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Summary

This is the first systematic review of reviews to assess the effect of obesity and weight loss on health-related quality of life (HRQoL). We identified 12 meta-analyses/systematic reviews published between January 2001 and July 2016. They addressed the following themes: (i) the relationship between weight/body mass index and HRQoL (baseline/pre-intervention; n = 2). (ii) HRQoL after weight loss (varied interventions and/or study design; n = 2). (iii) HRQoL after weight loss (randomized controlled trials only; n = 2). (iv) HRQoL after bariatric surgery (n = 6). We found that in all populations, obesity was associated with significantly lower generic and obesity-specific HRQoL. The relationship between weight loss and improved HRQoL was consistently demonstrated after bariatric surgery, perhaps due to a greater than average weight loss compared with other treatments. Improved HRQoL was evident after non-surgical weight loss, but was not consistently demonstrated, even in randomized controlled trials. This inconsistency may be attributed to variation in quality of reporting, assessment measures, study populations and weight-loss interventions. We recommend longer-term studies, using both generic and obesity-specific measures, which go beyond HRQoL in isolation to exploring mediators of HRQoL changes and interactions with other variables, such as comorbidities, fitness level and body image.
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Introduction

People with obesity have an increased risk of a multitude of diseases and early mortality (1–5). Beyond health risks, obesity has also been shown to negatively impact quality of life (QoL) (6), defined as an individual’s own assessment of well-being, often with reference to physical and mental health status, social relationships and environmental and economic factors (7–9). When the focus in clinical and health research is on the quantification of QoL related to health status, it is referred to as health-related QoL (HRQoL) (7,8,10). This term is most commonly understood to refer to a multidimensional measurement of the individual’s perception of the impact of illness and its treatment (10,11). HRQoL captures, at a minimum, physical, psychological and social functioning (10). The various measures for assessing different aspects of an individual’s HRQoL can be grouped into two categories: generic measures and disease-specific measures (7,12). Generic measures assess broad aspects of HRQoL, while disease-specific measures are designed to assess HRQoL in relation to a specific medical condition or clinical population.

Because studies on obesity and/or weight loss increasingly include measures of HRQoL, a large number of review articles have been written on this topic. We considered that a systematic review of review articles, comprising a comprehensive examination of the current state of the field, is needed to evaluate the impact of obesity and weight loss on HRQoL. According to Smith et al., one goal of a
systematic review of reviews is to identify and appraise all published reviews within an area of interest in order to summarize and compare conclusions, as well as discuss the strength of these conclusions (13). The aim of this review is to synthesize the information found in obesity and/or weight-loss reviews that assess HRQoL and to evaluate the impact of obesity and weight reduction on HRQoL.

Methods

We conducted a systematic review of reviews in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines (http://www.prisma-statement.org/). The PubMed and Embase databases were used to identify review articles that have evaluated the impact of obesity and weight management on HRQoL (Fig. 1). The search terms applied to the PubMed and Embase databases are detailed in Fig. 2. A search string was defined and limits were applied (Fig. 2). The search was restricted to January 2001 to July 2016. The selection of review articles to include was performed by three independent reviewers (RK; JRA; AXON) and articles were excluded if they met specific predefined exclusion criteria (Fig. 2).

Results

A total of 540 review articles were retrieved from PubMed and Embase, of which 12 were selected for inclusion in this systematic review of reviews. Table 1 provides a detailed overview of the 12 review articles selected for inclusion; 5 were systematic reviews (16,17,21,22,29), 4 were meta-analyses (14,15,18,19) and 3 were both a systematic review and a meta-analysis (12,20,33). In the 12 reviews, a total of 240 individual studies were reviewed; 64 studies were included in two or more of the 12 reviews, while 176 studies were included in only one of the 12 reviews (Table S1, Supporting Information).

Figure 1 Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) flow chart. NA, not applicable. [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

Figure 2 Identification and selection of published review articles on obesity and/or weight management and quality of life from January 2001 to July 2016. QoL, quality of life. [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

528 reviews excluded for meeting exclusion criteria:
1. Not a systematic review or meta-analysis
2. A duplicate publication of an already included review
3. Did not answer the clinical question: “What is the impact of obesity and/or weight management on QoL?” or included a brief mention only of how QoL is affected
4. Exclusively reviewed the pediatric population
5. Exclusively reviewed a specific comorbidity of obesity

analyses (14,15,18,19) and 3 were both a systematic review and a meta-analysis (12,20,33). In the 12 reviews, a total of 240 individual studies were reviewed; 64 studies were included in two or more of the 12 reviews, while 176 studies were included in only one of the 12 reviews (Table S1, Supporting Information).

