the sandstones of the Norian–Bajocian Realgrunnen Subgroup and probably the Snadd Formation (Mork et al., 1999) were at one stage deposited on the shoulders of the Hammerfest Basin (Loppa High and Finnmark Platform) and later acted as a sediment source when it became exposed during uplift. Incised valleys were partially entrenched into the Realgrunnen Subgroup, providing coarse-grained sediments during deposition of S0 and fed the shallow coalescent fan deltas, as seen in well 7122/2-1 (Figs 5a, 7a and 12a). Channels within the fans have a NE–SW to E-W direction, which contrasts with the NW–SE to N–S direction of the valleys in the Loppa High (Figs 6a and 12a). This indicates that fans were deflected to the west due to the FF3 movement (Fig. 12a). The presence of laterally continuous slope deposits along the southern margin of the Hammerfest Basin indicates that the Finnmark Platform provided sediments during the deposition of S0. The abundant reworked Mesozoic material and the very well-sorted sandstones in well 7120/10-2 suggests reworked sediments on a shoreline probably at a margin of a low-relief hinterland on the Finnmark Platform and its later redeposition as fans in the Hammerfest Basin (Fig. 12a).

The AFC in the north-western corner of the basin shows evidence of normal displacement. As a result, a first stratigraphic level containing shallow marine clastic wedges was formed (facies B1; Figs 6b and 7b). The high associated with the AFC controlled the shelf-edge location until the deposition of S2 (Fig. 12a–c). The linear features observed in the high associated with the AFC are interpreted as gullies formed in the slope (Fig. 5b,c), triggered by the tectonic activity during the early Barremian (Indrevær et al., 2016). The water depth is interpreted as shallow in the northern part of the basin, based on observations from wells 7122/2-1, 7120/1-2 and 7120/2-2 and the height of the clinoforms (40–80 m) (Figs 4a and 5a,b, c; Table 2). The south-western part is interpreted to be deeper compared to the north-eastern part, supported by the succession of turbidites and Zoophycos-type trace fossil assemblage occurring in well 7120/10-2 (Fig. 5e; Table 2). In the central high and eastern part of the basin, the onlap relationship of S0 and S1 suggests that these two areas experienced periods of subaerial exposure (Figs 4e and 6a). Although the basin configuration is similar during S0 and S1, the observed wedges are fewer in S1 in the south-western part of the area, indicating a
Fig. 6. Seismic lines showing the seismic facies in sequence 1 (SF of S1). (a) Sequence 1 onlaps onto the Loppa High and the eastern part of the basin. (b) Wedge (SF B1) associated with the Asterias Fault Complex. (c) Linear features identified in amplitude extraction at the top of S1. Location of the seismic lines is indicated in Fig. 5.
Fig. 7. Seismic lines showing the seismic facies in sequence 2 (SF of S2). (a) RMS amplitude extraction at 50 msec below the top of S2. Submarine fans are deflected to the east in the north-western part of the basin. Only localized wedges are observed in the north-eastern part. Canyons in the Loppa High are interpreted to be formed on S0. (b) Seismic line crossing the proximal and distal part of the submarine fan. (c) Small-scale localized wedges (SF B2) in S1–S3. (d) Low-relief, high-angle clinoforms (SF F3) associated with a fault scarp in the SW part of the basin.
quiescence period for the western part of the TFFC during S1.

*Early Aptian to early Albian (S2)*

During the deposition of S2, small wedges (facies B2) in the north-eastern part of the basin reflect that only occasional flows provided coarse-grained sediments through valleys located in the south-eastern part of the Loppa High (Figs 7a,c and 12c). This indicates a depleted source in the eastern part of the Loppa High, explained by a period of erosion reducing the topography, as suggested by Henstra et al. (2016) for East Greenland. In contrast, the input of sediments in the north-western corner of the basin remains important in this sequence. A second wedge level with internal prograding reflectors is associated with a fault scarp (facies F3) and is interpreted as a prograding shoreline/fan delta. The water depth in the north-western corner reached values of 140 m (based on decompacted clinoforms), but it becomes deeper towards the south, where submarine fans fed by a point source were deposited (Fig. 7a). The same relationship is observed in the southern part of the basin, where the input of sediment is higher in the west, compared to the eastern part of the basin (Figs 7d and 12c). We suggest that the increase in the sediment supply in the western part of the basin is a combination of fault activity along the western part of the AFC and TFFC (Fig. 7a–d), and fault activity along the RLFC associated with an extensional episode that affected the Tromsø Basin within S2 (Aptian) (Faleide et al., 1993). This resulted in renewed topography in the western part of the Loppa High and the Finnmark Platform (Fig. 12c), leading to an increase in the rates of erosion.

