Promoted strong bicarbonate forming solvents for CO₂ Capture
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Abstract

Effect of different promoters on performance of two bicarbonate forming solvents (HS1 and HS2) was studied in this work and results compared with the performance of 30 wt% MEA and CESAR1 solvents. Based on the results from rapid screening experiments (absorption at 40°C, desorption at 80°C), one promoter was selected for further study. Vapor-liquid equilibrium (VLE) and heat of absorption were measured for two solvent systems promoted with the selected promoter.
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1. Introduction

Better solvent candidates for CO₂ capture technology should have high net cyclic capacity, fast reaction/absorption rates for CO₂, low heat of reaction, high chemical stability, low vapor pressure and low corrosiveness [1]. Different types of amines have been used such as alkanolamines and polyamines. Alkanolamines react with CO₂ to form either carbamate or bicarbonate [1]:
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\[
\begin{align*}
CO_2(g) + 2Am & \leftrightarrow AmCO_2^- + AmH^+ \\
CO_2(g) + H_2O + Am & \leftrightarrow HC_2O_3^- + AmH^+ 
\end{align*}
\]

Primary and secondary amines undergo both carbamate and bicarbonate formation (reactions R₁ and R₂) while tertiary amines only form bicarbonate (R₂). The bicarbonate-carbamate ratio is an important parameter when selecting systems with potentially high cyclic capacity and reasonable good absorption rate [2].

In our previous works [3], different strong bicarbonate forming solvents were tested in the screening apparatus and their absorption (40°C) and desorption (80°C) performance (rate of absorption/desorption, rich and lean loadings) compared. Rapid screening experiments also provide information on solvent behavior, such as foaming, solidification, phase change, color change, etc. The difference between the rich and the lean loadings gives an indication of the cyclic capacity of solvent. In the previous work two promising solvent candidates, with much higher cyclic capacity than 30 wt% MEA, were identified. However, the rate of absorption is much lower than that of MEA, hence a promoter/activator to enhance absorption rate is needed.

Different promoters have been tested in literature, e.g. enzymes [4, 5], inorganic (e.g. arsenic trioxide, alkali metal salts of selenious) [1] and organic compounds [6]. A blend of alkanolamines/amines could be one of the alternatives. In this work, different alkanolamines were used as promoters for both solvents. Performance of the blends were tested in the same setup as described in previous work [3]. The results are compared to the data for 30 wt.% MEA and CESAR1 [7, 8] solvents. Based on the screening experiments and also taking into account environmental properties of the promoters, one promoter was selected for further study. VLE and heat of absorption for the promoted solvent systems were measured and the results are used for the estimation of energy consumption for regeneration of solvents [9].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nomenclature</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Am</td>
<td>Amine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>Full Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEA</td>
<td>Monoethanolamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_CO₂</td>
<td>Reaction rate (mol/kg/s; mol/kg/h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>Stainless Steel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLE</td>
<td>Vapor Liquid Equilibrium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_Abs</td>
<td>Heat of Absorption (kJ/mol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α</td>
<td>Loading (mol CO₂/ mol Amine)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Experimental setups and procedures

2.1. Chemicals

The commercially available chemicals are purchased from Sigma Aldrich. The chemicals, listed in Table 1, were used without further purification. All solutions containing promoters are prepared gravimetrically.
### Table 1. List of chemicals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO.</th>
<th>Chemical Name</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>CAS No.</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Purity (%min)</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2-Piperidineethanol</td>
<td>2-PPE</td>
<td>1484-84-0</td>
<td><img src="structure1.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>95</td>
<td>HS1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1-(2-Hydroxyethyl)pyrrolidine</td>
<td>1-(2HE)PRLD</td>
<td>2955-88-6</td>
<td><img src="structure2.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>97</td>
<td>HS2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Promoters</td>
<td>P1 to P7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Carbon Dioxide</td>
<td>CO2</td>
<td>124-38-9</td>
<td><img src="structure3.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>99.999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
<td>N2</td>
<td>7727-37-9</td>
<td><img src="structure4.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>99.998</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2.2. Screening Apparatus

The screening apparatus mimics an absorption (40°C and 95% CO₂ captured) and desorption (80°C and 90% CO₂ removal) processes in CO₂ capture plant and thus allows comparison of performance (rich/ lean loading and cyclic capacity) of different solvents to that of a reference solvent. [6, 10-13].