Some of the review articles provided a definition of QoL and/or HRQoL (12,16,20,21,29). Definitions were similar and confirmed HRQoL as a multidimensional concept of the individual’s perceptions of the impact of their disease on an individual level. All reviews aimed to analyse HRQoL in relation to weight, and could be logically organized into four categories (Table 1). Following a brief discussion of the assessment methods used in all included reviews, the reviews are described by category, below.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Author, date</th>
<th>Type/goal of review</th>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Key findings</th>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1   | van Nunen et al., 2007 (14) | Meta-analysis to examine differences in baseline HRQoL among seekers of surgical treatment for obesity, seekers of non-surgical treatment, non-treatment-seeking persons with obesity, general population with obesity and general population | 54 cross-sectional studies, Dutch, English, French and German, 1996–2006 | • Based on both generic and obesity-specific measures, populations with obesity experienced reduced HRQoL  
  ○ For both SF-36 and IWQOL-Lite, the most reduced HRQoL occurred in the surgical patients  
  ○ Comparing patients to non-patients, SF-36 results varied widely by subscale, with only physical functioning showing consistently reduced HRQoL for surgical patient groups  
  ○ However, reduced HRQoL was found on all IWQOL-Lite subscales for patient groups compared with non-patients  
  ○ After adjustment for BMI, surgical patients still demonstrated reduced HRQoL on most SF-36 subscales, whereas for IWQOL-Lite differences between populations disappeared after adjustment for BMI likely due to the IWQOL-Lite being a weight-related measure of HRQoL | • Analysis of HRQoL in 100 000 geographically diverse individuals in different populations  
  ○ Articles included both generic (SF-36) and obesity-specific (IWQOL-Lite) HRQoL measures  
  ○ Complete adjustment for BMI | • The general population with obesity is heterogeneous, including those who intend to seek medical intervention, those who plan their own interventions, and those who do not intend to seek treatment  
  ○ There is underrepresentation of the intentionally non-treatment-seeking population  
  ○ Included in only 2/54 studies |
| 2   | Ul-Haq et al., 2013 (15) | Meta-analysis to determine the relationships between BMI and physical and mental HRQoL | 8 cross-sectional studies, English only, 2000–2011 | • Physical HRQoL  
  ○ Individuals with higher BMI had significantly reduced physical HRQoL  
  ○ Clear evidence of a dose relationship across all BMI categories  
  ○ Mental HRQoL  
  ○ Only reduced among individuals classified as Class III obesity (BMI ≥ 40 kg m⁻²) | • Population studies from Australia, Canada, England, Germany, Sweden, USA  
  ○ Studies included 43 086 participants  
  ○ Sophisticated methodology  
  ○ Analysed pooled estimates of weighted mean difference in PCS and MCS by BMI in reference to normal weight  
  ○ Determined degree of heterogeneity and assessed publication bias  
  ○ Applied a statistical method to reduce risk of type I errors | • Limited to articles that assessed HRQoL with a single, generic measure only (SF-36)  
  ○ 1 of 8 studies was based on male Veteran’s Administration patients only |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Author, date</th>
<th>Type/goal of review</th>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Key findings</th>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 3   | Carson et al., 2014 (16) | Systematic review to examine the effects of dietary intervention on HRQoL | 24 studies of ≥12 weeks duration, including RCTs (21) and non-RCT prospective studies (3) | - In most studies (88%), study participants reported improved HRQoL after dietary intervention
  - 11/24 studies indicated changes in HRQoL were likely a result of weight loss
  - 4/24 studies demonstrated that changes in HRQoL were independent of weight loss
  - In 9/24 studies, it was unclear if changes in HRQoL were a result of weight loss | - In most studies (88%), study participants reported improved HRQoL after dietary intervention
  - 11/24 studies indicated changes in HRQoL were likely a result of weight loss
  - 4/24 studies demonstrated that changes in HRQoL were independent of weight loss
  - In 9/24 studies, it was unclear if changes in HRQoL were a result of weight loss | - Articles included used both generic (QWB, SF-36, SF-12) and obesity-specific (IWQOL, IWQOL-Lite) HRQoL measures
  - Articles included only non-obesity-specific disease measures (e.g., measure of heart failure) | - 4/24 studies used only non-obesity-specific disease measures (e.g., measure of heart failure) |
