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ABSTRACT

Conventional pressure based flow distributors face challenges in the form of operational limits as their low outlet density and non-uniform flow distribution path, often act as bottleneck in the overall chemical equipment efficiency. Recently, a new distributor design inspired by the concept of fractal shows promising performance over a wide range of applications and operating conditions. The inherent scaling symmetry from such fractal distributors allows identical hydraulic flow path length to all outlets as well as much higher outlet density. In this study, we have designed a novel 12" by 12" plate and frame ion-exchanger called “Fractal Pack” and tested it in pilot scale adopting fractal distributors with 256 outlets under operating flow rates ranging from \(6.31 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3/\text{s}\) to \(2.52 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^3/\text{s}\). For comparison, ion-exchanger with 16 distributor outlets has also been assembled to mimic the performance of conventional pressure-based design. Both residence time distribution test and CFD investigations have been conducted. From CFD results, at highest flow rate, we found the overall pressure drop for ion-exchanger with 16 outlets is about 6 times larger than with fractal distributor and 78% of its pressure drop is caused by sudden expansion and contraction at 16 outlets. In addition, a key index, degree of heterogeneity which measures the percentage of mal-distribution zones inside resin, has been defined to quantify flow distribution inside resin. The distributor equipped with 16 outlets shows 4 times more mal-distribution zones than 256 outlets at highest flow rate. This work demonstrates that fractal distributors can reliably provide superior performance over conventional distributors in a compact design framework; by introduction of symmetry, fractal distributors can aid process intensifications for many chemical processes that are plagued by heterogeneities and poor process efficiencies. The work also demonstrates how CFD can assist in avoiding ad-hoc design decision on dimensions and systematically explore the design space for optimum design decisions, using optimization criteria like coefficient of variation, degree of dispersion or heterogeneity.

Keywords: CFD, flow distribution, fractal geometry, fluid distributor, RTD

NOMENCLATURE

Greek Symbols
\(\alpha\) Permeability, [m\(^2\)].
\(\delta_{ij}\) Kronecker delta function.
\(\epsilon\) Turbulence energy dissipation rate, [m\(^2\)/s\(^2\)].
\(\mu_t\) Turbulent viscosity, [kg/m.s].
\(\rho\) Mass density, [kg/m\(^3\)].
\(\sigma\) Turbulent Prandtl number.

Latin Symbols
\(C\) Tracer concentration, [mol/m\(^3\)].
\(d\) Channel depth, [m].
\(D_d\) Diffusivity of the tracer, [m\(^2\)/s].
\(D_R\) Diameter of resin bead, [m].
\(g\) Gravity, [m/s\(^2\)].
\(G_k\) Generation of turbulent kinetic energy due to the mean velocity gradients, [m\(^2\)/s\(^2\)].
\(G_g\) Generation of turbulent kinetic energy due to buoyance, [m\(^2\)/s\(^2\)].
\(h\) Channel width, [m].
\(k\) Turbulent kinetic energy, [m^2/s^2].
\(p\) Pressure, [Pa].
\(R\) Resistance in the resin section, [Pa/m].
\(u_i\) Velocity component in \(i\)th spatial component, [m/s].
\(\chi_i\) \(i\)th spatial component, [m].

Sub/superscripts
\(i\) Index \(i\).
\(j\) Index \(j\).
\(\rightarrow\) Vector form.

INTRODUCTION

Since its emergence in 1970s, process intensification, as one promising innovation paths in chemical process industry, has been attracting extensive research interests from both academic and industrial sectors. Process intensification consists of the development of novel apparatuses and techniques that can lead to drastic improvement in chemical processes by reduction of equipment size, energy consumption or water utilization. Such characteristics are highly desired as they make the chemical industry more sustainable and environment-friendly(H. Liu et al., 2010). One good topic of process intensification is the innovation of flow distributors(V. Kochergin and M. Kearney, 2006). Flow distributors are extensively integrated by chemical equipment to distribute incoming feed streams uniformly.
prior to the subsequent operations, whether it is reaction, mixing or separation. The efficiency of the flow distributor plays a key role in determining the overall performance of the chemical equipment. When the distributors have low efficiencies, the chemical equipment has to be oversized as a compensation, resulting in increased material and energy consumption and reduced efficiency. In order to achieve process intensification, innovative flow distributors that offer uniform flow distribution and close residence time distribution of the feed streams are in urgent need by the chemical industry.

