Abstract—The internal currents and voltages of Modular Multilevel Converters (MMCs) contain multiple frequency components in steady state operation and remain time-periodic even when transformed into a synchronously rotating reference frame. This prevents a straightforward state-space representation where a constant equilibrium point is reached and all state variables converge to constant values under steady-state conditions. Such steady-state time-invariant (SSTI) representations are needed for linearization and eigenvalue-based analysis of small-signal stability. This paper presents an energy-based model of an MMC with a modulation strategy where the insertion indices are compensated for the oscillations in the sum arm voltage. The formulation of the model allows for deriving, by the application of Park transformations at three different frequencies, a SSTI representation that accurately captures the internal dynamics of the MMC. This model can be simplified to a reduced order model that maintains accurate reproduction of the external behavior at the ac- and dc-sides while neglecting some of the internal dynamics. The validity and accuracy of these two SSTI MMC models are verified by time-domain simulations and their utilization for eigenvalue-based analysis of MMC dynamics is demonstrated by examples.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The Modular Multilevel Converter (MMC) is emerging as a preferred topology for Voltage Source Converter (VSC) -based HVDC transmission schemes [1]-[5]. However, the modelling and the control of the MMC is in general more challenging than for two- or three-level VSC configurations, since the MMC is characterized by a high number of independent switching elements and by additional internal dynamics related to the circulating currents flowing through the submodules of each phase [6]. Moreover, each phase of an MMC behaves as a single-phase multi-level converter, where the double frequency oscillations in the power flow cause corresponding fluctuations in the sub-module capacitor voltages. Thus, even in steady state operation, the internal currents and voltages of an MMC will contain multiple frequency components [7].

Significant efforts have recently been dedicated towards modelling and analysis of the MMC topology and its control.
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* Indicates reference values in the control system
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NOMENCLATURE

I. MMC and system variables

\(l_u, l_l\)

Current in upper (upper) and lower (lower) arm

\(i_u, i_l\)

Circulating current, and ac grid-side current

\(w_u, w_l\)

Aggregated capacitor energy in upper (upper) and lower (lower) arm

\(w_2, w_3\)

Capacitor energy sum and difference between upper and lower arms

\(n_u, n_l\)

Upper and lower arm insertion indexes

\(v_u^{SMi}, v_l^{SMi}\)

Voltage of the \(i\)th sub-module capacitor in the upper or lower arm

\(v_u^{SMi}, v_l^{SMi}\)

Upper and lower arm capacitor voltage sum

\(v_u, v_l\)

Upper and lower arm output voltages

\(i_u, i_l\)

Voltages driving circulating and ac-side currents

\(v_{uo}, v_{ol}\)

Voltage at the point of common coupling and voltage of ac-grid Thévenin equivalent

\(v_{dc}\)

Voltage at the dc terminals of the MMC

* Indicates reference values in the control system

2) Main system parameters

\(R_a, L_a\)

MMC arm resistance and inductance

\(R_{dq}, L_{dq}\)

Equivalent MMC output resistance and inductance, representing transformer series impedance and any additional filters

\(C_o\)

Equivalent capacitance at connection to ac grid

\(R_{eq}, L_{eq}\)

Equivalent ac resistance and inductance defined as \(R_{eq} = R_a/2 + R_l/2, L_{eq} = L_u/2 + L_f\)

\(R_{dg}, L_{dg}\)

Equivalent grid-side resistance and inductance

\(C_{SM}\)

Capacitance of a MMC sub-module

\(N\)

Number of sub-modules in an arm

\(C_{eq}\)

Equivalent MMC arm capacitance defined as \(C_{eq} = C_{SM}/N\)

\(C_{dc}\)

Equivalent capacitance at the dc terminals

3) Reference frame orientations

\(abc\)

Natural three-phase coordinates

\(d\overline{q}2\overline{a}\)

Synchronous reference frame rotating at \(-2\omega\)

\(d\overline{q}+\overline{a}\)

Synchronous reference frame rotating at \(+\omega\)

\(d\overline{q}+3\overline{a}\)

Synchronous reference frame rotating at \(+3\omega\)
An overview of different types of models, how they originate from the MMC topology and their typical range of application is shown in Fig. 1. Indeed, detailed switching models with explicit representation of all sub-module capacitors of an MMC, including models with Thévenin equivalent representation of each arm according to [8], are intended for time-domain simulations. If the individual representation of each sub-module capacitor voltage is not necessary, simplified switching function models can be introduced to reduce the required simulation time [9], [10].

Continuous time average models can be obtained by approximating the switching effects with a continuous signal and assuming perfect balancing between the sub-module capacitor voltages [6], [7], [11] [12]. Such average models allow for efficient time-domain simulation and lead to simple analytical expressions for representing each arm of an MMC. Thus, they are commonly utilized in mathematical analysis for control system design and for understanding the internal dynamics of each phase of the MMC. Since such models represent the phase and arm quantities of the MMC, steady-state operation is characterized by an orbit of the state-space variables and not by a constant equilibrium point. Thus, the models will inherently have Steady-State Time-Periodic (SSTP) characteristics, as indicated in the left part of Fig. 1. Stability analysis based on SSTP average models requires advanced methods specifically developed for time-periodic systems, as recently applied to an MMC in [13].

Although the various SSTP models indicated to the left of Fig. 1 are suitable for most purposes related to time-domain simulation and controller design, or for dynamic analysis of each arm or phase of an MMC, they are not easily applicable in established methods for system-oriented analysis. Indeed, SSTP average models of MMCs cannot be linearized and utilized for traditional eigenvalue-based analysis commonly applied in studies of small-signal stability of power systems [14]. Instead, methods for system analysis that depend on linearization, as well as many established techniques for non-linear stability assessment or control system design, assume as a prerequisite the availability of a state-space model where all stable operating points are characterized by an equilibrium point and all state variables converge to constant values in steady state operation [14], [15]. Thus, models to be utilized for such purposes should have Steady-State Time-Invariant (SSTI) characteristics.

While SSTI representations of two-level VSCs can be easily derived by applying the Park transformation, the multiple frequency components appearing in the arm currents and capacitor voltages of the MMC prevent SSTI representation by transformation into a single Synchronous Reference Frame (SRF). Thus, derivation of MMC models with SSTI characteristics is still object of research. Fig. 1 indicates how such SSTI-models should be derived from a corresponding SSTP average model by applying appropriate reference frame transformation and simplifications. The figure also shows how a non-linear SSTI state-space model is needed for obtaining a linearized small-signal model, as well as for calculating the equilibrium point where the model can be linearized.

In the context of Fig. 1, several different approaches for SSTI state-space representation of three-phase MMCs have
been recently proposed, with the aim of obtaining linearized models for small-signal power system stability analysis. A first approach has been to apply dynamic phasor modelling to all the internal electrical states of the MMC, as discussed in [16] and [17], resulting in complicated high order models. Another approach has been to neglect parts of the internal dynamics of the MMC, and model mainly the ac-side dynamics in a SRF together with a simplified dc-side representation, as in the models proposed in [18]-[20]. Among these studies, only the model from [19] includes a representation of the internal energy storage capacity of the sub-module capacitors and their dynamic impact on the power transfer between the ac and dc terminals. However, [19] did not derive any SSTI state-space representation that could be suitable for linearization. An approach based on further simplifications was applied in [18] and [20], assuming an ideal power balance between the ac- and dc-sides of the MMC in a similar way as for two-level VSCs. This implies significant inaccuracies in the model, since the transient responses of the internal variables and their controllers are not represented. Thus, such models are only suitable for studying slow dynamics.