| 4   | Kroes et al., 2016 (17) | Systematic review to review evidence for the impact of weight/BMI change on HRQoL | 20 studies, including RCTs (8), prospective comparative cohorts (5), prospective single-arm cohorts (5), cross-sectional (1) and retrospective analyses of RCTs (1) | - Of the studies that explicitly reported on the association between HRQoL and weight change:
  - For lifestyle approach (n = 1): there were significant correlations between weight loss and all IWQOL-Lite subscales except work
  - For pharmaceutical intervention (n = 1): there were larger effect sizes for greater weight reductions for all IWQOL-Lite subscales, PCS, physical functioning, and general health
  - For bariatric surgery at ≥1-year follow-up: greater weight loss showed significant correlations with vitality (n = 1), physical functioning (n = 1), PCS (n = 1), and IWQOL-Lite Total (n = 2) | - Unique approach: to investigate the impact of weight change on HRQoL, rather than to compare improvements in HRQoL between interventions | - Studies had ≥1-year follow-up |
<p>| 5   | Maciejewski et al., 2005 (18) | Meta-analysis to estimate the effect of various weight-loss interventions | 34 RCTs | HRQoL outcomes were not consistently improved in RCTs of weight loss | Articles included used both generic (GHRI, GWB, SF-36, SIP, SF-36, SF-12, SF-12) and obesity-specific (IWQOL, IWQOL-Lite) HRQoL measures | Review stated that quality of studies examined was poor |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Author, date</th>
<th>Type/goal of review</th>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Key findings</th>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Warkentin et al., 2013 (12)</td>
<td>Systematic review and meta-analysis to examine the effect of weight loss (any weight-loss intervention vs. no intervention, placebo or active comparator) on HRQoL in RCTs</td>
<td>53 RCTs met eligibility; 11/53 included in meta-analysis</td>
<td>o Generic measures: 14/36 studies found significant improvements in ≥1 domains &lt;br&gt; o Obesity-specific measures: 4/15 studies found significant improvements &lt;br&gt; o Contingency table approach (included all trials): no significant association between weight-loss and overall HRQoL &lt;br&gt; o Quantitative data pooling approach (included 25% of trials): statistically significant improvements in physical but not mental health</td>
<td>o Articles included used both generic (EQ-5D, GHQ, QWB, SF-36, VAS) and obesity-specific (IWQOL-Lite, M-A QoLQII, OAS, OP, ORWELL, WRSM) HRQoL measures</td>
<td>o Data from most studies could not be quantitatively pooled for meta-analysis &lt;br&gt; o In 35/53 RCTs, study duration was &lt;1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Magallares and Schomerus, 2015 (19)</td>
<td>Meta-analysis to compare HRQoL before and 1 year after bariatric surgery</td>
<td>21 studies</td>
<td>Compared to pre-surgery, patients scored higher in physical and mental HRQoL</td>
<td>o Studies drawn from a diverse literature base that encompassed five languages</td>
<td>o Study design of included studies was not indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Author, date</td>
<td>Type/goal of review</td>
<td>Studies</td>
<td>Key findings</td>
<td>Strengths</td>
<td>Limitations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Lindekilde et al., 2015 (20)</td>
<td>Systematic review and meta-analysis to assess the impact of bariatric surgery (15 different methods) on HRQoL and the between-study variation</td>
<td>72 studies, including cohort studies (60), non-randomized studies (5), randomized studies (7)</td>
<td>Bariatric surgery had a significant positive influence on HRQoL. Influence was greater on physical vs. mental HRQoL. Greater effects were found for obesity-specific measures of HRQoL than for other types. A large variability (heterogeneity) in HRQoL outcomes was found.</td>
<td>Articles included used 22 HRQoL measures, including generic (EQ-5D, SF-36), obesity-specific (IWQOL, IWQOL-Lite, M-A QoLQII, WRSIM), combined generic/obesity-specific (HRQoL-HSP) and gastrointestinal-specific (GIQLI) HRQoL measures. Follow-up ranged from 3 to 120 months. Controlled for multiple other factors (baseline BMI, age, type of measure, type of surgery, months to follow-up, year of publication and country of study). Provided effect sizes of changes in HRQoL.</td>
<td>Limited to those with a follow-up period of ≤1 year and those that administered only SF-36.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Andersen et al., 2015 (21)</td>
<td>Systematic review to study the long-term (i.e. ≥5 years) effects of bariatric surgery (6 different methods) on HRQoL</td>
<td>7 prospective studies, 2 with control groups</td>
<td>6/7 studies showed improvements in 9 aspects of HRQoL. Peak improvements in HRQoL observed during first 1–2 postoperative years (characterized by the most meaningful amount of weight loss), followed by a gradual decline that stabilized at 5 years. 5-year postoperative scores were an improvement from preoperative scores, but lower than the population norm. Of the statistically significant improvements in HRQoL, 92% were clinically meaningful (i.e. &gt;0.5).</td>
