Exploring Silica from Diatom Frustules as a High Performance, Low Cost and Environmentally Friendly Anode Material for Lithium Ion Batteries

Andreas Nicolai Norberg

Nanotechnology
Submission date: June 2017
Supervisor: Ann Mari Svensson, IMA
Co-supervisor: Nils Peter Wagner, IMA

Norwegian University of Science and Technology
Department of Materials Science and Engineering
Preface

This thesis describes the work carried out in the course TMT4910 Nanotechnology, Master’s Thesis, during the spring 2017. Most of the experiments and analysis described in this work was carried out by the author, with the exception of the work on silicon and silica-silicon composites. This work was conducted in collaboration with master student Henning Kaland. In this collaboration, Kaland and the author did the work on the silicon and silica respectively. In addition, Kaland did the milling of silica. The nature of this collaboration is described in more details in the Appendix, section A7. As parts of this work resembles that of the project thesis ”Anion Intercalation In Graphite Cathode”, conducted by the author in the fall 2016, the theory section 2.1, 2.2 and 2.6 have some similarities. However, modifications and additions have been made.

I would like to thank my supervisor, Professor Ann Mari Svensson, for guidance, supervision and last but not least, the motivation and slack, that allowed me to pursue this work with genuine curiosity and excitement. Dr. Nils Peter Wagner also deserve huge thanks for helping me out when I needed it the most, both in the lab work and in writing this thesis. I also want to express my gratitude for the collaboration with Henning Kaland. It has been a real pleasure to have a fellow student in the lab, with whom to discuss crazy ideas, failures, breakthroughs and stuff that have nothing to do with science at all. Finally, I would like to thank the rest of the battery group at NTNU, for always being helpful.

The Research Council of Norway is acknowledged for the support to the Norwegian Micro- and Nano-Fabrication Facility (NorFab), project number 245963/F50.

Andreas Nicolai Norberg
23.06.2017, Trondheim
Abstract

In this work, silica extracted from diatom frustules was explored as a potential high performance, low cost and environmentally friendly anode material for lithium ion batteries. The diatom frustules were washed and calcined, and the resulting silica was characterized by scanning electron microscopy, X-ray diffraction and nitrogen adsorption. Electrodes of milled and unmilled silica were prepared with water soluble Na-alginate, different compositions of conductive additives and carbon coatings. In addition, the effect of the electrolyte additives, fluoroethylene carbonate and vinylene carbonate was explored. Coins cells and three electrode cells were assembled and characterized by galvanostatic cycling and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy. After cycling, post mortem characterization of the electrodes was performed by scanning electron microscopy, energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy and focused ion beam characterization.

The capacity of the silica anodes was low during regular galvanostatic cycling for all electrode and electrolyte parameters. However, an electrochemical reduction program in combination with milled silica, was found to significantly increase the capacity of the best performing silica anode to an average capacity of 723 mAhg⁻¹ after 50 cycles. In addition, the anodes exhibited excellent cycling stability and good rate capability up to 1000 mAg⁻¹. Differential capacity analysis revealed that the capacity was caused by the conversion of silica to silicon, and subsequent lithiation of silicon. The conversion reaction during the electrochemical reduction step was found to be mainly driven by the reaction 2 SiO₂ + 4 Li⁺ + 4 e⁻ → Li₄SiO₄ + Si. Carbon coating the silica improved the initial capacity. However, no improvements were observed after 50 cycles. In addition, the carbon coating was found to contribute significantly to the capacity of the cell. For wide spread application of silica from diatom frustules to take place, the drawbacks of the electrochemical reduction program and the high first cycle irreversible capacity loss must be addressed.
Sammendrag


Kapasiteten til silika anoden var lav for alle elektrodene ved vanlig galvanostatisk sykling. En god gjennomsnittlig kapasitet på 723 mAhg$^{-1}$ etter 50 sykler, ble oppnådd ved å mølle silikaaen og utsette den for et elektrokjemisk-reduksjonsprogram. Silikaaen hadde også utmerket syklisk stabilitet og god rate-egenskaper opp mot 1000 mAg$^{-1}$. Differensiell kapasitets analyse viste at kapasiteten skyltes konvertering av silika til silisium, og videre litsiering av silisium. Kapasitetsøksningen under det elektrokjemiske-reduksjonsprogrammet skyldtes sannsynligvis reaksjonen: 2SiO$_2$ + 4Li$^+$ + 4e$^-$ → Li$_4$SiO$_4$ + Si. Den karbon kledde silikaaen hadde anslagsvis høyere kapasitet, men etter 50 sykler var effekten utjevnet. I tillegg ble karbonet funnet å bidra signifikant til kapasiteten av batteriet. For at batterier med silika fra kiselalger skal ha et kommersielt potensial, må utfordringene med det elektrokjemiske-reduksjonsprogrammet og den høye initiale irreversible kapasiteten løses.
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Chapter 1: Introduction

Energy technology has a vast impact on our society, ranging from geopolitics and economic development, environmental challenges, consumer electronics to transportation. Moving forward, the importance of energy technologies, such as batteries, is only set to grow.

Development of new battery technology will have a profound impact on our personal life and society at large. The improvement of high energy density batteries will continue to shape the development of new personal electronics and our way of transportation. In emerging economies, the development of cheap battery technology has the potential of drastically improving living standards. In this context, energy storage systems such as batteries, are key for developing local solar and wind power production, offering clean and decentralized energy to communities off central powered grids [1]. This could have a major impact on economic growth and social benefits in rural areas [2]. Finally, new battery technology is essential in combating pollution and global warming. To complete the transition to a carbon natural transportation system and solve the challenges of intermittent energy production, new and improved battery technology is vital [3].

For widespread application of battery technology to take place in these sectors, a variety of challenges must be overcome. The most prevalent challenge is the need to increase the energy density of batteries, while simultaneously decreasing the associated costs [1, 4]. In addition, an increase in battery production combined with a low expected battery life span, between five and eight years, will significantly increase the waste stream produced by the battery industry [5]. Thus, there is a growing expectation for batteries of the future to be composed of materials that are sustainable, environmentally friendly and abundant. Thereby, the main challenge is to develop environmentally benign batteries with improved energy density, at reduced cost. Moreover, the expected growth of the battery market is projected to 53 billion USD by 2024, providing significant economic incentive to solutions addressing this daunting challenge.
The research community has answered this challenge by greatly increasing the amount of research in this area. Interesting progress has been made on exotic battery technologies, such as Li-air, however, the main focus is still on Li-ion batteries. Another approach, is the attempts to replace carbon based anodes with silicon based anodes, as the silicon anodes offer almost 10 times the theoretical capacity of carbon [6]. However, the poor cycling stability of silicon anodes, caused by the dramatic volume change during lithiation/delithiation, continues to hamper the development of silicon anodes [7].

Over the last decade, silica has gained traction as an alternative to silicon. In contrast to silicon, silica has excellent cycling stability and a relative high theoretical capacity, estimated between 749 mAhg$^{-1}$ and 1673 mAhg$^{-1}$. In addition, silica is one of the most abundant materials on Earth and inherently environmentally friendly [8]. Thus, silica anodes could potentially increase the energy density of batteries, at low cost and in an ecologically acceptable manner.

The many benefits of silica make it an attractive material for use in battery anodes in a variety of different ways. Today, silica is used as an inexpensive feedstock in the production of Si nanoparticles for battery applications [9], structural additive in SiO$_2$/Si composites [10] and as the main active material in anodes [11]. The latter will be the focus of this work.

Silica is a promising anode material, however there are three significant challenges hampering the development of the anode material. First, the lithiation mechanism of silica is not well understood. The limited knowledge on the lithiation mechanism has made it difficult to reach capacities close to the theoretical limit of 1673 mAhg$^{-1}$. To the best of the author’s knowledge, the highest reported reversible capacity of a silica anodes is 1055 mAhg$^{-1}$, after 150 cycles at 500 mAg$^{-1}$ [12]. Thus, there is room for significant improvement before the theoretical capacity of 1673 mAhg$^{-1}$ is reached. Second, extensive use of carbon additives, such as carbon black, graphene and amorphous carbon coatings, make it difficult to compare results, as the capacity contribution from carbon is rarely reported [13, 14, 15]. This makes it difficult to judge the respective capacity contribution from carbon and silica. Third, in the effort to improve the capacity of silica anodes, more and more elaborate chemical synthesis techniques and expensive precursors are used to develop advanced silica structures [8]. Though many of these structures show promising results, this approach is counterproductive to the inherent benefits of silica, as a cheap and ecologically sustainable material.
In 2016, Nyesteen attempted to use silica from diatom frustules as an anode material [16]. His work showed great promise, with a reported capacity of 600 mAhg\(^{-1}\) after 100 cycles. However, this result was achieved using a low current (50 mAg\(^{-1}\)) and a wide cycling window (0.0 V - 3.0 V). Moreover, the cells consisted of large amounts of amorphous carbon, making it difficult to distinguish between the capacity contribution from the silica and the amorphous carbon.

**Aim of this Work**

The main goal of this work is to address the third of the aforementioned challenges of silica anodes, by continue exploring highly porous silica from diatom frustules as potential high performance, low cost and environmentally benign anode material. The performance will be assessed on the specific capacity, cycling stability and the rate performance of the anode.

Moreover, this work will be conducted within the scope of four secondary goals: (1) Only utilize low cost and environmentally benign processing. (2) Conduct cycling under practical operation parameters (cycling window and current density). (3) Attempt to assess the lithiation mechanisms of SiO₂. (4) Explore the role of carbon additives/coatings, and their capacity contribution.
Chapter 2: Theory

The goal of this chapter is to provide a theoretical framework for further discussion throughout this report. First, an introduction to galvanic cells and secondary batteries is given, before the different components of a battery cell are described in more detail. This is followed by a further description of the working principle, challenges and benefits of silicon (Si) and silica (SiO$_2$) anodes. The final section covers characterization techniques relevant to this project.

Even though SiO$_2$ anodes is the main topic of this work, this chapter will draw upon research on Si anodes. The reason for this is twofold. First, as will be further explored in section 2.4, the chemistry of SiO$_2$ and Si is relatively similar. Second, SiO$_2$ anodes are a fairly new research topic, limiting the amount of published articles on the topic. Thus, publications on Si anodes may serve as a guide for predicting the behavior of SiO$_2$ anodes.

2.1 Galvanic cells and secondary batteries

A battery is by all intents and purposes a galvanic cell optimized for energy storage and delivering energy on demand. A galvanic cell is comprised of two electrically isolated electrodes, that when connected by an external circuit, converts chemical energy to electrical energy by a reduction-oxidation (redox) reaction. By convention, the electrode hosting the oxidation reaction, is termed anode, and the electrode hosting the reduction reaction, cathode [17].

Based on the reversibility of the reactions described above, battery technologies are divided into two main categories; primary batteries and secondary batteries. In a primary battery, the redox reactions are irreversible, meaning that they cannot be recharged. On the other hand, in secondary batteries, the redox reactions are partially reversible, making the battery rechargeable.
CHAPTER 2. THEORY

The reversibility of secondary batteries causes the electrodes in the cell to alternate between hosting the reduction and the oxidation reaction, depending on whether or not the battery is charged or discharged. For the ease of reading, the words cathode will in this work refer to the electrode at which reduction takes place as a battery discharge, and anode refer to the electrode at which oxidation takes place during discharging.

Today, a vast range of different secondary battery technologies exist, including lead-acid, nickel-cadmium and lithium ion (Li-ion). Moreover, interesting progress is done in new battery technologies, such as Li-Sulphur [18]. However, over the last decade, the growing market of consumer electronics and electrical vehicles has made Li-ion batteries one of the most dominant technologies in the secondary battery industry.

2.1.1 The fundamental principles of secondary batteries

A conventional Li-ion battery is made of two intercalating electrodes. The cathode is usually made of lithium transition metal oxides, such as LiCoO$_2$, while the anode is made of graphite. In addition to the two electrodes, a battery consists of two current collectors, electrolyte, a separator and different additives.

The role of the current collector is to provide good electrical contact between the electrode and the external circuit. The electrolyte, a good ionic conductor, allows for the movement of ions between the electrodes, but has limited electrical conductivity. The role of the separator is to mechanically separate the two electrodes. The property of the separator ensures that the electrolyte is kept between the two electrodes; at the same time it allows for ionic conduction, but electrically insulate the electrodes. Thus, the electrons are forced to go through the external circle. Finally, a polymeric component is used to ensure good adhesion between the active material particles in the electrodes.

The energy storage mechanism of Li-ion batteries is based on the shuttling of Li-ions between the two electrodes, giving it the trivial name "rocking chair battery" [19]. When charging, Li-ions move from the cathode into the graphite anode, as seen in Figure 2.1. During discharging, this process is reversed and the Li-ions move from the graphite anode, into the cathode. The reactions taking place during charging and discharging can be described by the following reactions [20]:
2.1. GALVANIC CELLS AND SECONDARY BATTERIES

Figure 2.1: Schematic of a conventional Li-ion "rocking chair" battery with graphite anode. The directional flow of electrons and Li-ions during discharging is illustrated.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Cathode:} & \quad \text{LiCoO}_2 \iff \text{Li}_{1-x}\text{CoO}_2 + x\text{Li}^+ + xe^- \quad (2.1) \\
\text{Anode:} & \quad 6\text{C} + x\text{Li}^+ + xe^- \iff \text{Li}_x\text{C} \quad (2.2) \\
\text{Total Cell reaction:} & \quad \text{LiCoO}_2 + 6\text{C} \iff \text{Li}_{1-x}\text{CoO}_2 + \text{Li}_x\text{C} \quad (2.3)
\end{align*}
\]

2.1.2 Energy density of batteries

The amount of energy stored in this process is mainly dependent on two variables, the amount of charge transferred in reaction 2.3 and the potential at which the individual charge transfer happens. The total amount of energy stored in the charging process can then be expressed as:

\[\text{Energy} = \int_0^Q V(q) \, dq \quad (2.4)\]

where \(V(q)\) is the potential when charge \(q\) is moved from one electrode to another, and \(Q\) is the total amount of charge taking place in process of charging the battery [21]. Equation 2.4 illustrates that the total energy of a battery can be improved by two fundamental approaches. One is to increase the total amount of charge involved in the process of charging \((Q)\), the second to maximize the potential of the battery \((V(q))\) throughout
the charging cycle, often referred to as the voltage profile. In the latter case, one has to consider both the open circuit potential ($V_{OC}$) and the change in potential as a function of the state of charge (SOC).

$V_{OC}$ of a full cell is given by the difference in electrochemical potential of the electrodes:

$$V_{OC} = \frac{\mu_a - \mu_c}{e}$$  \hspace{2cm} (2.5)

where $\mu_a$, $\mu_c$ and $e$ are respectively the chemical potential of the anode, the chemical potential of the cathode and the magnitude of an electron charge [21].