Conventional flow distributors utilize the pressure-based and trough-type designs, of which the typical diagrams are shown in Figure 1(a) and (b), respectively. The pressure-based designs, i.e., spray nozzle distributors as seen in Figure 1(a), positions their outlets on the branches with certain interval. The flow paths from the distributor to each outlet are varying between each other. In order to achieve uniform flow distribution, each outlet has to be sized accordingly based on its flow paths. Such design concept is associated with several inherent disadvantages. As the outlet are designed based on particular operating flow rates, the performance of such distributors are undermined when the operating flow rates deviate from the designed value significantly. For example, the process fluid may drip only from those center outlets when the operating flow rate is much lower than the designed one. In addition, the varying flow path results in different residence time of each stream. Furthermore, the scale-up of such distributors requires significant efforts as the design lacks symmetry. The outlet density, which is defined as the number of outlets per unit cross-sectional area, is usually limited below 250 openings per square meters (V.J. Inglezakis and S.G. Poulopoulos, 2006).

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**Figure 1** (a) a conventional spray nozzle distributor (Sulzer Chemtech Ltd). (b) A trough-type liquid distributor (Sulzer Chemtech Ltd). (c) A fractal distributor

First proposed in 1994 (M.M. Kearney et al., 1994), fractal distributors are now attracting extensive research interests. Such distributors are inspired by the fractal patterns existing in nature, i.e., human’s lung systems, leaf veins and river basins. The key feature shared by fractal patterns is the self-similarity (B. Mandelbrot, 1982). In other words, these patterns contain pieces that are duplications of the same pattern on successively increasing finer scales. By adopting such a feature in engineering, fractal distributors utilize symmetric pipe systems to distribute fluid flow as seen in Figure 1(c). Since such designs rely on the symmetry rather than pressure drop or hydraulic head, they show superior performances over conventional distributors in various aspects. First, the fractal distributor allows easy scale up due to the self-similarity feature; as a result, the distributors can achieve much higher outlet density than conventional distributors. Second, the feed streams have close residence time distribution, as their flow paths are almost identical. In addition, fractal patterns regulate turbulent eddies by subdividing large eddies into smaller ones. The flow lamination helps to improve the homogeneity in the downstream chemical equipment.

In this study, we present our experimental and CFD investigations of a novel fractal distributor integrated into a “fractal pack” based ion exchanger. Such an ion exchanger consists of multiple plates fabricated by poly-methyl- methacrylate (PMMA). The internal channels of the fractal are machined inside PMMA plates. The distributor was assembled with either 16 or 256 outlets. The 16-outlet distributor mimics the outlet density of a conventional pressure-based design. However, it is noted that the 16-outlet design used in this study is still a fractal configuration and includes the benefits of hydraulic symmetry to all outlets. Therefore, for this paper, the 16-outlet distributor can be considered a “best case” pressure-based design. Conventional pressure-based designs, such as spray nozzle distributors, would be expected to perform in a less favourable manner than presented here for the 16 outlet fractal device. There are three aims of this work: (1) to develop and validate a CFD model that captures the fluid flow inside the fractal distributor and other components of the ion exchanger; (2) to compare the performance of a fractal distributor with a “best case” hydraulically symmetric pressure-based distributor; (3) to explore design space for optimizing fractal distributor performance, using optimization criteria like coefficient of variation in flow rate.

**EXPERIMENTAL SETUP**

In collaboration with Amalgamated Research LLC, a novel ion exchanger at pilot scale was fabricated using PMMA. As shown in Figure 2, such exchanger is composed by three components: a fractal distributor, a resin exchanging bed and a fractal collector. The detailed illustrations of each component are shown in Figure 2. The fractal distributor is assembled by three plates. The 1st plate consists of an H-shape channel which distributes the incoming fluid stream from the fractal inlet to 4 outlets. Leaving those outlets, the distributed fluid streams then enter the 2nd plate where they are distributed again to 16 outlets. Similarly, the incoming fluid streams are again further distributed to 256 outlets on the 3rd plate. Each outlet in the 3rd plate has a cone-shape expansion which maximizes the contact area between the distributed stream and the resin bed downstream. These plates were assembled carefully to ensure that the outlets of the previous plate were aligned to the inlets of the next plate precisely.