To address these limitations, more detailed dynamic state space models have been proposed in [21]-[26]. Two different sets of assumptions and approximations are applied in the derivation of these publications:

i. The models presented in [21]-[24] assume that the MMC is operated with a Circulating Current Suppression Controller (CCSC) implemented in a negative sequence double frequency SRF, for eliminating the second harmonic components of the circulating current [27]. The different frequency components of the arm currents and the equivalent arm capacitor voltages are modelled by separate state-variables in their associated SRFs by applying phasor-based harmonic superposition. Thus, the couplings between the various frequency components are truncated as a first step of the model derivation. These models have revealed instability problems associated with interaction between the circulating currents, the internal capacitor voltages and the dc-side voltage as discussed in [22], [24]. However, the modelling approaches from [21]-[24] are not directly suitable for representing MMCs with energy-based control strategies as will be explained in section II of this paper.

ii. The approach presented in [25], [26] is based on a simplified representation of the MMC, where only the aggregated dynamics of the zero sequence circulating current and the total energy stored in the capacitors of the MMC are modelled. This approach is valid when the modulation indices for the MMC arms are calculated to compensate for the voltage oscillations in the internal equivalent arm capacitor voltages, as assumed in [6], [7], [28]. This modulation strategy will be referred to as Compensated Modulation (CM) and its implications for the modelling will be further elaborated in section II. These resulting models can accurately represent the external behaviour of the MMC at the ac- and dc-sides, but do not include the internal dynamics.

This paper demonstrates how an energy-based modelling approach inspired by [25]-[26] can capture also the internal current and energy dynamics of an MMC. The resulting model is derived from an average model with the sum and the difference of the arm energies in each phase as state variables and results in a complete and accurate SSTI representation of the MMC under the assumption of compensated modulation. Thus, the model covers a case that has not been previously studied in the available literature. Furthermore, the main contribution of the presented approach is that it inherently takes into account the coupling between the various frequency components of the MMC dynamics by a SSTI state-space representation. It is also shown how the detailed SSTI model can be simplified to the reduced order model from [25]-[26] by ignoring the states representing the oscillating components of the internal MMC variables. The validity of these two models are demonstrated by time-domain simulations in comparison to the SSTP nonlinear time-domain model of the MMC that was used as starting point for the model derivation. Finally, it is demonstrated how these state-space models can be linearized and utilized for analyzing the small-signal dynamics and control system tuning of the MMC by applying eigenvalue-based techniques.

II. MMC TOPOLOGY AND INSERTION INDEX CALCULATION

The model and the definitions that will be used as a starting point for deriving an MMC model with SSTI characteristics are briefly outlined in the following. This section also identifies how the derivations presented in this manuscript contributes to the SSTI representation of MMCs beyond what is available in previous literature.

A. Average Model of the Three-Phase Modular Multilevel Converter

The general topology of a three-phase MMC is shown in Fig. 2. In this case, operation in a cable-based HVDC transmission system is assumed, resulting in an equivalent capacitance $C_{dc}$ at the dc terminals. The following nomenclature and conventions are applied for modelling of the MMC: italic lower case letters $x$ represent single variables, italic-bold letters $x'$ represent vectors and matrices, whereas non-italic bold letters $X$ represent the complex space vector $X = X_x + jX_q$. With the above conventions, the main expressions associated with a generic phase $k \in \{a,b,c\}$ of an MMC are given by (1)-(5) [6].

\[ i_{dk} = i_{d,k} - i_{k} , \quad i_{dk} = i_{d,k} + i_{k} / 2 \]  
\[ v_{w,k} = v_{w,k} - v_{w,k}' , \quad v_{w,k} = v_{w,k} + v_{w,k}' / 2 \]  
\[ e_{c,k} = v_{w,k} - v_{a,k} \approx n_{a,k} \cdot v_{a,k}' + n_{d,k} \cdot v_{d,k}' / 2 \]  
\[ u_{a,k} \approx C_{dc} \cdot (v_{w,k}^2 / N) , \quad w_{w,k} = w_{a,k}' + w_{a,k} , \quad w_{a,k} = w_{a,k} - w_{a,k}' \]  

Assuming a fast capacitor voltage balancing algorithm, each arm output voltage $v_{a,k}$ can be expressed by the product of the insertion index $n$ resulting from a modulation algorithm and the sum arm capacitor voltage $v_{a,k}'$, as expressed by the
The specification of how the upper and lower arm insertion indexes are calculated is given by [27], [30]:

\[ n_i = \frac{e_i^* + u_i^*}{v_{dc, arm}} \]

Alternatively, the measured dc voltage \( V_{dc} \) can be used as the denominator in (6) [9], [13]. However, as long as the value in the denominator is constant during steady-state operation, the insertion index calculation according to (6) will not include any compensation for the continuous oscillations in the arm capacitor voltages. Thus, the influence of these oscillations will have to be compensated by the control loops. Such approaches for insertion index calculation can be referred to as "Un-Compensated Modulation" (UCM) [29].

This paper will consider the case when the insertion indexes are calculated by dividing the reference control voltages \( e_i^* \) and \( u_i^* \) by the measured or estimated time-varying aggregated voltage in the corresponding arm, \( v_{arm}^* \) [6], [7]. As defined in [29], this approach will be referred as Compensated Modulation (CM) and can be expressed by:

\[ n_i = \frac{e_i^* + u_i^*}{v_i^*} \]

With the CM approach, the division of the output of the controllers (i.e. \( e_i^* + u_i^* \)) by \( v_i^* \) will compensate for the non-linearity caused by the product of the insertion indices and the time-periodic sum arm voltages in (3) and (4). Thus, it can be confirmed by substituting (7) into (3) and (4) that the voltages \( e_i \) and \( u_i \) that are driving the grid-side currents and the circulating currents respectively, will be equal to the voltage reference outputs, \( e_i^* \) and \( u_i^* \), of the corresponding controllers, as expressed by:

\[ e_i = e_i^*; \quad u_i = u_i^* \]  

As will be shown in the following sections, this characteristic is useful for deriving an energy-based SSTI representation of MMCs with CM-based control system implementations.

C. Selection of SSTI modelling approach according to insertion index calculation

It is demonstrated in [29] that energy-based models are not suitable for deriving SSTI representation of MMCs with UCM-based control, while voltage-based formulations are unsuitable for MMCs with CM-based control [29]. Indeed, voltage-based modelling approaches depending on harmonic superposition were applied for obtaining the SSTI representations and the corresponding linearized models of MMCs with UCM-based control in [17], [21]-[24]. The resulting models represent the internal dynamics of an MMC in dqz-variables associated with the SRFs corresponding to each oscillation frequency of the state variables in steady-state. An alternative voltage-based modelling approach for avoiding the approximations associated with harmonic superposition was proposed in [29].

In contrast to the voltage-based MMC models in [17], [21]-[24], simplified energy-based MMC models for the case of CM-based controls have been proposed in [25], [26]. However, no energy-based models with SSTI representation of the internal dynamics of an MMC with CM-based control have been applied for obtaining the SSTI representations and the corresponding linearized models of MMCs with CM-based control [29]. Indeed, voltage-based modelling approaches depending on harmonic superposition were applied for obtaining the SSTI representations and the corresponding linearized models of MMCs with UCM-based control in [17], [21]-[24]. The resulting models represent the internal dynamics of an MMC in dqz-variables associated with the SRFs corresponding to each oscillation frequency of the state variables in steady-state. An alternative voltage-based modelling approach for avoiding the approximations associated with harmonic superposition was proposed in [29].

