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This study found empirical evidence supporting the “growth-survival” paradigm in the marine phase of Arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus*) and brown trout (*Salmo trutta*). The paradigm postulates that larger or faster-growing individuals are more likely to survive than smaller or slower-growing conspecifics. The study employed long-term (25-year) capture data from a trap in the River Halselva in Norway during annual migration between marine and freshwater environments. Similar results were found for both species. Growth during the sea sojourn and return rates were positively correlated, linking increased survival with growth. Specific growth rate, survival, and duration of the sea sojourn of first-time migrants were correlated, suggesting that common environmental conditions at sea influence annual fish productivity. Freshwater and sea temperatures affected migration timing, whereas annual variation in marine growth and survival did not correlate with temperatures. This suggests that other factors such as variation in energy intake was the main source of annual growth variations. Moreover, the marine growth rate of the two species may signal annual overall fjord ecosystem production, especially related to their main prey.
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Introduction

The “growth-survival” paradigm is influential in the study of marine-fish recruitment dynamics (Ottersen and Loeng 2000; Houde 2008; Pepin et al. 2015); it postulates that size, growth rate, or both factors during early life are positively correlated to survival (Anderson 1988). Despite widespread acceptance, little field evidence exists for this hypothesis in larger and older stages of marine fishes. However, some results from studies of anadromous salmonids suggest that a positive relationship between growth and survival may exist during the marine life stage (Friedland et al. 2009). In long-term tagging studies with two Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) populations in the North Sea, positive correlations were found between growth of post-smolts (i.e., during the first summer at sea) and sea temperature conditions, and high growth led to higher return rates (Friedland et al. 2000). Further, analyses of spacing between scale circuli of coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch) indicated that reduced early marine growth was associated with lower marine survival (Beamish et al. 2004), and similar results have been found for pink salmon (Oncorhynchus gorbuscha) (Holtby et al. 1990; Moss et al. 2005). Moreover, retrospective analyses of circuli spacing from long-term scale-sample data established relationships between post-smolt growth and sea surface temperatures (SST) in two European Atlantic salmon populations, again linking growth to abundance and survival (Peyronnet et al. 2007; McCarthy et al. 2008).

Indirect estimates of growth through fish-scale analysis may be influenced by both estimation and sampling biases (Francis 1990), and more direct analyses of growth and survival may provide stronger evidence. Anadromous species, like Arctic char (Salvelinus alpinus) and brown trout (Salmo trutta), conduct the bulk of their life-time growth over some months during summer at sea, through annual, local migrations to coastal areas near their natal river (Klemetsen et al. 2003; Eldøy et al. 2015; Jensen et al. 2015). Subsequently, with the exception of some pure riverine populations, most individuals are expected to return,
overwinter and spawn in fresh water (Jensen and Rikardsen 2008; Jensen et al. 2014; Jensen et al. 2015). Therefore, the brown trout and the Arctic char are more suited than other migratory marine fish for studying relationships between growth and survival across different life-history stages; both variables can be recorded easily at their main feeding habitat, via trapping and enumerating most of the population during their biannual migrations (Elliott 1994; Rikardsen and Elliott 2000; Jensen et al. 2015).

The main objective of this study was to test the hypothesis that marine growth mediates the survival of brown trout and Arctic char. We examined data from a 25-year mark-and-release project at the River Halselva in northern Norway. Additionally, we investigated whether climate affected the growth rate, duration of the first sea journey, as well as timing of descent and ascent to the traps.