After the fluid streams leave the fractal distributor, they enter the resin red as shown as plate 4 in Figure 2. The resin bed is comprised by 310-micron ion-exchange resin beads which are confined inside the resin frame. The porosity of the resin bed is about 0.44. The particular
ion exchange resin used in these tests does not absorb food dye (FD&C Blue No.1) and only acts as a simple porous media for RTD and visualization testing. Post to the resin section, the fluid streams are collected by a fractal collector. Such a collector is identical to the fractal distributor, but the three plates (5th, 6th, and 7th) are assembled in a reverse order. The process streams merge from these inlets into one. The thickness of each plate in the distributor and the collector is about 25.3 mm.

The flow visualization experiment and the residence time distribution (RTD) analyses were carried out during the experiments to visualize the fluid flow inside the fractal distributor.

**MODEL DESCRIPTION**

As the process fluid satisfies the incompressible and Newtonian conditions, the fluid flow can be described by the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. The Reynolds number in the fractal inlet, corresponding to flow rate as 2.52 x 10^{-4} m^3/s, is 14400 (Re = \( \rho U D_h / \mu \), in which \( \rho \) is fluid density; \( U \) is the superficial velocity in the inlet; \( D_h \) is the hydraulic diameter of the inlet; \( \mu \) is fluid viscosity), indicating that the flow is in the fully-developed turbulent regime. Therefore, the Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes (RANS) equations were adopted to describe the turbulent flow:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} u_i = 0 \tag{1}
\]

\[
\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (u_i u_j) = - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ \mu \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} u_i + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} u_j \right) \right] - \rho g \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( u_i \right) + R \tag{2}
\]

in which \( u_i \) is the mean velocity vector, and the subscripts \( i, j \) and \( k \) stand for the components on \( x, y \) and \( z \) directions; \( x \) is the spatial vector; \( \rho \) is fluid density; \( p \) is pressure; \( \mu \) is fluid viscosity; \( u_i' \) and \( u_j' \) are the fluctuating velocity components; \( g \) is the gravitational vector; and \( R \) is the resistance in the resin section which is estimated by the Ergun equation.

In order to close the RANS equations, the Reynolds stresses term, \( -\rho (u_i' u_j') \), are modeled by employing the Boussinesq hypothesis:

\[
-\rho u_i' u_j' = \mu_t \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} u_i + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} u_j \right) - \frac{2}{3} \left( \rho k + \mu_t \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} u_k \right) \delta_{ij} \tag{3}
\]

in which \( \mu_t \) is the turbulent viscosity; \( k \) is the turbulent kinetic energy; \( \delta_{ij} \) is the unit component in the stress tensor.

In this study, \( \mu_t \) and \( k \) are estimated by the realizable \( k-\varepsilon \) model. Compared to the standard \( k-\varepsilon \) model, the realizable \( k-\varepsilon \) model modifies the \( \varepsilon \) equation to improve the accuracy of predicting turbulent kinetic energy dissipation rate. Therefore, it shows superior abilities to capture complex flow structures in fractal channels. The model remains valid at low Reynolds number flow regions where \( k, \varepsilon \) and hence \( \mu_t \) tend to zero with fluid flow determined solely by NSE model.