In contrast to the voltage-based MMC models in [17], [21]-[24], simplified energy-based MMC models for the case of CM-based controls have been proposed in [25], [26]. However, no energy-based models with SSTI representation of the internal dynamics of an MMC with CM-based control have been applied for obtaining the SSTI representations and the corresponding linearized models of MMCs with UCM-based control in [17], [21]-[24]. The resulting models represent the internal dynamics of an MMC in dqz-variables associated with the SRFs corresponding to each oscillation frequency of the state variables in steady-state. An alternative voltage-based modelling approach for avoiding the approximations associated with harmonic superposition was proposed in [29].
expressed such that state variables associated with the different frequency components can be separated and transformed into their corresponding SRFs while retaining the coupling with variables associated with other frequency components. By choosing a \( \Sigma \Delta \) energy-based formulation according to (5) and considering the steady-state characteristics of the MMC according to [6], [7], the variables of the MMC can be separated into two groups, where each group is associated with a single frequency as:

\[
\begin{align*}
-i^2\omega: &\quad i_{c}^{2} = T_{2\omega}i_{2\omega}^{2}; \quad w_{c}^{2} = T_{2\omega}w_{2\omega}^{2} = T_{02\omega}w_{2\omega}^{2}; \quad w_{c}^{2} = T_{2\omega}w_{2\omega}^{2}; \\
-\omega: &\quad i_{c} = T_{\omega}i_{\omega}; \quad e_{c}^{\omega} = T_{\omega}e_{\omega}; \quad T_{2\omega}w_{2\omega}^{\omega} = T_{02\omega}w_{2\omega}^{\omega}.
\end{align*}
\]  

(9)

Thus, the variables can be classified as those containing oscillations at \(-2\omega\) (\(i_{c}, w_{c}^{2}\) and \(e_{c}\)), and those oscillating at \(+\omega\) (\(i_{c}, w_{c}^{\omega}\) and \(e_{c}^{\omega}\)). Furthermore, (9) shows how the stationary frame variables can be expressed from their equivalent SRF \(dqz\) variables. The transformation matrices \(T_{\omega}\) and \(T_{2\omega}\) are representing the Park transformations, with phase angles synchronized with the grid voltage and its corresponding negative sequence double frequency, respectively.

The formulation of the MMC variables such that this initial separation of frequency components can be achieved constitutes the basis for the proposed modelling approach, as illustrated in Fig. 4. This figure indicates that Park transformations at different frequencies will be used to derive dynamic equations for equivalent \(dqz\) variables that are SSTI in their respective reference frames. In addition, a Park transformation \(T_{\omega}\) at three times the grid frequency will be used to ensure a SSTI representation of the zero sequence of the energy difference, as will be discussed in subsection III.A.3. In the remainder of this section, the mathematical derivation of SSTI state equations representing the dynamics of a CM-controlled MMC will be described and expressed by using the definitions in (9) according to the approach illustrated by Fig. 4. Although the mathematical derivations involve several steps, the resulting model is relatively simple as summarized in section III.B. Similar procedures can also be applicable for obtaining SSTI characteristics of voltage-based MMC models for the case of UCM-based control, and for SSTI representation of stationary frame control systems.

1) Energy Sum \(dqz\) Dynamics

The dynamics of the energy sum \(w_{2\omega}\) for a generic phase \(k\) can be expressed according to the definition introduced in [6]. When represented on vector form, the sum energy dynamics for the three phases are given by

\[
\frac{d}{dt} w_{c}^{2} = -p_{c}^{2} + 2p_{c}^{2}\quad \text{(10)}
\]

where \(p_{c}^{2}\) and \(p_{c}^{2}\) are the vectors defined in (11).

\[
p_{c}^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} e_{c}^{2} & e_{c}^{2} & e_{c}^{2} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \quad \text{(11)}
\]

Since each component of the vector rows of \(w_{c}\), \(p_{c}\), and \(p_{c}\) oscillates at twice the fundamental grid frequency, (10) can be rewritten in a SRF at \(-2\omega\), as:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} w_{c}^{2} = -p_{c}^{2} + 2p_{c}^{2} + J_{\omega}w_{c}^{2}\quad \text{(12)}
\]

where \(p_{c}^{2}\) and \(p_{c}^{2}\) are expressed in (13) and (14) respectively. These equations show how the SRF variables are obtained from multiplication of the original vector in phase coordinates by the amplitude-invariant Park transformation matrix. Furthermore, \(J_{\omega}\) is the cross-coupling matrix obtained by replacing \(h=2\) in (15).

\[
p_{c}^{2} = T_{2\omega}p_{c}\quad \text{(13)}
\]

\[
p_{c}^{2} = T_{2\omega}p_{c}\quad \text{(14)}
\]

\[
J_{\omega} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & h & 0 \\ -h & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{N}_{-0} \quad \text{(15)}
\]

The grid-side and circulating currents \(i_{c}\) and \(i_{c}\), which appear in equations (13) and (14) along with the corresponding voltages \(e_{c}\) and \(e_{c}\), can be expressed in their respective \(dqz\) rotating frames at \(+\omega\) and \(-2\omega\) by using the definitions given in (9). Hence, substituting the expressions for \(e_{c}\) and \(i_{c}\) resulting from (9) into equation (13), and solving the product between \(T_{2\omega}\) and the resulting vector, yields in:

\[
p_{c}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} e_{c}^{2} & i_{c}^{2} - e_{c}^{2} & i_{c}^{2} \\ e_{c}^{2} & i_{c}^{2} + e_{c}^{2} & i_{c}^{2} \\ e_{c}^{2} & i_{c}^{2} + e_{c}^{2} & i_{c}^{2} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{(16)}
\]

Indeed, all variables in this expression will settle to a constant value in their associated SRF. Thus, (16) is a steady-state time-
invariant expression for the dqz components of the power flow from the grid-side of the MMC.

A similar procedure is repeated for \( \mathbf{p}_{\text{dqz}} \) given in (14). Replacing each row of \( \mathbf{u}_c \) and \( \mathbf{i}_c \) as defined in (9) into (14), and expanding the multiplication with \( \mathbf{T}_{a2} \) results in (17).

It is important to note that unlike (16), equation (17) contains a set of 6th order harmonic terms. However, the amplitudes of 6th harmonic terms are all defined by products between \( d- \) and \( q- \) axis components of the circulating currents and the corresponding voltages. Since the amplitudes of \( u_c \) and \( i_c \) are small compared to any of the terms containing a zero sequence voltage \( u_c \) or the zero sequence current \( i_c \). Thus, these 6th order harmonic terms will have negligible influence on the power components defined by (17), and can be discarded to achieve time-invariance in steady state. It should be noted that this is the only approximation introduced in the derivations of the SSTI equations for representing the MMC, and that time-domain simulations confirm that this simplification is not compromising the accuracy of the model.

The energy sum dynamics in dqz coordinates can now be expressed by (18), where (16) and the first term of (17) have been substituted into (12).

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt} w_{\text{dqz}} &= \frac{d}{dt} w_{\text{dqz}} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix}
-\frac{1}{2}(e_{d}i_{d} - e_{q}i_{q}) + \frac{1}{2}u_{d}i_{d} + \frac{1}{2}u_{q}i_{q} + 2\omega w_{\text{dqz}} \\
-\frac{1}{2}(e_{d}i_{q} + e_{q}i_{d}) + \frac{1}{2}u_{d}i_{q} + \frac{1}{2}u_{q}i_{d} - 2\omega w_{\text{dqz}} \\
-\frac{1}{2}(e_{d}i_{d} + e_{q}i_{q}) + u_{d}i_{d} + u_{q}i_{q} + 2\omega i_{d}
\end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

Among these equations, the dq components, \( w_{\text{dqz}} \) and \( w_{\text{dqz}} \) represent the second harmonic oscillation superimposed to the average sum energy in the three phases. Indeed, when expressed as a space vector or on complex vector form (i.e. \( w_{\text{dqz}} = w_{\text{dqz}} + jw_{\text{dqz}} \)), these dq components represent the three-phase second harmonic energy oscillations within the MMC. The amplitude of the sum energy oscillations and the phase angle with respect the reference frame orientation of the model (i.e. the phase angle detected by a Phase Locked Loop) is given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
|w_c| &= \sqrt{w_{\text{dqz}}^2 + w_{\text{dqz}}^2} \\
\phi_{w_c} &= \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{w_{\text{dqz}}}{w_{\text{dqz}}} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

The variable \( w_{\text{dqz}} \) represent the zero sequence component of the sum energy in the three phases, which is associated with the average value or dc-component of the total energy stored inside the MMC. Considering the relationships in (5), the different components of the sum energy can also be directly associated with the arm energies and the corresponding sum arm voltages.

