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Abstract

This thesis is about the study of the effect of heave passive compensation during subsea factory heavy lift operations. All the analysis are based on the data provided by Peter Sandvik, Marintek. The main objective is to demonstrate the positive effect of passive heave compensation and determine the optimized stroke of passive heave compensator by the static and dynamic analysis in SIMA program.

The literature study is based on articles related to heave compensation during marine operation and DNV-RP-H103, which provides guidance for modelling and analysis of marine operations. The different types of heave compensator with different applications are introduced in the thesis.

Then, an introduction of SIMA program will be presented in chapter 4. Meanwhile how the models of environment conditions, different bodies and coupling specifications, as well as the calculation parameters are established in SIMA is illustrated in chapter 5.

Moreover, five cases are performed in this thesis to prove that the passive heave compensator will contribute to the reduction of dynamic loads and motions during heavy lift operation. The analysis is performed in SIMA, a workbench used to solve tasks during marine operations. The lifting module is modelled as a combination of four same mudmat and the passive heave compensator is modelled by a fixed elongation coupling between the hook and cranemaster. As for the model without passive heave compensator, it just replaces the fixed elongation coupling between the hook and cranemaster with a simple coupling wire. And all the results from SIMA will be plotted in chapter 6.

In the last chapter, the conclusion is drawn. It proves that the passive heave compensator has an effect of alleviating vertical forces and motions during heavy lift operation. Meanwhile it also demonstrates that the optimized PHC has the same influence as the initial PHC (passive heave compensator). At last, the recommendation for further work is given.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Background

Marine operation is of great importance in offshore industry where the needs for advanced technology in deep water always exists. Figure 1.1 shows a simultaneous operation system designed by Konsberg.

Figure 1.1: SIMOPS - Simultaneous Operations system designed by Konsberg

With the increasing demand for energy, most of whose resources are non-renewable like oil and
gas, large developments are made in marine operation. The latest research are mainly based on large new gas field with more complex subsea operation while the early fields focused on shallow waters operation. Typical marine operations include:

- Seismic survey
- Pipe lay
- Module installation
- Inspection, maintenance and repair (6)

Nowadays, most of the marine operations are achieved by advanced equipped specialized vessels for the challenging tasks.

One of the important marine operations is subsea factory heavy lift operation, which consists of various operational phases: lifting in the air, lowering through the splash zone, lowering down to seabed and seabed landing (7). During the process of subsea factory heavy lift operation, the main challenge is how to reduce the amplified vertical motions and forces caused.

The purpose of this project is to investigate measures of reducing the transient motions during the subsea module installation. Sever damages might occur in the phase of seabed landing, thus passive heave compensators (PHC) are applied during heavy lift operations. The task is to identify the influence of PHC during different weather environments and the optimum stroke of PHC. The results will help to optimize the PHC and enhance the efficiency.

1.2 Objectives

The main objectives of this Master’s thesis are:

1. Literature study, including relevant standards for lift operations and different types of heave compensators, theoretical basis for computational tools like SIMA.

2. Establish models as case studies to evaluate the lift operation responses. All the input data are provided by co-advisor Peter Sandvik, Marintek.
3. Perform analysis in SIMA to demonstrate that the models established in objective 2 are working.

4. Investigate and determine the optimum heave compensator stroke.

5. Focus on that whether the heave compensator is capable of evening out the dynamic response when the object penetrates in to the seabed.

1.3 Limitations

In the present study, the passive heave compensator is just modelled by a fixed elongation coupling and the analysis is based on time domain. In order to get a more accurate result, the time step should be sufficiently small. Besides that, the environment conditions are only defined by wave in this thesis.

1.4 Structure of the thesis

The rest of the thesis is structured as follows. In Chapter 2, it gives an introduction to heavy lift operation and heave compensators. The literature study of DNV-RP-H103 is discussed in Chapter 3. Further, Theory and methodology of SIMA will be presented in Chapter 4. In Chapter 5, it illustrates the method of modelling components in SIMA. And in chapter 6 and 7, environment data setting and dynamic analysis are presented. The conclusion and suggestions for the further study in the master thesis will be discussed in Chapter 7.
Chapter 2

Literature study

2.1 Heavy lift operation

The development of early offshore fields which were established in shallow waters is limited by the capacity of offshore cranes. Even for small modules, the operation work was still time consuming and costly. As the enhancement of lifting capacity of cranes, the way of how offshore fields develop is modified, which reduces the working time and cost effectively.

Due to most of the discovered large gas and oil fields in shallow waters have already been developed, new technology should be performed to exploit smaller and more complex fields. Nowadays, the offshore fields development are mainly based on the subsea technology, which is reliable and cost efficient(6).

Lifting forms part of almost every offshore and subsea operation, ranging from lifting of stores and spares to complicated and heavy lifts. Over 200 different lifting operations can take place on a single vessel, each with the possibility of injury to people and damage to equipment, but with proper planning, hazards can be identified and risks can be reduced or eliminated.

A typical subsea factory heavy lift operation consists of 4 main operational phases: deck lift off, wave zone lower through, deepwater lower down and seabed entrance.

Amplified vertical motions and forces of equipment can be caused by axial resonance of wire during different phases of heavy lift operation. The challenge is to reduce the vertical oscillatory motion of the modules and forces when the modules enter into seabed. Figure 2.1 shows an example on heavy lift operation in Asia Pacific.
2.2 Heave compensator

All marine operations are dependent on environment conditions, which should be monitored and recorded to perform them safely. Additionally, a specific detailed operation plan and advanced equipment are of great significance as well. Equipment handling can be a difficult task, especially for bad sea states. During heavy lift operation, heave compensator system can be used to alleviate to reduce the vertical motions and forces. The past 40 years have witnessed heave compensator systems to become common used in many marine operations. Figure 2.2 shows the major developments of heave compensators during the past 40 years.

Heave compensator was suggested to be used in marine operations by Southerland in 1970. And after the success of Butler’s test of passive heave compensators, it became widely accepted and commonly used in oil and gas industry. With the development of computational technology, heave compensators were modified with better system modelling as well as more accurate
control, which makes both passive and active heave compensators widespread in oil and gas industry.

There are three types of heave compensators typically used in marine operations, which are passive heave compensator (PHC), active heave compensator (AHC) and combined heave compensator. The passive system is designed to maintain a constant line tension, while a simple mechanical feedback system is applied in the active system to adjust for the ship heave motions. The detail information about them will be introduced in the following sections.

### 2.3 Passive heave compensator

#### 2.3.1 Definition

Passive heave compensator is defined as "an offshore equipment, generally connected between the crane hook and the payload, such that it stores the energy from waves influencing the payload and dissipate it later" (2).

It means little or no external support is needed for the passive compensation system. It consists of few and simple components which are easy to maintain (8), and thus it has an advantage of simplicity and cheaper budget. Generally, a passive heave compensator is based on a spring-damper system which includes cylinder, piston, nozzles and accumulator. An example a passive heave compensator system with a double acting hydraulic load absorber is presented in Figure 2.3.