<td>Review focuses exclusively on high-quality, long-term (5–10 years), prospective studies of bariatric surgery. All studies included were high quality, defined as attrition rate &lt;50%, and 90% power to detect &gt;0.5 standard deviation change from baseline using a two-sided paired test. Articles included used both generic (15D, GHRI scale, GWB, NHPI, SF-36) and obesity-specific (IWQOL-Lite, OP, Weight Distress) HRQoL measures.</td>
<td>Attrition rates in included studies ranged from 8 to 39.2%. Included studies were heterogeneous with respect to baseline BMI, HRQoL instruments and surgical methods.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Author, date</td>
<td>Type/goal of review</td>
<td>Studies</td>
<td>Key findings</td>
<td>Strengths</td>
<td>Limitations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Jumbe, 2015 (22)</td>
<td>Systematic review to assess psychosocial HRQoL of bariatric surgery patients at a minimum of 1-year follow-up compared to:</td>
<td>11 studies, including RCTs (2), prospective cohorts (8) and retrospective analysis (1) (SF-36 measured at follow-up only)</td>
<td>Bariatric surgery vs. non-surgical treatment&lt;br&gt;For all 3 studies using the SF-36 scale, bariatric surgery groups had better HRQoL outcomes vs. non-surgical interventions&lt;br&gt;1/3 studies using the SF-36 scale reported significantly better outcomes across all subscales</td>
<td>Articles included both generic (SF-36, SF-12, EQ-5D, SIP), and obesity-specific measures (WQOL-Lite, OP)&lt;br&gt;Follow-up ranged from 1 to 10 years&lt;br&gt;Study quality was evaluated</td>
<td>2 studies assessed HRQoL in individuals with both obesity and diabetes (23,24)&lt;br&gt;4 studies (25–28) used data from the Utah Obesity Study; thus, reporting these studies separately may skew the conclusions&lt;br&gt;Conclusion of &quot;persistent psychological issues post-surgery&quot; is an overstatement of the data presented in the reviewed studies&lt;br&gt;Despite better outcomes reported in 3 of the 4 studies comparing bariatric surgery with non-surgical treatment, authors state that, overall, these studies show moderate evidence of similar improvements in HRQoL in these 2 groups&lt;br&gt;Despite reporting of improvements in mental/psychosocial HRQoL in several of the studies, authors state that long-term psychosocial HRQoL does not improve after bariatric surgery compared to controls&lt;br&gt;2 studies measured HRQoL post-surgery only&lt;br&gt;6 studies reported follow-ups of ≤1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Hachem et al., 2016 (29)</td>
<td>Systematic review to examine HRQoL as an outcome of bariatric surgery by comparing:</td>
<td>13 studies&lt;br&gt;7 studies (1 RCT, 6 quasi-experimental studies): bariatric</td>
<td>Significant HRQoL improvements following bariatric surgery&lt;br&gt;Greater improvements in surgical vs. non-surgical interventions</td>
<td>Articles included used generic (SF-36, M-A QoLQII, SIP, GHRI), obesity-specific (WQOL-Lite, OWLQOL, WRSM, GIQOL, QOLOD)</td>
<td>2 studies measured HRQoL post-surgery only&lt;br&gt;6 studies reported follow-ups of ≤1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Author, date</td>
<td>Type/goal of review</td>
<td>Studies</td>
<td>Key findings</td>
<td>Strengths</td>
<td>Limitations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Driscoll et al., 2016</td>
<td>Systematic review and meta-analysis of studies reporting HRQoL data ≥5 years after bariatric surgery and in non-surgical control groups with obesity</td>
<td>9 studies, including cross-sectional studies (7), prospective cohort study (1), and non-randomized controlled trial (1)</td>
<td>• Systematic review of all studies and measures</td>
<td>• Greater improvements were noted in both the physical and mental domains of HRQoL for the surgical groups compared with the control groups; however, there were inconsistencies in results (i.e. favouring surgical group, favouring control group, and no difference) in both physical and mental domains</td>
<td>• Articles included used both generic (SF-36, EQ-5D, Current Health Scale from the GHRI and obesity-specific (IWQOL-Lite, OP) measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Meta-analysis of SF-36 results</td>
<td>• Studies had follow-up periods of 5 to 25 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Inconsistencies that had been seen in the systematic review were not seen in the meta-analysis</td>
<td>• Meta-analysis could only be conducted on studies reporting SF-36 scores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Significant improvement in all mental domains after 5 years favouring the surgical group compared with the controls; and 3/4 physical domains</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Assessment of health-related quality of life