2) Energy Difference dqz- Dynamics

The derivation of the steady-state time-invariant equations for the energy difference dynamics of the MMC is relatively similar to the case for the energy sum regarding its dq components, yet very different regarding the zero-sequence. After presenting the first steps of the derivation, this section considers only the dq-dynamics, whereas the zero-sequence dynamics are addressed in the subsequent section.

As for the energy sum, the dynamic equation for the energy difference \( w_{\text{dqz}} \) of a generic phase \( k \) is defined according to [6]. When expressed on vector form, the dynamics of the three phases are given by

\[
\frac{d}{dt} w_{\text{dqz}} = p_{\text{dq}} + p_{\text{dqz}}
\]

where \( p_{\text{dq}} \) and \( p_{\text{dqz}} \) are defined by

\[
p_{\text{dq}} = -2\begin{bmatrix} e_{d}i_{d} & e_{d}i_{q} & e_{q}i_{d} \end{bmatrix}^T
\]

\[
p_{\text{dqz}} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{d}i_{d} & u_{d}i_{q} & u_{q}i_{d} \end{bmatrix}^T
\]

Since the main frequency component of the energy difference dynamics in steady state is the fundamental frequency of the grid voltage, (20) can be re-written in the SRF rotating at \( +\omega \), yielding in

\[
\frac{d}{dt} w_{\text{dqz}} = p_{\text{dq}} + p_{\text{dqz}} + j\omega w_{\text{dqz}}
\]

Substituting into (23) the expressions for the voltage \( e_{abc} \) and the circulating current \( i_{abc} \) that can be obtained from the definitions given in (9), the individual elements of \( p_{\text{dqz}} \) can be expressed as a function of the dqz current and voltage components:

\[
p_{\text{dqz}} = \begin{bmatrix} e_{d}i_{d} + e_{d}i_{q} + 2e_{q}i_{d} \\
+ e_{d}i_{q} - e_{q}i_{d} + 2e_{q}i_{q} \\
- e_{d}i_{d} - e_{q}i_{q} + \cos(3\omega t) \\
\vdots \\
- e_{d}i_{q} + e_{q}i_{d} \end{bmatrix}
\]

Contrary to the power expressions given in (16), (17) only the \( d- \) and \( q- \) axis components of (25) are time-invariant in steady state. Indeed, the zero-sequence component \( p_{\text{dqz}} \) given in (25) is time-periodic, with third harmonic oscillations in steady state. The origin of this third harmonic component is the multiplication of variables containing fundamental frequency and double frequency components. Indeed, the zero sequence component of (25) shows that the amplitude of the third harmonic oscillations depends on products between the circulating currents and the ac-side voltage. Thus, they cannot be neglected in a detailed model of the MMC.

Similarly as for \( p_{\text{dqz}} \), it is possible to express \( p_{\text{dqz}} \) as a
function of $d\alpha z$ currents and voltages. This is obtained by replacing the expressions for $i^{abc}$ and $u^{abc}$ according to (9), into (24). By solving for the individual elements of $p\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$, (24) can be expressed as a function of the $d\alpha z$ current and voltage components, as given by

$$
p_{\alpha\beta}^{d\alpha z} = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{2}b_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta} + u_{\alpha\beta} & i_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2}u_{\alpha\beta} i_{\alpha\beta} \\
\frac{1}{2}b_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta} - u_{\alpha\beta} & i_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}u_{\alpha\beta} i_{\alpha\beta}
\end{bmatrix}
$$

As for $p_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}$, the zero-sequence component $p_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}$ expressed in (26), is not time-invariant in steady state. Thus, the zero sequence components in (25) and (26) will be further analyzed in the following sub-section.

Considering only the $d$- and $q$-axis components of the power vectors from (25) and (26), and substituting the obtained expressions into (22) results in the dynamic equations for the $d$- and $q$-axis energy difference as expressed by (27).

These two state equations do not require any further simplifications since all their elements are already SSTI. Indeed, $w_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}$ and $w_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}$ represent the fundamental frequency oscillations of the energy difference between the upper and the lower arms of the MMC. Thus, the amplitude and phase angle of these oscillations is accurately represented by the energy difference $dq$ components (i.e. $w_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta} = w_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta} + j w_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}$). Based on (5), it can also be understood how these signals are directly associated to the fundamental frequency oscillation in the sum arm energies and the corresponding variations in the sum arm voltages.

3) The energy difference zero-sequence dynamics

Since the zero sequence components in (25) and (26) are time-periodic in steady state, further reformulation is necessary to obtain a SSTI representation of the zero sequence energy difference dynamics of the MMC. This can be obtained by defining a virtual signal $w_{\alpha\beta}^d$, which is $90^\circ$ shifted with respect to the original "single-phase" time-periodic zero sequence energy difference signal $w_{\alpha\beta}$ given in (26). This approach is conceptually similar to the commonly applied strategy of generating a virtual two-phase system for representing single-phase systems in a SRF [31]. However, since the amplitudes of the different sine and cosine components are defined by SSTI variables, the signal $w_{\alpha\beta}^d$ can be identified within the model, and without causing any additional delay. The actual and virtual energy difference zero-sequence variables can be labelled as $w_{\alpha\beta}$ and $w_{\alpha\beta}^d$, and together they define an orthogonal $df$-system. This $df$ system can be expressed by (28), with $p_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}$ and $p_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}$ defined by (25) and (26), whereas $p_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}$ and $p_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}$ are created by replacing the "$cos(3\omega t)$" and "$sin(3\omega t)$" terms that appear in the $\alpha$-signal by "$-\sin(3\omega t)$" and "$\cos(3\omega t)$", respectively. Thus, the amplitude of the $\beta$-signals will be identical to the $\alpha$-signal amplitude.

$$
d\frac{d}{dt}w_{\alpha\beta}^d = p_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}^d + p_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}^d
$$

This orthogonal system can be represented by variables defined in a SRF at $\omega_0$. Hence, the $df$-vectors on the right hand side of (28) can be expressed by (29), where $p_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}^d$, $p_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}^d$, and $p_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}^d$, are defined by (30).

$$
p_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}^d = \begin{bmatrix} p_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}^d \\
-\frac{1}{2}(u_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta} + u_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}) & \frac{1}{2}(u_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta} - u_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta})
\end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
p_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}^d = \begin{bmatrix} p_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}^d \\
-\frac{1}{2}(u_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta} + u_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}) & \frac{1}{2}(u_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta} - u_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta})
\end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
p_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}^d = \begin{bmatrix} p_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}^d \\
-\frac{1}{2}(u_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta} + u_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}) & \frac{1}{2}(u_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta} - u_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta})
\end{bmatrix}
$$

The dynamics of the energy difference zero-sequence $df$ vector $w_{\alpha\beta}^d$ from (28) can be transformed into the rotating $dq$-reference frame at $+3\omega_0$ by means of $T_{3\omega_0}$, and the definitions given in (29)-(30), yielding in (31).

$$
\frac{d}{dt}w_{\alpha\beta}^d = p_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}^d + p_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}^d + J_{\omega_0} \cdot w_{\alpha\beta}^d
$$

Introducing the power definitions in (30), (31) can be expressed by (32).