The realizable \( k-\varepsilon \) model solves two transport equations for the turbulence kinetic energy \( k \) and its dissipation rate \( \varepsilon \):

\[
\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} k u_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ \left( \mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_k} \right) \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j} \right] + G_k + G_b - \rho \varepsilon \tag{4}
\]

\[
\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \varepsilon u_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ \left( \mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_\varepsilon} \right) \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial x_j} \right] + \rho C_1 \varepsilon - \rho C_2 \varepsilon^2 + C_{1\varepsilon} \frac{\varepsilon}{k} \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j} \tag{5}
\]

and the turbulent viscosity \( \mu_t \) is computed by

\[
\mu_t = \rho C_3 \varepsilon^2 / \varepsilon \tag{6}
\]

In the above equations, \( G_k \) and \( G_b \) are the generation of turbulent kinetic energy due to the mean velocity gradients and buoyance, respectively; \( \sigma_k = 1.0 \) and \( \sigma_\varepsilon = 1.2 \) are the turbulent Prandtl number for \( k \) and \( \varepsilon \) respectively; \( C_{1\varepsilon} = 1.44 \) and \( C_2 = 1.9 \) are the model constants. The detailed expression of \( G_k, G_b, C_1, S \) and other variables can be found in the reference(T.-H. Shih et al., 1995).

In the resin section, the porous media exerts strong resistance to the fluid flow. Such resistance is accounted in the RANS equations as a source term, \( R \). The component of \( R \) is estimated by the classic Ergun equation as:

\[
R_i = -\left( \frac{\mu}{\alpha} u_i + C_{R2} p |u_i| u_i \right) \tag{7}
\]

in which \( \alpha \) is the permeability, and \( C_{R2} \) is the inertial resistant coefficient. They are expressed as:

\[
\alpha = \frac{D_p^2 \varepsilon^3}{150 (1-\varepsilon)^2} \tag{8}
\]

\[
C_{R2} = \frac{2.5 (1-\varepsilon)}{D_p \varepsilon^3} \tag{9}
\]

Where, \( D_p \) is the diameter of resin bead, which is 310 \( \mu m \); \( \varepsilon \) is the porosity of the resin section, which is 0.44.

The RTD analyses in the model were conducted by solving the species transport equation:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} C + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (u_i C) = D_d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} C) \tag{10}
\]

in which \( C \) is concentration of tracer; \( u_i \) is the velocity vector predicted by the N-S equations, and \( D_d \) is the diffusivity of the tracer which is specified as 4x10^{-5} m^2/s.
CFD SIMULATION SETUP

The computational domain of the two ion exchangers is shown in Figure 3. The corresponding fractal distributors used by these two exchangers consist of 256 and 16 outlets, respectively. For the one of 256 outlets, only a quarter of the ion exchanger was simulated utilizing the symmetry condition. The location of symmetry planes have been shown in Figure 3(a). The inlet velocity was 1.660 m/s, corresponding to the volumetric flow rate of 2.52 x10^-4 m^3/s. For one of 16 outlets, the entire ion exchanger was simulated. The inlet velocity was specified as 1.89 m/s with same flow rate. The geometry of distributor with 16 outlets was created in a way that it followed the same dimension with the main branches from the distributor with 256 outlets, but without further splitting of outlets from 16 to 256 at the last horizontal plane.

The outlets of the collectors were specified as the pressure outlet with 0 Pa. No-slip conditions incorporating with the scalable wall function were specified to all wall boundaries. Dimensionless wall distance(y plus) vary around 20-10 based on local flow field and Re.

The SIMPLE (Semi-Implicit Method for Pressure-Linked Equations) scheme was used to couple the momentum and continuity equations. For spatial discretization, least-squares cell-based method was adopted for gradient; standard method, which interpolates the pressure on the faces using the cell center values, was used for pressure interpolation; second-order upwind was used for momentum, turbulent kinetic energy and turbulent dissipation rate.

All simulations have been performed with ANSYS FLUENT 16 with 40 processors on Supermike HPC located at Louisiana State University. The steady-state solutions of the N-S equations were obtained usually within 5000 iterations with convergence criteria at 1E-4. Then the corresponding velocity field from steady state solution was supplied to the species transport equation, which adopted transient simulations. A typical time step used by the simulations was 0.005s. The entire simulation took about 10 hours.

MODEL VALIDATION

The CFD model is validated by comparing the predicted RTD curves and pressure drops of the ion exchanger with the ones from the experiments. The simulations and the experiments were carried out with the two ion exchangers shown in Figure 4. Figure 4(a) plots the RTD curves predicted by simulations and the corresponding ones measured from experiments. The good agreement between the model and experiments suggests that the model successfully captured the flow inside the ion exchangers.