The cylinder contains hydraulic fluid inside while Nitrogen fills the gas accumulator. The range of the pressure change in the compensator depends on the volume of the gas in the accumulator. There is a limit of stroke in this unit, which can not be exceeded. It will lead to failure of the passive heave compensator if the limit is exceeded during the heavy lift operations.
2.3.2 Working principle

The passive heave compensator doesn’t need any input of energy during operation. In figure 2.3, payload is given by the mass which is attached to the lower end of the compensator, which leads the motion of the piston rod. When the piston rod moves downwards, the hydraulic fluid in the cylinder is forced to enter the accumulator through nozzle between them. The nozzle can be used as damper to limit the fluid velocity. Meanwhile, the gas in the accumulator is compressed by the motion of fluid, acting like spring.

As a result of that, the combination of the damping and spring effect contributes to the reduction of forces and motions during heavy lift operations.

The stiffness of the passive heave compensator is linearised with the pressure of the accumulator, which depends on the motion of the piston. And the damping is dependent on the stroke velocity.

2.3.3 Effects and efficiency

During the heavy lift operation, passive heave compensator is used to alleviate the dynamic loads and motions. The transfer function is defined as the ratio of the amplitude of response
and amplitude of excitation.

\[ H(w) = \frac{\eta_3}{\eta_{3T}} \]  

(2.1)

In equation 2.1, \( H(w) \) is the complex transfer function, and \( \eta_3 \) is the amplitude of response heave motion while \( \eta_{3T} \) is the amplitude of the excitation motion. Based on the transfer function \( H(w) \) given above, the efficiency of the passive heave compensator can be calculated by:

\[ e = 1 - |H(w)| \]  

(2.2)

In equation 2.2, \( e \) stands for the efficiency of the passive heave compensator, from which whether the compensator makes positive contribution to the heavy lift operation can be found.

When the natural frequency is \( \omega_0 \), damping as well as the stiffness of the passive heave compensator is relatively small, the drag force and added mass are very large meanwhile, the efficiency of heave is given with the wave period and payload mass density in Figure 2.4(9).

![Figure 2.4: Efficiency of typical passive heave compensator(2)](image)

2.3.4 Limitation

The limited volume of cylinder and accumulator restricts the gas volume and the range of pressure change. Additionally, it is not possible to modify the gas pressure when the operation is
processing with passive heave compensators. For those with large gas volume, obviously, it will show better compensation ability. However it will take more time to vary the gas pressure at the same time. Due to there is no energy consumption during the operation, pre-charged accumulators are required before the operation.

2.4 Active heave compensator

Active heave compensation system is based on the signal detection and analysis to measure the heave motion of ship and then provide a proper heave compensation. It has high versatility and more accuracy as well as flexibility with the change of heave motion.

![Active Heave Compensator System](image)

Figure 2.5: Active heave compensator(3)

Compared with passive heave compensation system, there is a closed circuit in active heave compensator and input energy is required. The measure of ship heave motion and the proper reflection of the active compensator are based on support equipment, such as sensors, actuators and computers. These advanced facilities also bring some drawback at the same time. They make the system more complex with higher cost. And the failure of any single equipment needed for this system can lead to inefficiency or dangerous situations.
With a strict active heave compensator, not only can the system face equipment failure, but additional power supply for the whole system must be taken into account. The actuators need to be powered either hydraulically or electrically all the working times to ensure the expected system operation. All these might be the limitation to the application of active heave compensators.

2.5 Combined heave compensator

Combined heave compensators, presented in Figure 2.6, benefit both from advantages of passive and active heave compensators. There are both passive and active cylinder in a combined compensation system. A passive cylinder can be used to carry the load while an active cylinder contributes to friction losses. In addition, it overcomes the limit of the two kinds of heave compensator system. As mentioned above, a passive heave compensator is limited by the gas volume and power supply should be considered in active heave compensator design. If gas volume and power delivery are restriction factor, a combined heave compensator will be an optimal option as it doesn't need the full load to be held totally passively or actively.

The combined compensator designed by Hatleskog and Dunnigan in 2007 uses the passive system to afford the bulk load while the active system is used to adjust in further load vibration. From their report, only an actuator with capability of 100,000 lbf is needed to afford a 1,000,000 lbf passively held load(10). It is similar to the patent given by Robichaux and Hatleskog
in 1993(11). Compared to active compensation system, combined heave compensator system
doesn't need any energy input while the system is simpler. However, the cost of equipment for
active heave compensator still needs to be taken into account, which is the limited factor of
combined heave compensators.
Chapter 3

DNV-RP-H103

3.1 General

RP is the abbreviation of "Recommended Practice". DNV-RP-H103 is referred as guidance for modelling and analysis of marine operations, especially for different phases of lifting operation\(^{(4)}\). It provides simplified formulations for design loads during lifting through wave zone, deepwater lowering operation, landing on seabed and retrieval as well as towing operation. The focus of the present case is on the load during landing on seabed.

3.2 Landing on seabed

3.2.1 Introduction

It is necessary to evaluate the loads when landing on seabed, which can be used to confirm whether foundation failure and damage to sensitive equipment happen during landing. Only the degree of freedom of vertical motion is considered when simulating the landing of a bucket on the seabed. The model for impact analysis is depicted in Figure 3.1. The geometry of the anchor is simplified as a cylinder with height \(L\) and diameter \(D\). A hatch for water evacuation with area \(A_h\) is modeled on the top and \(\delta_{soil}\) stands for the rate of change of soil displacement.

The impact analysis is based on calculation by time integration of the general equation of mo-
tion. In order to solve it by time integration, the instant time dependent values of all relevant forces applied on the anchor should be taken into account.

![Figure 3.1: Model for impact analysis(4)](image)

### 3.2.2 Physical parameters and effects

The structural mass for calculation consists of structural elements and equipment on top of the bucket. The added mass for a typical foundation bucket can be calculated by:

$$ A_{33} = \rho \cdot A_b \cdot L \cdot \left(1 + \frac{2D}{3L}\right) $$

where $A_{33}$ is the added mass for vertical motion [kg], $D$ is the diameter of anchor [m], $L$ is the length of anchor [m], and $A_b$ is the anchor area [$m^2$] which is equal to $\frac{\pi D^2}{4}$. 

Based on equation 3.1, the total dynamic mass $M'$ and the initial kinetic energy can be found by:

$$M' = M + A_{33} \quad (3.2)$$

$$E_{k0} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot M' \cdot v_{c0}^2 \quad (3.3)$$

### 3.2.3 Calculation of skirt penetration resistance

The skirt penetration resistance is given by equation 3.4, which is the sum of the vertical shear resistance.

$$Q_{skirt} = A_s \cdot \int_0^d f(z) \, dz + A_t \cdot q_t(d) \quad (3.4)$$

Where $Q_{skirt}$ is the skirt penetration resistance $[N]$, $d$ is the depth of penetration $[m]$, $A_s$ and $A_t$ represent friction area per meter depth $[m^2/m]$ and skirt tip area $[m^2]$ respectively while $f(z)$ and $q_t(d)$ stand for skin friction at depth $z$ $[N/m^2]$ and tip resistance at the depth of penetration $[N/m^2]$ respectively.

The skin friction and tip resistance are given by:

$$f(z) = k_f \cdot q_c(z) \quad (3.5)$$

$$q_t(z) = k_t \cdot q_c(z) \quad (3.6)$$

where $q_c(z)$ is the cone penetration resistance as measured in a cone penetration test $[N]$, and the coefficient of skin friction and tip resistance are given by table 3.1.