Among the 12 review articles examined, a total of 23 different measures of HRQoL were identified (Table S2) and could be categorized as follows: 11 generic, 10 obesity-specific, 1 combined generic/obesity-specific and 1 gastrointestinal-specific. We did not report results of measures of depression, anxiety, stress or emotions (12,18,22), nor did we include any non-obesity-specific disease measures (e.g. Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire, Pelvic Floor Impact Questionnaire), as these measures are not true assessments of HRQoL (34). We have also not reported results obtained from the Bariatric Analysis and Reporting Outcome System (BAROS) (35), as it can only be applied to post-surgical (not prevs. post-surgical) outcomes for bariatric surgery patients.

A total of 10 of the 12 review articles included studies that used both generic and obesity-specific measures of HRQoL (12,14,16–18,20–22,29,33), whereas 2 of the review articles included only generic measures (15,19). The most commonly used measures were the generic Medical Outcomes Study Short-Form-36 (SF-36) (36) and the obesity-specific Impact of Weight on Quality of Life-Lite (IWQOL-Lite) questionnaires (37). A total of 5 of 12 the reviews specified that they included both generic and obesity-specific measures in order to provide a more complete understanding of the patient populations (12,17,18,20,29).

Category 1: Relationship between weight/body mass index and health-related quality of life (baseline/pre-intervention)

A total of 2 of 12 reviews examined the association between weight/body mass index (BMI) and HRQoL without, or prior to, any intervention (14,15). van Nunen et al. performed a meta-analysis of cross-sectional differences among five subgroups, including: non-patient subgroups (general population, general population with obesity and non-treatment-seeking people with obesity) and patient subgroups (non-surgical weight-loss patients and bariatric surgery patients) (14) (Table 1). For both SF-36 and IWQOL-Lite, the greatest reduction in HRQoL was observed in the surgical patients. SF-36 results varied widely by subscale, with only a consistent reduction in the physical functioning HRQoL subscale for patient vs. non-patient groups.

However, reduced HRQoL was found on all IWQOL-Lite subscales for patient (compared with non-patient) groups. After adjustment for BMI, reduced HRQoL on most SF-36 subscales remained for surgical patients, whereas for IWQOL-Lite differences between general, non-treatment, conservative treatment and surgical treatment subgroups disappeared, suggesting that when using the IWQOL-Lite questionnaire in cross-sectional analyses, body weight is the main determinant of HRQoL.
Ul-Haq et al. evaluated HRQoL across studies that used SF-36 and cross-sectional data from six countries (Table 1) (15). In this well-designed meta-analysis, the authors analysed pooled estimates (totalling 43 086 participants) of the weighted mean difference in the Physical Component Summary (PCS) score and the Mental Component Summary (MCS) score with reference to normal weight, determined the degree of heterogeneity, assessed publication bias and applied a statistical method to reduce the risk of Type I errors. Compared with normal-weight adults, those with higher BMI (≥25 kg m⁻²) had significantly reduced PCS scores and a dose relationship was evident across all BMI categories. In contrast, compared with the normal-weight adults, MCS scores were significantly reduced only in those with Class III obesity (BMI ≥ 40 kg m⁻²), but not Class I (BMI 30–34.9 kg m⁻²) or Class II (BMI 35–39.9 kg m⁻²) obesity (15).

Category 2: Health-related quality of life after weight loss (varied interventions and/or study design)

Carson et al. (16) assessed changes in HRQoL after dietary interventions in 21 randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and 3 non-RCTs (Table 1) (38–61). Twenty-two studies reported improvements in HRQoL over time; (38–50,52–54,56–61) however, 3 of these 22 studies used only non-obesity-specific disease measures to assess HRQoL (38,44,54). Mean weight loss ranged from 2 to 10 kg for the groups receiving active treatment (i.e. calorie restriction, healthy diet or commercial programme).

Four studies demonstrated that changes in HRQoL were independent of weight loss; (39–42) 11 studies indicated that changes in HRQoL were probably a result of weight loss (38,43–52) (three of these included only a non-obesity-specific measure of disease impairment); (38,44,51) and in the remaining nine studies, the role of weight loss in HRQoL changes was unclear (53–61).

Kroes et al. assessed the impact of weight/BMI change on HRQoL (SF-36, IWQOL-Lite, or both) in 8 RCTs and 12 non-RCTs following a number of different interventions, including lifestyle approaches (exercise, dietary weight loss or counselling), pharmaceutical intervention and bariatric surgery (17). For the seven studies that reported the association of weight loss and HRQoL, one study of lifestyle change resulted in a median weight loss of 0.36 kg; for the one pharmaceutical intervention, mean weight loss was 2.7%; for the studies of bariatric surgery with ≥1-year follow-up, percent weight reduction was 20–38.8% and percent excess weight loss was 56.4–62.7%. Regardless of intervention, improvements in the physical aspects of the SF-36 were reported more frequently than improvements in mental/psychosocial aspects, whereas improvements in all or most of the obesity-specific IWQOL-Lite subscales were demonstrated. However, a significant degree of heterogeneity in the included studies precluded drawing conclusions about the specific association between weight/BMI change and HRQoL.

Category 3: Health-related quality of life after weight-loss (randomized controlled trials only)

Two reviews described HRQoL outcomes after RCTs of weight-loss interventions, one published in 2005 (18) and the other in 2013 (12) (Table 1). The earlier review included 34 studies, while the later one included 53 studies (with 14 overlapping studies; see Table S1). As well as an increase in the number of studies, the quality of reporting of HRQoL results improved over time, allowing more sophisticated analyses in the more recent review.