It is possible to confirm by simple inspection that the zero-sequence dynamics of the energy difference expressed in the form of (32) are SSTI as long as the $d$- and $q$-axis components of $e_{\alpha\beta}$, $i_{\alpha\beta}$, and $i_{\alpha\beta}$ in their associated SRF’s are SSTI. Therefore, this equation preserves time-invariance when the circulating current is controlled to inject a 2nd harmonic component (for energy shaping) as well as for suppression of the 2nd harmonic circulating current according to [27].

When considering the zero sequence energy difference dynamics in (32), it should be kept in mind that this is a orthogonal vector representation of a single phase sinusoidal signal. Indeed, since the third harmonic oscillation is a zero sequence component, the same signal appears in all the three phases of the MMC. The amplitude of this signal and the phase angle with respect to the third harmonic SRF can be found directly from the vector amplitude and phase angle of the defined $dq$ zero sequence energy variables (i.e. $w_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}^d = w_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}^d + j w_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}^d$). It can also be understood from (5) how these third harmonic oscillations will appear in the sum arm energies and in the corresponding sum arm voltages.

4) Circulating current dynamics

The dynamics of the circulating currents are recalled in (33) in vector representation for a three-phase MMC [7].

$$
L_{\alpha} \frac{d}{dt}i_{\alpha\beta} = -R_{\alpha} \cdot i_{\alpha\beta} - u_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{v_{\alpha\beta}}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T
$$

Equation (33) can be easily expressed in the SRF rotating at $-2\omega_0$, yielding in (34).

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{2}u_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta} + u_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta} + \omega \cdot w_{\alpha\beta}^d \\
\frac{1}{2}u_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta} - u_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta} + \omega \cdot w_{\alpha\beta}^d
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
u_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta} \\
u_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta}
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(27)

(32)
The equations for the $dq$-components of the circulating currents have the same form as for any SRF representation of currents in a three phase system. However, the zero sequence component is a dc-signal, representing the dc-component of the circulating currents of the three phases and is directly associated to the power transfer between the ac- and dc-sides of the MMC.

B. Summary of derived model with SSTI representation of MMC internal dynamics

The individual equations describing the internal dynamics of the MMC as represented by SSTI state variables, as derived in the previous subsections, are summarized here. The resulting SSTI state equations are collected in (35) and result directly from (18), (27), (32) and (34) by expressing the $dq$-components with complex vector notation. The algebraic equations linking the controller outputs, $u^c_1$ and $v_1$, with the rest of the system are given by (36).

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt} x_{dq} &= \left( \frac{R}{L_a} + j \omega \right) x_{dq} + \frac{1}{L_a} u_{dq}^c x_{cdq} + \frac{\gamma_a}{2L_a} [0 \ 0 \ 1]^T \\
\frac{d}{dt} w_{dq} &= \frac{1}{L_a} \Re \left( \mathbf{v}_{dq} x_{dq} + \mathbf{u}_{dq} x_{cdq} \right) + 2u_{dq} w_{dq} \\
\frac{d}{dt} w_{qd} &= -\frac{1}{L_a} e_{dq} x_{cdq} + 2e_{dq} w_{dq} + \frac{1}{2} u_{dq}^c x_{cdq} - j \omega w_{dq} \\
\frac{d}{dt} x_{dq} &= \frac{R}{L_a} x_{dq} + j \cdot 2\omega x_{dq} + \frac{\gamma_a}{2L_a} [0 \ 0 \ 1]^T \\
\frac{d}{dt} l_{dq} &= \frac{R}{L_a} l_{dq} + \frac{1}{L_a} \sum_{\omega} [0 \ 0 \ 1]^T \\
\mathbf{u}_{dq} &= \mathbf{u}_{dq}^c x_{cdq}; \quad \mathbf{u}_{dq}^c = \mathbf{u}_{dq}^c \end{align*}
\]  

(35)

These equations define a non-linear SSTI state-space representation of the average model of an MMC with energy-based formulation according to [6], [7]. The only simplification introduced during the derivation is that the 6th harmonic terms in (17) have been neglected. Thus, the developed SSTI equations preserve the dynamics and the non-linear relationships of the model it is derived from, and inherits the same limitations as the analytical average models in the stationary frame. As for any other analytical average model, this implies that the developed SSTI representation of the MMC is not representing any physical saturation limits within the model, like for instance the over-modulation limit that can be reached if the voltage reference for the converter is higher than the available voltage in the internal capacitors. However, as long as the converter is operated within its limitations, the derived model is containing detailed information about the dynamic characteristics as well as the steady-state operating conditions of the MMC. Thus, the model inherently includes the dynamic coupling between the various frequency components, which can be clearly noticed by considering that several of the state equations in (35) are defined by $dq$ variables from SRFs at different frequencies.

It should also be noted that the model in (35) effectively represents the MMC by 10 SSTI state-equations. The grid-side currents are not included in these equations, as they contains only a fundamental frequency component and can be directly modelled in the SRF at the fundamental frequency. Considering the MMC topology from Fig. 2, representation of the 6 equivalent arm capacitor voltages and the 3 circulating currents as state-variables will imply a model with 9 states. Thus, the derived SSTI representation of the MMC includes only one additional state equation, since two state variables are required to obtain a SSTI representation of the zero sequence energy difference, $w_{dq}$.

C. Simplified zero-sequence model of MMC

Observing the structure of the model in (35), it can be noticed that the dynamics of the zero sequence current $i_{zdc}$ do not depend on any of the $dq$-variables. Furthermore, the dynamic equation for the zero sequence sum energy $w_{dc}$ contains terms depending on the product of the $dq$-components of $\mathbf{u}$ and $i$. Since the $dq$-components of $\mathbf{u}$ are significantly smaller than the zero sequence component, $u_{zdc}$, and the amplitude of the ac-side voltages, $e_a$, the influence of these terms on the sum energy dynamics will be very small. Under the assumption of compensated modulation, this implies that a simplified model for representing only the zero-sequence component of the MMC internal variables can be obtained, as given by (37).

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt} w_{z} &= -\sqrt{2} \left( e_{zdc} i_{zdc} + e_{zuc} i_{zuc} \right) + 2u_{zdc} w_{z} \\
\frac{d}{dt} i_{z} &= \frac{R}{L_a} i_{z} + \frac{1}{L_a} u_{zdc}^c + \frac{1}{2L_a} v_{dc} \\
\end{align*}
\]  

(37)

This simplification and reduction of the equations from (35) is directly resulting in the model proposed by [25], [26]. It can also be understood from the structure of the detailed model in (35) that the simplified model in (37) will be suitable as a "macroscopic" model of the ac- and dc-side dynamics of the MMC by considering only the zero sequence components of the energy-sum and the circulating current. Thus, the derivation of the detailed model provides a theoretical basis for verifying the accuracy and for understanding the level of approximation implied by the simplified models in [25], [26].

The zero-sequence-based reduced order MMC model in (37) has a lower number of equations and is much simpler to implement than the detailed model in (35). However, it will be verified that under CM-based control, the zero-sequence model is accurately representing the dynamics of the states that influence the external behaviour at the ac- and dc-sides (i.e. $v_{dc}$, $i_{zdc}$, $w_{dc}$, $e_{dq}$ and $i_{dq}$). Indeed, these variables remain practically unaffected by the dynamics of the neglected internal variables ($w_{dq}$, $w_{dq}^c$, $i_{dq}^c$ and $u_{dq}^c$) as long as their dynamics are stable and the insertion indexes are calculated according to (6). Thus, the zero-sequence model only preserves information about the power balance between the ac-side, the internally stored energy and the dc-terminals. Hence, it is expected that this zero-sequence model will be of most interest for large-scale power system stability studies, when the internal dynamics of the MMC are of limited interest.
Furthermore, a SRF Phase Locked Loop (PLL) is utilized to simplify, the q-axis current reference is kept equal to zero. However, a dc voltage droop function based on a low-pass-filtered measurement of the voltage at the dc terminals is acting on the active power reference. For simplicity, the q-axis current reference is kept equal to zero. A dc voltage droop function with decoupling terms at 2ωPLL regulates the dq-components of the sum energy, by providing current references for the circulating current controllers. Furthermore, a simple proportional controller with decoupling terms at 2ωPLL regulates the energy difference dq-component dynamics. Similarly, the dq-components of the zero sequence of the energy difference are controlled by an additional proportional controller with decoupling terms at 3ωPLL. The contribution of each energy controller is added to form the reference for the circulating current as illustrated in Fig. 5.