### Table 3.1: Correlation coefficients for skin friction and tip resistance in sand and over-consolidated clay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlation coefficient</th>
<th>Sand</th>
<th>Clay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Most probable</td>
<td>Highest expected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin friction, $k_f$</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tip resistance, $k_f$</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 4

Introduction of SIMA

4.1 Theoretical basis of SIMA

SIMA is a workbench developed as a Joint Industry Project by Marintek and Statoil to model and analyze tasks related to marine operation. It supports execution of both individual simulation and execution of several simulations through workflow. The engine workflow makes it possible to analyze multiple variations of an analysis. To simplify a complex file management with many simulations, workbench can take care of all the results and files that are generated by the numerical engine.

The dynamic analysis of SIMA is based on time integration. And the results are calculated from equilibrium equations step by step in time domain. In addition, a post processor is included in SIMA which can be used to process the obtained data.

Typical tasks in SIMA include:

- Underwater installations
- Unloading
- Lifting of hulls and modules
- Lifting of underwater equipment
- Lifting operations and relocation of Jacket/deck and floatover installation
• Offshore crane operations
• Floatover installation / removal of the hull
• Transportation (towing) of tension leg platform and the concrete substructure of such tug
• Up-ending construction
• towing

SIMA workbench supports the entire process from definition of simulation and execution for interpretation and documentation of results. It saves time by visualizing the models, giving continuous validation feedback and assistance during modeling in SIMA. In order to understand the theoretical basis of SIMA better, some characteristics are explained in the following sections.

4.2 SIMA characteristics

4.2.1 Coordinate system

There are three kinds of coordinate systems applied in SIMA which follow orthogonal and right-handed principles. They are:

• Global coordinate system, XG
• Local body fixed coordinate system, XB
• Local strip coordinate system, XS

Figure 4.1: Local strip coordinate system, XS
Figure 4.1 defines a local strip coordinate system. The XS(1)-axis applies to the longitudinal direction of the strip as well as the element. The XS(2)-axis exists in the local strip XY plane and is perpendicular to the XS(1)-axis and the XS(3) is given by the orthogonal and right-handed principles.

In Figure 4.2, the local coordinate system of the slender element is defined. [XREF, YREF, ZREF] defines the direction of the slender element. This reference point is an arbitrary point in the local XY-plane off the X-axis which passes through the start point and end point of the slender element and it is used to defined the direction of the positive Y-axis. Meanwhile, the Z-axis are given by the principle of orthogonal and right-handed.

![Figure 4.2: Coordinate system [XS, YS, ZS] of the slender element](image)

### 4.2.2 Unit and physical constraints

All input data applied in SIMA must be provided in a consistent set of SI units, which are given in Table 4.1. Angles are given in degrees, [deg], and coefficients which are multiplied with rotational state variables are given for rotations in radials. Angular velocity is given in radials per time unit, for instance [rad/s]. Thruster speed and rotation are given in revolutions per minute, [RPM]. Internally in the program, all angles and rotations are converted to radials.
Table 4.1: Input data units set

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Notation</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basic Units</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mg=Tonnes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derived Units</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force</td>
<td>$F=ML/T^2$</td>
<td>Mgm/s² = kN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>$P=F/L^2$</td>
<td>kN/m² = kPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity</td>
<td>$V=L/T$</td>
<td>m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceleration</td>
<td>$A=V/T$</td>
<td>m/s²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.3 Coupling specifications

There are 10 types of coupling specification in SIMA, which are:

- Simple wire coupling
- Multiple wire coupling
- Lift line coupling
- Fixed elongation coupling
- Docking cone coupling
- Fender coupling
- Bumper element coupling
- Ratchet coupling
- Moment coupling
- External coupling

In this master thesis, only "simple wire coupling" and "fixed elongation coupling" are applied to model the lift wires and passive heave compensator which will be explained in Chapter 5.

**Simple wire coupling**

The simple wire coupling is modelled as a linear spring with effective axial stiffness $k=EA$, assuming connection flexibility is zero, where $E$ is the modulus of elasticity and $A$ is the cross-section area.
Fixed elongation coupling

This coupling is defined with different stiffness for different elongation range. As a result of that, it is applied to model the passive heave compensator as a spring with different stiffness when lowering into seabed and penetrating into soil.
Chapter 5

Modelling components in SIMA

5.1 Introduction of the model in SIMA

A model that can be used to evaluate the lift operation responses and as basis for case studies is established in SIMA. The modelling data are provided by co-advisor Peter Sandvik, Marintek and some of them are modified after the discussion with him. The model is made up of ship, cranemaster, hook, manifold, mudmats and the connections between them. In this chapter, all these components will be introduced briefly. Figure 5.1 shows part of the model in SIMA generally.

Figure 5.1: Part of the model in SIMA

The body weights of the model are presented in table 5.1.
Table 5.1: The body weights of the model in SIMA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body</th>
<th>Ship</th>
<th>Cranemaster</th>
<th>Hook</th>
<th>Manifold and protection structure</th>
<th>Mudmats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight[kg]</td>
<td>9.0*10^6</td>
<td>1.65*10^4</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>4.306*10^5</td>
<td>4*1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this thesis, the model is applied in 5 different environment cases and all the models are the same except for the environment conditions. So in this chapter, model in case 1 is just taken as an example to present the modelling process.

5.2 Location modelling

In this part, the position of sea surface and flat bottom is defined. Besides, some physical constants. Figure 5.2 shows the location model in SIMA and Table 5.2 presents the physical constants used in this modelling part.

Table 5.2: Physical constants in location modelling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acceleration of gravity</th>
<th>Water density</th>
<th>Air density</th>
<th>Water Depth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9.81m/s²</td>
<td>1025kg/m³</td>
<td>1.25kg/m³</td>
<td>350m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water kinematic viscosity</td>
<td>1.188*10^-6 m²/s</td>
<td>1.824*10^-5 m²/s</td>
<td>Sea surface position, Flat bottom position</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.3 Environment modelling

It is very convenient to perform the environment modelling in SIMA. There are four options to define the environment conditions in SIMA, which are:

- Wind wave
- Swell wave
- Wind
- Current

In this master thesis, only wind wave exists and the setting of case1 in SIMA is presented in Figure 5.3.

![Environment modelling of case1 in SIMA](image)

As mentioned at first of this chapter, there are 5 cases in this thesis. The characteristics of these five environment conditions are given in table 5.3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No</th>
<th>Significant wave height[m]</th>
<th>Peak period[s]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.4 Bodies modelling

In this section, ship, cranemaster, hook, manifold and mudmats are modelled. The modelling part contains body points, kinetics, hydrodynamic data and etc.

5.4.1 Ship modelling

The method of modelling ship in SIMA is complex. But the geometry of ship is not taken into consideration. As a results of that, it is important to define the body points which are used to connect with other bodies. Besides, a winch point is also attached to the ship which starts working at time position t=300s to lower the lifting structure. Since the ship is a floating structure with large volume and weight, the body type is defined as large volume. The ship modelling and body setting are shown in Figure 5.4 and 5.5. All the kinetics data of the ship is provided by co-advisor Peter Sandvik, Marintek.