One similarity between these two reviews is that weight-loss interventions were quite diverse. Although both reviews included meta-analyses, the 2005 review (18) – due to poor quality of reporting and insufficient data on measures of HRQoL – used a meta-analysis only on the effect of weight-loss treatment on depressive symptoms, whereas in the 2013 review (12) meta-analyses were used to examine the relationship between changes in weight and HRQoL.

In the studies reported in the 2005 review (18), 9 of 34 RCTs demonstrated improvements in generic HRQoL in one or more domains, although the domains varied by study. Six of the 11 that used obesity-specific measures showed positive treatment effects. Weight loss was not reported in this review. In the studies reported in 2013 by Warkentin et al. (12), 14 of 36 studies reporting generic measures of HRQoL found significant improvements, whereas 4 of 15 studies reporting obesity-specific measures found significant improvements. Weight loss varied from ≤5 to ≥10% (specific weight loss values were not reported). Conclusions from both of these reviews of RCTs were similar. Maciejewski et al. (18) concluded: ‘HRQoL outcomes, including depression, were not consistently improved in RCTs of weight loss.’ Warkentin et al. (12) concluded: ‘Certainly, compelling and definitive RCT-level data to support the notion that HRQoL is consistently and robustly improved following weight loss is not available.’

Category 4: Health-related quality of life after bariatric surgery

Six reviews examined HRQoL after bariatric surgery (Table 1) (19–22,29,33). Inclusion/exclusion criteria differed between the reviews, resulting in eight overlapping studies between Lindekilde et al. (20) and Magallares and Schomerus (19), six between Lindekilde et al. (20) and Andersen et al. (21), four between Lindekilde et al. (20) and Hachem et al. (29), and three between Lindekilde et al.
Changes in HRQoL outcomes after bariatric surgery showed improvements in both physical and mental domains. In the meta-analysis of the first three studies, improvements in PCS occurred at 1–2 years across all studies, whereas improvements in MCS showed significant only in PCS (63). Peak improvements in PCS occurred at 1–2 years across all studies. MCS scores showed a less consistent pattern. While the systematic review conducted by Driscoll et al. (33) showed some inconsistencies in SF-36 results, meta-analysis results revealed significant improvements in both physical and mental health domains of the SF-36 after 5 years, favouring surgical over control groups. Improvements were greater in the physical compared with the mental domains.

In general, results of SF-36 scores following bariatric surgery showed improvements in HRQoL relative to non-surgical groups. Moreover, although these changes were seen in both mental and physical domains, improvements in physical domains of HRQoL seem to be greater.
Obesity-specific health-related quality of life results of bariatric surgery studies

Five of the six reviews included obesity-specific HRQoL results (20–22,29,33). In the three studies (25,30,64) reviewed by Andersen et al. (21) that used an obesity-specific measure of HRQoL, significant and very large effects were reported at 5–10 years. In addition, effect sizes were generally larger for obesity-specific than for generic measures. Similarly, effect sizes were greater for obesity-specific than for generic measures of HRQoL in the Linde-kilde et al. (20) review.

Two studies included in the review by Hachem et al. reported between-group differences on obesity-specific measures of HRQoL (26,65). Specifically, in the study by Adams et al. (26), significant improvements were found on the IWQOL-Lite questionnaire in the post-gastric bypass surgery vs. two comparator groups (people with obesity seeking bariatric surgery who did not have surgery and people with obesity who were not seeking surgery).

In the Brunault et al. (65) study, both laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding (LAGB) and laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSG) resulted in improved physical, psychosocial, sexual and diet experience domains on the QoL, Obesity and Dietetics Rating Scale vs. pre-operative assessments. In addition, the ‘comfort with food scale’ showed greater improvement in the LSG group compared with the LAGB group at 6, but not at 12, months.

In the review by Driscoll et al. (33), three studies (30,66,67) included the Obesity-related Problems scale (OP scale) and one study (25) included the IWQOL-Lite. An observational, cross-sectional study by Raoof et al. (66) indicated that OP scores for patients who had undergone gastric bypass surgery an average of 11.5 ± 2.7 years earlier showed improved HRQoL vs. scores obtained by a matched group awaiting bariatric surgery; however, OP scores for the post-surgical group also revealed that HRQoL remained impaired when compared with the general population. In the study by Karlsson et al. (30), the OP scale improved significantly for bariatric surgery patients at 10 years vs. pre-surgery and these improvements were greater than those seen in patients treated with nonsurgical interventions. In the study by Aftab et al. (67), OP scores obtained in post-bariatric surgery patients at 5 years were superior to those obtained in patients pre-surgery. Kolotkin et al. (25) found that patients who had undergone gastric bypass surgery 6 years previously had significantly greater improvements from baseline in all five IWQOL-Lite domains compared with people with obesity who sought but did not receive gastric bypass, and also compared with people with severe obesity in the general population.