As a battery is charged or discharged, the potential of the cell diverge from the open circuit voltage due to two mechanisms. First, the potential of a cell varies with the state of charge (SOC), $q_s$, as the electrochemical potential of the two electrodes depends on SOC. Second, the potential is affected by polarization, $\eta$, creating an overpotential. Thus, the voltage profile during charging and discharging can be described by [21]:

$$V_{\text{dis}} = V_{OC}(q_s, I_{\text{dis}}) - \eta(q_s, I_{\text{dis}})$$  \hspace{2cm} (2.6)

$$V_{\text{ch}} = V_{OC}(q_s, I_{\text{ch}}) + \eta(q_s, I_{\text{ch}})$$  \hspace{2cm} (2.7)

The overpotential represents the deviation between the measured potential and the thermodynamically determined potential. In the context of batteries, there are three main sources of overpotentials; activation overpotential ($\eta_{ac}$), ohmic overpotential ($\eta_{ohm}$) and concentration overpotential ($\eta_{cons}$) [22]. The activation overpotential encompass the kinetic limitations of the reactions taking place at an electrode and creates an electrochemical energy barrier for activation. Ohmic overpotential represents the potential drop from the electrode to the local potential in the electrolyte. The ohmic overpotential is given by $\eta_{ohm} = IR_e$, where $R_e$ is the electrolyte resistance. The concentration overpotential results from concentration differences between the electrode surface and the bulk of the electrode, and can be seen as limitation on mass transfer. Even though the three sources of polarization have been discussed separately here, they should be considered interrelated.

### 2.1.3 Battery terminology

A wide range parameters have been developed to describe batteries performance. These parameters can roughly be divided into three main categories. Describing the amount of
energy a battery can store, the amount of power a battery can deliver and its life time. In addition, many of the different parameters are overlapping. Thus, satisfying information on the performance of a cell can be given by a selection of these parameters.

**Energy density**

The amount of energy stored in a battery is given by equation 2.4. However, to better reflect the utility of a battery in a practical setting, the energy density of a battery is usually given in terms of gravimetric energy density or volumetric energy density:

\[
\text{Gravimetric Energy Density} = \frac{\int_0^Q V(q) dq}{wt} \\
\text{Volumetric Energy Density} = \frac{\int_0^Q V(q) dq}{vol}
\] (2.8)

**Power density**

For application of batteries in portable electronics, power tools and electrical vehicles, the power density of a battery is of great importance. The output power of a battery is given by [21]:

\[
P(q) = V(q) I_{\text{dis}}
\] (2.10)

\[
\text{Volumetric Power Density} = \frac{P(q)}{vol}
\] (2.11)

**Battery life time and battery efficiency**

The cycle life time of a battery is by convention given by the number of cycles the battery can perform until the capacity drops below 80% of the initial reversible value [21].

The capacity loss of a single cycle is often given by the coulombic efficiency (CE) or the irreversible capacity loss (ICL), given by [21]:

\[
\text{CE} = 100 \cdot \frac{Q_{\text{dis}}}{Q_{\text{ch}}} \\
\text{ICL} = Q_{\text{ch}} - Q_{\text{dis}}
\] (2.12)
where $Q_{\text{dis}}$ is the capacity during discharging and $Q_{\text{ch}}$ the capacity during charging of the same cycle. The total loss in capacity over multiple cycles, cumulative capacity loss (CCL), can be defined as:

$$\text{CCL} = \sum_{k} Q_{\text{ch}} - Q_{\text{dis}}$$

(2.14)

where $k$ is the number of cycles.

2.2 Cell components

The goal of this section is to give a general introduction to the different components of a battery cell that are of importance to this work.

2.2.1 Electrolytes and the solid electrolyte interface

Over the last decades, there has been an enormous effort to develop new electrolytes for Li-ion batteries with the goal of increasing battery safety, the range of operation temperature and improve battery life time [23]. Moreover, with the emerging interest in alloying anodes, such as Si anodes, electrolyte compositions have become a vital tool for improving cycling stability [24].

2.2.1.1 Fundamentals of battery electrolytes

The main purpose of the electrolyte is to serve as a medium for ionic transport between the electrodes in the battery. However, to ensure good cell operation in rechargeable batteries, the electrolyte should satisfy these requirements [25]:

1. The electrolyte should be both a good ionic conductor and an electric insulator, i.e. so that the ions in the electrolyte are free to move, but self-discharge is limited.

2. The electrolyte should have a large voltage range at which it is neither oxidized nor reduced, often referred to as a electrochemical window.

3. It should be inert to the separator, electrode materials and cell packaging material.

4. It should be robust against chemical, electrical, thermal and mechanical abuse.

5. It should be non-toxic and environmental friendly.
The ability of an electrolyte to conduct ions can be quantified by the parameter, ionic conductivity, given by

$$\sigma = \sum_i N_i u_i Z_i e$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.15)

where $N_i$ is the number of moles of free ions, $u_i$ the ion mobility, $Z_i$ the valence of ionic species $i$ and $e$ the fundamental charge of an electron. It is often found that the ionic conductivity has a maximum at around 1 M salt. If the concentration of the electrolyte increases, it affects the $N_i$ term in equation eq. (2.15) and the viscosity of the electrolyte, which again will reduce the ionic mobility of the electrolyte. Thus, most electrolytes have a critical concentration, at which a further increase in the concentration will cause a reduction in the ionic conductivity [26].

**Electrolyte salts and solvents**

To meet the aforementioned requirements, the electrolyte is usually comprised of a mixture of a salt, multiple solvents and electrolyte additives. The given composition is often dependent on the material system in question.

LiPF$_6$ is by far the most utilized salt in the industry and research community today, due to its well-balanced properties as an electrolyte salt [25]. However, electrolytes containing LiPF$_6$ have challenges related to sensitivity of moisture. If the electrolyte is contaminated with water, hydrofluoric acid might form [27]:

$$\text{LiPF}_6 \leftrightarrow \text{LiF} + \text{PF}_5$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.16)

$$\text{PF}_5 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2 \text{HF} + \text{PF}_3\text{O}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.17)

The formation of hydrofluoric acid might cause severe corrosion in the cell [27], and PF$_5$ is found to induce ring opening polymerization of EC, which significantly hampers the stability of the electrolyte [28, 29].

The main requirements for electrolyte solvents are to exhibit a high dielectric constant, so that the solvent can dissolve salts to sufficient concentrations and low viscosity to facilitate ion transport [25, 30]. To meet these somewhat contradicting requirements, most electrolytes are made of two or more solvents.

Non-aqueous electrolytes are often made by mixing cyclic carbonates, such as ethylene carbonate (EC) and propylene carbonate (PC), and linear carbonates such as dimethyl
carbonate (DMC) and diethyl carbonate (DEC). The chemical structure of these solvents are displayed in Figure 2.2.

![Chemical structure of common electrolyte solvents. From left to right EC, PC, DMC and DEC.](image)

In general, the role of the cyclic carbonates is to solve the electrolyte salt, while the linear carbonates reduce the melting point and viscosity of the electrolyte. The properties of the electrolyte, such as melting point, conductivity, viscosity, reduction potential and oxidation potential, are highly dependent on the fraction of the different solvents in the mixture.

### 2.2.1.2 Electrolyte stability and the solid electrolyte interphase

The thermodynamic stability of electrolytes, often referred to as the electrochemical window, is given by the energy separation of the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) and the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) [31]. For the electrolyte to be electrochemically stable, the electrochemical potentials of the electrodes must be within the electrochemical window. This is the case for some low potential batteries, such as Lithium Titanate (LTO). However, the $V_{OC}$ of most high voltage batteries lie outside of the electrochemical window of most electrolytes. Thus, the requirement of electrolyte stability is usually met by kinetic stability, rather than thermodynamic stability [25].

The kinetic stability is mainly provided by the solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) forming on the electrodes. The interphase is formed by a complicated reduction process of electrolyte solvents and salts, resulting in a film consisting of both organic and inorganic decomposition products [33]. After formation, an ideal SEI prevents further decomposition of the electrolyte by limiting electron transport to the electrode surface. However, an ideal SEI should still enable diffusion of Li$^+$ from the electrolyte to the electrode surface.

An illustration of the electrochemical windows for different cell components are presented in Figure 2.3. For the electrolyte to be stable, the electrochemical potential of the electrodes must be within the electrochemical window ($E_g$). This is the case for the material
Figure 2.3: Schematic of the electrochemical window of an electrolyte. Modified illustration from [32].

system made of $\text{Li}_4\text{Ti}_5\text{O}_{12}$ and $\text{LiFePO}_4$, indicated by the potential $V_{\text{OC}}^1$. The electrochemical potential between the $\text{LiC}_6$ and $\text{LiCoO}_2$, indicated by the potential $V_{\text{OC}}^2$, is clearly outside the electrochemical window. However, in this case the initial decomposition of the electrolyte and the subsequent SEI formation stabilizes the cell.

Over the last years, a growing interest has been shown for the use of electrolyte additives to alter the properties of the SEI. As this topic is highly relevant to the material system in question, this topic will be discussed further in section about Si-anodes, 2.3.

2.2.2 Anode materials

Anodes materials can be divided into three main categories; intercalation anodes, alloying anodes and conversion anodes. In this section, the challenges and benefits of the different anodes are discussed. The theoretical capacity and estimated average lithiation potentials of a selection of anode material can be found in Table 2.1.
2.2.2.1 Intercalation anodes

Carbon

Intercalation refers to the reversible insertion of a guest molecule into a host lattice without altering the basic structure of the host lattice [34]. Due to its highly anisotropic structure, graphitic carbon is one of the most used intercalation compounds and is widely used in commercial batteries. The final product of reversible lithiated graphite is given by the reaction:

\[ C_6 + Li^+ + e^- \rightleftharpoons LiC_6 \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.18)

yielding a reversible capacity of 372 mAhg\(^{-1}\) [35]. Due to the reasonable reversible capacity, low lithiation potential over almost the entire charge/discharge curve, graphite is one of the most used anode materials [36]. The capacity of amorphous carbon is well above graphite, over 900 mAhg\(^{-1}\), but the material is hampered by low density and extensive SEI formation [37].

Table 2.1: Overview of theoretical capacity and estimated lithiation potentials of different anode materials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Theoretical Capacity [mAhg(^{-1})]</th>
<th>Approximate potential for lithiation [V vs Li/Li(^+)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Graphite</td>
<td>Intercalation</td>
<td>372 mAhg(^{-1}) [35]</td>
<td>0.2 [38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amorphous carbon</td>
<td>Intercalation</td>
<td>900 mAhg(^{-1}) [37]</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTO</td>
<td>Intercalation</td>
<td>175 mAhg(^{-1}) [39]</td>
<td>1.5 [39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>Alloying</td>
<td>4200 mAhg(^{-1}) [7]</td>
<td>0.4 [7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO(_2)</td>
<td>Conversion/</td>
<td>1961 mAhg(^{-1}) [14]/ 1673</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alloying</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lithium titanate

In addition to graphite, Li\(_4\)Ti\(_5\)O\(_{12}\) (LTO) is considered to be a viable intercalation anode. Due to its spinel structure, LTO can store lithium ions without any volume expansion, making it a “zero strain” material [40]. Moreover, the high operating voltage of LTO anodes (~ 1.5 V vs Li/Li\(^+\)) prevents growth of Li dendrites, improving the safety of
operation [40]. The downside of LTO anodes is a low theoretical capacity of 175 mAhg$^{-1}$ and low conductivity [40, 39].

In general, the insertion of Li species into intercalation compounds lead to small strains and minimum irreversible structural changes in the host material, giving intercalation anodes with good capacity retention [6]. However, the capacity of these anodes are usually far below that of alloy anodes and conversion anodes.

### 2.2.2.2 Alloy anodes

Lithium can also form alloys with different metals and metalloids, such as Li$_x$M$_y$ ($M = \text{Al, Sb, Si, Sn, etc.}$) compounds [41]. In contrast to the intercalation mechanism, the alloying mechanism cause breaking of bonds between atoms in the host material, leading to significant structural changes [6]. However, the structural changes allow for higher specific capacities than seen in intercalation compounds. One example of this is the Li-Si alloy, were the Li$_{4.4}$Si phase has a theoretical capacity of 4200 mAhg$^{-1}$ [42]. Common for most alloy anodes are that they exhibit higher theoretical capacity than traditional intercalation compounds, but low cycling stability [43].

### 2.2.2.3 Conversion anodes

Conversion anodes are based on a conversion reaction between Li binary transition-metal $M_x y X_y$ compounds ($M = \text{Fe, Mn, Ni, Co, Cu, Si; } X = \text{O, S, P, S}$), exemplified by the general reaction [44]:

$$M_x Y + y n_o e^- + y n_o Li^+ \leftrightarrow x M^0 + y Li_{n_o} X \quad (M = \text{transition metal}) \quad (2.19)$$

where $n_o$ is the oxidation state of the anion. Conversion anodes have theoretical discharge capacities exceeding traditional intercalation anodes. However, they also exhibit quite large voltage hysteresis, especially in the first cycle, reducing the efficiency of the electrode [45, 46]. In addition, because displacement reaction often occur at higher potentials and undergo structural changes during cycling, they tend to have quite sloping potential curves [47]. As alluded to in section 2.1.1, this could impede the energy density of batteries in accordance with equation 2.4.
2.2.2.4 Requirements of anode materials

Common for all anode materials is that they should fulfill six basic requirements [48]:

1. Accommodate as much Li as possible, but still be low weight.
2. The redox potential towards Li/Li\(^+\) should be as small as possible at any concentration of Li\(^+\).
3. Good electronic and ionic conductivity, allowing for fast transport of electrons and Li-ions.
4. The anode should not be soluble in the electrolyte solvent, or react with the electrolyte salt.
5. The material should be inherently safe.

Today, these requirements are best met by the intercalation compound, graphite. However, with a theoretical capacity of only 372 mAhg\(^{-1}\), graphite only satisfy requirement 1 to a limited degree. In addition, graphite anodes have challenges related to Li deposition and dendrite growth, which is a severe safety issue. Thus, efforts are made to develop new anode materials, which better satisfy the requirements above.

2.2.3 Electrode binders

In most electrodes, binders ensure good adhesion between the particles of the active material. In the case of Si anodes, the binder is also found to be of great importance in mitigating the volume expansion during cycling [49].

The most conventional binder is by far poly(vinylidenefluoride)(PVDF). However, as PVDF only forms week van der waals bonds to Si, it fails to accommodate the large volume expansion of Si [50]. Therefore, research on binders for Si anodes has focused on polymeric binders such as sodium carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) and sodium alginate.