There are two points are modelled at the ship body which are winch point and guide point. The winch point is used to lower the lifting structure while the guide point is placed to change the direction of the lift wire. In addition, four fixed force elongations are defined in order to limit the horizontal motions of the ship.
5.4.2 Cranemaster modelling

The cranemaster is defined as a body with structural and added mass as well as two body points to connect the lift wire and crane wire. The upper point is connected with winch point at the ship through the guide point by lift wire. The downwards point is connected with hook by the crane wire which is modelled as the passive heave compensator. Figure 5.6 and 5.7 illustrates the detail of this part modelling in SIMA.

![Figure 5.6: Cranemaster modelling in SIMA](image)

![Figure 5.7: Cranemaster body setting in SIMA](image)

5.4.3 Hook modelling

It is the same way to model hook as cranemaster in SIMA. Details about the hook modelling are illustrated in Figure 5.8 and 5.9.

![Figure 5.8: Hook modelling in SIMA](image)

![Figure 5.9: Hook body setting in SIMA](image)

In this thesis case, the hook will be connected to four mudmats by lift wires. Therefore, it is
modelled as a body with structural and added mass as well as five points, one of which is used to connect with the downwards of the cranemaster by crane wire. Point 1 to 4 are used to connect to four mudmats.

5.4.4 Manifold and protection structure modelling

The manifold and protection structure are represented by ISS in SIMA. It is modelled as slender elements, which are blue objects shown in Figure 5.1. And the model in SIMA and body type setting is presented in Figure 5.10 and 5.11.

The mass and volume of this part is very large, thus it is defined as large volume structure. Then the buoyancy can be regarded as provided by these slender elements. The volume of displacement caused by slender elements can be calculated from mass divided by density of it. Specific volume and distributed mass in SIMA represents the unit volume and mass of the slender per meter length. The specific volume is equal to the value that distributed mass divided by the density of it, which is 7850 kg/m³, the density of steel. There are 14 slender elements in this part and the buoyancy is provided by the total volume of displacement caused by every slender. It is the same way to model every slender of the manifold and protection structure part. After all the slender elements defined, the buoyancy can be calculated from the equation below:

\[ F_b = \rho_s \cdot V_s \cdot g \]  

(5.1)
where $F_b$ is the buoyancy force caused by the slender elements [N], $\rho_s$ is the density of sea water [kg/m$^3$], $V_s$ is the volume of displacement caused by all the slender elements [m$^3$], and $g$ is the acceleration of gravity, which is equal to 9.81 [N/kg].

In this thesis, ISS slender element 1 is taken as an example. Figure 5.12 illustrates how it is defined.

![Image of ISS Slender element 1 in SIMA](image)

The volume of displacement caused by all the slender elements $V_s$ can be read from Figure 5.11, which is 54.873 [m$^3$] and the density of sea water is 1025 [kg/m$^3$]. As a result of that, the buoyancy is equal to $5.52 \times 10^3$ [N].

### 5.4.5 Mudmat modelling

There are four mudmats in this case which are the same except for the location. They are located front and aft of the starboard and port, which represented by FS, FP, AS and AP. The dimension
of each mudmat is defined as a cylinder with length of 4m and radius of 2.5m. In this thesis, mudmat FS is taken as an example to show how mudmat is modelled in SIMA. The mudmat modelling and body type setting in SIMA is illustrated in Figure 5.13 and 5.14.

The hydrodynamic coefficients is defined by quadratic term in this case. And when it starts entering into seabed, the hydrodynamic coefficients will be depth-dependent. All the data is given by Peter Sandvik, Marintek, which is based on the real case in industry field and DNV-RP-H103. The detail will be illustrated in Figure 5.15.

The vertical position in Figure 5.15 is where the first contact between mudmats and seabed.
happens, which is -286 m.
When mudmat penetrates into seabed, there is friction force for both upward and downward motions which is dependent on the depth of mudmat. The penetration is related to the first contact depth. The data is also provided by Peter Sandvik, Marintek. Figure 5.16 presents the detail of soil penetration forces modelling on mudmat FS in SIMA.

![Figure 5.16: Soil penetration on Mudmat FS in SIMA](image)

The other three mudmats are modelled in the same way as Mudmat FS which is given in this section.

### 5.5 Coupling modelling

There are 10 types of coupling specification in SIMA, which are given in chapter 4.2.4. And in this thesis, only simple wire couplings and fixed elongation couplings are applied. Lifting wires and crane wires play an important role in the whole model. Therefore the coupling modelling will determine the performance of the heavy lifting operation. The following table presents the end points and coupling type of all the wires in this case.
Table 5.4: End points and types of the wires

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wire names</th>
<th>End points</th>
<th>Coupling types</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sling FS</td>
<td>The hook and mudmat FS</td>
<td>Simple wire coupling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sling FP</td>
<td>The hook and mudmat FP</td>
<td>Simple wire coupling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sling AS</td>
<td>The hook and mudmat AS</td>
<td>Simple wire coupling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sling AP</td>
<td>The hook and mudmat AP</td>
<td>Simple wire coupling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifting wire</td>
<td>The winch and the hook</td>
<td>Simple wire coupling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crane wire</td>
<td>The crane master and the hook</td>
<td>Fixed elongation coupling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crane wire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.1 Simple wire couplings

The sling and the lifting wire are defined as simple wire couplings. There are four slings connected the hook to the four mudmats respectively and one lifting wire connected between the winch and crane master through the guide point at the ship in this case. In SIMA, the length of the wire can be calculated after giving the two end points. Then, the proprieties for the wires are defined in SIMA. Since it is assumed that no failure or break happens, the connection flexibility is zero in this case. Besides, the material damping is assumed around 10% of wire cross section stiffness which is provided by Peter Sandvik, Marintek. The four slings are modelled in the same way, thus only the modelling of one sling between hook and mudmat FS is presented in Figure 5.17.

Figure 5.17: Sling FS modelling in SIMA
The lifting wire is modelled in the same way as slings and the only difference is the wire cross section stiffness data. The detail information about lifting wire will be illustrated in Figure 5.18.

![Figure 5.18: Lifting wire modelling in SIMA](image)

### 5.5.2 Fixed elongation coupling

As presented in Table 5.4, the crane wire and crane wire measurement are defined as fixed elongation coupling in this case. It is a different way to define the wire with simple coupling modelling. In this type of coupling, the force elongation relationship is defined. The relationship curve is not necessary to be a straight line with a single stiffness. It can be different curves for different elongation range. And the damping is defined as a proportional component to an exponent of the velocity.

Meanwhile, this type of coupling is also applied to restrict the relative three-dimension motions between the mudmat and slender elements. There are 12 fixed elongation coupling restriction modelling in this case. All the restriction is modeled as a spring with no failure or break mode. And all the damping and force parameters are defined with the same linear interpolation in each mudmats as well as X, Y and Z direction. The restriction modelling of mudmat FS in X-direction is illustrated in Figure 5.19.
It is also obvious that the crane wire and crane wire measurement have the same end points and coupling type characteristics.

The crane wire is placed between the hook and crane master. The method for initialization of coupling element is spring and the crane wire model is shown in Figure 5.20.