**Materials and methods**

**Study area**

The Hals watercourse (70°2’N, 22°57’E) in the Arctic region of Norway has a catchment area of 143 km² and drains into the Alta Fjord (Fig. 1). Approximately 20 km of the watercourse is accessible to anadromous salmonids (Arctic char, brown trout, and Atlantic salmon), including a 1.2-km² lake located 2.1 km inland and 30 m above sea level (Lake Storvatn, Fig. 1). Both bodies of water are ice-covered from December to March or April, a period characterised by low water flow. A pronounced increase in flow occurs during the snow-melting period (May–June), followed by a decrease during July–August, yielding a mean annual flow of 4.3 m³·s⁻¹. The River Halselva empties directly into the sea without any distinct estuary, resulting in limited freshwater areas for fish to overwinter downstream of the fish traps (see below). Minimum temperature in the River Halselva is around 0°C during the ice-covered period, then rises steadily until reaching a maximum temperature of
approximately 13°C in early August. Respectively, minimum and maximum sea temperatures are approximately 2.5°C in late March and 11°C during late July–early August.

Fish sampling

During 1987–2012, fish were sampled via permanent fish traps placed 200 m upstream from the sea: Wolf traps (Wolf 1951) (apertures 10 mm, inclination 1:10) for descending fish and fixed box traps for ascending fish. All passing fish larger than 10 cm were trapped; the Arctic char and the brown trout were predominant in the watercourse, but Atlantic salmon and European eels (*Anguilla anguilla*) were also present. The traps operated during the ice-free period and were emptied twice per day (at 8:00 and 20:00) to record morphological data before release. Body length (natural tip length \( L \), in mm) and mass (\( M \), in g) were measured for all fish, and sex and sexual maturation was determined with external inspection of all fish excluding first-time migrants.

The present study included Carlin-tagged (Carlin 1955), 18–28-cm smolts of brown trout (n = 12,682) and Arctic char (n = 10,232) that migrated to sea before 1 August during 1988–2012. Individuals migrating after 1 August (6.1% of brown trout and 1.7% of Arctic char) were omitted because the proportion of parr increased in autumn. Data for the cohorts that migrated during 1990–1993 were also excluded from analyses due to extensive sea-ranching experiments on Arctic char.

In general, smolts of Arctic char migrate before brown trout, with median dates of descent of 25 June and 4 July, respectively, although some smolts of both species leave the river throughout most of the ice-free period of the year (Jensen et al. 2012). The annual descent of naturally produced Arctic char and brown trout smolts were, respectively, 500–3600 (mean = 1350) and 300–1400 (mean = 950) (Jensen et al. 2012).
For both species, survival rate was defined as the return rate of smolts to the trap, an important early signal of overall cohort survival (Jensen et al. 2015).

The standardized mass-specific growth rate ($\Omega$, % day$^{-1}$) was used to eliminate the effect of growth rate differences in initial body sizes (Sigourney et al. 2008; Finstad et al. 2011; Forseth et al. 2011), and was estimated as (Ostrovsky 1995):

$$\Omega = 100* \left( M_1^b - M_0^b \right) / (t_1 - t_0) * b \quad (1),$$

where $M_0$ is smolt body mass at descent from the river, $M_1$ is the body mass at ascent in the same year, $t_0$ is the date of descent, $t_1$ is the date of ascent, $t_1 - t_0$ is the duration at sea, and $b$ is the allometric mass exponent for the specific growth rate and body mass relationship (0.31 for brown trout, Elliott et al. (1995); the same value is in the present paper used for Arctic char).

**Environmental data**

Temperatures in the River Halselva and the Alta Fjord were measured every 4 hours during 1987–1998 and every hour during 1999–2012 with temperature loggers. Tagging experiments with data storage tags indicated that the Hals stock of both Arctic char and brown trout spent more than 90% of their time at 0-3 m depth at sea (Rikardsen et al. 2007a). Thus, sea temperatures considered representative of both species’ marine habitat were taken at a depth of 3 m, approximately 100 m from shore and 300 m north of the river outlet.

**Statistical analyses**

Statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS version 23, with Pearson’s correlation, analysis of variance (ANOVA), linear regression, and pairwise t-tests.
Results

Growth and survival

Although no significant temporal trends were detected during the 25-year period regarding duration of the first sea sojourn, standardized mass-specific growth rate ($\Omega$), seasonal mass increment, or return rate for any of the two species (Fig. 2, ANOVA tests, $P > 0.05$), all these factors were significantly and positively correlated between the two species during their first sea sojourn (Fig. 3).