The higher erosion rate in the western part of the Loppa High could explain the lack of connected conduits for the submarine fans identified in the north-western part of the area. The flows that deposited facies C (submarine fans) were commonly deflected to the east and confined by the palaeo-topography of the basin (Figs 7a and 12c). We attribute the deflection of the submarine fans to the fault activity of the RLFC, AFC and other faults belonging to FF2. This fault activity locally tilted the north-western part of the basin and made the western-most part of the Hammerfest Basin shallow (Figs 1 and 7a).

Fig. 8. Present-day time thickness maps for the sequences interpreted in this study: (a) Sequence 0 (Boreal Berriasian/Volgian to Valanginian or younger); (b) Sequence 1 (Hauterivian to early Barremian); (c) Sequence 2 (early Aptian to middle late Aptian); (d) Sequence 3 (late Aptian to earliest Albian); (e) Sequence 4 (middle Albian to late Albian); (f) Sequences 5 and 6 (latest Albian to early to late Cenomanian). Note the shift of the depocenters from the western part in S0–S2 to the central and north-eastern part of the Hammerfest Basin in S3–S6. White areas represent areas of erosion (truncation) or nondeposition (onlaps).
The remnant topography associated with the Finnmark Platform resulted in fan delta/shoreline progradation in the south-eastern margin (Figs 10a and 12d; Table 1). Low-angle clinoforms with a height of 40–100 m (Table 1) reflect sediments prograding in a shelf towards the SW, in the eastern part of the study area (Fig. 11b). The MTCs were probably triggered by oversteepening due to sediment loading in proximity of the shelf-edge (Table 1) (Moscardelli & Wood, 2008). Alternatively, they could be a response of the tilting of the Hammerfest Basin suggested by the shift of the depocenters from the western part in S0–S2 to the central and north-eastern part in S3–S6. This shift of the depocenters is interpreted as a consequence of the activity of the RLFC, during an extensional episode in the Tromsø Basin (Faleide et al., 1993) forming the unconformity at the top of S2 (Figs 1, 2c and 4). As a result of the tilting of the Hammerfest Basin, shallower conditions are interpreted in the north-western part of the basin, supported by onlap relationships. Deeper conditions are suggested in the eastern part of the basin, supported by the height of the clinoforms (up to 200 m) (Fig. 6b). Sequence 3 is affected by polygonal faults, suggesting fine-grained lithology (Cartwright, 2011).

Latest Albian to Cenomanian S5–S6

Clinoforms that prograded from the Loppa High towards the SE (facies F2) suggest a change from an erosive to a prograding margin (Fig. 6a). Simultaneously, the south-eastern Loppa High became flooded, including the valleys located in the south-eastern part due to high eustatic sea level during the Albian to Cenomanian transition (Haq, 2014) (Figs 2b and 11a). The western part of the Loppa High remained subaerially exposed, providing sediments to allow the margin to prograde as a result of renewed uplift-induced topography (Fig. 12f).

Variables controlling the depositional systems and basin fill

Controls during rift climax

Variability along the strike of the main bounding faults: In this study, we consider the variability in the throw and the steps in the main bounding faults to be a factor...
affecting the depositional systems and the input of sediments into the basin, as it has been considered previously (Gawthorpe et al., 1990; Gupta et al., 1998; McLeod et al., 2002; Elliott et al., 2012, 2017). High accommodation space was generated in faults with higher throw, for example in the southern bounding fault (TFFC). This led to an increase in the water depth and to deposition of axial turbidite lobes in the immediate hangingwall (facies D) (Figs 9a and 12a).

The accommodation space generated during the rift climax was higher in the south-western part compared to the north-eastern part, which did not have any evident fault displacement until the Albian (S4) (Fig. 11a). Lower accommodation space in the northern Hammerfest Basin led to the deposition of shallower facies (Fig. 5a). The input of sediments was affected by fault steps, for instance in the TFFC, which has been described as en echelon fault system (Gabrielsen et al., 1990; Ahmed, 2012). In the middle part of the TFFC, a step in this fault system is suggested as a potential sediment supply entry point during the rift climax (Fig. 9).