In the crane wire modelling, both of the force and damping interpolation are linearly defined with three curves, which stand for the process of lowering into seabed, penetrating into seabed with the influence of passive heave compensator and stopping. The initial stroke is assumed
to be from 7m to 13m with the equilibrium at distance of 10m, which is the initial length of the crane wire. The coupling force at the equilibrium position can be calculated by the vertical force equilibrium equation of the whole underwater system.

\[ F_e = Mg - F_b \] (5.2)

where \( F_e \) is the coupling force at the equilibrium position \([N]\), \( M \) is the total mass of underwater structure, \( F_b \) is the buoyancy force caused by the slender elements \([N]\), which is calculated in chapter 5.4.4, and \( g \) is the acceleration of gravity, which is equal to 9.81 \([N/kg]\). The crane wire force and damping interpolation are illustrated in Figure 5.21 and 5.22.

It is presented obviously that the wire force is zero when the wire length is shorter than 6.9m in Figure 5.21. Meanwhile, the wire force will increase immediately to a certain value within a very little elongation of 0.1m and then it will vary slowly within the assumed stroke range from 7m
to 13m, which represents that the passive heave compensator starts working so that the tension force of the wire remains a relative steady level inside the stroke length. After the stroke range, the wire force will increase as fast as it behaves between 6.9m to 7m.

The crane wire measurement is defined similar with the crane wire but the force interpolation is linear with a slop of 1N/m thus the elongation is equal to the coupling force, which makes it more convenient and simple to obtain the elongation of the crane wire through the force varied range in the crane wire measurement. This will be used to optimize the stroke afterwards. Figure 5.23 illustrates the crane wire measurement modelling in SIMA, which is represented by CMMEAS.

![Figure 5.23: Crane wire measurement modelling in SIMA](image)

As for the model without passive heave compensator, the crane wire with fixed elongation coupling is modified with simple wire coupling.

### 5.6 Calculation parameters modelling

The SIMA program provides two types of calculation: static and dynamic calculation. The related parameters and procedure of each calculation are defined in this part.
CHAPTER 5. MODELLING COMPONENTS IN SIMA

5.6.1 Static calculation modelling

The static calculation parameters are:

- Maximum natural period
- Position tolerance
- Direction tolerance
- Equilibrium time step
- Maximum number of time steps

Figure 5.24 shows how the static calculation defined in SIMA.

What is important in this part is that the maximum natural period and equilibrium should be defined properly. The maximum natural period acts as the maximum limit value to find the natural period of the structure. If it is too small, the natural period can not be find within the limit value. However, it will take too much time to run the static analysis if the value is too large. As for the equilibrium time step, it is a strategy to use the minimum value of SIMA can provide to ensure that the calculation can be done.

5.6.2 Dynamic calculation modelling

There are general sand storage setting in dynamic calculation modelling. What should be paid attention to is the simulation length and time step setting. Since the winch is set to start at 100s
and stop at 600s when modelling the ship, the simulation length is consistent with it. And the
time step should not be too large or too small thus it is 0.005s in this case. Figure 5.25 illustrates
the general setting of dynamic calculation.

Moreover, the demanded data are chosen to be stored in the storage setting. And the time in-
crement for storage is equal to the time increment for time series generation which is 0.005s in
this case. In this thesis, It is presented in Figure 5.26.

This chapter gives a detail introduction of how the different components are established in SIMA
program, including environment, location, bodies, couplings and calculation parameters mod-
elling.
After defining all the calculation parameters in SIMA, the model is finally established. And the other models with different environment conditions can be established in the same way. In the next chapter, the static and dynamic analysis will be carried out and the results will be presented.
Chapter 6

Results and analysis

6.1 Static Analysis

As soon as the static analysis is carried out, the results file will be generated in SIMA, which contains the static equilibrium position and different types of forces.

Table 6.1 will present the static body position of all the underwater structure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body</th>
<th>X[m]</th>
<th>Y[m]</th>
<th>Z[m]</th>
<th>Rx[Deg]</th>
<th>Ry[Deg]</th>
<th>Rz[Deg]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crane master</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-253.48</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hook</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-262.97</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mudmat FS</td>
<td>10.58</td>
<td>-8.50</td>
<td>-283.13</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mudmat FP</td>
<td>10.58</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>-283.13</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mudmat AS</td>
<td>-10.57</td>
<td>-8.50</td>
<td>-283.13</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mudmat AP</td>
<td>-10.57</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>-283.13</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to compare the static vertical position with the initial vertical position, the initial position and the deviation will be given in Table 6.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body</th>
<th>Crane master</th>
<th>Hook</th>
<th>Mudmat FS</th>
<th>Mudmat FP</th>
<th>Mudmat AS</th>
<th>Mudmat AP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial Z-pos[m]</td>
<td>-250.00</td>
<td>-260.00</td>
<td>-280.00</td>
<td>-280.00</td>
<td>-280.00</td>
<td>-280.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
These negative deviations in Table 6.2 describe that all the structures move downwards around 3m when the static analysis is performed.

In addition, the coupling force components in the lifting and crane wire will be presented in Figure 6.3.

### Table 6.3: Coupling forces and moments in wires

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lifting wire</td>
<td>3.83E+06</td>
<td>3.49E+02</td>
<td>-6.69E-03</td>
<td>3.83E+06</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crane wire</td>
<td>3.67E+06</td>
<td>-3.39E+02</td>
<td>6.17E-03</td>
<td>-3.67E+06</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results in Table 6.3 are read from the wire forces connected to crane master. It is the same as the results read from the wire forces connected to the other end structure except the opposite direction of vertical forces.

### 6.2 Dynamic Analysis

The dynamic analysis is carried out after the static analysis is done. At first, some basic information should be provided.

When the winch point is defined at the ship modelling part, it is set to start working at time $t=100s$ with velocity of $0.1m/s$ and stop at time $t=600s$. Since we know the static equilibrium position of mudmat from Table 6.1 as well as the length of the mudmat is 4m, and the first contact happens at vertical position $Z=-286m$, the first contact time can be calculated, which is $t=109s$. Some related information will be presented in Table 6.3.

### Table 6.4: Related information about dynamic analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Winch starts time[s]</th>
<th>First contact time[s]</th>
<th>Analysis stops time[s]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mudmat length[m]</td>
<td>First contact position[m]</td>
<td>Max penetration length[m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-286</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.2.1 Dynamic analysis on Mudmat

The passive heave compensator is to applied to reduce the vertical loads and motions during heavy lifting operation. In this thesis, the process of penetrating into the seabed is of focus. And in order to prove the passive heave compensator works in this process, the vertical coupling system force and velocity of the mudmat will be plotted. Mudmat FS is taken as an example and the five cases are explained in Table 5.3.

Figure 6.1: Vertical coupling force in Mudmat FS with PHC, Case1
Figure 6.2: Vertical coupling force in Mudmat FS without PHC, Case1

Figure 6.3: Vertical velocity of Mudmat FS with PHC, Case1
Figure 6.4: Vertical velocity of Mudmat FS without PHC, Case1

Figure 6.5: Vertical global translation of Mudmat FS with PHC, Case1
Figure 6.6: Vertical global translation of Mudmat FS without PHC, Case1

Figure 6.1 to Figure 6.6 illustrates the vertical coupling force, vertical velocity and vertical global...
translation of mudmat FS with and without PHC (passive heave compensator) in case 1. Overall, the global translation is of less concern compared with the other two parameters. Therefore, it will not be plotted in the other cases.

