ABSTRACT

Electrocatalyst support affects not only catalytic activity of a catalyst, but also mass transportation and electron transfer in the catalyst layer of an electrode for proton exchange membrane fuel cells. Multi-dimensional and combined carbon materials such as Vulcan XC-72, carbon nanotubes (CNTs), and home-made coiled carbon nanotubes (CCNTs) are applied to enhance the catalyst activity and utilization. Three-dimensional CCNTs with large specific surface area and good graphitic characteristic are synthesized by solid-state catalytic method. This obtained CCNTs and commercial CNTs are used as support to prepare platinum catalysts via a modified ethylene glycol method, respectively. The electrochemical surface areas (ECSAs) of the as-prepared Pt/CNTs, Pt/CCNTs, and commercial Pt/C (JM) catalyst are evaluated by cyclic voltammetry. Then each two and three kinds of above catalysts mixed with different mass ratios are investigated. The ECSAs of Pt/C-Pt/CCNTs (95:5) and Pt/C-Pt/CNTs-Pt/CCNTs (80:10:10) are calculated to be 106 m$^2$g$^-1$ Pt and 111 m$^2$g$^-1$ Pt, with respect to 70 m$^2$g$^-1$ of Pt/C (JM) catalyst. And these mixed catalysts also demonstrate improved oxygen reduction reaction activities. This is mainly attributed to the unique structure of CCNTs, which can construct a multi-dimensional network to facilitate the mass transportation and electrons/protons transfer.
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1. Introduction
Proton exchange membrane fuel cell (PEMFC) has been regarded as an attractive and efficient power supply for portable applications due to its high efficiency, high specific energy density, zero pollution, and low operating temperature [1-2]. Pt-based electrocatalysts, a kind of promising catalytic materials for widely-adapted PEMFC, provide remarkable activity for hydrogen oxidation and oxygen reduction reactions (ORR) [3]. One important goal for commercialization of PEMFC is to reduce the amount of platinum and enhance the cell performance [4]. It is generally accepted that the performance depends on the shape, size, and distribution of Pt nanoparticles. In addition, supporting material could affect not only the catalytic properties but also mass transport and electron transfer in catalyst layers of the electrode, resulting in an enhanced catalytic activity [5]. Various carbon materials with different nanostructures and morphological characteristics have been used as support ranging from carbon black, carbon aerogels, carbon nanotubes, and mesoporous carbon. Carbon black Vulcan XC-72 has been commonly used as support for electrocatalysts in PEMFC, but the utilization of XC-72 supported catalyst is usually less than 20% due to a close packing of catalyst particles during the hot-pressing process of membrane electrode assembly (MEA) fabrication [7]. Carbon nanotubes (CNTs), with the unique morphology and physical properties including a large aspect ratio, good electrical conductivity, and mechanical stability, possess the ability to carry large current densities and offer channels for fast electron/proton transfer when used for PEMFC [8-10]. The carbon nanocoils (CNCs) also realize the requirement of an ideal support for electrocatalysts, mainly due to well-defined porosity, large specific surface area of 318 m² g⁻¹, high degree of graphitization, and good crystallinity [11].

Recently the potential application of mixed electrocatalysts has been proposed to enhance the electrochemical properties. Single-wall carbon nanotubes (SWNTs) and multi-wall carbon nanotubes (MWNTs) hybrids loaded with Pt, evaluated as the cathode catalyst layer in PEMFC, leading to an increased mass transport characteristics and cathode-specific mass activity due to a combination of the increased mass activity caused by MWNTs and the efficient proton transfer ensured by the SWNT network [12]. Shaijumon et al. [13] used [50% Pt/MWCNT + 50%
Pt/C as cathode electrocatalyst in PEMFC, and it showed the best performance of 288.9 mW cm$^{-2}$ at a voltage of 540 mV and a current density of 535 mA cm$^{-2}$ due to better dispersion of Pt nanoparticles and good accessibility of MWCNT. Graphene nanosheet (GN) has also opened up a new way to be employed as support due to its unique morphology and high electronic conductivity [14-15]. Yang et al. [16] reported that the Pd/GNS-CNTs (GN/CNTs=5:1) exhibited the highest electrochemical active surface area (ECSA) and Pd utilization, which indicated the excellent catalytic activity and stability for formic acid electrooxidation compared to Pd/Vulcan XC-72R, Pd/GNS, or Pd/CNTs catalysts. Jafri et al. [17] pointed out that the mixture of functionalized multi-walled carbon nanotube (f-MWNT) and functionalized graphene (f-G) could also act as good catalysts supporting materials for both methanol oxidation and ORR. The single cell with PtRu/ (50% f-G + 50% f-MWNT) and Pt/ (50% f-G + 50% f-MWNT) gave a maximum power density of 68 mW cm$^{-2}$.