The set-up (Figure 1) consists of a jacketed glass reactor (~150 cm³) equipped with a sintered gas sparger and magnetic stirrer. Temperature in the reactor is measured using a thermocouple (Pt100, ±0.1°C) and controlled using a LAUDA E5 heating bath with water as a heating medium. Bronkhors® High-Tech mass flow controllers (MFC) for N₂ and CO₂ are used to adjust the feed gas compositions (maximum flow of 1NL/min). All gas leaving the reactor was sent to a Fisher–Rosemount BINOS® 100 NDIR CO₂ analyzer.

About ~0.125 kg solvent was introduced into the reactor and heated to set temperature (40°C). When the absorption temperature was reached, a CO₂/N₂ gas mixture was bubbled through the solution in the reactor. The initial CO₂ inlet concentration was kept constant at 10% CO₂ (10 kPa partial pressure) during absorption process at 40°C which was stopped at 95% absorption when the CO₂ outlet concentration showed 9.5%.

For desorption process, the CO₂ rich solution was heated to 80°C. During this period, it is commonly seen that some CO₂ has already escaped from the solution and when reached at 80°C, a constant mass flow of N₂ (0.9 NL/min) was used as a swipe-gas to remove CO₂ from the solution. The desorption was ended when the CO₂ partial pressure in the outlet gas reached 1 kPa.

![Figure 1. Screening set-up](figure1.png)
2.3. Equilibrium Apparatuses

Vapor liquid equilibrium was measured using two setups: the atmospheric pressure VLE apparatus (40°C), shown in Figure 2a, and medium pressure VLE apparatus (80 and 120°C), shown in Figure 2b. The partial pressure of CO2 was measured in the first apparatus using IR CO2 analyzer, while in the second apparatus it was estimated from the total pressure, assuming a constant vapor pressure of solvent during an isothermal experiment. More details on the experimental procedures may be found in our previous work [14].

![Figure 2. Atmospheric pressure (a) and medium pressure (b) VLE apparatuses](image)

2.4. Calorimeter

A CPA202 reaction calorimeter (Figure 3) was used for measuring the heat of absorption in this work. The calorimeter is designed for operation at temperatures between -50°C and 170°C depending on the choice of a heating media and an external cooling system, and at pressures from vacuum to 20 (glass reactor) or 100 (SS reactor) bars. The reactor is submersed in the CPA202BU thermostat filled with a heating media.

The calorimeter is a glass reactor with metal flanges and a SS lid. Distilled water was used as a heating media (limiting the experimental temperature to max 90°C), and tap water was used for the external cooling.

The reactor is equipped with a Pt-100 temperature sensor (accuracy 0.1°C), SENSIT pressure gauge (1-10 bara, accuracy 0.15%FS), and a propeller stirrer. CO2 is fed to the reactor via a mass flow controller (0.5 NL/min, Bronkhorst® Hightech). All operation parameters (e.g. reactor temperature and pressure, CO2 temperature and pressure, heat flow, CO2 flow, etc.) are recorded as function of time using a ChemiCall software provided by Chemisens. Sensitivity of the calorimeter given by the producer is 0.1 W.

The experimental procedure used in this work is similar to one used by Kim, et al. [15]. The detail procedure can be found in Hartono, et al. [16]. About 120 g of the solution was used in each experiment. The CO2 was added batch-wise to the solution kept at isothermal conditions.

![Figure 3. The CPA202 Reaction Calorimeter](image)
3. Result and discussion

Six promoters were tested in this work. Solutions with constant concentration ratio of promoters to solvents (HS1 and HS2) were prepared and tested in the screening apparatus.

Figure 4 shows the absorption and desorption curves from the screening experiments for the promoted HS1 solvents together with the original (un-promoted) HS1, 30 wt% MEA, and CESAR1. It may be seen from the Figure 4(a) that the initial absorption rate of the promoted solvents is not significantly improved in the presence of the promoters since the original HS1 solvent (without promoter) has already high initial absorption rate. However, absorption capacity of the promoted solvents is higher than that of the original solvent. Solvent promoted with P6 and P7 show faster initial absorption rate than MEA and CESAR1 solvents and also increased loading capacity (rich loading). Solvent promoted with P1 and P5 show a similar rich loading to CESAR 1 and slightly better than that of MEA.

![Figure 4. Absorption (a) and desorption (b) rates of the HS1 solvent at 40°C and 80°C, respectively.](image)

The desorption curves is presented in the Figure 4(b). It may be seen that the promoters P3, P4, P6 and P7 enhance the desorption. However, CESAR 1 solvent remains the fastest one to desorb CO₂ from solution.