In contrast to Si, research on binders for SiO\(_2\) is quite limited. However, as the surface chemistry of SiO\(_2\) and Si is relatively similar, the choice of binder in this work was based on Si studies. Based on a literature review of Si anodes, sodium alginate was chosen as the preferred binder for this project. In contrast to CMC, Alginate is found to have a uniform distribution of carboxyl groups, which can form bonds to Si particles [49].
addition, alginate is found to facilitate transport of Li$^+$ through the binder layer and assist in the creation of the SEI layer [51].

The benefits of alginate compared to PVDF far exceed the improvement of cell performance. As PVDF is not water soluble, the Li-ion battery industry use 1-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) as solvent. This imposes significant costs on Li-ion battery production, as the use of NMP requires heating systems for solvent evaporation and expensive recovery systems due to its toxicity [4]. Thus, the use of water soluble and environmentally benign binders, such as alginate, could significantly reduce production costs.

2.3 Si anodes

Si has long been a promising candidate to replace graphite as an anode material. This is mostly due to the desirable traits of Si [7]:

1. A high theoretical capacity.
2. The lithiation voltage of Si (0.10 V - 0.3 V [52]) gives a good balance between yielding a reasonable $V_{OC}$ in a full cell and limiting lithium plating.
3. Si is abundant and relatively low cost.
4. Si is environmental friendly and non-toxic.

From the aforementioned reasons it is clear that Si satisfies many of the requirements for anode materials mentioned section 2.2.2.4. However, widespread commercialization of Si anodes has so far been hampered by the massive volume expansion of Si during lithiation [53]. To an extent, the emerging interest for SiO$_2$ anodes can be seen as a response to the challenging volume expansion of Si. Moreover, due to the many similarities of Si and SiO$_2$, a fundamental insight into Si anodes is necessary to understand the working principles, benefits and challenges of SiO$_2$ anodes.

2.3.1 The convoluted nature of Si and SiO$_2$ anodes

The convoluted nature of Si and SiO$_2$ anodes is best appreciated by the fact that the two materials are respectively oxidation and reduction products of each other. Thus, Si particles are often covered by a thin layer of SiO$_2$ [54], and the lithiation mechanism of SiO$_2$ involves the reduction of SiO$_2$ to Si. The latter will be covered in great detail when the lithiation mechanism of SiO$_2$ is discussed in section 2.4.2.
The relation between Si and SiO$_2$ is important to this work for two main reasons. First, the lithiation mechanism of SiO$_2$ involves the reduction of SiO$_2$ to Si [11]. Therefore, a fundamental understanding of the lithiation mechanism of Si is necessary to fully understand lithiation mechanism of SiO$_2$. Second, while SiO$_2$ anodes are a relative new research topic, Si anodes have been an area of intense research. Thus, based on the similar surface chemistry of Si and SiO$_2$, published articles on Si anodes may be assumed to serve as reasonable guidelines for research on SiO$_2$ anodes.

Following the arguments in the previous section, publications on the lithiation mechanism of Si, the effect of electrolyte composition on the performance of Si anodes and SEI formation on Si anodes are found to be of special interest to this work.

### 2.3.2 Lithiation mechanism of Si

Even tough Si anodes have been an area of intense research, the reaction mechanism between Si and Li$^+$ is not yet fully understood. Multiple Li$_x$Si compounds have been identified during lithiation, and the formation of these compounds are found to depend on both the speed of cycling and the lithiation cut-off potential [52].

Initially, the lithiation of Si was believed to lead to the formation of the most Li rich phase of the Li-Si system, Li$_{4.4}$Si, yielding a theoretical reversible capacity of 4200 mAhg$^{-1}$ [55, 7]. However, later studies have claimed that the Li$_{4.4}$Si phase is not stable in room temperature and that the final lithiation product of Si in practical operation conditions is crystalline Li$_{3.75}$Si, yielding a theoretical capacity of 3579 mAhg$^{-1}$ [6, 56].

By studying the lithiation mechanism of Si-nanowires, Ogata et al. proposed a lithiation mechanism by which Li$^+$ first reacts with crystalline Si, forming a variety of amorphous Li$_x$Si phases, before crystalline Li$_{3.75}$Si and an eventually an over-lithiated Li$_{3.75+\delta}$Si phase is formed [52]. An overview of the proposed mechanism by Ogata et al is found in Table 2.2.

Ogata at al. also found that the composition of lithiated Si depends on the cycling rate and the lower cut-off potential. At slow cycling and a cut-off potential of 0.00 V, only the over-lithiated phase (Li$_{3.75+\delta}$Si) was identified. However, at medium cycling (119 mAg$^{-1}$) and a cut-off potential of 0.00 V multiple phases was present (a-Li$_x$Si for x = 2.0 - 3.5, a-Li$_x$Si for x = 3.5 - 3.75, c-Li$_{3.75+\delta}$Si, c-Li$_{3.75-\delta}$Si).
Table 2.2: Lithiation and delithiation mechanism of Si proposed by Ogata et al [52]. Crystalline and amorphous phases are denoted by $a$ and $c$ respectively. $\delta$ describe the over-lithiated phase, were $\delta$ is in the range of 0.2 - 0.3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycle/Stage</th>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Potential [V]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Lithiation</td>
<td>$c$-Si → $a$-Li$<em>x$Si → C-Li$</em>{3.75}$Si → C-Li$_{3.75+\delta}$Si</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\geq$ 2nd Lithiation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$a$-Si → $a$-Li$_{2.0}$Si</td>
<td>0.30-0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$a$-Li$<em>{2.0}$Si → $a$-Li$</em>{3.5}$Si</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$a$-Li$<em>{3.75}$Si → $c$-Li$</em>{3.5}$Si</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$c$-Li$<em>{3.75}$Si → $c$-Li$</em>{3.5+\delta}$Si</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delithiation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Li$<em>{3.5+\delta}$Si → $c$-Li$</em>{3.5}$Si + $c$-Li$_{3.5-\delta}$Si</td>
<td>0.05 - 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$a$-Li$<em>{3.5}$Si → $a$-Li$</em>{2.0}$Si</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$c$-Li$<em>{3.5}$Si → $a$-Li$</em>{1.1}$Si</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$a$-Li$_{2.0}$Si → $a$-Si</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3.3 The challenges of Si anodes

The main challenge of Si anodes is volume expansion, which during lithiation/delithiation could be as high as 400% [42]. This structural change has a devastating effect on the cycling stability of the electrode due to multiple reasons [7]:

1. The electrode structure deteriorates during cycling and is gradually pulverized.

2. The expansion of Si may cause disconnection between the active material and the current collector.

3. The expansion and contraction of Si cause a ”break and reform” mechanism of the SEI layer, causing continuous consumption of Li and SEI growth.

Over the last years, tremendous efforts have been made to address the challenges of volume expansion. The most common strategies are size reduction and nano structuring of Si to accommodate volume expansion [57], engineering stress relief matrices around the Si particles [42] and electrolyte additives that contributes to maintain the structural integrity of the electrode by forming more a durable and flexible SEI layer[58].

In the scope of this work, the latter approach is of interest, as research on SEI formation
on Si particles may provide useful insight into SEI formation on SiO$_2$ particles.

2.3.4 SEI formation on Si anodes

The electrolyte degradation mechanisms and subsequent film formation on Si surfaces during lithiation and delithiation, is to a large extent the same as for graphite, resulting in a film composed of mostly carbonates species and inorganic salts, such as Li$_2$CO$_3$, RCO$_2$Li and LiF respectively [59]. The major difference between the SEI on carbon and Si, is that the volume expansion of Si during lithiation prevents the formation of a stable SEI [59]. This leads to the continuous fracture of the SEI, exposing electrolyte to a fresh Si surface, causing continuous consumption of Li$^+$ and the formation of a thick SEI [42].

A successful approach to stabilize the SEI has been the addition of electrolyte additives such as Fluoroethylene carbonate (FEC) and Vinylene carbonate (VC) [60, 61]. These additives have reduction potentials above common electrolyte solvents, such as EC, enabling them to decompose and form stronger and more flexible SEI layers than traditional electrolyte solvents [60, 58].

2.3.4.1 Effect of electrolyte additives

VC is a well-known battery electrolyte additive and is believed to decompose to RCO$_2$Li and polycarbonates [61, 58]. On the other hand, the decomposition mechanism of FEC is still debated. One proposal is that FEC decomposes to LiF and polyene-compounds [62]. However, it has also been claimed that FEC first decompose to VC and HF, followed by the subsequent reduction of VC and the formation of polycarbonates [63].

The two additives are believed to improve the performance of Si anodes by different mechanism. VC is believed to produce a thin, smooth and flexible film which can withstand the volume expansion of Si without cracking [61, 58]. However, the downside of the dense film is that it is believed to exhibit a relative high resistance towards Li$^+$ diffusion [58]. In contrast, the film formed by FEC is believed to be less flexible and more porous leading to better conductivity of Li$^+$ through the film [58]. Thus, a combination of the two properties might be ideal.

A study comparing film formation caused by electrolytes with and without FEC on Si particles with different thickness of the outer SiO$_2$ layer found that increasing the SiO$_2$ thickness significantly reduced film formation [64]. This might suggest that less SEI is formed on SiO$_2$ relative to Si.
2.4 SiO₂ ANODES

Reduction potentials of common electrolyte solvents and additives

A brief overview of the reduction potential of common electrolyte solvents and electrolyte additives is presented in Table 2.3. However, it should be noted that experimental values might deviate from tabulated values due to a variety of reasons, including differences in material system, electrolyte compositions and experimental parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>$E_{\text{red}}$ (V vs. Li/Li$^+$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>0.65 -0.9 [65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEC</td>
<td>0.80 [66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC/DEC</td>
<td>0.79 [11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEC</td>
<td>1.47 [58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC</td>
<td>1.04 -1.40 [65]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.3: Experimental reduction potential of common electrolyte solvents and additives.

2.4 SiO₂ anodes

SiO₂ was long considered an electrochemical inactive material in the context of battery applications. However, in 2001 Gao et al. reported that SiO₂ nanoparticles were reactive towards Li$^+$ at potentials between 0.0 V and 1.0 V [67]. Later, the theoretical reversible capacity of SiO₂ has been estimated to be between 749 mAhg$^{-1}$ [68] and 1961 mAhg$^{-1}$ [11], depending on the lithiation mechanism. In addition to high capacity, SiO₂ is found to have excellent cycling stability [69]. Together, the high theoretical capacity of SiO₂, improved cycling stability, low cost and low toxicity, make SiO₂ an interesting material for anode applications in accordance with the requirements of anode materials.

In the literature, the topics of SiO₂ and silicon oxide (SiO$_x$ for 0 < x < 2) are discussed somewhat interchangeable. This is further complicated by the fact that there is no strict convention for SiO$_x$ either. SiO$_x$ is used both to describe core shell structures of Si and SiO₂, and homogeneous SiO$_x$ particles [70]. Whether or not the homogeneous SiO$_x$ particles consist of small nano domains of Si in a matrix SiO₂, or is one phase with both Si-Si and Si-O bonds, is still unknown, as both have been reported [71, 72]. This work will follow a convention where materials with large distinct regions of Si and SiO₂ (such as core shell Si/SiO₂ structures) are referred to as SiO₂/Si composites. The term SiO$_x$ will only be used to describe homogeneous SiO$_x$ particles, where the distribution of Si and SiO₂ is unknown.
2.4.1 Short review of SiO$_2$ anodes in the literature

![Schematic illustrating the use of SiO$_2$ as an anode material in the literature.](image)

The discussion regarding SiO$_2$ as an anode material in the literature can be divided into three categories; SiO$_2$ as a feedstock for Si anodes, SiO$_2$/Si composites and anodes with SiO$_2$ as the main active materials.

**SiO$_2$ as a feedstock for production of Si**

Due to the abundancy and low cost of SiO$_2$, attempts have been made to use SiO$_2$ as a cheap feedstock for production of Si anodes. The SiO$_2$ feedstock comes from a variety of sources, including SiO$_2$ fumes collected as a waste product from elemental silicon production [73] and SiO$_2$ from algae frustules [74]. SiO$_2$ nanoparticles may also be produced by chemical methods and later reduced to Si nanoparticles [75]. Common for these approaches are that the preferred reduction technique is magnesiothermic reduction[73, 9, 75, 76].

**SiO$_2$/Si composites**

Due to the many similar properties of SiO$_2$ and Si explored in section 2.3.1, multiple attempts have been made to make SiO$_2$/Si composites. In these composites, the presence of SiO$_2$ and subsequent formation of Li$_2$Si$_2$O$_5$, Li$_4$SiO$_4$ and Li$_2$O, is believed to improve cyclability by buffering the expansion of Si [77, 78, 10, 79].
Table 2.4: Overview of reported capacities of anodes where SiO$_2$ is used as a feedstock for Si production.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Current density [mAg$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>Reversible capacity [mAhg$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>Cycles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diatomite-derived nanosilicon</td>
<td>[74] 700</td>
<td>1102</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced SiO$_2$ fumes</td>
<td>[73] 1800</td>
<td>1539</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced porous SiO$_2$</td>
<td>[75] 1000</td>
<td>1639</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced mesoporous SiO$_2$</td>
<td>[76] 2000</td>
<td>715</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The SiO$_2$ composites can roughly be divided into three different categories. In the first category, SiO$_2$ and Si particles are simply mixed together [78]. A second approach is to derive core shell structures, where the Si is covered by a layer of SiO$_2$ [10, 80]. In this case, the outer SiO$_2$ layer is showed to form a compressive shell around the Si particle and thereby limit the volume expansion of Si [80]. A final approach is to prepare SiO$_2$/Si composites through reduction or oxidation. This can be done by either partially reducing SiO$_2$ into Si by magnesiothermic reduction [77], or oxidizing Si into SiO$_2$ through ball milling [81]. An overview of reported capacities of SiO$_2$/Si composites can be seen in Table 2.5.

Table 2.5: Overview of reported capacities of anodes with SiO$_2$/Si composites as the main active material

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Current density [mAg$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>Reversible capacity [mAhg$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>Cycles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nano SiO$_x$/C composite</td>
<td>[82] 100</td>
<td>$\sim$ 800</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphene/SiO$_x$/C composite</td>
<td>[83] 100</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si/SiO$_2$/C composite</td>
<td>[77] 50</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si/SiO$_2$ composite</td>
<td>[79] 100</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core shell Si/SiO$_2$/C structure</td>
<td>[10] 100</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si/SiO$_2$/C nanofibers</td>
<td>[78] 100</td>
<td>$\sim$ 750</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesoporous carbon-Si-SiO$_2$ composite</td>
<td>[84] 100</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Anodes with SiO$_2$ as the main active material

SiO$_2$ is also utilized as the main active material. In this case, the use of SiO$_2$ can be further divided into three categories. Synthesis of porous SiO$_2$ structures by wet
chemical methods [13, 85, 83, 86, 14, 87, 15, 88], milling of SiO$_2$ microparticles [8] and electrochemical reduction of SiO$_2$ by implementing hold steps at low potentials [70]. In most of these cases, carbon is added to further improve the performance of the electrode. The beneficial effects of carbon will be further discussed in section 2.4.3. An overview of the reported capacities in the literature can be found in Table 2.6. To the best of the authors knowledge, the highest capacity reported so far for SiO$_2$ anodes is 1055 mAhg$^{-1}$ at a current density of 500 mAg$^{-1}$ after 150 cycles, reported by Cao et al. [12].