**Fig. 1.** The schematic structures of catalyst layers. (a) Pt/XC-72 and (b) Pt/XC-72-Pt/CNTs-Pt/CCNTs

The structures of Pt/XC-72 and Pt/XC-72-Pt/CNTs-Pt/CCNTs catalyst layers are shown schematically in Fig. 1. Spherical XC-72 carbon black is a good catalyst support but its supported Pt catalysts are easily compressed during the hot-pressing process, as this will block reactants to get access to some active sites and reduce the catalyst utilization (as shown in Fig.1a). Moreover, the electron conductivity of XC-
72 is not very good. In this paper, three-dimensional coiled carbon nanotubes (CCNTs) with large specific surface area and graphitic characteristic will be applied to construct more effective mass transport channels, and one-dimensional CNTs are expected to facilitate the electron transfer (as shown in Fig.1b). The introduction of CCNTs and/or CNTs into the Pt/XC-72 catalysts will be designed to improve the catalytic activity and utilization of Pt/XC-72. Both two and three types of Pt/C, Pt/CNTs, and Pt/CCNTs catalysts will be mixed with different mass ratios and then investigated.

2. Experimental

2.1. Preparation of CCNTs

CCNTs was synthesized according to the method of heat-treating mixtures of carbon precursors, silica sol, and transition-metal salts [18]. Resorcinol-formaldehyde (RF) gel was chosen as carbon precursor. Iron nitrate was used as catalyst, and silica sol (AkzoNobel chemicals Corp., particle size of SiO$_2$ = 4 nm; density = 1.1 g cm$^{-3}$) was added to the reaction mixture to achieve a high specific surface area and suitable pore size carbon material. The aqueous reaction mixture of iron nitrate/trisodium citrate/silica/resorcinol/formaldehyde with a molar ratio of 0.8:0.8:1:2:4, was cured at 85 °C for 3 h, then carbonized in an argon atmosphere at 850 °C for 3 h, followed by refluxing in 3 M NaOH to remove the silica particles and in 5 M HNO$_3$ to remove other residuals. Eventually CCNTs were obtained after filtering, washing, and drying at 100 °C in vacuum overnight.

2.2. Preparation of electrocatalysts

Pt catalyst supported on carbon material was prepared by using an improved ethylene glycol method. The required amount of chloroplatinic acid (H$_2$PtCl$_6$·6H$_2$O) was added to ethylene glycol (EG) under magnetic stirring, and then the pH value of the solution was adjusted with sodium hydroxide. Subsequently, the required amounts of CNTs (Chengdu Organic Chemicals Company, China) or CCNTs were added into the resulting EG solution and the obtained reaction mixture was kept at 140 °C for 3 h with an ongoing reduction process. Finally, the resulting suspension was cooled, filtered, and washed with deionized water until no chloride ions were detected, and then dried in a vacuum oven. The prepared catalysts are respectively denoted as 40 wt.% Pt/CNTs and 40 wt.% Pt/CCNTs. 40 wt.% Pt/C catalyst from Johnson Matthey Company (HiS…) was adopted as Pt/XC-72.

2.3. Physical characterization

The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) specific surface areas were measured by N$_2$ adsorption and the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) desorption method was applied to determine pore size distributions, using on a Quantachrome Nova Automated Gas Sorption System. The crystallinity of carbon materials and electrocatalysts was determined through X-ray diffraction (XRD) performed on a Rigaku D/ MAX X-ray
2.4. Electrochemical characterization

Electrochemical measurements were performed using an AutoLab Potentiostat (Metrohm, Holland) with a three-electrode system at room temperature. 5 mg of the electrocatalyst was ultrasonically suspended in 1 mL of ethanol and 50 µL of Nafion® solution (5 wt%, Du Pont) for 30 min to form a homogeneous ink. Then 25 µL of the ink was spread onto the surface of a glassy carbon electrode (GC) with a diameter of 5 mm (geometric area of 0.196 cm²) embedded in a Teflon cylinder (Pine Instrument). A Pt wire and Ag/AgCl electrode were employed as the counter and the reference electrodes, respectively. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was performed at room temperature between -0.2 and +1.2 V in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ with a scan rate of 20 mV s⁻¹.