For both species, significant correlations were found between standardized mass-specific growth rate and return rate (Fig. 4), as well as between mass increase and return rate (Fig. 5), clearly linking increased survival with growth.

The first sea sojourn ($\pm$ SE) lasted considerably longer for brown trout (mean 55.7 ± 1.0 days) than for Arctic char (34.4 ± 1.4 days), with between-year variations of 47.3–64.0 days and 23.8–44.6 days for the former and the latter, respectively (Fig. 2a, Fig. 3a).

Based on the standardized mass-specific growth rate, brown trout grew faster (pairwise $t$-test, $t = 3.39$, d.f. = 20, $P = 0.003$) during their first sea sojourn (mean 8.51 ± 0.28% day$^{-1}$) than Arctic char (mean 7.60 ± 0.41% day$^{-1}$).

The mass increment during the first sea sojourn was considerably higher for brown trout than for Arctic char, mainly because the sea sojourn lasted longer in the former. The mean mass increment of brown trout was 152.7 ± 6.4 g, with a between-year variation of 103.0–198.4 g (Fig. 2c, Fig. 3c). For Arctic char, the mean mass increment was 71.2 ± 5.6 g (variation: 31.8–114.6 g) (Fig. 2c, Fig. 3c).

A higher proportion of Arctic char than brown trout returned to the River Halselva during same summer of migration to sea as smolts (Fig. 2d, Fig. 3d). The mean return rate of Arctic char was 32.5 ± 2.7% (between-year variation: 16.4–58.3%), while that of brown trout was 20.3 ± 2.0% (variation: 8.2–37.0%).
Duration of the first sea sojourn was significantly related to mass increase during this period for both species (Fig. 6b). However, no significant relationship existed between the duration of the first sea sojourn and standardized mass-specific growth rate (Fig. 6a) or return rate (Fig. 6c).

**Environmental correlates**

The timing of the seaward migration was negatively correlated with mean river temperature in June, although this relationship was not significant for brown trout (Fig. 7). A significant negative relationship existed in both species between the mean duration of first sea sojourn and average sea temperatures during August in the Alta Fjord: the first sea sojourn was among the shortest in years with very high average temperatures (Fig. 8). However, no significant relationship was detected between the median date of ascent and Alta Fjord temperatures (Pearson correlation, p > 0.05).

Neither species exhibited a significant relationship in their standardized mass-specific growth rate or return rate and Alta Fjord temperatures (Pearson correlation, p > 0.05). It should be noted that the among year variation in sea temperatures during the sea sojourn was small (CV brown trout 7.8 %, CV Arctic char 8.5 %).

**Discussion**

The present study demonstrates that marine growth and survival are positively correlated in first-time migrants of Arctic char and brown trout, in accordance with other studies suggesting a link between increased growth rate of post-smolts and high sea survival of salmonid fishes (Friedland et al. 2000; Beamish et al. 2004; Peyronnet et al. 2007; Friedland et al. 2009). However, these previous studies were performed on species that remained over one year at sea before returning to their natal rivers, and most (but see...
Friedland et al. 2000) was based on back-calculation of growth from scales. Furthermore, their marine feeding areas were partly unknown. Here, we were able to address the uncertainties that may have affected most earlier work: we calculated growth directly via measuring individual lengths and mass during both ascent and descent journeys, had precise data on migration and return dates and detailed information on marine feeding areas (Finstad and Heggberget 1993; Jensen et al. 2014).

Brown trout and Arctic char were similar in patterns of annual marine growth and survival, as well as duration of the first sea sojourn, suggesting that common marine environmental conditions influence the production of both fishes. Biotic factors (e.g., prey availability, predators, and parasites) and abiotic factors (e.g., sea temperature) could combine to influence annual variation in fish growth and survival. However, sea temperatures during the sea sojourn showed small among year variation and was not correlated with growth of either species, leaving biotic factors as most likely explanations for variation in growth. Most (~80%) sea fishery recoveries of individually tagged brown trout and Arctic char from the River Halselva has been recorded within 30 km from the river mouth (Finstad and Heggberget 1993). Moreover, a recent electronic (acoustic) tagging study on individuals of both species (from the same populations as the present study) confirmed that most fish feed within the fjord system (Jensen et al. 2014).