Over the last years, porous SiO$_2$ structures have by far been the most studied. In general, these structures have a relatively high capacity, improved cycling stability relative to Si and Si/SiO$_2$ anodes, and good rate capabilities. The role of the porous structure in achieving these results will be further discussed in section 2.4.4.

Milling of as received SiO$_2$ microparticles have also shown great promise. Chang et al. showed in 2012 that 300 nm SiO$_2$ particles from milled microparticles could achieve capacities up to 800 mAhg$^{-1}$ after 200 cycles at 100 mAg$^{-1}$ [8]. In 2016 Lepoivre et al. demonstrated that SiO$_2$ nanoparticles could be electrochemical activated by holding electrodes at 2 mV for longer time periods, increasing the capacity of the cell by $\sim$ 150 mAhg$^{-1}$ after a hold time of 48 h [70].

2.4.2 Lithiation of SiO$_2$

Lithiation mechanism of SiO$_2$

The lithiation mechanism of SiO$_2$ was first examined by Sun et al. [68]. Experimental data from High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) and XPS (X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy), suggested the following reversibly conversion reactions and subsequent alloying reaction:

\[
5 \text{SiO}_2 + 4 \text{Li}^+ + 4 e^- \leftrightarrow 2\text{Li}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{Si} \tag{2.20a}
\]

\[
\text{Si} + x\text{Li}^+ \leftrightarrow \text{Li}_x\text{Si} \tag{2.20b}
\]

The reversibility of this reaction was in particular attributed to the reversible O 1S shift in XPS measurements during cycling, indicating that Li$_2$Si$_2$O$_5$ + Si is reversible formed [68]. Furthermore, Sun et al. measured a reversible discharge capacity above 416 mAhg$^{-1}$, which is above the theoretical capacity of reaction 2.20a (357.3 mAhg$^{-1}$). Thus, they argued that reaction 2.20a had to be followed by reaction 2.20b. However, in 2008 Guo
et al. observed a permanent redshift in XPS data of the O 1s peak attributed to Li$_4$SiO$_4$, suggesting the presence of an irreversible reaction and an alternative reaction mechanism [11]. In addition, they observed a broadening of the Si 2p peak, which suggested that multiple Si compounds were present after cycling. Without excluding the formation of additional silicates, Guo et al. proposed the following reaction mechanism:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{SiO}_2 + 4 \text{Li}^+ + 4 e^- & \rightarrow 2\text{Li}_2\text{O} + \text{Si} & \text{(2.21a)} \\
2\text{SiO}_2 + 4 \text{Li}^+ + 4 e^- & \rightarrow \text{Li}_4\text{SiO}_4 + \text{Si} & \text{(2.21b)} \\
\text{Si} + x\text{Li}^+ & \leftrightarrow \text{Li}_x\text{Si} & \text{(2.21c)}
\end{align*}
\]

In this case both, reaction 2.21a and reaction 2.21b are irreversible, while reaction 2.21c
is responsible for the reversible capacity. A later study by Chang et al. proposed the lithiation mechanism of SiO$_2$ to be a combination of reaction 2.20a, reaction 2.21a and reaction 2.21c [8]. However, the reaction mechanism is still debated, illustrated by the study of Tekazawa et al, who claimed to have identified the formation of an additional lithium silicate, Li$_2$Si$_2$O$_3$ in the reaction with nonstoichimetric SiO$_x$ and Li$^+$ [92].

Based on this short review, the lithiation mechanism of SiO$_2$ appears to be driven by at least three main reactions, followed by the lithiation mechanism of Si:

\[
\begin{align*}
5\text{SiO}_2 + 4\text{Li}^+ + 4e^- & \leftrightarrow 2\text{Li}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{Si} \quad (2.22a) \\
2\text{SiO}_2 + 4\text{Li}^+ + 4e^- & \rightarrow \text{Li}_4\text{SiO}_4 + \text{Si} \quad (2.22b) \\
\text{SiO}_2 + 4\text{Li}^+ + 4e^- & \rightarrow 2\text{Li}_2\text{O} + \text{Si} \quad (2.22c) \\
\text{Si} + x\text{Li}^+ & \leftrightarrow \text{Li}_x\text{Si} \quad (2.22d)
\end{align*}
\]

**Lithiation potentials of SiO$_2$**

The reported onset potentials for the different reactions vary in the literature. This is expected as these potentials will vary with factors such as particle size, crystallinity and electrode composition. Attempts to probe the lithiation potential of SiO$_2$ has also been done with density functional theory. A brief overview of the reported onset potentials for lithiation and delithiation of SiO$_2$ can be found in Table 2.7. Please note that some of the cited articles in the table identify a potential for multiple reactions, i.e. do not distinguish between reactions 2.22a-2.22c.

**2.4.2.1 Theoretical capacity of SiO$_2$ anodes**

The discussion above illustrates the complex nature of SiO$_2$ anodes. SiO$_2$ can be viewed as a combination of a conversion anode (reactions 2.22a-2.22c) and an alloying anode (reaction 2.22d). The complex nature of SiO$_2$ anodes also makes it difficult to estimate a theoretical capacity, as it is highly dependent on the relative contribution from the conversion reaction, and the alloying reaction of Si.

Most publications on the topic cite a theoretical reversible capacity of SiO$_2$ at 1961 mAhg$^{-1}$, based on reaction 2.22c. However, this is based on the formation of Li$_4$Si, which according to the discussion on the lithiation mechanism of Si in section 2.3.2, is highly unlikely. This is also supported by the fact that only Li$_{3.75}$Si has been identified in post mortem analysis of SiO$_2$ anodes so far [8].
Table 2.7: Reported lithiation and delithiation potential of different Si compounds found in the literature on SiO$_2$ anodes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Potential [V]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.8 \text{SiO}_2 \rightarrow 0.4 \text{Li}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5 + 0.2\text{Si}$</td>
<td>1.38 [93]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.4 \text{Li}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5 + 0.533\text{Li} \rightarrow 0.133\text{Si} + 0.667 \text{Li}_2\text{SiO}_3$</td>
<td>1.32 [93]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Li}_2\text{SiO}_3 + 0.667\text{Li} \rightarrow 0.167\text{Si} + 0.5 \text{Li}_4\text{SiO}_4$</td>
<td>0.68 [93]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiO}_2 + 4\text{Li} \rightarrow \text{Si} + \text{Li}_2\text{O}$</td>
<td>0.19 [94]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental, lithiation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5\text{SiO}_2 + 4\text{Li}^{+} + 4\text{e}^- \leftrightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{Si}$</td>
<td>0.27 [8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2\text{SiO}_2 + 4\text{Li} \rightarrow \text{Si} + \text{Li}_4\text{SiO}_4$</td>
<td>0.24 [8, 11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiO}_2 + 4\text{Li} \rightarrow \text{Si} + 2\text{Li}_2\text{O}$</td>
<td>0.72 [85]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15\text{Li}^{+} + 4\text{Si} + 15\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{Li}_{15}\text{Si}_4$</td>
<td>0.00 [8], 0.24 [11], 0.4 [85]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental, delithiation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Li}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{Si} \leftrightarrow 5\text{SiO}_2 + 4\text{Li}^{+} + 4\text{e}^-$</td>
<td>0.27 [8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Li}_{15}\text{Si}_4 \rightarrow 15\text{Li}^{+} + 4\text{Si} + 15\text{e}^-$</td>
<td>0.34 [8]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The theoretical capacity of an anode based on the mass of the anode material before lithiation is given by:

$$Q_T[\text{mAhg}^{-1}] = \frac{nF}{3.6 \cdot M_w} \quad (2.23)$$

were $n$ is the number of charge carriers (electrons), $F$ is Faraday constant and $M_w$ is the molecular weight of the anode material. The theoretical capacity of SiO$_2$ calculated on the basis of the resulting Si formed by reactions 2.22a-2.22c are presented in Table 2.8. The calculations are based on Li$_{3.75}$Si being the fully lithiated state of Si, and that the reactions reactions 2.22a-2.22c are irreversible.

Table 2.8: Theoretical capacity of different lithiation mechanism of SiO$_2$, based on the subsequent alloying of Si (formation of Li$_{3.75}$Si) and weight of the anode material before lithiation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>$Q_T$ [mAhg$^{-1}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$5\text{SiO}_2 + 4\text{Li}^{+} + 4\text{e}^- \rightarrow 2\text{Li}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{Si}$</td>
<td>335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2\text{SiO}_2 + 4\text{Li}^{+} + 4\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{Li}_4\text{SiO}_4 + \text{Si}$</td>
<td>836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiO}_2 + 4\text{Li}^{+} + 4\text{e}^- \rightarrow 2\text{Li}_2\text{O} + \text{Si}$</td>
<td>1673</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Optimizing the capacity of SiO$_2$ anode

In order to achieve the highest possible capacity, attempts should be made to increase the yield of reaction 2.22c. Guo et al. hypothesized that larger SiO$_2$ particles tend to form Li$_4$SiO$_4$, while smaller SiO$_2$ particles form Li$_2$O [11]. However, data supporting this argument have not been found elsewhere in the literature.

Cycling stability

In addition to the high capacity, SiO$_2$ is found to have excellent cycling stability compared to Si. The cycling stability is believed to be caused by the formation of Li$_2$Si$_2$O$_5$, Li$_4$SiO$_4$ and Li$_2$O during cycling. During the initial cite a matrix with domains of the reaction products and Si is believed to be formed, which provides structural support that buffer the volume expansion of the Si [77, 78, 10, 79].

Ionic and electric conductivity

In general, SiO$_2$ has a low electric conductivity, caused by a large band gap of approximately 3.5 eV [95]. However, Zhang et al. have shown that the conductivity of SiO$_2$ may increase with the addition of Li, significantly decreasing the band gap with the formation of compounds such as Li$_x$SiO$_2$.

Whether or not SiO$_2$ materials are good ion conductors is debated. In some cases, SiO$_2$ is reported to be an ionic insulator, preventing Li$^+$ diffusion through electrodes [54]. However, other studies have pointed out that Li$^+$ diffusion in SiO$_2$ is relatively fast [93].

Furthermore, is has been suggested that some of the formation products, Li$_4$SiO$_4$ and Li$_2$Si$_2$O$_5$, may increase Li$^+$ diffusion. Especially Li$_4$SiO$_4$ has been shown to exhibit excellent ion conductivity [96]. Li$_2$Si$_2$O$_5$ has a open tunnel structure along one the crystal axis, which have been proposed to serve as an easy diffusion path for Li$^+$ [8]. However, the author have found limited other evidence supporting these claims.

2.4.3 Importance of carbon additives and carbon coatings

By studying Table 2.6, it is evident that carbon has been important in the development of SiO$_2$ anodes. Carbon is usually used in two different ways, as conductive additives in the electrode matrix, or as a coating on the SiO$_2$ particles. Carbon black (CB) and graphene
are usually used as conductive additives, while carbon precursors, such as cornstarch and sucrose, are used to form carbon coatings.

The carbon additives, such as CB, improve the conductivity of the electrode by improving particle-particle conductivity and by forming a conductive network within the electrode [97]. This network allows for easy transport of electrons from the current collector to the active material. However, by investigating the effect of both CB and carbon coatings, Yao et al. found that carbon coated SiO$_2$ showed lower charge transfer resistance than cells with equal amounts carbon, but in the form of CB [98].

The main role of the carbon coating is to reduce particle-particle resistance, improve mechanical strength against volume expansion and provide chemical stability that protects the active material against corrosion [99]. As SiO$_2$ is a relatively poor electrical conductor, carbon coating the SiO$_2$ particles might reduce the interface resistance by distributing accessible electrons around the particles, resulting in homogeneous diffusion of ions through the particle, as illustrated in Figure 2.5 [99]. There by the active area of the particle is increased. By improving the conductivity of the electrode, conversion of SiO$_2$ to Si might be improved and heat generation during charging and discharging is reduced, thereby improving the efficiency, safety and calendar life of the cell.

![Figure 2.5](image_url)

**Figure 2.5:** Schematic illustrating the effect of carbon coating. (a) Electrons are only supplied through the point of contact of carbon black. (b) Carbon coating allowing for even distribution of electrons around the entire surface of the particle. With inspiration from [99].

The wide use of carbon coatings in SiO$_2$ anodes also impose a challenge. The coating have a catalytic effect on SEI formation, and thereby increase the amount of SEI formed on the electrode. In addition, as noted by Dahn et al, different types or carbon and carbons
produced under varying processing conditions, such as pyrolysis time, might results in carbons with different capacities [37]. This makes it difficult to compare the reported capacities of different SiO_2/C or Si/SiO_2/C anodes, as the capacity contribution from carbon is rarely stated. Thus, great care must be taken when comparing results from electrodes with different types or carbon.

2.4.4 Importance of porosity

Over the last years a wide variety of nanoporous SiO_2 structures have been made, including porous nanocubes [14], porous nanospheres [85], hollow porous nanospheres [13] and nanoporous SiO_2 trees [87].

The porous structures are believed to be beneficial in multiple ways. First, the porous structure provide easy electrolyte penetration into the electrode [84]. Second, the mesoporous structure provide a short diffusion path for Li ions between the electrolyte and the active material, providing excellent rate capabilities [88, 83]. Third, the porous structure also buffers the volume change during lithiation, thereby preventing reformation of SEI during cycling.

Furthermore, it has been hypothesized that the porous structure can serve as a Li^+ surface storing cite, where Li^+ can be accommodated on the surface of the porous structure [87]. Lepoivre at al. have also hypothesized that the low conductivity of SiO_2 prevents the lithiation beyond a depth of 45 nm - 50 nm [70]. If this is the case, increase the surface area tough porosity might be vital to achieve high capacity SiO_2 anodes. However, these benefits of porosity may only be realized if the pores are larger than the volume of a solvated ion. In this case, microporous structures (2nm < ) are considered too small to readily accommodate solvated Li-ions [100]. Thus, mesoporous structures (2 nm ≥ ) should be ideal.