In summary, using obesity-specific HRQoL measures, positive effects on HRQoL were seen following bariatric surgery, and these changes tended to be greater than those seen with SF-36.

Discussion

This is the first systematic review of reviews to synthesize published information on the impact of obesity and weight loss on HRQoL. By including only meta-analyses and systematic reviews, and excluding narrative reviews, bias was minimized. Moreover, by reviewing multiple reviews, we were able to integrate information from a large number of studies: only 64 (27%) of the 240 studies were included in more than one review, and this small degree of overlap limited the risk of duplication of conclusions, while ensuring the reviews were not overly selective. This systematic review of reviews illustrates the significant and negative impact that overweight/obesity has on HRQoL, regardless of study population (14,15).

In reviews examining the relationship between HRQoL and obesity in various populations without or prior to intervention, increased obesity was associated with decreased HRQoL, particularly in those with Class III obesity (BMI ≥ 40 kg m⁻²) (15) and those seeking bariatric surgery (14), suggesting that low HRQoL may provide motivation in these patients to undergo an invasive intervention. Furthermore, the physical aspects of HRQoL seem to be more closely associated with degree of obesity than the mental aspects of HRQoL; in population data from six countries the relationship between BMI and SF-36 PCS was dose-dependent, with poorer physical HRQoL occurring in those with higher BMIs, whereas MCS scores were reduced only in those with Class III obesity.

Reviews limited to RCTs (12,18) represent the most robust dataset available, and although one might expect consistent associations between weight loss and improved HRQoL, these studies are not conclusive, despite inclusion of both generic and obesity-specific data. In the two reviews limited to RCTs, one reported significant improvements in one or more domains of generic HRQoL in 9 out of 34 included RCTs (18), whereas the other reported improvements in 14 out of 36 included studies evaluating HRQoL using a generic measure (12). Obesity-specific HRQoL results were also inconsistent, with one review reporting improved obesity-specific HRQoL in 6 out of 11 included studies (18) and the other reporting improved obesity-specific HRQoL in 4 out of 15 included studies (12).

The lack of consistently demonstrated associations between weight loss and improved HRQoL in RCTs may be due to the following factors: diverse HRQoL measures have been used; weight-loss interventions have been heterogeneous, with some more successful at inducing weight loss than others; studies may have been underpowered to detect differences in HRQoL outcomes; and there has been poor reporting of HRQoL outcomes (since weight loss, rather than HRQoL, is usually the primary outcome). To bring more clarity to these results, authors of two of the systematic reviews recommend that future research focus on
prospective, long-term studies, especially RCTs or large, well-designed, observational studies with high retention rates, comparator groups and carefully chosen generic and obesity-specific HRQoL measures (12,21).

Results from reviews that include studies of mixed design (RCTs and non-RCTs) and/or mixed interventions are even more difficult to interpret (16,17), especially when treatments are diverse (e.g. bariatric surgery, pharmaceutical interventions and lifestyle treatments) and result in vastly divergent weight loss outcomes (17). Overall, more randomized, controlled, high-quality studies are needed to better understand the relationship between the various possible weight-loss interventions and changes in HRQoL.

When reviews were limited to those undergoing bariatric surgery, there was less variation in results, with post-bariatric surgery patients demonstrating improvements in both physical and mental aspects of generic HRQoL. It is likely that the greater weight reductions seen with bariatric surgery, compared with dietary, medical and lifestyle treatments, contribute to the consistency of improvements in HRQoL. In addition, baseline HRQoL scores are more impaired for people undergoing bariatric surgery than for patients receiving non-surgical treatments (14) allowing the possibility of greater improvement. Improvements in physical aspects were greater and reported more consistently than improvements in mental aspects of HRQoL when assessed with the SF-36. Comparison of post-surgical effect sizes indicated consistently larger effects with obesity-specific measures compared with generic measures, suggesting that obesity-specific measures may be more sensitive to change.

That such effects were generally more marked when obesity-specific measures were utilized is consistent with recommendations made in several reviews that both types of measures be incorporated into future studies (12,17,20,21,29).

In the reviews comparing HRQoL outcomes in patients who have undergone weight-loss surgery with non-surgical comparator groups, improvements in HRQoL were generally greater in the surgical groups, despite some inconsistency of findings. Taken as a whole, these findings suggest the value of assessing HRQoL in patients with clinically severe obesity so that they may receive the HRQoL benefits from bariatric surgery.