The screening performance of the promoted HS1 solvents are compared in Figure 5. It may be seen from the figure that the initial absorption rate remains similar (~2.0 mol CO₂/kg solution/h) for all tested blends. The HS1, promoted with P3 and P4 absorbed less CO₂ than MEA. Solvent promoted with P1, P3 and P5 absorbed CO₂ similar to MEA, while solvents promoted with P6 and P7 absorbed more CO₂ than that of MEA and CESAR 1 solvents. The desorption rate was not improved by promoters but the solvent promoted with P6 show the leanest loading. Only promoters P6 and P7 showed a better cyclic capacity compared to the HS1 solvent without promoters.
Figure 5. Solvent performances of the Promoted HS1 together with MEA 30 wt. % and CESAR1 solvents

Figure 6 shows the absorption and desorption curves from the screening experiments for the promoted HS2 solvents together with the original solvent. It may be seen from the Figure 6(a) that promoters enhance significantly the initial absorption rates of the HS2 solvent. With P6 and P7 promoters, the initial absorption rate is similar to MEA and CESAR1 solvents, also higher rich loading were observed for both systems. Solvent promoted with P1, P3, P4 and P5 enhance the initial absorption rate of the HS2 solvent however the rate is slower than that of MEA and CESAR1 solvents. Solvent promoted with P1 and P5 show rich loading similar to MEA.

Figure 6. Absorption (a) and desorption rates (b) of the HS#2 solvent at 40°C and 80°C, respectively.

Desorption performance is presented in the Figure 6(b). It may be seen that all promoters enhance the desorption rate. Furthermore more CO₂ is released, and leaner loadings than that of 30 wt% MEA were observed.

An overview of the screening performance of the promoted HS2 solvents systems is given in Figure 7. The initial absorption rate increased significantly from 0.44 to ~2.0 mol-CO₂/kg-solution/h with all tested promoters. The rich loadings of the original HS2, and solvents promoted with P1, P3 and P4 are similar to MEA. The promoter P5 has rich loading similar to CESAR1. Systems promoted with P6 and P7 have absorption capacities higher than CESAR1. The solvent promoted with P6 show the leanest loading. Similar to the results for the HS1 solvent, only promoters P6 and P7 improve cyclic capacity of the original solvent.
The screening results showed that solvents promoted with P6 and P7 had better performance than MEA and CESAR1 solvents. However, one of the promoters is classified as toxic by the supplier, while the other one may have environmental issues due to its degradation products. Further study is necessary if one of these promoters will be used. Therefore in this work, the promoters P4 was selected for further testing for VLE and heat of absorption measurements.

The VLE experiments at 40°C were conducted in a low-pressure apparatus (see Figure 2a), while at 80°C and 120°C a medium pressure apparatus was used (see Figure 2b). The measured VLE data were fitted to an empirical correlation [6, 14], a soft VLE model, which was further used for energy estimation for solvent regeneration [9]. The experimental results and model predictions are showed in the Figure 8a (promoted HS1 solvent) and Figure 8b (promoted HS2 solvent). The reported VLE model [14] for non-promoted solvents are also included for comparison of the CO2 solubility in these solvents. It may be seen from the figures, the slope of the curves with the promoter has changed as expected. While the change is not very significant for the HS1 (Figure 8a), the curves for the HS2 are more "vertical" (see Figure 8b) indicating that that higher capture rate maybe expected and the pinch in the absorber avoided.

Figure 7. Solvent performances of the Promoted HS2 together with MEA 30 wt. % and CESAR1 solvents

Figure 8. Equilibrium models at different temperatures (40°C, 60°C, 80°C, 100°C and 120°C) for (a) HSA1 (b) HSA2 solvents (Solid lines, promoted systems; Dashed lines, Non-promoted systems).
Heat of absorption of the promoted solvents measured in this work are compared to the results for 30 wt% MEA measured the same set-up in Figure 9. It may be seen from the figure, that heat of absorption of the promoted systems highly depends on loading and is in general lower than that of 30 wt% MEA.

4. Conclusion

Screening apparatus was used to test different promoters for HS1 and HS2 solvents [3]. The absorption (at 40°C) and desorption (80°C) curves as function of loading are presented and discussed. Based on the screening results and taking into account environmental issues, one promoted was selected for further tests.

For the promoted solvent systems (HSA1 and HSA2), the VLE was measured at 40°C, 80°C and 120°C and a soft model developed. Heat of absorption of CO2 was measured at 40°C.

Better absorption rate, higher cyclic capacity and lower heat of absorption of new promoted systems is expected to improve the solvent performance and reduce the energy consumption compared to 30 wt% MEA and CESAR1.
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