There are also some challenges related to porous structures. In general, the porous structure result in a quite large first cycle ICL [13]. This capacity loss is mainly attributed to the irreversible formation of compounds such as Li_2O and Li_4SiO_4 and extensive SEI formation due to the high surface area. In addition to the high capacity loss, the synthesis of porous materials require quite complex procedures and high cost precursors [8]. This could add significant cost to material production, which directly work against the initial benefit of SiO_2 being a low cost alternative.
2.4.5 Importance of size

As noted earlier, it was long believed that SiO$_2$ was unreactive towards Li in conditions suitable for battery applications. However, since Gao et al demonstrated the reactivity of 7 nm SiO$_2$ nanoparticles towards lithium, it has been believed that a sufficient small size is crucial to make SiO$_2$ electrode reactive. As noted by Gao et al., the theoretical explanation for the increased reactivity with size reduction is based on the changes in thermodynamic properties with large changes in surface to volume rations of nanoparticles [67].

A short review of the literature summarized in Table 2.6, reveals that most of the particles studied are in the size range 100 nm - 500 nm [84, 85, 14, 87, 88]. In some cases, good electrochemical performance is also reported for SiO$_2$ particles between 500 nm - 1000 nm [86, 13]. Even tough these are quite large structures, most of them have porous structures on the order of 10 nm - 100 nm. The importance of size is exemplified by the work of Lv et al., which demonstrated that milling of 200 nm - 300 nm amorphous SiO$_2$ particles significantly increase the capacity from approximately 100 mAhg$^{-1}$ to 600 mAhg$^{-1}$ [88].

2.5 SiO$_2$ from coscinodiscus diatoms

Coscinodiscus diatoms, a unicellular algae, have long been studied for different applications ranging from filtration systems to optoelectronics [101]. The main feature of interest is in the algae the shell, often called the frustule. The frustule is a highly porous three dimensional bioinorganic scaffold made of SiO$_2$.

2.5.1 Structure, morphology and the chemical composition of coscinodiscus diatoms

As seen in Figure 2.6, the frustule of Coscinodiscus diatoms consists of four main structures; foramen, areola, cribrum and cribellum. According to Losic et al., the layers have different morphology and microstructure, that roughly can be described as follows [101]:

- Cribellum: Hexagonal array of pores, with a pore size of around 45 nm.
- Cribrum: Hexagonal packed pores roughly 200 nm in diameter.
• Foramen: Inner structure consisting of large holes with a diameter around 1150 nm.

![Figure 2.6: Schematic of Coscinodiscus frustule structure [101].](image)

The frustule is formed by the mechanism of biomineralization. However, in contrast to mammals, which make biostructures such as bones of calcium carbonate, the frustules of coscinodiscus diatoms is formed by a composite of amorphous SiO$_2$ [102] and different organic components such as amino acids [103]. According to Schmid et al., the main building block of the SiO$_2$ structure is spherical SiO$_2$ units on the order of 12-30 nm, that aggregate together and form the larger frustule structure [104].

### 2.5.2 Diatoms as battery anodes

The combination of the macroporous structures of the different frustules layers, and the mesoporous structure of the spherical SiO$_2$ building blocks gives the frustules many of the desired properties described in the previous sections (section 2.4.4 and section 2.4.5). Additional benefits of the diatoms frustules are that they do not require advanced synthesis techniques/expensive precursors and that they are inherently environmentally friendly.

In 2016, Nysteen showed that carbon coated frustules from coscinodiscus diatoms cycled at 50 mAg$^{-1}$ could achieved capacities over 600 mAhg$^{-1}$ after 100 cycles [16]. However, the reported capacities did not account for the capacity from carbon, making it difficult to estimate the capacity contribution from the SiO$_2$ frustules.
2.6 Characterization techniques

The goal of this section is to give a brief introduction to some of the experimental techniques used in this work and describe some of the limitations of each technique in relation to the work in described in this report.

2.6.1 Electrochemical characterization techniques

2.6.1.1 Galvanostatic cycling

Galvanostatic cycling is a widely used electrochemical method for characterizing galvanic cells. Galvanostatic methods can easily be conducted under practical operation conditions and provides valuable insight to the capacity and reversibility of a cell[105].

In galvanostatic cycling, the working electrode is subjected to a constant current and the resulting potential is measured versus time. The current is applied until an upper voltage limit $V_2$ is reached, before the current is reversed and applied until a higher potential $V_1$ is reached.

\[
\begin{align*}
V_2 &= 2.00\, \text{V} \\
V_1 &= 0.01\, \text{V}
\end{align*}
\]

![Figure 2.7: Schematic of galvanostatic cycling. Higher cut-off potential: 2 V. Lower cut-off potential 0.01 V.](image-url)
A characteristic plot of the potential as a function of capacity can be seen in Figure 2.7. As the current is applied, the potential increase, before the potential stabilizes as an electrochemical reaction takes place. When all species around the electrode is consumed, the potential increases abruptly again. This increase will continue until a new electrochemical reaction takes place, the current is stopped or reversed. Then the cycle is repeated.

2.6.1.2 Differential capacity analysis

The first derivative of a galvanostatic curve $dQ/dV$, shown in Figure 2.8, can be used to gain insight into reactions occurring in a galvanic cell under galvanostatic cycling. The peaks in a differential capacity curves corresponds to the sharp increase in charge at voltage plateaus in galvanostatic curves, and the area under each peak corresponds to the charge related to the particular chemical reaction causing the plateaus [106]. Thus, the potentials at which peak are located can be used to determine the presence of a chemical reaction occurring at that potential. One of the main challenges of differential capacity analysis is that the presence of multiple reactions may result in convoluted peaks, where the peaks resulting from the individual reactions might be difficult to distinguish.

![Figure 2.8: Differential capacity curve of Figure 2.7](image-url)
2.6. CHARACTERIZATION TECHNIQUES

2.6.1.3 Potentiostatic electrochemical impedance spectroscopy

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) is a great tool for studying the different reactions taking place in an electrochemical system. An electrochemical system subjected to a perturbation, leads to a change in the steady state of the system, and the rate at which the system proceeds to a new state is characterized by physical parameters such as reaction rate constants, diffusion constants, charge transfer constants and double layer capacity [107]. In potentiostatic EIS, electrochemical systems are subjected to a small potential perturbation at different frequencies ($\delta E(\omega)$) and the resulting current measured. The current signal is the sum of sinusoidal currents, where each current and its phase shift represents an electrochemical process. At high frequencies, the signal is dominated by high rate electrochemical processes, such as charge transfer, and at low frequencies the signal is limited by low rate processes such as diffusion [108]. The impedance of each process is then represented by the magnitude of the current and its phases shift.

\[ \text{Figure 2.9: Schematic of equivalent circuit for battery (Randles circuit), showing the electrolyte resistance } (R_e), \text{ charge transfer resistance } (R_{ct}) \text{ and the double layer capacitance } (C_D). \]

By comparing the measurement to equivalent circuits with ideal resistors and capacitors, the resistance and capacitance of a battery can be modelled. One of the simplest equivalent circuits used for modelling batteries is Randles circuit. However, in this case surface films are excluded. The Randles circuit is an ideal representation of the electrode/electrolyte interface, illustrated by the equivalent circuit in Figure 2.9a, where $R_e$ represents the resistance of the electrolyte, $C_{DL}$ the double layer capacitance on the electrode and the Warburg impedance $W$. The Warburg impedance corresponding to the semi-infinite diffusion of charged particles [109]. Nyquist plots are a simple way of illustrating EIS data. The Nyquist plot of a Randles circuit is presented in Figure 2.10, where the resistances are identified at the intercept between the plot and the real axis.

More complicated processes can be modelled by expanding the ideal circuit in Figure 2.9.
For example, the SEI layer on an electrode surface can be modelled with the addition of a resistor and capacitor in parallel.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{nyquist_plot.png}
\caption{Schematic illustrating Nyquist plot of Randles circuit.}
\end{figure}

Double layer capacitance and surface area

The double layer capacitance ($C_{\text{dl}}$) can be obtained from a Nyquist plot by the following equation:

$$R_{\text{CT}} \cdot C_{\text{dl}} \cdot \omega_{\text{max}} = 1 \quad (2.24)$$

were $\omega_{\text{max}}$ is the frequency on the at the highest point in the semicircle in Figure 2.10 [110].

As the double layer extend about 1-10 nm from the surface of the electrode, it follows the curvature of the surface with ease, and can therefore be assumed to be proportional to the surface area of the electrode [110]. Thus, impedance measurements can be used to estimate relative changes in the surface area of an electrode through cycling by equation:

$$A \propto C_{\text{dl}} = \frac{1}{R_{\text{CT}} \cdot \omega_{\text{max}}} \quad (2.25)$$
2.6.2 Structural characterization techniques

2.6.2.1 Nitrogen adsorption and BET theory

Physisorption of an inert gas is a widely-used technique for investigating the surface area and the porosity of the material. The basic principle of physisorption is to determine how many molecules of a certain gas, usually an inert gas such as N₂, adsorb on an surface. The total surface area is then calculated based on the area on N₂ molecule. However, this technique is complicated by the fact that most gasses form multilayers, not monolayers. With the use of Brunauer Emmett and Teller (BET) theory and t-plot theory this is accounted for, and physisorption can be utilized to estimate the surface area, the type of pores present in a material system and the pore distribution.

The derivation of the BET equation is beyond the scope of this project. However, if the absorbed amount of N₂ gas on a surface as a function of the pressure is known, the BET equation can be used to calculate the volume absorbed monolayers [111].

T-plot theory can be seen as an extension of BET theory and utilizes the absorbed volume as a function of the film thickness to determine the distribution of micropores (< 2 nm) in a structure, relative to the amount of mesopores (2 nm - 50 nm ) and macropores ( > 50) (the total volume of mesopores and macropores is often called external area). These measurements utilize the fact that there is an distinct difference in the absorbed volume as a function of thickens during filling of micropores and adsorption the outer surface of the pores, as illustrated in Figure 2.11 [112].

2.6.2.2 Scanning electron microscopy

The information in the section is based on the book, "Microstructural Characterization of Materials", by David Brandon and Wayne Kaplan [113].

Scanning electron Microscopy (SEM) is a great technique for investigating the surface morphology, material composition and structure of materials. An image is produced as an electron beam raster across the surface of a sample. In this process, three main signals are produced and processed. These are secondary electrons, backscattered electrons and excited x-rays.

Secondary electrons are emitted from the sample as incident electrons from the electron beam inelastically collide with the sample. Emission of secondary electrons is highly localized around the area of the incident electron beam, and decreases exponentially
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Figure 2.11: Illustration of underlying principle of t-plot theory, illustrating the difference in adsorption volume as a function of film thickness for micropores and outer surfaces. With alterations from [112].

with penetration depth. In addition, the probability of a secondary electron escaping the surface of the sample is highly dependent on the curvature of the sample and the angle of the incident electron probe. This makes secondary electrons ideal for characterizing surface morphology.

The fraction of the incident high electron energies which are scattered by angles more than $\pi$ degrees are called backscattered electrons. The fraction of backscattered electrons is highly dependent on the atomic number of the specimens in the sample, increasing with increasing atomic number of the sample. Thus, backscattered electrons provide excellent contrast between phases in samples with different atomic numbers. The volume at which backscattered electrons are emitted from is much greater than that of secondary electrons, limiting the potential resolution.

2.6.2.3 Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy

As secondary electrons are produced, electrons in ionized atoms will transition from a higher orbitals to the vacant orbital caused by the secondary electron. These transi-
tions are accompanied by the emission of photons which lies in the x-ray region of the electromagnetic spectrum. The X-ray spectrum emitted constitutes a fingerprint of the chemical elements present in the sample. This spectrum can then be utilized to identify elements present in the sample and their spatial distribution and the technique is often referred to as energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX).

2.6.2.4 Focused ion beam

Focused ion beam (FIB) systems is a powerful tool for direct nano-scale deposition and material removal. Today, most FIB system comes in dual-beam configurations, were a SEM and FIB can be operated in the same chamber, allowing for detailed characterization and manipulation of materials. In a FIB the ion beam is created by heating a reservoir of ions, usually gallium ions, to near evaporation temperature over a tungsten tips, and then accelerate the ions by an electric field, causing them evaporate and accelerate down the column [114].

The challenge of used a FIB to manipulate matter, is that the interactions with the ions beam and sample might significantly change the morphology of the material [115]. Thus, characterization of surfaces manipulated by an ion beams must be interpreted with great care.

2.7 Notes on full cells and half cells

Most research on battery materials is done in a half cell configuration, where Li-metal is used as a counter electrode. The benefits of using half cells is twofold. First, the use of the same counter electrode makes it simple to compare results in the literature. Second, the lithium metal provides a near limitless source of Li$^+$ to the system. However, the use of half cell have some other implications that should be noted.

Due to the low standard reduction potential of Li-metal, most anodes operate as a cathode in a half cell configuration. This is also the case for SiO$_2$ anodes. Nevertheless, throughout this work, materials that operate as an anode in a full cell configuration, will also be referred to as anodes in a half cell configuration. Moreover, this fact makes it necessary
to redefine some of the metrics defined in section 2.1.3 in the context of half cells.

\[ CE = 100 \cdot \frac{Q_{\text{ch}}}{Q_{\text{dis}}} \quad (2.26) \]

\[ ICL = Q_{\text{dis}} - Q_{\text{ch}} \quad (2.27) \]

\[ CCL = \sum_k Q_{\text{dis}} - Q_{\text{ch}} \quad (2.28) \]

The use of half cells also have some limitations that there are crucial to be aware of. First of all, the abundance of \( \text{Li}^+ \) in half cells is unrealistic in the context of full cells, as there is a limited availability of \( \text{Li}^+ \) in full cells. Thus, in a full cell, any consumption of \( \text{Li}^+ \) might lower the capacity of the cell, due to the reduces availability of \( \text{Li}^+ \). However, the challenge of \( \text{Li}^+ \) depletion could be hampered by pre-lithiation techniques such as electrochemical lithiation, chemical lithiation and the use of sacrificial electrodes [116].

The use of half cells also limits the study of compatibility between anode materials, cathode materials and electrolytes. This is exemplified by the electrolyte additive FEC, an electrolyte additive often used when studying Si anodes in half cell. However, in full cell test, some potential negative effect of FEC have been discovered [58]. Thus, it is not clear if FEC may be used in practice. This example serves to illustrate the importance of having an holistic approach when researching new battery materials.
3.1 Overview

This section gives a detailed description of the experimental procedures, equipment and experimental techniques used in this work. The experimental work consisted of four main steps. In the first step, the individual components of the electrode slurry were prepared. In the second step, the different components were mixed and the slurry was cast on to a current collectors. In the third step, electrolytes were prepared and coin cells, as well as three-electrode cells were assembled. The final step involved electrochemical and structural characterization of the electrodes before and after cycling. Due to the limited literature available on the use of SiO$_2$ from diatom frustules as an anode material and to ease the reproducibility, this experimental section has deliberately been written quite detailed.