In this study, a mesh dependence test was performed prior to the parametric study in order to eliminate the potential numerical error resulted from the insufficient grid resolutions. Three types of grids with different resolutions were generated by the CutCell algorithm in Ansys Meshing. Figure 4(b) shows Velocity profiles along the sampling lines in the 1 ion exchanger with three mesh densities. The test results suggested that the flow profile in resin became independent from grid solutions when the total mesh elements exceeded 2.7 million. Therefore, such a mesh was selected for the following parametric studies.
Figure 4 (a) Comparison of the RTD curves of the 1st and 2nd ion exchangers measured from experiments and predicted by the CFD simulations. The mesh with 2.7 million elements has been adopted for 1st ion exchanger. The exchangers were operated with a flow rate of 6.31E-5 m3/s. (b) Vertical velocity profiles along the sampling lines (cross line at 5mm depth inside resin) in the 1st ion exchanger with three mesh densities.

**VELOCITY PROFILE COMPARISON OF TWO DISTRIBUTORS**

In this section, we will compare the performance of a fractal distributor with a “best case” hydraulically symmetric pressure-based distributor.

In order to understand how the flow distribution influences the performance of ion exchangers, the detail flow profiles inside the resin bed were analysed with the aid of simulation results. For both 1st and 2nd distributor, the simulations were performed with same flow rate (2.52 x10^-4 m^3/s). Taking the 1st ion exchanger as an example, Figure 5 shows the overview of velocity profiles on three representative planes of the resin bed. The locations of these three planes are denoted in Figure 5(a). They are Plane 1 which is the top surface of the resin bed (0 mm), Plane 2 which is 5 mm below the top surface and Plane 3 which is 15 mm below.

In order to observe the velocity evolution quantitatively, three lines were sampled along the diagonal of planes of different depths as illustrated in Figure 6(a). The locations of these lines are denoted in Figure 5(a). They locate on the planes that are 5, 10 and 15 mm below the top surface of the resin bed, respectively. The corresponding velocity profiles plot in Figure 5(b) clearly demonstrates the homogenizing process of the velocity profiles along the transversal flow direction. When the process streams enter the resin bed, the fluid elements tend to take the shortcut to travel through the resin bed. Those regions on the flow track have large velocity magnitudes while the other regions off the track have lower ones. As a result, the velocity profiles shows fluctuations in magnitude, of which the maximum variation is about 1.20 mm/s. On the other hand, the resistant force exerted by the resin bed tends to homogenize the velocity profile. As indicated in Figure 6(b), the peak values is reduced along the transversal direction due to the resistance force. When the process stream reaches 15 mm plane, the maximum velocity variation is reduced to 0.25 mm, indicating that the flow profile approaches the plug pattern.

Figure 6 (c) compares the velocity profiles of the 1st and 2nd ion exchanger sampled at 5 mm plane with ¼ part of the whole domain. Clearly, the velocity profile of the 2nd ion exchanger shows a much larger variation than that of the 1st ion exchanger. The significant velocity variation is due to the inefficient initial distribution. As a result, the 2nd ion exchanger requires a much deeper resin bed than that of the 1st ion exchanger so as to attain a uniform velocity profile. On the other hand, the large velocity variation results in malfunctioning operations in the resin bed.

**MALFUNCTIONING OPERATIONS OF THE RESIN BED**

As illustrated in Figure 6, the inefficient distribution of the process stream causes significant variations in velocity profiles. Consequently, it leads to the malfunctioning operations of the resin bed such as “dead space” and “channelling”.

The term “dead space” is commonly used in industry to name those regions where the fluid elements have
much lower velocities than the superficial velocity. In the dead space, the fluid elements have prolonged residence time due to their low velocities. Herein, the dead space is defined as the region where the velocity magnitude of the process stream is 2.5% below the superficial velocity. In contrast, “channelling” refers to the phenomenon that fluid passes through bed prematurely with a much shorter residence time. A zone with the fluid velocity that is 2.5% over the superficial one is defined as “channelling” zones.