Although many factors may affect fish growth, water temperature, fish size, and energy intake (ration size, prey availability) are generally considered the most important variables (Brett et al. 1969). In the present study, standardized mass-specific growth rate ($\Omega$) was used to account for the effects of differences in initial body sizes on growth rate. For both Arctic char and brown trout, Alta Fjord temperatures were always lower than the optimal temperature for growth at maximum rations obtained in fresh water experiments (Jonsson et al. 2001; Larsson et al. 2005), and hence positive correlations between sea
temperature and marine growth was expected. However, no such a relationship was found, potentially due to the relatively small among variation in temperatures during the sea sojourn.

By elimination, this suggests that energy intake (or prey availability) was the main factor affecting annual growth variations in this study.

Regardless of environment, Arctic char and brown trout are opportunistic feeders (Elliott 1994; 1997; Rikardsen et al. 2000; Klemetsen et al. 2003; Rikardsen and Amundsen 2005). Although subtle differences exist in their behaviour, at sea both species commonly feed in shallow areas near the shore (Johnson 1980; Rikardsen et al. 2007b; Jensen et al. 2014), and spend > 90% of their time at 0-3 m depth (Rikardsen et al. 2007a). Indeed, variation in prey abundance appear to predict growth in both salmonids. Our growth rate data saw fluctuations similar to reports from Rikardsen et al. (2007b) describing the densities of herring larvae. Studies examining marine feeding in the Hals populations of brown trout and Arctic char revealed that herring larvae (Clupea harengus) dominated the total fish diet of both species, but the Arctic char diet also included considerable amounts of juvenile gadoids and sandlance (Ammodytes spp.). The same study concluded that brown trout and Arctic char diets may overlap considerably when fish larvae are superabundant in northern fjords, but vary when fish larvae (especially herring) densities are low. In support, fluctuating densities of 0+ year herring larvae during 1992–1993 (low) and 2000–2004 (3–25× higher) corresponded well with the stomach contents of brown trout and Arctic char in the Alta Fjord (Rikardsen et al. 2007b). The present growth rate data from similar time frames (Fig. 2) also corresponded with the reported herring densities (Arctic char: 3.9-5.5 % day\(^{-1}\) in 1992-1993 and 6.3-10.4 % day\(^{-1}\) in 2000-2004, respectively; brown trout: 6.8-8.2 % day\(^{-1}\) in 1992-1993 and 7.6-10.8 % day\(^{-1}\) in 2000-2004, respectively, A.J. Jensen unpubl. data). Sea-ranching experiments with hatchery reared Arctic char in the first period may, however, have affected growth of naturally produced fish negatively.
For both species, surrounding water temperature affected the timing of descent to the sea (river temperatures) and the duration of the first sea sojourn (fjord temperatures). In northern Norway, most of the increases to Arctic char mass and feeding occurred within the first 2–3 weeks of their sea migration, and decreased throughout the summer (Berg and Berg 1989; Rikardsen et al. 2000). Rikardsen et al. (2000) suggested that this may have been due to extensive feeding on the energy rich copepod *Calanus finmarchicus* and krill *Thysanoessa* sp. At the beginning of their migration, *Calanus finmarchicus* is assumed to be a key species in marine ecosystems and often represents over 90% of the total zooplankton biomass in northern and arctic areas, but the window of availability for preying on *C. finmarchicus* is only 4–8 weeks during early summer (Tande 1991; Halvorsen and Tande 1999). High *C. finmarchicus* densities lead to increased fish larval growth rates and in turn, high prey abundance for anadromous fish (Rikardsen and Dempson 2011). Earlier sea migration of smolts during warm years may be an adaptation to coincide with a correspondingly earlier zooplankton bloom. Moreover, a late-summer reduction in food rations is considered more energetically-taxing during warm rather than cold years. Combined with higher predation risk at sea, the environmental conditions indicate that an early return to fresh water during the late summers of warm years would likely be favourable for survival.