Rotating disk electrode (RDE) experiments were conducted in O₂-saturated 0.5 M H₂SO₄ from 1.0 to 0.45 V at a scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹ and rotation rate of 1600 rpm.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Physical characterization of carbon materials and electrocatalysts
Fig. 2. (a) N\textsubscript{2} adsorption/desorption isotherm of carbon materials and (b) pore size distribution of CCNTs.

The specific surface areas of XC-72, CNTs, and CCNTs are measured to be 215, 61, and 404 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1}. The increased adsorption branch at low relative pressure and the hysteresis loop for desorption branch under the higher relative pressures of CCNTs are observed in Fig. 2a, which attributes to the hysteresis loop of type-H1 [19]. Fig. 2b shows a pore distribution of CCNTs mainly ranging from 10 to 40 nm, which indicates a typical mesoporous structure. XC-72 possesses a significant amount of mesopores [20], but CCNTs exhibit much larger mesopore volume and specific surface area than those of XC-72 and CNTs.
Fig. 3. (a) XRD patterns and (b) Raman spectra of various carbon materials.

Fig. 3a shows the XRD patterns of XC-72, CNTs, and CCNTs. It can be seen that CCNTs indicate intensive diffraction peaks corresponding to the (002) and (100) diffraction peaks of graphite. The (002) diffraction peak of CCNTs is sharper and more intense than that of the XC-72 or CNTs, indicating its excellent graphitic properties. Compared to 0.3354 nm of graphite $d_{(002)}$ spacing (21), the $d_{(002)}$ of CCNTs is calculated to be 0.3387 nm using Bragg’s equation based on the (002)
diffraction peak, and its crystallinity is superior to that of CNTs (0.3438 nm) or amorphous XC-72 (0.3678 nm) [22]. According to Raman spectra, a G (“graphite”) band peak at 1590 cm\(^{-1}\) relates to the vibration of sp\(^2\)-hybridized carbon, a D (“defect”) band peak at 1350 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponds to defects, and the intensity ratio between the D and G bands (I\(_D\)/I\(_G\)) is a measure of graphitic characteristic, and the lower I\(_D\)/I\(_G\) value means a higher graphitic property [23]. As shown in Fig. 3b, CCNTs possess a lower I\(_D\)/I\(_G\) value than XC-72 and CNTs, which means it has better graphitic characteristic. This further confirms the XRD results.

![XRD patterns of Pt catalysts supported on different carbon materials](image)

**Fig. 4.** XRD patterns of Pt catalysts supported on different carbon materials.

The XRD patterns in Fig. 4 show a f.c.c. Pt crystalline structure of Pt/CCNTs, Pt/CNTs, and Pt/C, which is inferred from Pt (111), (200), (220), and (311) four characteristic peaks located at 39.8°, 46.2°, 67.5°, and 81.2°. The Pt (220) peak is isolated from the graphite diffraction peaks of carbon support [24], and the mean size of the Pt particles in Pt/CCNTs, Pt/CNTs, and Pt/C are calculated to be 3.7 nm, 5.8 nm, and 3.5 nm via Scherrer’s formula.
Fig. 5. TEM images of (a) XC-72, (b) CNTs, (c) and (d) CCNTs, (e) Pt/C (JM), (f) Pt/CNTs, and (g) Pt/CCNTs.

As a comparison, the TEM images of XC-72 and CNTs are shown in Fig. 5a and Fig. 5b. XC-72 consists of spherical carbon particles with diameters of 20~50 nm. Fig. 5c displays crystalline structure of CCNTs particles consisting of the graphitic coils with a wall thickness of 5-10 nm. The observed graphitic layers are consistent with the above XRD results. Fig. 5e and Fig. 5f clearly reveal Pt particles distributed uniformly with a size ranging from 3 to 4 nm on XC-72 and a size distribution of 5-7 nm on CNTs. As shown in Fig. 5g, Pt particles with size ranging from 3 to 5 nm are homogeneously dispersed over the CCNTs, which agrees well with the calculated results from XRD.

3.2. Electrochemical measurements of the electrocatalysts
Fig. 6. Cyclic voltammograms of (a) Pt/CCNTs and CCNTs, and (b) Pt/C (JM), Pt/CCNTs, and Pt/CNTs catalysts in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ with a scan rate of 20 mV s$^{-1}$ at room temperature.