It is encouraging that weight loss is often associated with improvements in HRQoL in people with obesity. Nevertheless, some reviews demonstrated significant variability in HRQoL after weight-loss intervention. Articles included in this systematic review of reviews cited several potential limitations, including insufficient data to patient dropout and lack of follow-up, and a shortage of studies reporting on obesity-specific HRQoL. For example, in the review by Warkentin et al. (12), only 25% of studies using SF-36 could be included in the quantitative data pooling due to poor reporting quality. This low quality of reporting and lack of data may be because HRQoL is usually a secondary outcome in studies. Another limitation in the included reviews of weight-loss studies is that length of follow-up varied considerably, some with durations as short as 6 weeks, making it difficult to draw meaningful conclusions about longer-term outcomes. The majority of studies had a short-term (<24 months) or medium-term (24–60 months) follow-up period and only two reviews (both evaluating the effects of bariatric surgery on HRQoL) focused exclusively on studies with long-term follow-up periods (≥5 years) (21,33).

The assessment of the quality of studies included in a review is also an important indicator of the strength of the conclusions of the authors; yet, only approximately 40% of systematic reviews evaluate the quality of included studies (68).

Notably, in this systematic review of reviews, a higher number (9 of the 12 review articles [75%]) concerned themselves with study quality, either by including a direct assessment of study quality (12,16–18,22,33) or by using ‘acceptable quality’ (variably defined) as selection criteria for study inclusion (20,21).

We also noted differences across the 12 reviews with respect to HRQoL measures, country and language of the studies, reporting of results, method for determining effect sizes, length of follow-up, type of weight-loss intervention and population being studied. We made every effort to describe similarities and differences among reviews and to report the number of reviews that made particular conclusions. We believe our review represents a true synthesis of current and diverse reviews in this field and, as such, has broad applicability.

We make no attempt to draw conclusions about the HRQoL of specific patient populations/subgroups (e.g. different ethnicities/cultures, age or comorbidities). Studies not discussed in this review have shown that these variables may be helpful in predicting the impact that weight and/or weight loss have on HRQoL (69–72). For example, increasing age in people with overweight or obesity is associated with increased impairment in some domains of HRQoL, such as physical function, sexual life and work, but not others (72). Some studies conclude that women with overweight or obesity report more impairment than men in some HRQoL domains (71,72). Furthermore, HRQoL in people with obesity varies depending on presence of comorbidities (69,70). Two reviews (15,17) recommend that future studies assess the impact of comorbidities on HRQoL.

Four reviews (12,16–18) recommended exploring mediators of changes in HRQoL to understand if the driver of HRQoL improvements is weight loss itself, the weight-loss intervention or changes in other variables. A recent study (73) used multiple mediation analysis to explore causal...
mechanisms underlying the relationship between weight loss and improved HRQoL in two weight-loss trials investigating phentermine/topiramate. Results indicated that improved HRQoL was primarily mediated by weight loss, but decreased depressive symptoms also accounted for improvements in HRQoL.

Similarly, a mediation analysis of three RCTs with liraglutide 3.0 mg showed that improvements in IWQOL-Lite total and physical function scores in patients with type 2 diabetes were primarily driven by weight loss (74). Several other recommendations for future research were provided in the included reviews (see Fig. 3 for summary).

After conducting this systematic review of reviews, we believe the next wave of research studies should focus on the interactions between HRQoL and other variables, such as gender, fitness level, comorbidities or body image. For example, do the associations between HRQoL and obesity and/or weight loss consistently vary by other variables and how do these variables interact with each other? Is there a gradient in HRQoL by weight-loss treatment, such that treatments inducing greater weight loss are or are not associated with greater HRQoL improvements? How much weight loss is needed for improvement in HRQoL and what is the impact of weight regain on HRQoL? Is improvement in HRQoL after weight-loss treatment dependent primarily on amount of weight loss, or do other factors have a role? Can we predict which individuals will experience improved HRQoL after weight loss?

Inclusion of HRQoL assessments, especially obesity-specific assessments, in regular patient evaluations could guide the development of broad healthcare policies that recognize the bio-psychosocial impact of the growing obesity epidemic. HRQoL assessments can help patients and providers differentiate between treatments that have similar weight-loss patterns but different side-effect profiles or different impacts on HRQoL. It is desirable to reach a consensus for the best way to evaluate the impact of obesity and/or weight loss on HRQoL in future studies to facilitate comparison of results across studies (77).

In summary, this is the first systematic review of reviews to synthesize research on the impact of obesity and weight loss on HRQoL. We found that obesity was associated with significantly lower HRQoL in all populations. We also established an important relationship between weight loss and improved HRQoL, which is demonstrated most consistently following bariatric surgery, but less consistently following non-surgical weight-loss interventions.

In order to build upon these findings, we recommend longer-term studies that use both generic and obesity-specific measures and that evaluate the impact of other factors (e.g. comorbidities, fitness level, body image) on HRQoL after various weight-loss interventions. These findings, plus those of future studies and review articles, will help us better understand the complex and multifaceted nature of obesity and its impact on the daily lives of our patients.
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