It has to be mentioned that there exists a sudden variation around 440s in Figure 6.2 and this might also happen in other cases. However, this is caused by the bug of SIMA program and it can’t be eliminated. In order to avoid the influence of this sudden variation, the post processor is introduced in SIMA to optimize the results. Assumed that the mudmat reaches the max penetration length with a constant velocity of 0.1 m/s, it will just take 100s to this penetration since the winch starts working. As a result of that, all the statistics are filtered from 0s to 400s, which is far before the sudden variation.

Regardless of the sudden variation, the dynamic results in case 1 will be listed in Table 6.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>PHC</th>
<th>Min. value</th>
<th>Max. value</th>
<th>Mean value</th>
<th>Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vertical Coupling force[N]</td>
<td>6.47EE-02+03</td>
<td>-9.34E+05</td>
<td>-4.47E+05</td>
<td>4.36E+05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No PHC</td>
<td>1.27E+05</td>
<td>-9.84E+05</td>
<td>-5.34E+05</td>
<td>4.57E+05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical velocity[m/s]</td>
<td>6.43E-02</td>
<td>-1.77E-01</td>
<td>-1.69E-02</td>
<td>3.66E-02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No PHC</td>
<td>4.45E-01</td>
<td>-4.50E-01</td>
<td>-1.56E-02</td>
<td>1.42E-01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is obvious to find that the vertical force and velocity of mudmat are alleviated when PHC is applied. As for the vertical coupling force, the maximum and mean value drop 95% and 16% respectively when PHC is used in this case while the minimum value and deviation don’t change too much.

Moreover, the maximum and minimum value of vertical velocity of mudmat in case 1 is also reduced in 85% and 60% while the deviation is 75% less compared to the model without PHC.

As a result of that, it proves that the passive heave compensator can help to alleviate the vertical force and motion of the lifting template during heavy lifting operation.

The vertical coupling force and velocity of mudmat FS in case 2 to case 5 will be presented in the following Figures 6.7-6.22. And the statistics will be analyzed in the discussion section to draw the conclusion.

As for the results of the force and velocity of the other three mudmats in all the five cases will be attached to the Appendix A and B respectively.
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Figure 6.7: Vertical coupling force in Mudmat FS with PHC, Case 2

Figure 6.8: Vertical coupling force in Mudmat FS without PHC, Case 2

Figure 6.9: Vertical velocity of Mudmat FS with PHC, Case 2

Figure 6.10: Vertical velocity of Mudmat FS without PHC, Case 2

Figure 6.11: Vertical coupling force in Mudmat FS with PHC, Case 3

Figure 6.12: Vertical coupling force in Mudmat FS without PHC, Case 3

Figure 6.13: Vertical velocity of Mudmat FS with PHC, Case 3

Figure 6.14: Vertical velocity of Mudmat FS without PHC, Case 3
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Figure 6.15: Vertical coupling force in Mudmat FS with PHC, Case4

Figure 6.16: Vertical coupling force in Mudmat FS without PHC, Case4

Figure 6.17: Vertical velocity of Mudmat FS with PHC, Case4

Figure 6.18: Vertical velocity of Mudmat FS without PHC, Case4

Figure 6.19: Vertical coupling force in Mudmat FS with PHC, Case5

Figure 6.20: Vertical coupling force in Mudmat FS without PHC, Case5

Figure 6.21: Vertical velocity of Mudmat FS with PHC, Case5

Figure 6.22: Vertical velocity of Mudmat FS without PHC, Case5
6.2.2 Dynamic analysis on Crane wire

In order to optimize the stroke of the passive heave compensator, the force in the crane wire needs to be found out. Since the crane wire is connected between the crane master and hook, the coupling system force can be read from either the result of crane master or hook. In this thesis, the statistics from the hook will be plotted.

Figure 6.23: Coupling system force in crane wire, Case1

Figure 6.23 shows the coupling force in crane one during the penetrating process in case 1. Similarly as what is mentioned in last section, the influence of the sudden variation can be eliminated by post processor in SIMA program. Then the maximum and minimum value of the crane wire force can be obtained, which are 3.77E+06N and 2.30E+04N. And the force interpolation is provided by Figure 5.22. Then, the elongation can be calculated, which varies from 6.9m to 10.125m.

However, there is a more simple way to find the elongation by crane wire measurement. Figure 6.24 presents the coupling system force in crane wire measurement of case 1.

After optimizing the result by post processor in SIMA, the maximum and minimum force in crane wire measurement is 10.1N and 6.9N. Since the stiffness of this wire is 1N/m, it's easy to obtain that the wire varies in range from 6.9m to 10.1m, which is the same as the result from the crane wire method. Considering that there exists some deviation of the results from SIMA, the optimized stroke can be defined from 6.5m to 11.0m with the equilibrium position at 10m in case 1.
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Figure 6.24: Coupling system force in crane wire measurement, Case1

In the same way the vary range of the crane wire length can be obtained from the crane wire measurement way. It will be listed in Table 6.6. Therefore, the optimized stroke of the passive heave compensator is defined as 6.5m to 11.0m with the equilibrium length of 10m for all the five cases.

Table 6.6: Coupling system force in Crane wire measurement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case number</th>
<th>Maximum value[N]</th>
<th>Minimum value[N]</th>
<th>Crane wire length vary range[m]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.10</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>6.90-10.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.20</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>6.90-10.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.30</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>6.90-10.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.30</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>6.89-10.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.60</td>
<td>6.85</td>
<td>6.85-10.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The coupling system force in both crane wire and crane wire measurement in different cases will be presented in Figure 6.25 to Figure 6.32. And the results of the passive heave compensator with optimized stroke will be illustrated in next section.
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Figure 6.25: Coupling system force in crane wire, Case2

Figure 6.26: Coupling system force in crane wire measurement, Case2
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Figure 6.27: Coupling system force in crane wire, Case3

Figure 6.28: Coupling system force in crane wire measurement, Case3
Figure 6.29: Coupling system force in crane wire, Case4

Figure 6.30: Coupling system force in crane wire measurement, Case4
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Figure 6.31: Coupling system force in crane wire, Case5

Figure 6.32: Coupling system force in crane wire measurement, Case5
6.2.3 Dynamic analysis of optimized PHC

From the former sections, the optimized stroke is defined as 6.5m to 11m for all the cases, which is 1.5m less than the initial one. So new models with the optimized stroke PHC are established in the same way mentioned in chapter 5 for each case. The only difference is the model of crane wire. A new force elongation interpolation curve will be given with the new stroke but the same slope. Figure 6.33 will illustrate the force characteristic of crane wire with optimized stroke.