In conclusion, despite differences in foraging strategy and habitat use, brown trout and Arctic char were significantly correlated in annual growth rate variation, sea sojourn duration, and sea survival of first-time migrants. Because both species are opportunistic feeders, they are good potential indicators of variability in marine ecosystem productivity, at least on a local scale, and may be useful for assessing the environmental impact of aquaculture, pollution, and other anthropogenic disturbances in coastal marine regions.
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Figure captions

Fig. 1. Map of the study area.

Fig. 2. Data on brown trout (solid line) and Arctic char (broken line) from the River Halselva during their first sea sojourn. Annual mean values of (a) days at sea, (b) standardized mass-specific growth rate ($\Omega$, % d$^{-1}$), (c) seasonal growth increment (g), and (d) return rate to the river (%).

Fig. 3. Relationships between anadromous brown trout and Arctic char from the River Halselva during their first sea sojourn. (a) Duration of the sea sojourn ($y = 0.875 x - 14.4, r^2 = 0.392, F_{1,19} = 12.3, P = 0.002$), (b) standardized mass-specific growth rate ($\Omega$, % d$^{-1}$) ($y = 1.14 x - 2.08, r^2 = 0.585, F_{1,19} = 26.8, P < 0.001$), (c) growth increment (g) ($y = 0.587 x - 18.4, r^2 = 0.448, F_{1,19} = 15.4, P < 0.001$), and d) return rate (%) to the river ($y = 1.14 x + 9.30, r^2 = 0.693, F_{1,19} = 43.0, P < 0.001$).

Fig. 4. The relationship between standardized mass-specific growth rate ($\Omega$, % d$^{-1}$) and return rate (%) of first-time migrants in (a) brown trout ($y = 4.07 x - 14.3, r^2 = 0.323, F_{1,19} = 9.05, P = 0.007$) and (b) Arctic char ($y = 3.91 x + 2.80, r^2 = 0.352, F_{1,19} = 10.31, P = 0.005$) from the River Halselva.

Fig. 5. The relationship between growth increment (g) during the first sea sojourn and post-sojourn return rate to the river for (c) brown trout (●, $y = 0.169 x - 5.40, r^2 = 0.296, F_{1,19} = 8.01, P = 0.011$) and (d) Arctic char (○, $y = 0.291 x - 11.8, r^2 = 0.362, F_{1,19} = 10.80, P = 0.004$) from the River Halselva.

Fig. 6. Relationships between duration of the first sea sojourn (days) of Arctic char (○) and brown trout (●) and (a) standardized mass-specific growth rate ($\Omega$, % d$^{-1}$) (Arctic char: $r^2 = 0.026, P > 0.05$, brown trout: $r^2 = 0.035, P > 0.05$), (b) growth increment in mass (g) (Arctic char: $y = 2.87 x - 27.4, r^2 = 0.465, F_{1,19} = 16.49, P = 0.001$; brown trout: $y = 3.89 x - 63.5, r^2 = 0.001$).
= 0.338, $F_{1,19} = 9.70, P = 0.006$), and (c) post-sojourn return rate (%) to the River Halselva

(Arctic char: $r^2 = 0.126, P>0.05$, brown trout: $r^2 = 0.020, P>0.05$).

**Fig. 7.** Relationships between June mean temperature (°C) in the River Halselva and the median date of smolt descent for (a) brown trout ($y = -1.69 x + 195, r^2 = 0.115, F_{1,21} = 2.74, P = 0.113$) and (b) Arctic char ($y = -2.45 x + 191, r^2 = 0.547, F_{1,21} = 25.32, P < 0.001$).