The cyclic voltammograms of Pt/CCNTs and CCNTs are shown in Fig. 6a. The ECSA of Pt can be calculated using Eq. (1) from the hydrogen electrooxidation peak after subtraction of the double layer capacitance [26]. The charge of full coverage for clean polycrystalline Pt is $Q_H = 210$ $\mu$C cm$^{-2}$. $L_{Pt}$ is the Pt loading on the working electrode and $A_g$ is the geometric surface area of the glassy carbon electrode.

$$\text{ECSA (m}^2\text{ g}_{Pt}^{-1}) = \left[ \frac{Q_H \text{ (C)}}{210 \mu\text{C cm}_{Pt}^{-2} L_{Pt} \text{ (mg}_{Pt} \text{ cm}^{-2}) A_g \text{ (cm}^2\text{)}} \right] \times 10^5$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Fig. 6b shows cyclic voltammograms of Pt-electrocatalysts scanned from 0 to 1.4 V vs. NHE at a scan rate of 20 mV s$^{-1}$ in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$. According to Eq. (1), the ECSAs for Pt/C (JM), Pt/CNTs, and Pt/CCNTs are calculated to be 70, 38, and 65 m$^2$ g$_{Pt}$$^{-1}$, respectively. The result is consistent with the mean size of Pt obtained from XRD corresponding to 3.5 nm, 5.8 nm, and 3.7 nm, respectively. Although the activities for Pt/CNTs and Pt/CCNTs are inferior compared to commercial Pt/C, additions of Pt/CNTs and/or Pt/CCNTs into the Pt/C (JM) catalyst can improve the catalytic activity of the commercial catalyst significantly, as shown by the results given below.
Fig. 7. Cyclic voltammograms of the mixed Pt/C and Pt/CNTs catalysts in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ at 25 °C at a scan rate of 20 mV s⁻¹.

Firstly, ECSAs for mixed catalysts of each two kinds of Pt catalysts with different mass ratios are evaluated from CV. CV curves of the mixed Pt/C and Pt/CNTs catalysts with mass ratios of 70:30, 80:20, 90:10, and 95:5 are shown in Fig. 7, and their corresponding ECSA are 74, 90, 84, and 79 m² g⁻¹ Pt⁻¹, respectively. The optimum ratio of Pt/C to Pt/CNTs is 80:20, its ECSA is 20 m² g⁻¹ Pt⁻¹ higher than that of Pt/C (JM)
catalyst, even the individual Pt/CNTs show much lower activity. It is most likely due
to the fact that CNTs form a multi-dimensional network structure and establish a
better conductive path [27]. However, if more than 50 wt% of Pt/CNTs is added, a
decrease in ECSA is observed. This is mainly due to the less catalytic activity
contribution originating from Pt/CNTs.

In order to explore the role of CNTs, CVs of Pt/C with different amounts of CNTs
were recorded as shown in Fig. 8. It can be seen that Pt/C with 25wt% CNTs exhibits
the highest ECSA of 135.5 m² g⁻¹ Pt⁻¹, which is obviously higher than that of Pt/C with
5wt% CNTs, 10wt% CNTs, 15wt% CNTs, 20wt% CNTs, and 30wt% CNTs, and their
corresponding ECSAs are 77, 78, 88, 100, and 79 m² g⁻¹ Pt⁻¹, respectively. The results
indicate that adding too large or too small amounts of CNTs induces a decrease in
ECSA. With the CNTs content increasing, the ECSA increases at first which can be
attributed to the formation of a porous network structure, but decreases with the
excess amount of CNTs because CNTs itself does not have catalytic activity.
**Fig. 9.** Cyclic voltammograms of (a) the mixed Pt/C with Pt/CCNTs, and (b) mixed Pt/CCNTs with Pt/CNTs in 0.5M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at 25 °C at a scan rate of 20 mV s<sup>-1</sup> [Only part CV curves were shown in a potential window of -0.0 to +0.5 V].

ECSA data calculated from Fig. 9a show that the optimum ratio of Pt/C to Pt/CCNTs is 95:5 with the ECSA value of 106 m<sup>2</sup> g<sub>Pt</sub><sup>-1</sup>. According to the BJH results, CCNTs exhibit a uniform pore size distribution (10-40 nm) and better pore volume with the increased special surface area. It proves that a very small amount of three-dimensional porous CCNTs incorporated with zero-dimensional XC-72 could contribute to a
higher ECSA. Meanwhile, the mixed Pt/CCNTs and Pt/CNTs catalysts with mass ratio of 80:20 as shown in Fig. 9b shows a similar ECSA value of 69 m² g⁻¹ to that of Pt/C (JM) catalyst, even individual Pt/CCNTs or Pt/CNTs catalyst demonstrate poor catalytic activity.

Fig. 10. Cyclic voltammograms of the mixed Pt/C with Pt/CNTs and Pt/CCNTs in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ at 25 °C at a scan rate of 20 mV s⁻¹.
Fig. 11. Cyclic voltammograms of the optimum electrocatalysts in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ at 25 °C at a scan rate of 20 mV s⁻¹.