The experimental work was divided into two phases. In phase 1, initial trails was conducted to explore the effect of variables such as carbon coatings, carbon additives, electrolyte additives and size reduction of the SiO$_2$ by milling. In addition, two capacity enhancing techniques were explored. The preparation of SiO$_2$/Si composite and electrochemical reduction of SiO$_2$. The capacity contribution from carbon coatings and carbon additives was also investigated.

In phase 2, electrochemical reduction was further investigated as a capacity enhancing technique. To ensure some degree of statistical significance, the galvanostatic cycling of all materials systems were conducted two times. To reduce uncertainty, both cells had the same loading of active material.
3.2 Preparation of the active material

The SiO$_2$ used in this project was derived from diatom frustules. The diatoms was supplied from Planktonic AS, delivered as a mixture of sea water, algae and other impurities. The SiO$_2$ was extracted from this mixture by a combination of washing and calcination. The role of the washing step was to remove any water soluble inorganic substances such as NaCl, and calcination was conducted to remove organic impurities.

The main processing steps used for purification (section 3.2.1) and carbon coating of the SiO$_2$ (section 3.2.3) is based on the experimental process done by Nysteen in 2016 [16].

3.2.1 Extraction of SiO$_2$ from diatom algae

The SiO$_2$ frustules were extracted from the diatom algae according to the following scheme [16]:

![Diagram showing the process of extracting SiO$_2$ from diatom algae.]

1. As received diatoms was left in a beaker for 1 h for the oil, water and diatoms to separate. Oil and water was poured off, and the algae separated from the remaining water with a 36 um mesh sieve. The diatoms were then dried for 24 h at 90 °C.

2. The dried diatoms were rinsed under running water and added to a beaker of deionized water (DI-water). The mass ratio of diatoms to water was 1:100.

Figure 3.1: Schematic of the process adopted to extract the SiO$_2$ frustules from the algae. Images showing the algae; a) as received, b) dried, c) washed, d) calcined.
3. The beaker was heated on a hotplate to 130 °C and stirred with a magnetic stirrer at 500 rpm for two hours.

4. A sieve with a 36 um mesh was used to separate the diatoms and the water, before fresh DI-water was added to the beaker. The mass ratio of diatoms to water was kept at 1:100.

5. The beaker was then sonicated for 30 min in an ultrasonic bath.

6. The diatoms were washed under flowing DI-water for five minutes. Finally, the diatoms were dried in a drying cabinet at 90 °C for 24 h, before the temperature was increased to 150 °C for 24 h. This approach was adopted to prevent the excess water from boiling.

7. The diatoms were calcined at 650 °C for 2 hours in flowing synthetic air atmosphere (80 Lh⁻¹) to remove any remaining organic compounds, forming pure nanostructured SiO₂.

8. XRD, Laser Diffraction and SEM were utilized to characterize the phase purity and morphology of the frustules SiO₂. The use of these characterization techniques are described in more detail in section 3.3.3 and section 3.8.

3.2.2 Milling

Planetary milling (PM100, Retsch) was conducted in a stainless-steel vile (50 ml) with one ball (4g, 10mm diameter) and a 5:1 ball to powder ratio. The SiO₂ powder was milled for 10 min at 600rpm. The process was repeated 4 times with a 5 min break between each interval to allow the system to cool down. To improve the homogeneity of the milling, the rotary direction was alternated between each run.

3.2.3 Carbon coating of SiO₂

The carbon coating on the SiO₂ was prepared as follows [16]. An overview of the exact amount of SiO₂, carbon precursor and solvent used can be found in the Appendix section A1.1.1.

1. 20 wt% SiO₂ and 80 wt% carbon precursor was wet mixed. Both corn starch (Corn Starch,1kg, Roth) and sucrose (Sucrose, 1 kg, Sigma Aldrich) was used as a precursor. The slurry was wet mixed in ethanol at 300 rpm, and evaporated at 40
°C for 1-2 h until the solvent had evaporated. In the case of sucrose, ethanol was replaced by DI-water and the slurry evaporated at 80 °C.

2. The dried slurry was transferred into an Al₂O₃ crucible and pyrolysed at 650 °C in a flowing argon atmosphere (80 Lh⁻¹). The pyrolysis time was 2 hours for the corn starch precursor and 6 hours for the sucrose precursor.

3.2.4 Preparation of the alginate binder

The alginate binder was prepared according to the following procedure and not kept for longer than 5 days.

1. Sodium alginate (Na-Alg, 100 g, Sigma Aldrich) and DI-water was mixed at a mass ratio of 1:60 in a beaker.

2. The beaker was place on a hot plate, the temperature set to 70 °C and stirred for 4 hours at 500 rpm with a magnetic stirrer.

3.3 Characterization of the active material

3.3.1 Laser diffraction

To evaluate the size of the diatoms, laser scattering (Partica Analyser La-960, Horiba) analysis was conducted. The measurement was conducted in wet mode, by using a suspension made of 0.03 g of SiO₂ in 4 g isopropanol (IPA). To prevent agglomeration, the suspension was sonicated for 30 min before the analysis was conducted. Following sonication, the suspension was added to the Particia by a pipette until the transmission dropped below 95 %. Before measurements were conducted, the de-bobbling function of the Particia were used. The measurements were repeated three times for statistical significance. Data processing was done with 1.450 and 1.378 as the real part of the refractive index of SiO₂ (Quarts) and IPA.

To confirm that most of the agglomerates were dissolved by the sonication step before the measurement, an additional 5 min of sonication was conducted in the Particia after the initial measurements. Following the extra sonication step, measurements were conducted once more to confirm that the additional sonication did not change the size distribution significantly.
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3.3.2 Thermal gravimetric analysis

Thermogravimetric analysis (STA 449C Thermal analysis system, Netzch) was conducted to determine the gravimetric content of carbon in the active material. The active material was placed in an Al₂O₃ crucible until the crucible was half full. The following program was used:

- Temperature ramp from 26 °C to 100 °C at 10 °Cmin⁻¹.
- Hold at 1000 °C for 15 min.
- Temperature ramp from 1000 °C to 26 °C at 10 °Cmin⁻¹.

The hold step was to confirm that no mass loss was taking place at this point.

3.3.3 X-ray diffraction

X-ray powder diffractograms (XRD) (D8 Advanced DaVinci X-Ray Diffractometer, CuKα radiation, Bruker) of the calcined SiO₂ diatoms were performed to identify any crystalline impurities in the samples. XRD samples were prepared by mixing 0.05 g SiO₂ and 1.5 IPA. The mix was then added to a silicon sample holder and the IPA evaporated.

The scan was conducted between 5° - 75° at 1.167 °min⁻¹ and a fixed slit of 6 mm. The low scanning rate was chosen to increase the intensity from possible crystalline impurities at low concentrations.

3.3.4 Nitrogen adsorption measurements

The surface area of the active material was measured using nitrogen adsorption (Tristar 3000 Surface Area and Porosity Analyser), according to Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) theory and t-plot theory. The measurements were carried out in liquid nitrogen (-195.85 °C). The samples were degassed under vacuum at 250 °C for a minimum of 12 h.
3.4 Slurries and electrode casting

Electrode slurries were prepared by mixing different compositions of an active material, alginate binder and a conductive additives (CB (C-Nergy C65, Timcal) or CNT (MWCNT, >95%, Sigma Aldrich). The slurry was mixed by ball milling (NM 400 mill, Retsch) at 25 Hz for 45 min.

After mixing, the slurry was cast onto a copper current collector (Plainstainproof, 10 um thick, Circuit Foil) using a tape caster (model K101, The K control coater), and a coating head calibrated to give a 40 um thick wet film. To remove excess water, the cast was left if a fume hood until the cast appeared dry, before it was placed in a vacuum oven (VD23, Binder) for overnight drying at 90 °C. Following drying, 16 mm diameter electrodes were punched from the cast, weighted and stored for future coin cell assembly. Electrode loadings can be found in the Appendix section A3.

Three types of casts were made; cast with SiO$_2$ as the main active material, SiO$_2$/Si (Silgrain e-Si 400, Elkem) composites and cast only containing carbon. An overview of the composition of all casts prepared is presented in Table 3.1. A detailed overview of the actual amount used of the different components in each cast, is given in the Appendix section A1.1.

The nomenclature of the casts are given according to the following algorithm. The letters before the hyphen describe the active material. P denotes pristine/uncoated SiO$_2$, C denotes SiO$_2$ carbon coated by a cornstarch precursor, S denotes SiO$_2$ carbon coated by a sucrose precursor and M denotes that the SiO$_2$ is milled. For the SiO$_2$/Si composites, the number behind Si denotes the wt % Si of the active material. For carbon casts, CD, SD and CB denotes, "cornstarch dummy", "sucrose dummy" and carbon black. The number and letter following the hyphen describe the carbon additive and the amount used. additives and the additive used. A further exploration on the naming convention used for electrodes and cast if presented in section 3.9.

Note on the used of binder

Alginate was used as the binder in all casts with the exception of CD and Si50M. Alginate was initially deemed insufficient as a binder for the carbon casts, thus PVDF was used for CD. However, later experimentation showed that alginate also could be used for the carbon cells. Alginate was therefore later used for the SD and CB cast. In the case of Si50M, CMC was used. This cast is describe in more detail in the Appendix section A7,
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as it was prepared in collaboration with Kaland.

Table 3.1: Overview of casts prepared in this work, their composition and the name used to refer to the individual casts. Alginate was used as the binder in all cells with exception of CD and Si50M, where PVDF and CMC was used respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nomenclature</th>
<th>Active material</th>
<th>Conductive additive</th>
<th>Binder</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂ casts:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-25CB</td>
<td>60 % Pristin SiO₂</td>
<td>25 % CB</td>
<td>15 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-25CB</td>
<td>60 % Cornstarch coated SiO₂</td>
<td>25 % CB</td>
<td>15 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-25CB</td>
<td>60 % Sucrose coated SiO₂</td>
<td>25 % CB</td>
<td>15 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-35CB</td>
<td>50 % Pristine SiO₂</td>
<td>35 % CB</td>
<td>15 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-35CBCNT</td>
<td>50 % Pristine SiO₂</td>
<td>17.5 % CB + 17.5 % CNT</td>
<td>15 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-35CB</td>
<td>50 % Milled pristine SiO₂</td>
<td>35 % CB</td>
<td>15 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM-35CB</td>
<td>50 % Sucrose coated milled SiO₂</td>
<td>35 % CB</td>
<td>15 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂/Si casts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si25</td>
<td>15 % Si + 45 % Cornstarch coated SiO₂</td>
<td>25 % CB</td>
<td>15 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si50</td>
<td>30 % Si + 30 % Cornstarch coated SiO₂</td>
<td>25 % CB</td>
<td>15 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si75</td>
<td>45 % Si + 15 % Cornstarch coated SiO₂</td>
<td>25 % CB</td>
<td>15 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si50M</td>
<td>25 % Si + 25 % Milled pristine SiO₂</td>
<td>17.5 % CB + 17.5 % CNT</td>
<td>15 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon casts:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>60 % Pyrolysed Cornstarch</td>
<td>25 % CB</td>
<td>15 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>60 % Pyrolysed Sucrose</td>
<td>25 % CB</td>
<td>15 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB</td>
<td>100 % CB</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.5 Electrolyte preparation

Two electrolytes were used in this project. A 1 M LiPF₆ in 50:50 vol% EC:DEC electrolyte (1 M LiPF₆ EC:DEC 50/50 vol%, 100 ml, Sigma Aldrich) and an electrolyte with FEC and VC as additives was prepared, with the volume ratio 94:5:1 vol %.

The electrolyte with FEC and VC was prepared by mixing the commercial electrolyte, FEC (≥ 99.7 %, 25 g, Sigma Aldrich) and VC (Vinylene Carbonate, 2% bht, ≥ 97%, 5g, Sigma Aldrich) by the volume ratio, 94:5:1 vol%. 
The electrolyte preparation was conducted in a glove box (Labmaster SP, MBraun) under argon atmosphere, with H$_2$O and O$_2$ levels below 0.1 ppm. The different components were mixed together in a polytetrafluoroethylene coated aluminium flask, and stirred overnight. An overview of the exact volumes used are presented in the Appendix section A1.2. From this point out, EC will denote the commercial electrolyte, while FEC denote the electrolyte with FEC and VC as additives.

**Table 3.2**: Overview of electrolytes prepared.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nomenclature</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>Composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>100 vol %</td>
<td>1 M LiPF$_6$ EC:DEC 50/50 vol%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEC</td>
<td>94:5:1 vol%</td>
<td>$\sim$ 0.94 M LiPF$_6$ EC:DEC 50/50 vol%, FEC, VC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.6 Cell assembly

Two types of electrochemical cells were assembled, coin cells and three-electrode cells. All cells were assembled as half cell, with the electrodes from the casts described in section 3.4 as working electrodes (We) and lithium foils as the counter electrode (Ce).

#### 3.6.1 Coin cells

Coin cell parts were supplied by Hohsen Corp (CR2016/SUS316L, 20.25 mm diameter and 1.6 mm high). The general schematic of the cell and the different components can be seen in Figure 3.2. A full overview of all cells assembled can be seen in the Appendix section A3.

The 16 mm diameter anodes were placed in the bottom of the coin cell, 20 uL electrolyte added on the top of the anode and a separator (Celgard 2400, 20 um thick, Celgard) placed on the top of the anode, followed by 20 uL more electrolyte added on the top of the separator. A 14 mm diameter Li metal counter electrode was punch out from a Li-foil (99.9 %, 0.75 mm thick, Alfa Aesar), brushed on both sides to remove any surface films and placed on top of the separator, followed by a stainless-steel spacer (CR 2016, 0.3 mm thick, Hohsen corp). Finally, the cell cap with a plastic gasket was placed on top and the cell hermetically sealed using a crimping machine (Automatic crimping machine, Hohsen Corp).

---

1 Molarity calculated based on ideal mixing of solvents.
Figure 3.2: Schematic of coin cell and the order of the different cell components. With modifications from [117].

### 3.6.2 Three-electrode cells

For the three-electrode cell, ECC-REF (Figure 3.3) from EL-CELL, a 14 mm diameter Li metal counter electrode was punch from a Li-foil (99.9 %, 0.75 mm thick, Alfa Aesar), brushed on both sides to remove any surface films and placed in the cell base. Following the Li counter electrode, a thin piece of Li metal was rolled together and placed in the feed through hole of the sleeve, before the reference electrode pin was screwed in placed. A glass fiber separator (1.55 mm thick, EL-Cell) was place on top of the counter electrode, before 500 uL electrolyte was added. The WE electrode was then placed on top of the separator. Finally, the piston and compressive spring was placed on top of the electrode, before the lid was put in place and the cell placed in the bracket and tighten.

### 3.7 Electrochemical characterization

Before characterization, all cells were rested for 12 h to allow the electrolyte to penetrate the electrode. Moreover, the health of all cells was probed with EIS. Any cell with a high frequency resistance over 5 ohm was discarded.