![Figure 6.33: Crane wire modelling with optimized stroke in SIMA](image)

After the optimized model established, the static and dynamic analysis are carried out for every case. In order to prove that the optimized PHC has the same influence as the original one with less stroke length, the coupling system force as well as the velocity of the mudmat are compared with the initial stroke models. The results will be presented in the following figures.
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Figure 6.34: Vertical coupling force in Mudmat FS with optimized PHC, Case1

Figure 6.35: Vertical velocity of Mudmat FS with optimized PHC, Case1
CHAPTER 6. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Figure 6.36: Vertical coupling force in Mudmat FS with optimized PHC, Case2

Figure 6.37: Vertical velocity of Mudmat FS with optimized PHC, Case2
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Figure 6.38: Vertical coupling force in Mudmat FS with optimized PHC, Case3

Figure 6.39: Vertical velocity of Mudmat FS with optimized PHC, Case3
Figure 6.40: Vertical coupling force in Mudmat FS with optimized PHC, Case4

Figure 6.41: Vertical velocity of Mudmat FS with optimized PHC, Case4
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Figure 6.42: Vertical coupling force in Mudmat FS with optimized PHC, Case5

Figure 6.43: Vertical velocity of Mudmat FS with optimized PHC, Case5
6.3 Discussion

The static and dynamic analysis results presented in this chapter illustrate that the influence of PHC and how the optimized stroke is obtained. In this section, all the results will be discussed in order to draw the conclusions at last.

All the demanded results are plotted in this chapter and a post processor process is performed to eliminate the effects of the limits of SIMA program. In order to demonstrate that the passive heave compensator has a positive influence on heavy lift operation, the coupling system force and velocity of the mudmat are compared between the models with and without PHC. The mudmat belongs to the manifold and protection structure in SIMA program and it is the object contacted with the seabed directly. Thus, it might be destroyed by the violent collision with the soil. The application of PHC is aimed to reduce the force and motion of the contacting object and prevent the structure away from the destroy. As a result of that, the positive influence of PHC can be proved when the coupling system force and velocity of the mudmat are alleviated compared with the case without PHC.

Table 6.7 and 6.8 compare the results of vertical coupling system force and velocity with and without PHC respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 With PHC</td>
<td>6.47E+03</td>
<td>-9.34E+05</td>
<td>-4.47E+05</td>
<td>4.36E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No PHC</td>
<td>1.27E+05</td>
<td>-9.84E+05</td>
<td>-5.34E+05</td>
<td>4.57E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 With PHC</td>
<td>8.09E+03</td>
<td>-9.45E+05</td>
<td>-4.47E+05</td>
<td>4.36E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No PHC</td>
<td>1.26E+05</td>
<td>-9.27E+05</td>
<td>-5.13E+05</td>
<td>4.38E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 With PHC</td>
<td>9.30E+03</td>
<td>-9.48E+05</td>
<td>-4.47E+05</td>
<td>4.36E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No PHC</td>
<td>1.54E+05</td>
<td>-9.28E+05</td>
<td>-5.13E+05</td>
<td>4.39E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 With PHC</td>
<td>1.55E+04</td>
<td>-9.42E+05</td>
<td>-4.47E+05</td>
<td>4.36E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No PHC</td>
<td>1.54E+05</td>
<td>-9.42E+05</td>
<td>-5.14E+05</td>
<td>4.38E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 With PHC</td>
<td>2.44E+04</td>
<td>-9.63E+05</td>
<td>-4.43E+05</td>
<td>4.32E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No PHC</td>
<td>1.98E+05</td>
<td>-9.47E+05</td>
<td>-5.00E+05</td>
<td>4.27E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The ratio is equal to the value with PHC divided by that without PHC. It means that the vertical coupling system force is alleviated by PHC when the ratio is less than 1. Therefore, it shows that the maximum and mean value of the vertical coupling system force in Mudmat FS are reduced by PHC while the minimum value and deviation don’t change much when PHC is applied by Table 6.7.

Moreover from case 1 to case 3, the peak period \( T_p = 2 \text{m} \) for all the three cases while the significant wave height \( H_s \) increases from 2m to 3m but the ratio doesn’t change a lot. However, the ratio decrease from case 1 to case 4 and case 5, which have the same \( H_s = 2.5 \text{m} \) but increasing \( T_p \) from 6s to 10s. It implies that the influence of PHC on vertical force is peak period \( T_p \) sensitive compared with significant height \( H_s \).

As for the vertical global velocity of mudmat FS, the ratio is all far away lower than 1 except the ratio of mean value is close to 1. As a result of that, it proves that the passive heave compensator helps to reduce the vertical motion of the mudmat during heavy lift operation. Similarly compared the ratio between case 1, 2, 3 and case 1, 4, 5, it can be concluded that the influence of PHC on vertical motion is peak period \( T_p \) sensitive compared with significant height \( H_s \).

In the former sections, it is introduced that how the optimized stroke is obtained and the results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case number</th>
<th>Max. value[m/s]</th>
<th>Min. value[m/s]</th>
<th>Mean Value[m/s]</th>
<th>Deviation[m/s]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 With PHC</td>
<td>6.43E-02</td>
<td>-1.77E-01</td>
<td>-1.69E-02</td>
<td>3.66E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 No PHC</td>
<td>4.45E-01</td>
<td>-4.50E-01</td>
<td>-1.56E-02</td>
<td>1.42E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Ratio</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 With PHC</td>
<td>7.23E-02</td>
<td>-1.92E-01</td>
<td>-1.69E-02</td>
<td>3.85E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 No PHC</td>
<td>4.44E-01</td>
<td>-4.40E-01</td>
<td>-1.56E-02</td>
<td>1.44E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Ratio</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 With PHC</td>
<td>8.32E-02</td>
<td>-2.01E-01</td>
<td>-1.69E-02</td>
<td>4.05E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 No PHC</td>
<td>4.92E-01</td>
<td>-4.88E-01</td>
<td>-1.56E-02</td>
<td>1.60E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Ratio</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 With PHC</td>
<td>1.32E-01</td>
<td>-2.90E-01</td>
<td>-1.69E-02</td>
<td>5.12E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 No PHC</td>
<td>4.95E-01</td>
<td>-5.77E-01</td>
<td>-1.56E-02</td>
<td>1.62E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Ratio</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 With PHC</td>
<td>1.86E-01</td>
<td>-2.79E-01</td>
<td>-1.69E-02</td>
<td>6.63E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 No PHC</td>
<td>5.61E-01</td>
<td>-5.60E-01</td>
<td>-1.56E-02</td>
<td>1.60E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Ratio</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of model with optimized PHC are also plotted. In order to demonstrate that the optimized PHC can provide the same influence with less stroke length. A compare between the initial and optimized PHC is presented in Table 6.9 and 6.10.

The ratio in Table 6.9 and 6.10 is the value with initial PHC divided by that with optimized PHC. It’s obvious that all the ratio is close to 1, which implies that the PHC with optimized stroke has the same effect as the initial one with less stroke length.