**Fig. 8.** Relationships between August mean sea temperature (°C) in the Alta Fjord and duration of the first sea sojourn for (a) brown trout ($y = -2.17 x + 79.0, r^2 = 0.247, F_{1,17} = 5.58, P = 0.030$) and (b) Arctic char ($y = -3.78 x + 75.1, r^2 = 0.403, F_{1,17} = 11.47, P = 0.004$).
Fig. 1. Map of the study area.
Fig. 2. Data on brown trout (●) and Arctic char (○) from the River Halselva during their first sea sojourn. Annual mean values of (a) days at sea, (b) standardized mass-specific growth rate (Ω, % d$^{-1}$), (c) seasonal mass increment (g), and (d) return rate to the river (%).
Fig. 3. Relationships between anadromous brown trout and Arctic char from the River Halselva during their first sea sojourn. (a) Duration of the sea sojourn \((y = 0.875 x - 14.4, r^2 = 0.392, F_{1,19} = 12.3, P = 0.002)\), (b) standardized mass-specific growth rate \((\Omega, \% \, d^{-1})\) \((y = 1.14 x - 2.08, r^2 = 0.585, F_{1,19} = 26.8, P < 0.001)\), (c) growth increment \((g)\) \((y = 0.587 x - 18.4, r^2 = 0.448, F_{1,19} = 15.4, P < 0.001)\), and (d) return rate (%) to the river \((y = 1.14 x + 9.30, r^2 = 0.693, F_{1,19} = 43.0, P < 0.001)\).
Fig. 4. The relationship between standardized mass-specific growth rate ($\Omega$, % d$^{-1}$) and return rate (%) of first-time migrants in (a) brown trout ($y = 4.07 x - 14.3$, $r^2 = 0.323$, $F_{1,19} = 9.05$, $P = 0.007$) and (b) Arctic char ($y = 3.91 x + 2.80$, $r^2 = 0.352$, $F_{1,19} = 10.31$, $P = 0.005$) from the River Halselva.
Fig. 5. The relationship between growth increment (g) during the first sea sojourn and post-sojourn return rate to the river for (c) brown trout (●, $y = 0.169x - 5.40$, $r^2 = 0.296$, $F_{1,19} = 8.01$, $P = 0.011$) and (d) Arctic char (○, $y = 0.291x - 11.8$, $r^2 = 0.362$, $F_{1,19} = 10.80$, $P = 0.004$) from the River Halselva.
Fig. 6. Relationships between duration of the first sea sojourn (days) of Arctic char (○) and brown trout (●) and (a) standardized mass-specific growth rate ($\Omega$, % d$^{-1}$) (Arctic char: $r^2 = 0.026$, P > 0.05, brown trout: $r^2 = 0.035$, P > 0.05), (b) growth increment in mass (g) (Arctic char: $y = 2.87x - 27.4$, $r^2 = 0.465$, $F_{1,19} = 16.49$, P = 0.001; brown trout: $y = 3.89x - 63.5$, $r^2$
(c) post-sojourn return rate (%) to the River Halselva

(Arctic char: $r^2 = 0.126$, $P>0.05$, brown trout: $r^2 = 0.020$, $P>0.05$).
Fig. 7. Relationships between June mean temperature (°C) in the River Halselva and the median date of smolt descent for (a) brown trout ($y = -1.69 x + 195$, $r^2 = 0.115$, $F_{1,21} = 2.74$, $P = 0.113$) and (b) Arctic char ($y = -2.45 x + 191$, $r^2 = 0.547$, $F_{1,21} = 25.32$, $P < 0.001$).
Fig. 8. Relationships between August mean sea temperature (°C) in the Alta Fjord and duration of the first sea sojourn for (a) brown trout \( (y = -2.17 x + 79.0, r^2 = 0.247, F_{1,17} = 5.58, P = 0.030) \) and (b) Arctic char \( (y = -3.78 x + 75.1, r^2 = 0.403, F_{1,17} = 11.47, P = 0.004) \).