Diachronous movement of the faults

The diachronity of fault movement is an important control on the timing and spatial distribution of depositional systems in rift basins. In the study area, fault activity, and therefore also fault-controlled deposition and accommodation, was diachronous (Fig. 12). The BCU has been interpreted as the syn-rift to post-rift boundary in other areas of the Norwegian continental shelf (Gabrielsen et al., 2001). In the Hammerfest Basin, some of the faults of FF3 and FF4 offset the pre-Cretaceous rocks, but the upper fault tips terminate below the BCU (Fig. 2). However, the BCU is commonly offset by faults of FF2 and FF1 and growth wedges are observed in the overlying sequences, indicating that the faulting in the Hammerfest Basin was initially concentrated in the central part of the basin and then in the main basin margin faults (Fig. 2). Therefore, it is suggested that the BCU does not represent the transition of the rift climax to the post-rift succession in the Hammerfest Basin.

The fault activity stopped in the eastern part of the study area during the deposition of S1 and was
concentrated in the western part of the basin until the deposition of S2 (e.g. FF3 and FF2). This is supported by thickness variations, the development of the north-western and the south-eastern depocenters during the deposition of S2 and the deposition of wedges associated with faults (Figs 8c, 7b and 12c). These observations suggest a diachronous transition between the rift climax and the post-rift succession across the Hammerfest Basin similar to the northern North Sea (Gabrielsen et al., 2001; Zachariah et al., 2009).

The nature of the feeder system

Whereas the two previous factors control the distribution of the clastic wedges, the nature of the feeder system controls their geometry and connectivity (Stow et al., 1996). Lateral continuous wedges parallel to the strike of the TFFC are interpreted in the southern part of the basin, as slope deposits fed by a linear source (Figs 9a and 12a). Slope deposits fed by a linear source are characterized by complex depositional systems (Reading & Richards, 1994; Stow et al., 1996; Galloway, 1998; Gawthorpe & Leeder, 2000; Leppard & Gawthorpe, 2006), which can make facies more difficult to predict. Point sources forming well-defined submarine fans are interpreted in the north-western part of the basin within S2 (Fig. 7a), indicating more predictable facies.

In summary, during the rift climax stage, the geometry, distribution of depositional systems and the input of sediments in a basin are controlled by the interaction of several variables such as: 1) variability along the strike of the main bounding faults (Gawthorpe et al., 1990; Gupta et al., 1998; McLeod et al., 2002; Elliott et al., 2012, 2017, 2017); 2) diachronous movement of the faults; and 3) nature of the feeder system (Reading & Richards, 1994; Stow et al., 1996; Galloway, 1998; Gawthorpe & Leeder, 2000; Leppard & Gawthorpe, 2006). Although variations in footwall lithology are not considered here, this may be an important factor affecting the amount of coarse-grained sediment transported into the basin (McArthur et al., 2013).
Fig. 12. Three-dimensional palaeogeographic reconstruction of the entire Hammerfest Basin showing the tectono-sedimentary evolution of the Lower Cretaceous succession. (a) Sequence 0 (Boreal Berriasian/Valanginian or younger); (b) Sequence 1 (Hauterivian to early Barremian); (c) Sequence 2 (early Aptian to middle late Aptian); (d) Sequence 3 (earliest Albian); (e) Sequence 4 (middle Albian to late Albian); (f) Sequences 5 and 6 (latest Albian to early late Cenomanian). See the main text for detailed discussion on each sequence and how tectonic activity have controlled the distribution of the sedimentary wedges, submarine fans and clinoforms.
Controls during post-rift

Remnant topography of the basin flanks inherited from the syn-rift stage

The Hammerfest Basin is a symmetric feature characterized by two main uplifted flanks: the Finnmark Platform and the Loppa High (Fig. 2). At the time of the deposition of S3, a relatively homogeneous topography is interpreted based on the time thickness maps (Fig. 8), where the influence of the central high was no longer evident. The remnant topography inherited from the syn-rift period is not a major factor that influenced the input of coarse-grained sediment to the basin. Only localized areas of the Finnmark Platform provided sediment to a prograding shoreline/fan delta (wedges B1; Fig. 10a). The topography of the Loppa High during the post-rift was conditioned by fault activity in its western flank (Faleide et al., 1993; Indrevær et al., 2016), rather than inherited from the main syn-rift period of the Hammerfest Basin. This contrasts to areas as the northern North Sea, where uplifted and rotated fault blocks developed within the syn-rift period were a major factor affecting the post-rift deposition (Nøttvedt et al., 1995; Zachariah et al., 2009).

Local reactivation of faults

Preferential reactivation of fault condition the input of sediments in a basin. In the Hammerfest Basin, the presence of wedges related to the AFC indicates a local reactivation of this fault complex in the northern boundary with the Loppa High (Fig. 11a). This resulted in localized depocenters with associated wedges in the adjacent hangingwalls of the reactivated faults within S4.