In order to quantify the malfunctioning operations, the volumetric percentages of the dead space and channelling zones were estimated based on the modelling results. For example, the percentage of the dead space is defined as:

\[
\text{Dead space percent} = \frac{\text{volume of the dead space}}{\text{total volume of the resin bed}}
\]
A universal index, “degree of heterogeneity”, was used to evaluate the operation of the resin bed. The degree of heterogeneity is defined as the sum of dead space percentage and channelling zone percentage.

Figure 7 plots the corresponding locations of the dead space and channelling zones in the resin beds of the 1st and 2nd ion exchanger, respectively. The dead space is marked in blue while the channelling zone is in red. As discussed previously, the fractal distributor of the 1st ion exchanger provides efficient initial distribution. The velocity profile reaches plug flow within 15 mm of bed depth. Because of efficient initial distribution, the majority of the resin bed in the 1st ion exchanger shows normal operation as indicated in Figure 7(a). The malfunctioning zones appear only in the vicinity of the top and bottom surface of the resin bed where the flow has expansions and contractions due to the existence of the fractal distributor and collector. As shown in Table 1, the dead space takes 6.28% of the resin bed, and the channelling zones is 16.14%. The total degree of heterogeneity of the resin bed is 22.42%, indicating that the majority of the resin bed has uniform velocity distribution thus good operation.

In contrast, the resin bed in the 2nd ion exchanger has malfunctioning operations. As shown in Figure 7(b), the process streams leave the fractal distributor and travel through the resin bed with a high velocity. As a result, those regions in their flow track forms the channelling zone. According to Figure 7(b) and Table 1, the channelling zones spread from the fractal distributor to the fractal collector, taking up 22.97% of the resin bed. In contrast, a majority of the resin bed is dead space, taking up about 68.14% of the resin bed. The total degree of heterogeneity is 91.11%, suggesting that the entire bed is in malfunctioning.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Summary of the malfunctioning zones</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dead Space percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Ion exchanger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Ion exchanger</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PRESSURE DROPS OF THE TWO ION EXCHANGERS**

The pressure drop across the ion exchanger is an important parameter as it determines the required pressure head and subsequently operating cost. The modelling results indicate that the design of the fractal distributor impacts not only the velocity profiles in the resin bed but also the pressure drop across the ion exchanger. When the ion exchangers are operated with a flow rate of 2.52x10⁻⁴ m/s, the corresponding pressure drops across the fractal distributor and the resin bed are summarized in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 Summary of the pressure drops across the ion exchangers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pressure drop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Ion exchanger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Ion exchanger</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMPARISON OF THE RTDS OF THE TWO ION EXCHANGERS**

According to the previous sections, the design of a fractal distributor determines the velocity profiles inside the resin bed. Due to the low outlet density of the 2nd fractal distributor, the velocity profile inside the resin bed of the 2nd ion exchanger is not uniform. A majority of the resin bed has dead space and channelling zones. In
order to understand the effect of outlet density on the residence time distribution (RTD) of process streams, RTD analyses were carried out with the two ion exchangers. The flow rate of the process stream was set as $6.31 \times 10^{-5} \, \text{m}^3/\text{s}$, and the resultant RTD curves are shown in Figure 9.

As discussed earlier, the fractal distributor in the 2nd ion exchanger cannot distribute the incoming process stream uniformly due to its low outlet density. The corresponding RTD curve confirms the existence of the channeling zone and the dead space. The mean residence time, $t_m$, of the 2nd ion exchanger, which is 24.1 s, is smaller than that of the 1st ion exchanger. The smaller $t_m$ suggesting that a significant amount of tracer leaves the resin bed through the shortcut of channeling zones, which is generally known as “channelling” phenomenon. On the other hand, the curve has a long tail, suggesting that the corresponding the rest tracer elements have prolonged residence time due to the dead space. The dimensionless second moment, $\tau$, which is defined as

$$\tau = \frac{\text{second moment} \left( \sigma^2 \right)}{t_m^2}$$

evaluates the overall dispersion in RTD response. From the table in Figure 9, the 2nd ion exchanger has a larger $\tau$ than the 1st ion exchanger, indicating that the tracer is widely spread when it passes through the 2nd ion exchanger.