The coin cells were cycled galvanostatically using two galvanostats: 1) LANHE CT2001A and 2) BioLogic BCS 805. The three-electrode cells were cycled on a BioLogic VMP3.
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Figure 3.3: Schematic of three-electrode cell and the different components. With modifications from [118].

After the cycling, a selection of the coin cells was disassembled and the working electrodes collected for post-mortem SEM characterization.

In phase 1, only one cell from each material system with SiO_2 was cycled. In the case of the carbon cells, three cells were cycle for statistical significance. In phase 2, three cells of the reference were cycled. However, due to limitations on the equipment capable of conducting the electrochemical reduction step, only two cells were cycled with this program.

3.7.1 Galvanostatic cycling

To investigate the electrochemical behaviour of the cells, five different programs were used. Three programs involved galvanostatic cycling between two limiting potentials at a constant current. In addition, an electrochemical reduction program was used, which included a potentiostatic hold step at 0.002 V for a given time, followed by galvanostatic cycling. Finally, a rate capability program was used to probe the rate performance of the cells at higher currents. A formation cycle at 50 mAg\(^{-1}\) was used in all programs, with the exception of Si50M, were a formation cycle of 200 mAg\(^{-1}\) was used.

The electrochemical reduction program involved a hold step at 0.002 V in the second cycle. Three different time intervals were used in the hold step; 12 h, 48 h, and 132 h.

The rate capability tests were only conducted on cells from phase 2, which had been
subjected to a 48 h electrochemical reduction step and completed 50 cycles. This, to allow for conversion of SiO\textsubscript{2} to Si before testing.

Differential capacity analysis was conducted on the data retrieved from the BioLogic BCS galvanostat and calculated with the BT lab software supplied by Biologic. The calculation was done with a resolution (\(\Delta E\)) of 10 mV.

An overview of all programs are presented in Table 3.3. The following nomenclature will be used in the report; L denotes regular galvanostatic cycling between 0.01 V - 2.00 V. L3 denote galvanostatic cycling between 0.01 - 2.00 V. L1 denotes galvanostatic cycling between 0.05 V - 1.00 V. H\textsubscript{x} (for \(x = 12, 48, 132\)) denotes the electrochemical reduction program. Where \(x\) represents the time the electrode was held at 0.002 V.

Table 3.3: Overview of cycling programs used in this project. GS and PS denotes galvanostatic and potentiostatic respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nomenclature</th>
<th>Current density ([\text{mA g}^{-1}])</th>
<th>Potential window ([\text{V}]) vs Li/Li\textsuperscript{+}</th>
<th>GS/PS</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formation cycle 1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.01 - 2.00</td>
<td>GS</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formation cycle 2</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.05 - 1.00</td>
<td>GS</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-1V (L1)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.05 - 1.00</td>
<td>GS</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-2V (L)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.01 - 2.00</td>
<td>GS</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-3V (L3)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.00 - 3.00</td>
<td>GS</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hold (Hx)</td>
<td>a) 50</td>
<td>2.00 - 0.002</td>
<td>GS</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) *</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>12 h, 48 h and 132 h tested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c) 50</td>
<td>0.002 - 2.00</td>
<td>GS</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d) 200</td>
<td>2.00 - 0.01, 0.01 - 2.00</td>
<td>GC</td>
<td>Step d) repeated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate</td>
<td>100, 200, 300, 500, 1000, 2000</td>
<td>0.01 - 2</td>
<td>GC</td>
<td>Conducted after 50 cycles.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.7.2 Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy

EIS was conducted on a BioLogic VMP3 multichannel potentiostat. Two different programs were applied:

- EIS 1: Frequency range: 500 000 000 Hz - 1 Hz. Amplitude: 10.0 mV.
- EIS 2: Frequency range: 500 000 000 Hz - 0.01 Hz. Amplitude: 10.0 mV.

EIS 1 was mainly used to verify the health of individual cells before cycling.

In order to explore the effect of SiO$_2$ conversion to Si on the impedance of the electrode, EIS was carried out in combination with an electrochemical reduction program. EIS was conducted before cycling (EIS 1), after the formation cycle (EIS 2), after the electrochemical reduction step (EIS 2) and after 10 cycles (EIS 2), in both lithiated and delithiated state.

3.8 Structural characterization

Post mortem characterization was conducted on selected electrodes. The electrodes were collected by disassembling coin cells in a glove box, and rinsed in DMC before they were dried. Afterwards they were stored in a clean room (ISO7, NTNU Nanolab), to limit contamination.

Scanning electron microscopy

Two SEMs were used for structural characterization. An in-lens S(T)EM (S-5500, Hitachi) was used to obtain high resolution micrographs of the SiO$_2$ frustules. As this is an in-lens system, no specific working distance is given. The acceleration voltage was 2 kV.

In addition, a field emission SEM (Apreon, FEI) was used to obtain micrographs of the electrodes before and after cycling. The micrographs were captured at a working distance of 2 mm. The acceleration voltage was 2-5 kV. A range of potentials had to be used as the electrodes had different conductivity after cycling. The EDX (Xmaz 80 mm2, Oxford Instruments) analysis was conducted on the Apreon SEM at a 10 mm working distance, and a 5 kV acceleration voltage.
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**FIB cross-section analysis**

FIB cross-section analysis was conducted with a dual beam FIB (Helios NanoLab Dual-Beam FIB, FEI). The FIB was used to make cross section samples of the electrodes. The cross section was obtained with a gallium ion beam. First, a square was cut with a high beam current at 9.3 nA. Finally, a low current, 0.46 nA was used to ”polish” the surface of the cross section. This was done in an attempt to limit the effect of the ion beam on the morphology of the material.

**3.9 Report terminology and conventions**

- **Reference for potentials:**
  All potentials are hereafter given vs Li/Li$^+$ unless otherwise stated.

- **Use of the word active material:**
  Active material will in this work describe all material in the electrode which is not the binder or conductive additives, i.e. in cells with SiO$_2$, the active material is defined as the the amount of SiO$_2$ or the amount of the carbon coated SiO$_2$. In carbon cells, the active material is defined as the total amount carbon tested for. Therefore, in cells with pyrolyzed carbon, the CB is not counted as an active material.

- **Use of the word capacity:**
  The word capacity will unless otherwise stated refer to the specific charge capacity of a cell (the capacity during delithiation). The specific charge capacity reported is normalized to the active material in the cell. Thus, the weight of the binder and the conductive additives is not accounted for.

- **Use of the word frustules and SiO$_2$:**
  The word frustules will be used to describe the SiO$_2$ frustules before it is added to an electrode cast. At this point, the SiO$_2$ frustules will simply be referred to as SiO$_2$. 
- **Naming convention for casts and cells:**

  The naming convention for casts and cells used in this work is illustrated in Figure 3.4. The two first section combined describe a given cast (active material + conductive additive). The three first sections combined describe a cell (active material + conductive additive + electrolyte). The four sections combined describe a cell and the experimental program the cell has been subjected to.

![Diagram illustrating naming convention for electrodes and cells](image.png)

**Figure 3.4:** Illustration of naming convention for electrodes and cells used in this work.
Chapter 4: Results

4.1 Overview

The results in this chapter are divided into three main parts. First, the results from the characterization of the purified diatoms and the active material are presented. The goal of this part is to provide insight into the nature of the extracted SiO$_2$ frustules. This section include SEM micrographs and XRD analysis of the processed diatoms, and laser diffraction, BET and TGA analysis of the active material used. This part is followed by electrochemical characterization of the SiO$_2$ anodes by galvanostatic cycling and EIS, aiming to determine the electrochemical performance of the SiO$_2$ anodes. Finally, post mortem analysis is conducted by SEM, FIB-cross section and EDX. This is done in an effort to better understand the electrochemical results.

4.2 Characterization of extracted SiO$_2$ and active material

4.2.1 Crystallinity

XRD diffractograms of the extracted SiO$_2$ and the carbon coated SiO$_2$ in Figure 4.1 indicates that no crystalline phases are present in the sample. The broad peak centered at 22° is associated with amorphous SiO$_2$ [88, 119]. Thus, both the extracted SiO$_2$ and the carbon coating appears to be amorphous. XRD diffractograms of all batches prepared in this work can be found in the Appendix A2.1.
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Figure 4.1: XRD diffractogram of pristine SiO$_2$ and carbon coated SiO$_2$ extracted from diatom frustules.

4.2.2 Microstructure and morphology

The microstructure and morphology of the extracted diatoms can be seen in Figure 4.2a, 4.2b. A close-up view of the surface in Figure 4.2b, can be seen in Figure 4.2c. Figure 4.2d show a high resolution micrograph of the porous surface of a frustule layer. A comparison with the AFM study by Losic et al, indicates that a large fraction of the structures are of the species coscinodiscus [101].

The main structures of the frustules appear to be in the range of 50 nm - 3 um, which is in agreement with the literature [101]. In Figure 4.2d, even smaller structures at the sub 50 nm level can be seen. These structures may be the fundamental building blocks of silica described by Schmid et al [104]. Even though the microstructures of the diatom frustules are quite small, the whole frustules (i.e. whole pieces of frustule) can be seen to be at the order of tens of microns. Additional micrographs of the different frustules layers and their features are presented in the Appendix section A5.
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Figure 4.2: SEM micrographs of the diatom frustules. (a) Overview of multiple frustule layers, (b) cross section of frustule, (c) surface of frustule in (b), (d) high resolution micrograph of frustule surface.

4.2.3 Particel size distribution

The particle size distributions of the milled and unmilled SiO₂ determined by laser diffraction can be seen in Figure 4.3. The mean and the median size, calculated on a numbers basis, are presented in Table 4.1. These calculations were conducted on the basis of three subsequent measurements for statistical significance. The results from all measurements are found in the Appendix section A2.2.

The size distribution (Figure 4.3) demonstrates a significant size reduction by milling. The median of the SiO₂ was reduced from $40.00 \pm 2.016 \ \mu m$ to $3.086 \pm 0.1783 \ \mu m$. The milling also result in a bimodal size distribution, with the peak intensity of the two size distributions at $0.445 \ \mu m$ and $5.87 \ \mu m$. 
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Figure 4.3: Size distribution of milled and unmilled SiO$_2$ by laser diffraction.

Table 4.1: Overview of the mean, median and the standard deviation of the laser diffraction measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Median [um]</th>
<th>Mean [um]</th>
<th>Std [um]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>40.00 ± 2.015</td>
<td>51.29 ± 4.9854</td>
<td>38.2300 ± 7.307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milled SiO$_2$</td>
<td>3.086 ± 0.1783</td>
<td>3.379 ± 0.1687</td>
<td>3.402 ± 0.2432</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the observation made by SEM (Figure 4.2) and laser diffraction (Table 4.1), the features on the frustules may be divided into three different size ranges.

- Macro structure: The size of a frustule particle.
- Microstructure: The size of the main features on a frustule particle.
- Nanostructure: The size of smaller building blocks that make up the frustules.

The laser diffraction indicate that the macrostructure of the frustules has a median size of 40.00 ± 38.23 um before milling, reduced to 3.086 ± 0.1783 by milling. The SEM micrographs (Figure 4.2) show the microstructures of frustules to be in the range of 50 nm - 3 um, and the nanostructures (Figure 4.2) on is on the order of <50 nm.
4.2.4 Surface area and porosity

Based on the nitrogen adsorption data, the BET surface area and the t-plot areas (micropore area and external area) were calculated. The data is presented in Figure 4.4 and Table 4.2. The BET surface area of the SiO$_2$ was 8.19 m$^2$g$^{-1}$, where 4.47 m$^2$g$^{-1}$ resulted from micropores and 3.48 m$^2$g$^{-1}$ from external area (mesopores and macropores). Milling of the SiO$_2$ increased the BET surface area to 17.2 m$^2$g$^{-1}$ and this increase was almost exclusively in external area.

The carbon coated SiO$_2$ shows a significant increase in BET surface area, ranging from 168 m$^2$g$^{-1}$ to 289 m$^2$g$^{-1}$ for cornstarch and sucrose precursors respectively. Furthermore, the increase in surface area results from an increase in both micropores and external area (Figure 4.4).

Figure 4.4: Blue bar indicates the surface area of micropores. Red bar indicates the surface area of external area (mesopores and macropores). Sum of the blue and the red bar equals the BET surface area.
Table 4.2: BET surface area and t-plot micropore/external of SiO2 calculated from nitrogen adsorption data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>BET surface area [m²g⁻¹]</th>
<th>t-plot micropore area [m²g⁻¹]</th>
<th>t-plot external area [m²g⁻¹]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P SiO₂</td>
<td>8.19</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>3.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM SiO₂</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C SiO₂</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S SiO₂</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>67.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM SiO₂</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>47.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.5 Thermogravimetric analysis

Thermogravimetric curves of the carbon coated diatoms are presented in Figure 4.5. The cornstarch coated SiO₂ experience a sharp mass loss at 510 °C, that continues until 584 °C, after which the mass loss is negligible. The sucrose coated SiO₂ experience a more gradual mass loss from 580 °C to 1000 °C. The carbon content of the different active materials was calculated by evaluating the mass loss upon heating, and is presented in Table 4.3.

![Figure 4.5](image-url)
Table 4.3: Calculated carbon content of the silica with different carbon coatings. Calculation based on thermogravimetric curves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Carbon content [wt%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cornstarch coated SiO₂</td>
<td>40.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose coated SiO₂</td>
<td>46.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3 Electrochemical characterization

4.3.1 Carbon cells

The average capacity of the carbon cells is presented in Figure 4.6, with the error bar indicating 1 standard deviation. Over the first cycles the capacity dropped in all cells before stabilizing around cycle 20. At cycle 20, the capacity is $560 \text{ mAh}_g \pm 92.0 \text{ mAh}_g^{-1}$, $286 \pm 36.3 \text{ mAh}_g^{-1}$ and $274 \text{ mAh}_g^{-1} \pm 1.98 \text{ mAh}_g^{-1}$ for pyrolyzed sucrose, pyrolyzed cornstarch and CB respectively. Both pyrolyzed sucrose and pyrolyzed cornstarch show large variations in the dataset, indicated by the large standard deviation. Table 4.4 presents coulombic efficiency of the carbon cells.
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Figure 4.6: Specific charge capacity of all carbon cells. Error bar indicates one standard deviation. All cells cycled between 0.01 V - 2.00 V vs Li/Li\(^+\). First cycle at 50 mAhg\(^{-1}\) and subsequent cycles at 200 mAhg\(^{-1}\).