It should be noted that the derived MMC model could be combined with different control system implementations. However, accurate SSTI representation of commonly applied control loops implemented in the stationary reference frame would require similar derivations as presented for the MMC topology. Such derivations and subsequent analysis are beyond the scope of this manuscript, but an example of how an SSTI representation of stationary frame per-phase energy-based control strategies can be obtained is presented in [34].

V. MODELS OF MMCS INCLUDING AC-SIDE AND DC-SIDE GRID DYNAMICS

By combining the SSTI state-space representation of the MMC dynamics derived in section III.A with the simplified control structure introduced in section IV, it is possible to establish state space models of an MMC integrated into any ac-or dc grid configuration. For simplicity, only the configuration from Fig. 5 will be studied here, although the derived models can be directly utilized for studies of larger system configurations, for instance in point-to-point or multi-terminal HVDC transmission schemes by similar approaches as discussed in [35], [36].

A. MMC models with ac-side and dc-side grid dynamics

The equations of the ac-side dynamics included in the model result directly from the circuit diagram indicated in Fig. 5, the average modelling of each arm of the MMC topology and the assumption of CM-based control [6], [7], [12], [33]. Thus, the ac-side model represented in the SRF is the same as for a 2-L VSC, and the same approach as in [25], [32] can be applied for obtaining a SSTI state-space representation including the PLL dynamics.

The dc-side is modelled with a capacitor representing the equivalent capacitance of an HVDC cable, and a current source i_{dcA} representing the cable current, as shown in Fig. 2. Thus, the electrical dynamics at the dc terminals can be modelled by the same equations as in [25].

B. Non-linear state-space models with SSTI solution

A general SSTI state-space model of the studied system can be expressed on standard form according to [15], [14]:

\[
\dot{x} = f(x, u), \quad y = g(x, u)
\]  

(38)

Models including the detailed MMC dynamics according to (35) and Fig. 5, as well as models based on the simplified zero-sequence representation of the MMC from (37) can be easily developed on the same form.
space model for conducting eigenvalue-based studies of small-signal stability is among the main motivations for deriving a SSTI representation of the MMC. However, a non-linear SSTI representation in the form of (38) is also necessary for calculating the steady-state operating point. Thus, any feasible steady-state operating condition of the system can be found by solving for the values of the state variables when imposing $\dot{x} = 0$. Subsequently, the model can be linearized at the selected steady-state operating point. For a generic linearization point $x_0$, the linearized small-signal state-space model can be obtained by considering the first order derivatives with respect to all state variables and input signals \[14, 15\], and can be expressed as:

$$
\Delta \dot{x} = A(x_0) \cdot \Delta x + B(x_0) \cdot \Delta u
$$

(41)

where the prefix $\Delta$ denotes small-signal deviations around the steady-state operating point.

VI. MODEL VALIDATION BY TIME-DOMAIN SIMULATION

To validate the derived SSTI equations, the detailed as well as the simplified representation of the MMC, and the corresponding small-signal models, results from time-domain simulation of five different models will be shown and discussed in this section. These models correspond to the following cases:

1) The reference case is a circuit-based average model of a three-phase MMC, where each arm is represented by a controlled voltage source and where the internal arm voltage dynamics are represented by an equivalent arm capacitance as shown in Fig. 7 [7], [12] [33]. This model includes nonlinear effects, except for the switching operations and the dynamics of the submodule capacitor voltage balancing algorithms. Since this model is well-established for analysis and simulation of MMCs, and has been previously verified by laboratory-scale experiments in [7], [12], it will be used as a benchmark reference. The model is simulated in Matlab/Simulink with the SimPowerSystem toolbox, and operated with the control strategy presented in section IV. Simulation results obtained with this model will be denoted as "AAM," since it can be considered as an Averaged Arm Model.

2) A non-linear state-space model including the derived SSTI representation of the MMC internal $dq$ dynamics, as depicted in Fig. 5. The parts of this model that represent the MMC dynamics are summarized in (35), while the assumed control system implementation and the included $ac$- and $dc$-side dynamics are briefly described in section IV and section V.A, respectively. Results from this model will be denoted as "DQZ".

3) The simplified time-invariant MMC model described in section III.C. This model is based on the zero-sequence components of the energy sum and the circulating...
current, as defined by (37), and corresponds to the model proposed in [25]. The ac- and dc-side dynamics included are the same as for the other models, and the simulated control system is a simplified version of what was discussed in section IV, resulting in the same control structure as discussed in [25]. An overview of the model is shown in Fig. 6, and results from the model will be denoted as "ZERO".

4) The small-signal state-space model obtained from linearization of the model in case 2. The model will be linearized at the initial steady-state operating point of the detailed nonlinear model, and the values of the state variables will be calculated as $x = x_0 + \Delta x$. Results obtained from this model will be denoted as "ssDQZ".

5) The small-signal model obtained from linearization of the model in case 3. The results will be presented in the same way as for case 4, and the results will be denoted as "ssZERO".

All simulations are based on the MMC HVDC terminal configuration shown in the previous figures, with parameters given in Table I. It should be noted that the ac-side inductance $L_f$ for the MMC in this case is the equivalent leakage inductance of a transformer connecting the MMC to a simplified model of a 380 kV transmission system, as indicated in Fig. 7. Similarly, $R_f$ is the equivalent series resistance of the transformer. In Table I, all parameters of the ac-system are referred to the converter-side of the transformer, since the transformer is explicitly represented only in the benchmark model. Furthermore, the equivalent arm capacitance $C_{eq}$ corresponds to an MMC with 400 sub-modules per arm, where each sub-module has a capacitance of about 8500 µF. Additionally, a droop gain of −10 pu determines the coupling between the dc voltage and the ac-side power reference.

It should be considered that the reference model is a conventional time-domain simulation model of a three-phase MMC representing arm or phase quantities, while the other 4 models with SSTI characteristics represent the MMC dynamics by variables transformed into a set of SRFs. Since the comparison of transient and steady-state responses is simpler with a SSTI representation, the results obtained from the reference model are transformed into the appropriate SRFs by using the phase angle from the simulated PLL. All results are plotted in per unit quantities, with base values derived from the nominal kVA rating of the MMC and the peak value of the nominal phase voltage, as specified in Table I.

To excite the MMC dynamics in the different models, a 10% step reduction is introduced in the dc side current source $i_{dc,s}$, which is initially at 0.85 pu, corresponding to a dc power of 1.08 [pu]. The step is imposed at the simulation time $t = 0$ s and the current source is returned to its initial value at $t = 2$ s.

The first set of results is presented in Fig. 8, for a case when the second harmonic components of the energy sum are regulated to zero. In this figure, some of the variables which are common to all the simulated models are shown; i.e., the signals that are represented in both the "DQZ" and the "ZERO" models. These variables are, in Fig. 8 a); the zero-sequence energy sum $w_{qz}$, b) the zero-sequence of the circulating current $i_{cz}$, c) the voltage at the MMC dc terminals $v_{dc}$, d) the active component of the ac-source, and e) the reactive component of the ac-source, as defined by (37), and corresponds to the model proposed in [25]. The ac- and dc-side dynamics included are the same as for the other models, and the simulated control system is a simplified version of what was discussed in section IV, resulting in the same control structure as discussed in [25]. An overview of the model is shown in Fig. 6, and results from the model will be denoted as "ZERO".