In comparison, the RTD curve corresponding to the 1st ion exchanger is close to that of a plug flow. The shape is close to symmetric response and has less dispersion. Such shape is consistent with the velocity profiles shown in Figures 5 and 6. As the velocity profiles become plug shape within a short distance, the tracer travels through the resin bed with fairly uniform residence time.

Based on Figure 9, one may conclude that the outlet density of a fractal distributor plays a key role in determining the performance of the downstream resin bed. Conventional pressure-based distributors are similar to the fractal distributor of the 2nd ion exchanger, which generally have low outlet densities. The low outlet density undermines the overall performance of the resin bed. In comparison, fractal distributors can achieve large outlet density easily because of the inherent scaling symmetry. Since they provide uniform distributions, the fractal distributors can ensure high efficiencies of resin beds with reduced dead space and narrower residence time distribution.

![Figure 9](image_url)

**Figure 9** RTD curves of the 1st and 2nd ion exchangers operated with an incoming flow rate of $6.31 \times 10^{-5}$ m$^3$/s. The embedded table lists the mean residence time and second moments.

**FRACTAL DISTRIBUTOR GEOMETRY FLOW UNIFORMITY OPTIMIZATION**

CFD can assist in avoiding ad-hoc design decision on dimensions and systematically explore the design space for optimum design decisions.

In this section, the hydrodynamics of fractal distributor has been discussed. For simplification purpose, CFD fluid domain contains only main branches of previous fractal distributor geometry where the degree of preferential flow is higher. The modelling setup is very similar to the previous setup but zero pressure outlet boundary condition. Figure 10(a) show case the velocity vector distribution inside the original configuration at 3 GPM.

Parametric study has been performed with different scaling of width and depth based on previous configuration. For example, 0.625$d$ means all channel depth is 0.625 of previous design. With a total of 25 different configurations automatic generated from ANSYS WORKBENCH, we collected the coefficient of variation of flow rate at all outlets for each case. The response surface was plotted in Figure 10(b). From the result, we can conclude that 1) with channel depth fixed, decreasing channel width may improve flow uniformity. 2) with channel width fixed, increasing channel depth generally can increase the performance but there may be some optimized depth to width ratio.3) Since there is no back pressure at outlet, the Coefficient of Variation(CV) may overestimated in this setup. With addition of resin bed, CV values may drop significantly. However, the relative relationship of width and depth ratio to CV may still hold and the response surface offers a useful guide line for design of fractal distributor. 4) 1.265 as channel depth to width ratio (aspect ratio) is recommended for high flow distribution performance.
Conclusion

In this work, a novel filter press-based ion exchanger equipped with a fractal distributor and a fractal collector was fabricated using PMMA. Two ion exchanger configurations, of which the 1st one includes a fractal distributor of 256 outlets and the 2nd one with a fractal distributor of 16 outlets, respectively, were investigated by CFD simulations and experiments including residence time distribution (RTD) analysis and dye visualization experiments.

The results indicate that the outlet density of a fractal distributor plays a key role in determining the overall performance of an ion exchanger. When the fractal distributor has a high outlet density, the process stream reaches a uniform velocity profile similar to a plug flow with a short depth inside the resin bed. As a result, the process stream has a uniform residence time distribution in the ion exchanger. In contrast, the insufficient outlet density leads to large velocity variations in the process stream. Consequently, from CFD investigations, a majority of the resin bed shows malfunctioning operations including dead space and channelling. Due to the non-uniform distribution, the process stream passes through the resin bed with a broad RTD which undermines the overall performance of the ion exchanger. From the response surface with channel width and depth scale as input parameter, we found out that 1.265 as channel aspect ratio may result in high quality of flow distribution. CFD can assist in avoiding ad-hoc design decision on dimensions and systematically explore the design space for optimum design decisions.

This study may enhance the understanding of fractal distributors and may benefit the process intensification using fractal distributors.

APPENDIX A

A snapshot of the mesh with 2.7 million elements for 1st ion exchanger. The mesh was generated with Cutcell method in ANSYS Meshing.
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