Table 6.9: Vertical coupling system force in Mudmat FS compared between optimized and initial PHC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case number</th>
<th>Max. value[N]</th>
<th>Min. value[N]</th>
<th>Mean Value[N]</th>
<th>Deviation[N]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial PHC</td>
<td>6.47E+03</td>
<td>-9.34E+05</td>
<td>-4.47E+05</td>
<td>4.36E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized PHC</td>
<td>6.47E+03</td>
<td>-9.40E+05</td>
<td>-4.37E+05</td>
<td>4.34E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial PHC</td>
<td>8.09E+03</td>
<td>-9.45E+05</td>
<td>-4.47E+05</td>
<td>4.36E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized PHC</td>
<td>7.91E+03</td>
<td>-9.46E+05</td>
<td>-4.37E+05</td>
<td>4.34E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial PHC</td>
<td>9.30E+03</td>
<td>-9.48E+05</td>
<td>-4.47E+05</td>
<td>4.36E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized PHC</td>
<td>9.30E+03</td>
<td>-9.44E+05</td>
<td>-4.37E+05</td>
<td>4.35E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial PHC</td>
<td>1.55E+04</td>
<td>-9.42E+05</td>
<td>-4.47E+05</td>
<td>4.36E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized PHC</td>
<td>1.36E+04</td>
<td>-9.44E+05</td>
<td>-4.37E+05</td>
<td>4.34E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial PHC</td>
<td>2.44E+04</td>
<td>-9.63E+05</td>
<td>-4.43E+05</td>
<td>4.32E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized PHC</td>
<td>2.44E+04</td>
<td>-9.43E+05</td>
<td>-4.33E+05</td>
<td>4.30E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6.10: Vertical global velocity of Mudmat FS compared between optimized and initial PHC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case number</th>
<th>Max. value[m/s]</th>
<th>Min. value[m/s]</th>
<th>Mean Value[m/s]</th>
<th>Deviation[m/s]</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Initial PHC</td>
<td>6.43E-02</td>
<td>-1.77E-01</td>
<td>-1.69E-02</td>
<td>3.66E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Optimized PHC</td>
<td>6.43E-02</td>
<td>-1.65E-01</td>
<td>-1.69E-02</td>
<td>3.60E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ratio</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Initial PHC</td>
<td>7.23E-02</td>
<td>-1.92E-01</td>
<td>-1.69E-02</td>
<td>3.85E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Optimized PHC</td>
<td>7.30E-02</td>
<td>-1.69E-01</td>
<td>-1.69E-02</td>
<td>3.78E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ratio</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Initial PHC</td>
<td>8.32E-02</td>
<td>-2.01E-01</td>
<td>-1.69E-02</td>
<td>4.05E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Optimized PHC</td>
<td>8.32E-02</td>
<td>-1.72E-01</td>
<td>-1.69E-02</td>
<td>3.98E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ratio</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Initial PHC</td>
<td>1.32E-01</td>
<td>-2.90E-01</td>
<td>-1.69E-02</td>
<td>5.12E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Optimized PHC</td>
<td>1.32E-01</td>
<td>-2.17E-01</td>
<td>-1.69E-02</td>
<td>5.02E-021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ratio</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Initial PHC</td>
<td>1.86E-01</td>
<td>-2.79E-01</td>
<td>-1.69E-02</td>
<td>6.63E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Optimized PHC</td>
<td>1.86E-01</td>
<td>-2.79E-011</td>
<td>-1.69E-02</td>
<td>6.63E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ratio</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 7

Conclusion

7.1 Conclusion

The objective of this thesis is to demonstrate that the passive heave compensator can reduce the vertical forces and motions during heavy lift operation and determine the optimum heave compensator stroke setting. All the conclusions are drawn from the results and discussion in Chapter 6.

It shows that the maximum and mean value of the vertical coupling system force in Mudmat FS are reduced by PHC while the minimum value and deviation don't change much when PHC is applied by Table 6.7. Meanwhile, the ratio is all far away lower than 1.0 except the ratio of mean value is close to 1 in Table 6.8.

As a result of that, it proves that the passive heave compensator can be applied to alleviate the vertical forces as well as motions during heavy lift operation. Moreover, it can be concluded that the influence of passive heave compensator is peak period sensitive by comparing the results in different environment condition cases.

As for the optimization of the passive heave compensator, the optimum stroke can be calculated from the force varied range in the crane wire. And the PHC with optimized stroke has the same effect as the initial one with less stroke length.
7.2 Recommendations for further work

The thesis provides a comprehensive understanding of the influence of passive heave compensator during heavy lift operation by simulating the process of penetrating into seabed in SIMA program. However, there still exists some other tasks which can be fulfilled in the further work. These recommendations for further work are listed below:

- More complex environment conditions. Due to the limit of time, only five environment conditions are carried out in this thesis. In the further study, more sea states with the characteristics of wave, wind and current can be taken into consideration to study the effects of environment in more detail.

- More process of heavy lift operation. In further study, the other process of heavy lift operation like lowering in the air, lifting through wave zone, deepwater lowering can be added to get more detailed results.

- More force elongation interpolation relationship. In this thesis, only one type of passive heave compensator is applied. It is recommended that the force elongation interpolation relationship can be varied when modelling the PHC to seek more possibilities of the optimized PHC.
Appendix A

Vertical coupling system force in mudmats

Figure A.1: Vertical coupling system force in Mudmat FP, Case 1

Figure A.2: Vertical coupling system force in Mudmat AS, Case 1
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(a) With PHC
(b) Without PHC

Figure A.3: Vertical coupling system force in Mudmat AP, Case 1

(a) With PHC
(b) Without PHC

Figure A.4: Vertical coupling system force in Mudmat FP, Case 2

(a) With PHC
(b) Without PHC

Figure A.5: Vertical coupling system force in Mudmat AS, Case 2

(a) With PHC
(b) Without PHC

Figure A.6: Vertical coupling system force in Mudmat AP, Case 2
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Figure A.7: Vertical coupling system force in Mudmat FP, Case 3

Figure A.8: Vertical coupling system force in Mudmat AS, Case 3

Figure A.9: Vertical coupling system force in Mudmat AP, Case 3

Figure A.10: Vertical coupling system force in Mudmat FP, Case 4
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Figure A.11: Vertical coupling system force in Mudmat AS, Case 4

(a) With PHC  
(b) Without PHC

Figure A.12: Vertical coupling system force in Mudmat AP, Case 4

(a) With PHC  
(b) Without PHC

Figure A.13: Vertical coupling system force in Mudmat FP, Case 5

(a) With PHC  
(b) Without PHC

Figure A.14: Vertical coupling system force in Mudmat AS, Case 5

(a) With PHC  
(b) Without PHC
Figure A.15: Vertical coupling system force in Mudmat AP, Case 5
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Vertical global velocity of mudmats

Figure B.1: Vertical global velocity of Mudmat FP, Case 1

Figure B.2: Vertical global velocity of Mudmat AS, Case 1
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Figure B.3: Vertical global velocity of Mudmat AP, Case 1

Figure B.4: Vertical global velocity of Mudmat FP, Case 2

Figure B.5: Vertical global velocity of Mudmat AS, Case 2

Figure B.6: Vertical global velocity of Mudmat AP, Case 2
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Figure B.7: Vertical global velocity of Mudmat FP, Case 3

Figure B.8: Vertical global velocity of Mudmat AS, Case 3

Figure B.9: Vertical global velocity of Mudmat AP, Case 3

Figure B.10: Vertical global velocity of Mudmat FP, Case 4
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Figure B.11: Vertical global velocity of Mudmat AS, Case 4

Figure B.12: Vertical global velocity of Mudmat AP, Case 4

Figure B.13: Vertical global velocity of Mudmat FP, Case 5

Figure B.14: Vertical global velocity of Mudmat AS, Case 5
Figure B.15: Vertical global velocity force of Mudmat AP, Case 5
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