Rifting in the adjacent basins

In the study area, fault activity in the eastern part of the Tromsø Basin renewed the topography of the western Loppa High during the Aptian–Albian (Faleide et al., 1993), and uplifted and tilted the Hammerfest Basin eastwards. This event triggered a larger drainage system in the Loppa High, directed away from the Tromsø Basin towards a gentler slope. Therefore, sediment was sourced from the western part of the Loppa High and deposited in the north-eastern Hammerfest Basin as clinoforms identified within S3 and S5–S6 (Fig. 6a).

Rifting in adjacent basins can contribute to the input of potential coarse-grained sediment to a basin even in periods of high eustatic sea level. In the study area, the mid-Cretaceous eustatic sea-level rise (Haq, 2014) and differential subsidence resulted in flooding of some of the structural highs (e.g. the eastern part of the Loppa High), affecting the sedimentation during the Albian–Cenomanian (S4–S6). Development of clastic wedges was mainly recognized in the areas affected by far field tectonic influence, which overcomes the relative sea level. In other areas of the basin, the continuous to semi-continuous reflectors with medium amplitude reflect that mainly mud from an open-marine environment (Mørk et al., 1999) was deposited in the post-rift succession. The observations presented here suggest that rifting in adjacent basins is an important factor controlling the renewed topography, which triggers preferential sources of sediment.

In summary, during the post-rift stage, the topography in a basin and the depositional system distribution are controlled by the following variables: 1) rifting in adjacent basins; 2) remnant topography of the basin flanks inherited from the syn-rift period (Prosser, 1993; Nøttvedt et al., 1995; Zachariah et al., 2009); and 3) local reactivation of faults.

Many of the depositional systems and geometries described in this article coincide with the previous tectonostratigraphic models for marine rift systems (Gawthorpe & Leeder, 2000). However, these previous models do not explain variables such as the preferential input of sediment in parts of the basin. We suggest that the tectonostratigraphic models for rift basins should be updated, considering a more regional scale and integrating information of neighbouring basins. As a result, variables such as the influence of adjacent rift systems and diachronous movement of the faults, extensively described in both aborted and break-up rift systems (e.g. Rabinowitz & Labrecque, 1979; Gabrielsen et al., 2001; Mohriak & Leroy, 2013), can be included in these tectonostratigraphic models.

CONCLUSIONS

Twelve seismic facies, which represent shallow to deep-marine depositional environments in proximal to distal positions to the main bounding faults, are interpreted based on seismic data, well ties and sedimentological core descriptions. The rift climax in the Hammerfest Basin occurred mainly during the Volgian–Barremian, but the transition to the post-rift succession is diachronous, being younger towards the western part of the basin. The evolution of the post-rift succession in the basin was controlled by three main factors: the influence of an adjacent rift event, the remnant topography of the Finnmark Platform and the local reactivation of faults.

We recognize four main stages in the tectonostratigraphic evolution of the Hammerfest Basin: 1) the Boreal Berriasian/Volgian–Barremian stage, where a fully linked fault array controlled the coarse-grained deposition of S0 and S1 in the area, and fan deltas or shorelines formed in the north, and a laterally continuous, sand-dominated
slope system developed in the south. Shallow marine to continental environments is suggested in the north, east and central parts of the basin, whereas deep-marine environments are interpreted in the south-western part; 2) during the Aptian stage, the input of coarse-grained sediments of S2 was preferential in the north-western and south-western corners of the basin, which is the result of the tectonic activity of the western Asterias Fault Complex and Troms-Finnmark Fault Complex, and renewed topography in the western Loppa High associated with fault activity in the Tromso Basin; 3) in the Albanian stage, remnant topography provided sediments for fan delta/shoreline progradations recorded in S3–S4. Local fault activity in the northern part of the basin controlled the deposition of footwall wedges in S4. Furthermore, a shift in the depocenters from the western part in S0–S2 to the eastern part in S3–S6 reflect a shallower environment in the westernmost part of the Hammerfest Basin; 4) during the latest Alban–Cenomanian stage, the south-eastern Loppa High was flooded due to a combination of an eustatic sea-level rise and local tectonism, but the western part remained a high, providing sediments to allow shelf-margin progradation.

We conclude that tectonostratigraphic models for rift basins should be revised considering a regional scale, where variables such as rifting in adjacent basins can be incorporated. These updated models could explain renewed topography and preferential input of sediments in a basin during the post-rift stage.
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