In Fig. 10, the mixed Pt/C, Pt/CNTs, and Pt/CCNTs with mass ratios of 80:10:10 produce the highest ECSA value of 111 m² g⁻¹. The three kinds of the above mentioned catalysts with mass ratio of 40:10:50 or 1:1:1 exhibit a similar integrated charge with Pt/C (JM) in the hydrogen absorption region. However, more than 80 wt% of Pt/C leads to an ECSA decrease, because it needs more CNTs or CCNTs to construct a multi-dimensional structure and to contact well with each other [28]. CVs of the optimized two and three kinds of mixed catalysts are shown in Fig. 11. The remarkable enhanced activity of Pt/C-Pt/CNTs-Pt/CCNTs can be explained in three aspects. Firstly, the multilayer compressed structure is avoided due to the addition of one-dimensional Pt/CNTs and three-dimensional Pt/CCNTs. Additionally, Pt/CCNTs-Pt/CNTs work as a multi-dimensional network, promoting electrolyte through the surface of catalysts. Ultimately, we can suggest that the catalytic activity contribution mainly originated from Pt/C. However, the mixed Pt/C with appropriate amounts of Pt/CNTs and/or Pt/CCNTs offered much higher ECSA values.

Fig. 12. Polarization curves of the optimum electrocatalysts in O₂-saturated 0.5 M H₂SO₄ at 25 °C at a scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹ and rotation rate of 1600 rpm.

RDE measurements are taken to evaluate the ORR activity of catalysts. Fig. 12 shows polarization curves with RDE for the mixed two and three kinds of catalysts. The onset potential of the mixed Pt/C-Pt/CNTs-Pt/CCNTs catalysts with mass ratio of 80:10:10 is 0.91 V and similar to the commercial Pt/C catalyst. At potential of 0.7 V,
the current densities of the Pt/C-Pt/CNTs-Pt/CCNTs, Pt/C-Pt/CCNTs, Pt/C-Pt/CNTs, and Pt/CCNTs-Pt/CNTs are 4.7, 3.4, 3.2, and 2.2 mA cm\(^{-2}\), compared to 2.1 mA cm\(^{-2}\) of Pt/C, indicate that the mixture of two or three kinds of catalysts exhibit better ORR activity. This improvement can be attributed to the more efficient mass transport in the higher current density regions, facilitating O\(_2\) diffusion among the catalyst layer and promoting access to Pt active sites. These results are consistent with the increased ECSAs obtained from CVs.

The electrocatalytic reaction region involves solid, liquid, and gas phase mass transport and electron/proton transfer [25]. Based on the morphology of the three-phase region, two and three kinds of mixed electrocatalysts are employed to construct a multi-dimensional network for the sake of optimizing the electrode structure: allowing the reactant more easily to get access to the active sites and the product to be quickly removed from them, facilitating electron/proton transfer, thus assuring that the reaction goes smoothly. The unique structure of Pt/C-Pt/CNTs-Pt/CCNTs is advantageous for the ORR pathway compared to the individual Pt/CNTs, Pt/CCNTs or Pt/C. XC-72 is a good support to disperse precious metal particles, CNTs play the role of transferring electrons, and CCNTs are responsible for constructing preferable mass transport channels. Finally, we conceive that the mixed Pt/C with Pt/CNTs and Pt/CCNTs could be used to offer more active sites, more favorable gas-diffusion channels, and lower resistant charge-transfer paths.

4. Conclusions

In this research, each two and three kinds of Pt/C, Pt/CNTs, and Pt/CCNTs are mixed with different mass ratios. The specific active surface areas of the mixed Pt/C and Pt/CCNTs with mass ratio of 95:5 and mixed Pt/C with Pt/CNTs and Pt/CCNTs with mass ratio of 80:10:10 are calculated to be 106 m\(^2\) g\(_{Pt}\)\(^{-1}\) and 111 m\(^2\) g\(_{Pt}\)\(^{-1}\) with respect to 70 m\(^2\) g\(_{Pt}\)\(^{-1}\) for commercial Pt/C catalyst due to the unique structure of CCNTs and the enhanced conductivity of CNTs. RDE results also confirm the improved ORR activity of the mixed Pt/C with Pt/CNTs and/or Pt/CCNTs. These results indicate that two and three of the mixed platinum electrocatalysts supported on various carbon materials can significantly enhance the performance and utilization of the catalysts, and this result can be applied for practical fuel cell stacks to better the performance and reduce the amount of catalyst.
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