### Table I Parameters of Simulated System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References [pu]</th>
<th>MMC Parameters</th>
<th>Per Unit System</th>
<th>Controller Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$w^*_{dc}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$R_s = 0.4915 \Omega (0.3%)$</td>
<td>$L_f = 0.0351 H \ (11.21%)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w^*_{zc}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$L_s = 0.0250 \Omega \ (8%)$</td>
<td>$R_s = 0.0098 \Omega \ (0.01%)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w^*_{pq}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$L_f = 0.0514 H \ (16.428%)$</td>
<td>$C_{eq} = 25.904 \mu F \ (80%)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w^*_{2\gamma}$</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>$R_t = 0.2802 \Omega \ (28.35%)$</td>
<td>$C_{eq} = 1.672 \mu F \ (5.1637%)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i_{dc,s}$</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>$C_s = 2.8721\mu F \ (0.87%)$</td>
<td>$f_s = 50 \ Hz$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v^*_{dc}$</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>$V_{dc,1} = 313.5 \ kVrms$</td>
<td>$S_{dc} = 1000 \ MVA$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
current (converter side) \( i_{dc} \), and e) the phase shift between the PLL orientation and the equivalent grid voltage, \( \delta_{PLL} \). From Fig. 8 it can be initially concluded that the detailed SSTI representation as well as the simplified zero sequence model (i.e. "DQZ" and "ZERO") obtain a high degree of accuracy, as they capture the dynamic response of the reference model without any noticeable deviation. The results presented in the figure also confirm that the model is accurate for both fast and slow dynamics. Similarly, their linearized small-signal versions ("ssDQZ" and "ssZERO") accurately capture the system dynamics, particularly for the event occurring at \( t = 2 \text{ s} \), as the system is then returning to the operating point around which it was linearized.

From the curves in Fig. 8, it can be noticed that energy sum reaches the desired value of 1.5625 in steady-state, which corresponds to the square of the desired dc terminal voltage; i.e., 1.25. This results from having a zero-sequence energy corresponding to the square of the desired dc terminal voltage; reaches the desired value of 1.5625 in steady-state, which was linearized.

The system is then returning to the operating point around which it was linearized.

As a point of reference, the behavior of the circulating current, the energy sum and the energy difference in each phase have been plotted in Fig. 11-a), -b) and -c). These are exactly the same simulation results that have been transformed into their associated SRFs for the comparison of the models in Fig. 9 and Fig. 10. Since it was demonstrated that all the models provide the same results, only the reference model is plotted for the sake of clarity. The waveforms are as expected, with the energy settling to a constant value in steady state. Furthermore, it is worth noticing that all oscillating variables settle to balanced three-phase signals with a common average value in steady state, since their respective \( dq \)-components are controlled to constant values by the MMC controllers. Finally, Fig. 11 d) and e) show respectively the arm currents and aggregated voltages of the phase \( \alpha \), to illustrate the actual waveforms of the reference model. Indeed, the \( dc \)-component, the fundamental frequency component and the second harmonic component in the arm currents can be clearly seen from Fig. 11 d).

When studying the results in Fig. 11 e) in comparison to the results in From Fig. 9 and Fig. 10, it should be remembered that the actual waveform of the arm voltages is related to the arm sum voltage, and the per phase sum energy and energy difference variables according to (5). Since the average value of the arm sum voltage is much higher than the oscillating components, the influence of the square root relationship...
between the sum arm energy and the sum arm voltage cannot be easily noticed from Fig. 11 e). Thus, it can be seen from the curves in Fig. 11 e) that the transient response in the equivalent sum arm voltage contains:

1) A dc-bias with its corresponding transients
2) A fundamental frequency component

Fig. 9 Time-domain validation of detailed SSTI representation of the internal MMC energy variables
3) A second harmonic component (which in this case is slowly regulated to zero to reduce the capacitor voltage oscillations as seen from Fig. 11 b))

4) A third harmonic component.

From the results in Fig. 9 and Fig. 10, it should be clear that these components are all accurately represented in their appropriate SRFs by the derived SSTI state-space equations.

VII. ANALYSIS OF MMC SMALL-SIGNAL DYNAMICS

For demonstrating the potential applicability of the derived SSTI representation of the MMC, an example of small-signal eigenvalue analysis is presented in this section. This example will demonstrate how the nonlinear state-space model is necessary for calculating the steady-state operating point needed for linearization, and how the linearized small-signal model can be utilized for revealing the dynamic properties, sensitivities and stability limitations of the modelled system. It is important to that note the obtained results rely on the SSTI modelling approach, and that similar results cannot be directly obtained from the conventional average model in the stationary reference frame.

A. Eigenvalue analysis for identifying sources of oscillations

As a first example of small-signal analysis, the eigenvalues are calculated for the small signal state-space model representing the detailed internal dynamics of the MMC as well as for the simplified model, when the system is linearized at the same operating point as used for the simulations in the previous section. The resulting eigenvalues are plotted in the complex plane for comparison, as shown in Fig. 12. From the various scales shown in Fig. 12 a)-d), it can be clearly seen that all eigenvalues that exist in the simplified "ssZERO" model are also present in the detailed "ssDQZ model." This clearly confirms that the simplifications associated with the zero
sequence model only implies that some of the system dynamics are not represented, while the dynamics included in the model accurately corresponds to the detailed model.

For further assessing the information that can be obtained from the small-signal models, the eigenvalues of the "ssDQZ" model are listed in Table II. This table also lists the time-

conststant $T_i$, the oscillation frequency $f_i$, and the damping factor $\zeta_i$ of each mode $i$, which are defined from the real and imaginary part of the eigenvalue, according to [14]:

$$
T_i = \frac{1}{\omega_i}, \quad f_i = \frac{\omega_i}{2\pi}, \quad \zeta_i = \frac{\omega_i}{\sqrt{\omega_i^2 + \alpha_i^2}}
$$

(42)

This equation also defines the general form of the time-

response $z(t)$ associated with an individual mode $\lambda_i$.

By considering the transient responses resulting from the time-domain simulations, it can be confirmed how the oscillatory components in the SSTI state variables are each directly associated to one of the identified modes. The high frequency oscillation at about 1400 Hz which can be seen in the Fig. 8 c) and d) is, for instance directly corresponding to the oscillation mode given by the eigenvalues $\lambda_{25,26}$. Similarly, the relatively damped oscillation with a frequency slightly above 50 Hz which can be noticed in the zoomed plots of Fig. 9 corresponds to the mode defined by the eigenvalues $\lambda_{18,20}$.

Although it is possible to identify some distinct eigenvalues in the time-domain response of the system, this does not explicitly reveal which variables are involved in each oscillation model. Thus, participation factor analysis can be utilized to identify which states are contributing to the different modes [14]. Such analysis can reveal which state variables are involved in causing poorly damped oscillations or instability problems and indicate potential interactions between the various state variables. The results from such participation factor analysis are summarized in the rightmost column of Table II, where all state variables with a participation higher than 10 \% are listed for each mode. For instance, it can be noticed that the eigenvalues with the highest time constant (i.e. longest settling time of the transient) in this case are associated with the voltages and currents on the ac-side ($\lambda_{4,5}$) and the integrator states of the energy controllers ($\lambda_{25,26}, \lambda_{27}$).

### B. Assessment of small-signal dynamics in the full expected operating range

Since the non-linear SSTI state-space equations can be solved for any feasible combination of input variables, it can be utilized as starting point for assessing the small-signal stability characteristics of the system over its entire range of expected operating conditions. As an illustration, a case where the power
reference is changed from $-1.0$ pu to 1.0 pu, while the dc-side input current $i_{dc,r}$ is changed to provide a power equal to the reference value (i.e. $i_{dc,r} = p_{ac}^* / \sqrt{3}v_{dc}$) and the results are presented in Fig. 13. This figure shows the trajectory of the eigenvalues with real part higher than $-500$ as the power flow is changed from $-1.0$ pu (blue color) to 1.0 pu (red color). The change of the eigenvalue locations can be considered as a measure of how the non-linearities of the system influence the small signal dynamics. Indeed, the results demonstrate that the system is approaching the stability limit when the power transfer is increasing. If the stability margin becomes very small, it will also indicate that any change of controller tuning or system parameters can easily cause stability problems.

C. Influence of internal variables on stability of the MMC

As demonstrated in section VI and VII.A, the simplified MMC state-space model is accurately representing the terminal dynamics of the MMC as long as all the internal dynamics are stable. However, the internal dynamics of the MMC can possibly compromise the overall system stability if the control loops are not tuned properly. Although the control systems used in this paper is a simplified implementation, the consequences of improper controller tuning can easily be demonstrated. As an example, Fig. 14 a) shows the eigenvalue trajectory when changing the gain of the controllers for the $d$- and $q$- axis energy sum from half of its initial value to 4 times its initial value from Table I. It can be seen from the figure that the system has one unstable mode for low values of the gain $k_{p,wSd}$ (Mode A), and that another mode becomes unstable at very high values of $k_{p,wSd}$ (Mode B).

Participation factor analysis is utilized to reveal the results of the instability identified in Fig. 14 a), and the results are plotted as bar diagrams for the two identified unstable modes in Fig. 14 b). This figure indicates that the Mode A instability is associated with a lack of control of the internal dynamics of the MMC due to the low gains, since the participating states are $w_{Sd}, w_{Sp}, w_{sd}$ and $w_{id}$. However for high values of $k_{p,wSd}$ the unstable mode (Mode B) is associated to the output voltage, the output current and the zero sequence sum energy $w_{Sd}$. This indicates that a wrong tuning of the internal controllers can also cause stability problems to appear on the terminals of the MMC. Thus, the simplified zero-sequence model of the MMC should only be used when it can be assumed that the internal dynamics of the MMC are not causing any stability problems that can influence the overall operation of the system.

D. Sensitivity to operation under weak ac grid conditions

The developed SSTI models can also be utilized for evaluating the sensitivity with respect to parameter variations in the physical system or in the controller tuning. As an example of how external network parameters can influence the operation of the MMC, the impact of variations in the grid-side inductance of the assumed ac-system have been investigated. The eigenvalue trajectory resulting from changing the grid inductance between 0.01 pu and 0.6 pu are shown in Fig. 15. In this case, $i_{dc,r}$ is set to 0.5 pu and $p_{ac}^*$ is set to 0.4 pu, while all
other parameters are as given in Table I. From this figure, it can be seen that one of the eigenvalues previously identified to be associated with the ac-side electrical system is crossing into the right half-plane causing instability for high values of the grid inductance.

According to the results in Fig. 15, the control system should be re-tuned to ensure robustness with respect to the grid impedance for operating the MMC in weak grid conditions. For identifying the controller parameters that can be utilized to achieve a wider stability range, it is useful to calculate the parametric sensitivity of the eigenvalue causing the stability problems. The parametric sensitivity $\alpha_{\lambda,k}$ of the eigenvalue $\lambda_k$ to variations in parameter $\rho_k$ is defined as:

$$\alpha_{\lambda,k} = \frac{\partial \lambda_k}{\partial \rho_k}$$

where $\Psi^T$ and $\Phi$ are the left and right eigenvectors associated to the eigenvalue $\lambda_k$ [14].

The real parts of the parametric sensitivity for the eigenvalues identified from Fig. 15 to cause instability have been calculated and are plotted in Fig. 16 for the case of a grid inductance of 0.6 pu (i.e. in the unstable region). From this figure, it can be seen that the eigenvalue location is especially sensitive to the value $k_{p,cc,z}$ of the proportional gain for the zero sequence current controller, and to the value $k_{p,pac}$ for the proportional gain of the ac-side active power controller. Since the plots indicate the derivative of the eigenvalue real part with respect to the parameter, either of these parameters could be reduced to improve the stability of the system. This information allows for simple re-tuning of the controllers, since the location of the eigenvalues, and the parametric sensitivity can be easily recalculated after changing any parameter value.

By reducing the gains of $k_{p,cc,z}$ and $k_{p,pac}$ to 80% of their initial values, it is possible to achieve a reasonable stability margin for the entire operating range for grid inductances up to 0.5 pu (i.e. SCR=2). In case very high grid impedance values, the parameters of the PLL will also start to influence the stability of the system, as discussed in [37], but further investigations towards the ac-side grid interactions is beyond the scope of this paper.

An example of a time-domain simulation from the reference model described in section VI is presented in Fig. 17 to verify the results from the presented eigenvalue analysis. This figure shows a case with grid inductance of 0.5 pu, when the dc-side current $i_{dc,s}$ is increased from 0.4 pu to 0.5 pu, corresponding to a change of active power flow from about 0.5 pu to 0.62 pu. With the initial tuning of the system, labelled as Case A, the operation with $i_{dc,s}$ equal to 0.5 pu would be slightly beyond the stability limit according to Fig. 15, while the operation with $i_{dc,s}$ of 0.4 could be found to be stable. This is clearly verified in the curve for Case A in Fig. 17, since the system is stable before the step in $i_{dc,s}$, while it becomes unstable with an increasing oscillation at about 310 Hz after the step. This oscillation frequency corresponds accurately to the imaginary part of the unstable eigenvalues from Fig. 15. The case with $k_{p,cc,z}$ and $k_{p,pac}$ reduced to 80% of their initial values is labelled as Case B, and the result from simulating the same step in $i_{dc,s}$ for this case is also shown in Fig. 17, clearly verifying that the system has been stabilized.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

This paper presents a modelling approach for obtaining a Steady-State Time-Invariant (SSTI) state-space representation of MMCs. The presented approach is suitable for MMCs with control strategies utilizing on-line compensation for the arm voltage oscillations in the calculation of the arm insertion indices, referred to in this paper as compensated modulation. The derived model captures the MMC internal dynamics while imposing steady-state time-invariance on each variable. This was achieved by an energy-based $\Sigma\Delta$ formulation which enabled separation of the MMC variables according to their oscillation frequencies. A procedure for deriving equivalent SSTI dq representation of all state variables by applying three different Park transformations was presented, referring each variable to its associated SRF, rotating at once, twice or three times the grid fundamental frequency. The resulting model can be suited for detail-oriented studies, as it captures the dynamics of the second harmonic circulating currents and the internal energy dynamics of the MMC.

The paper also demonstrates how the developed detailed model can be simplified due to the characteristics of the compensated modulation. This yields in a MMC representation based only on the zero-sequence of the energy-sum and the zero-sequence of the circulating current. This model corresponds to previously proposed MMC models for CM-
based control, derived by physical considerations and approximations, but the presented derivations provide explicit identification of the required simplifications. The simplified model is accurately representing the interface variables on the ac- and dc-side dynamics of the MMC, which are the main variables of concern from a macroscopic point of view and will be valid under the assumption that the neglected internal variables are properly tuned and therefore stabilized. Thus, this model is suited for power system-oriented studies.

The focus of this paper has been to derive SSTI models that can accurately represent the dynamics of a MMC, and a simplified control system was introduced only for verifying the derived models. Utilization of the presented models can enable a wide range of studies related to analysis and control system design for the MMC. As an example of applicability, the presented SSTI models have been linearized and assessed by means of small-signal eigenvalues-based techniques. For this purpose, the non-linear state-space models are needed to calculate the steady-state operating points for linearization according to the input variables and for obtaining the corresponding small-signal model. The resulting small-signal model calculated at any linearization point can be utilized for assessing the dynamic properties of the system. Thus, the small-signal model can be utilized for identifying potential stability problems or as a framework for improving the controller tuning and the performance of the system.
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