Table 4.4: Average coulombic efficiency of carbon cells, ± 1 standard deviation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycle</th>
<th>CB</th>
<th>CD</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coulombic Efficiency [%]</td>
<td>Coulombic Efficiency [%]</td>
<td>Coulombic Efficiency [%]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>58.47 ± 16.29</td>
<td>61.13 ± 14.8525</td>
<td>56.59 ± 8.552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>91.58 ± 0.7686</td>
<td>92.00 ± 6.928</td>
<td>86.66 ± 0.6104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>94.33 ± 0.3102</td>
<td>97.81 ± 2.926</td>
<td>96.89 ± 0.2950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>96.93 ± 0.1150</td>
<td>99.79 ± 1.911</td>
<td>99.32 ± 0.8430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>97.93 ± 0.0473</td>
<td>99.79 ± 1.911</td>
<td>99.32 ± 0.8430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>98.88 ± 0.0351</td>
<td>99.74 ± 0.9623</td>
<td>99.05 ± 0.2138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>99.14 ± 0.1343</td>
<td>99.97 ± 0.3660</td>
<td>99.73 ± 0.0872</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimated capacity contribution from carbon

In Table 4.5, the estimated capacity contribution from carbon for a selection of cells is presented. The estimates were made based on the wt % of pyrolysed sucrose, pyrolysed
cornstarch and CB in each cell and the average capacity of the respective carbons at cycle 20.

**Table 4.5:** Estimated capacity contribution of carbon in different electrodes. Horizontally the carbon contribution from different carbons at a certain wt % is given. The total capacity contribution from carbon in each electrode is given in the last column to the right.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cast</th>
<th>25 wt% CB [mAhg$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>35 wt% CB [mAhg$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>50 wt% C [mAhg$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>50 wt% S [mAhg$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>60 wt% S [mAhg$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>Total [mAhg$^{-1}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-25CB</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-35CB</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>95.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-35CB</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-25CB</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM-35CB</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.3.2 Phase 1: Initial screening of SiO$_2$ derived from diatom frustules

#### 4.3.2.1 Capacity curves

Two general observation can be made of all cells with SiO$_2$. First, cells with SiO$_2$ exhibited a high first cycle capacity and a subsequent decrease in the charge capacity at the second cycle. Second, the capacity is found to increase with cycle number in most cells. Based on these observations, the capacity of the 2nd cycle will be used as the initial capacity of the cells. Moreover, the capacity increase of a cell is given by the difference in capacity between cycle 100 and cycle 2.

Figure 4.7a presents all cells with pristine SiO$_2$ as the active material. The initial capacity is observed to be between 140 mAhg$^{-1}$ and 165 mAhg$^{-1}$. The largest capacity observed after 100 cycles is in PM-35CB-EC/L, and the lowest in P-35CBCNT-EC/L, at 186 mAhg$^{-1}$ and 161 mAhg$^{-1}$ respectively.

Cells with carbon coated SiO$_2$ as the active material exhibit higher capacity than cells with pristine SiO$_2$. Figure 4.7b, show that the initial capacity is in the range of 181 mAhg$^{-1}$ to 328 mAhg$^{-1}$, and between 185 mAhg$^{-1}$ and 409 mAhg$^{-1}$ after 100 cycles. The highest initial and final capacity was observed for SM-35CB-EC/L. Limited increase
in capacity was experienced in cells with unmilled SiO$_2$. However, the cell with milled SiO$_2$, SM-35CB-EC/L, experienced an increase of 80 mAh g$^{-1}$ over the first 100 cycles.

The two electrolytes were tested on four different electrodes, two with pristine SiO$_2$ (P-35CB and PM-35CB) and two with carbon coated SiO$_2$ (S-25CB, SM-35CB). In Figure 4.7c, the capacity of cells with PM-35CB and SM-35CB electrodes cycled in the two electrolytes are presented. PM-35CB experienced an increase in initial capacity from 162 mAh g$^{-1}$ to 181 mAh g$^{-1}$ with the FEC electrolyte (PM-35CB-FEC/L) compared to EC (PM-35CB-EC/L). Moreover, a larger increase in capacity with cycle number can also be observed in PM-35CB-FEC/L, relative to PM-35CB-EC/L. In the case of SM-25CB, the opposite trend is observed, with an initial capacity of the cell with FEC (SM-35CB-FEC/L) and EC (SM-35CB-EC/L) at 241 mAh g$^{-1}$ and 328 mAh g$^{-1}$ respectively. The capacity of the cell with the EC electrolyte (SM-35CB-EC/L) also increases more with cycle number. Cells with unmilled SiO$_2$, P-35CB and S-25CB, are presented in the Appendix Figure A6a, showed no significant difference in capacity when cycled in the two different electrolytes.

The capacity of cells with the same compositions, but with milled and unmilled SiO$_2$ are presented in Figure 4.7d. Both cells with pristine SiO$_2$ and carbon coated SiO$_2$ experience an increase in initial and final capacity with milled SiO$_2$. The greatest increase was observed in the carbon coated and milled SiO$_2$, with an initial and final capacity of 328 mAh g$^{-1}$ and 409 mAh g$^{-1}$ for SM-35CB-EC/L, compared to 181 mAh g$^{-1}$ and 188 mAh g$^{-1}$ for S-25CB-EC/L. The increase in capacity by milling observed for pristine SiO$_2$ can be seen in the Appendix Figure A6b.

The capacity of cells with different composition of conductive additives is given in Figure 4.7e. The initial capacity is in the range of 145 mAh g$^{-1}$ - 155 mAh g$^{-1}$ for all cells. The highest capacity after 100 cycles, 171 mAh g$^{-1}$, was achieved by the cell with the lowest amount of CB, P-25CB-EC/L. The lowest capacity was observed in the cell with CNT, at 161 mAh g$^{-1}$ after 100 cycles.

In Figure 4.7f, a significant increase in both initial and final capacity can be observed by increasing the cycling window from 0.01 V - 2.00 V to 0.00 V - 3.00 V. After 100 cycles, the capacity of P-35CB-EC/L3 was 51 mAh g$^{-1}$ higher than P-35CB-EC/L.
**Figure 4.7:** Specific charge capacity of SiO$_2$ cells cycled in phase 1. (a) Cells with pristine SiO$_2$, (b) cells with carbon coated SiO$_2$, (c) cells with same electrode composition, but different electrolytes, (d) cells with milled and unmilled SiO$_2$, (e) cells with different composition of conductive additives and (f) cells cycled with different voltage windows. Details on the cycling programs can be found in Table 3.3.
4.3.2.2 Si and SiO$_2$/Si composites

The capacity of cells with Si and SiO$_2$/Si composites as the active material can be seen in Figure 4.8. The initial capacity of the composite cells; Si25, Si50, Si75, is significantly higher than the initial capacity of the SiO$_2$ anodes, with 792 mAhg$^{-1}$ as the lowest first cycle capacity (Si25). Moreover, the initial capacity is found to increase with Si content. However, the cycling stability of the composite cells is poor and the capacity is rapidly reduced with cycle number. At cycle 100, the capacity is equal to that of cells with SiO$_2$ as the only active material.

The improved composite cell, Si50M-Improved (milled SiO$_2$ and Si, optimized binder and reduced cycling window), initially demonstrated better cycling stability compared to Si and SiO$_2$/Si composites. Nevertheless, the capacity is reduced with cycling and after 100 cycles the capacity is reduced to 209 mAhg$^{-1}$.

![Figure 4.8](image)

**Figure 4.8:** (a) Specific charge capacity of Si and SiO$_2$/Si composites. (b) Close up of (a). Details on the cycling programs can be found in Table 3.3

4.3.2.3 Electrochemical reduction

The effect of the electrochemical reduction program can be observed in Figure 4.9. PM-35CB-EC/H48 and SM-35CB-EC/H48 is plotted against a reference P-35CB-EC/L, which did not undergo any reduction step. The best performing cell, PM-35CB-EC/H48 had a final capacity of 800 mAhg$^{-1}$ after 100 cycles, compared to 168 mAhg$^{-1}$ for P-35CB-EC/L.
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Figure 4.9: Specific charge capacity curves for cells undergone a 48h electrochemical reduction step at 0.002 V, with P-35CB-EC/L as a reference. Details on the cycling programs can be found in Table 3.3.

4.3.2.4 Differential capacity analysis

Differential capacity plots of a selection of cell from phase 1 are presented in Figure 4.10. Figure 4.10a, 4.10b, show the first 100 cycles of cells that did not undergo the electrochemical reduction step (S-25CB-EC/L and P-35CB-EC/L). In both cases, two large peaks can be seen in the cathodic direction of the first cycle at 0.75 and 0.02 for S-25CB-EC/L, 0.79 V and 0.02 V for P-35CB-EC/L respectively. In the anodic direction, two broad peaks can be observed at 0.17 V and 0.98 V for S-25CB-EC/L, and for P-35CB-EC/L peaks can be observed at 0.09 V and 0.93 V.

In the next 100 cycles only one broad peak can be seen in both the cathodic and the anodic direction for both cells. In S-25CB-EC/L, the cathodic and anodic peak is at 0.02 V and 0.16 V respectively. The cathodic and anodic peak in P-35CB-EC/L is located at 0.02 and 0.09. Moreover, in both cells, the peaks in the cathodic direction move to higher potentials with cycle number, and the peaks in the anodic direction move to lower potentials.

Figure 4.10c show the differential capacity plot of P-35CB-EC/H48, which has undergone a electrochemical reduction step. In the first cycle, cathodic peaks can be observed at
0.79 V and 0.02 V, and a large anodic peak from 0.05 V - 0.4 V. The reduction step of the second cycle can be seen as the narrow peak at 0.002 V. After the reduction step, peak formation can be observed in the subsequent 100 cycles (Figure 4.10d). Cathodic peaks are formed at 0.19 V and 0.03 V, and anodic peaks at 0.08 V, 0.31 V and 0.48 V. Furthermore, the onset potentials of the peaks can be seen to simultaneously move to higher and lower potentials in the anodic direction and to higher potentials in the cathodic direction. A superimposed plot of the last cycle of all cells can be seen in Figure 4.10e.
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Figure 4.10: Differential capacity plot of the first 100 cycles of a selection of cell from phase 1. (a) S-25CB-EC/L, (b) P-35CB-EC/L, (c) P-35CB-EC/48h, (d) up-close view of (c). (e) Superimposed plot of the last cycle from (a), (b) and (c). Resolution of differential capacity analysis, $\Delta E = 10$ mV.
The peak potentials of PM-35CB-EC/H48 at cycle 100 (Figure 4.10d) and the peak potential of an Si-anode (presented in the Appendix section A7) are tabulated in Table 4.6. The peak potential in the SiO$_2$ anode can be seen to be shifted by $\Delta = 0.05 \text{ V} - 0.06 \text{ V}$ relative to the Si anode.

**Table 4.6:** Potential of anodic and cathodic peaks in differential capacity plot of Si and SiO2M-35CB see in Figure A15, 4.10. $\Delta$ indicates the difference in peak potential between Si and PM-35CB-EC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Potential, anodic direction vs Li/Li$^+$ [V]</th>
<th>Potential, cathodic direction vs Li/Li$^+$ [V]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak 1</td>
<td>Si 0.26</td>
<td>Peak 1 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak 2</td>
<td>PM-35CB-EC 0.43</td>
<td>Peak 2 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak 1</td>
<td>$\Delta$ 0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.3.3 Phase 2: Further investigation of electrochemical reduction of SiO$_2$

#### 4.3.3.1 Electrochemical performance

In Figure 4.11, a representative voltage profile of the cells that have undergone electrochemical reduction is presented. The voltage profile for the reference cell in phase 2, P-35CB-EC/L, is located the Appendix, section A4.1 Figure A5.

In the initial discharge cycle, plateaus are initiated at approximately 1.3 V and 0.85 V, suggesting electrolyte decomposition. In the 3rd discharge cycle, two distinct plateaus can be observed. A sloping plateau is initiated at 1.2 V and a flatter plateau is initiated at 0.26 V. In the 100 discharge cycle of PM-35CB-EC/H48, the onset potential for the second plateau has increased to 0.28 V and the plateau has become. Comparing the voltage profile of PM-35CB-EC/H48 to P-35CB-EC/L at cycle 100, the low potential plateau is significantly longer for PM-35CB-EC/H48.

Figure 4.12 show the current profile during the electrochemical reduction step as the electrode is held at 2 mV.

Initially, the current profile of cells with pristine SiO$_2$ is significantly lower than that of the carbon coated SiO$_2$. However, after approximately 1 h the current increase in the
cells with pristine SiO$_2$ and after 3 h the current going through the cells with pristine SiO$_2$ is higher compared to that of the carbon coated SiO$_2$. In the cells with pristine SiO$_2$ the presence of FEC and VC seems to reduce the current. However, in cells with carbon coated SiO$_2$, FEC and VC result in a higher current going through the cell.

The capacity curves of all cells in phase 2 can be seen in Figure 4.13, with P-35CB-EC/L as a reference. The capacity as a function of cycle number follow two trends. Initially, cells with pristine SiO$_2$ (PM-35CB-EC/H48 and PM-35CB-FEC/H48) exhibit lower capacity than cells with carbon coated SiO$_2$ (SM-35CB-EC/H48 and SM-35CB-FEC/H48). However, the cells with pristine SiO$_2$ experience a greater increase in capacity over the subsequent 50 cycles, than the cells with carbon coated SiO$_2$. This trend is illustrated in Table 4.7, which presents the capacity before electrochemical reduction, after electrochemical reduction and at cycle 50. In addition, the table show the gain in capacity over electrochemical reduction step (cycle 1 - cycle 3) and subsequent cycles (cycle 3 to cycle 50). Another trend observed, is that cells with FEC have a lower capacity in cell with pristine SiO$_2$, while cell with FEC have a higher capacity in cells with carbon coated SiO$_2$. 

Figure 4.11: Voltage profile of cycle 1, cycle 3 and cycle 100 for PM-35CB-EC/H48.
Figure 4.12: Current profiles of cells held for 48h at 0.002 V. Time normalized to $t = 0$, equalling the start of the hold step.

Figure 4.13: Average capacity of all cells in phase 2, with P-35CB-EC/L as a reference. Error bars indicate one standard deviation. Details on the cycling programs can be found in Table 3.3.
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Table 4.7: Average capacity before reduction step, after reduction step and at cycle 50. $\Delta_{3,1}$ and $\Delta_{50,3}$, indicates the capacity increase from cycle 1 - cycle 3 and cycle 3 - cycle 50 respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell</th>
<th>Cycle 1 [mAhg$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>Cycle 3 [mAhg$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>Cycle 50 [mAhg$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$\Delta_{3,1}$ [mAhg$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$\Delta_{50,3}$ [mAhg$^{-1}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM-35CB-EC/H48</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>723</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-35CB-FEC/H48</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM-35CB-EC/H48</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM-35CB-FEC/H48</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>662</td>
<td>679</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-35CB-EC/L</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The CE of cells in phase 2 can be seen in Figure 4.14. The same plots with error bars and tabulated values can be found in the Appendix section A4.3. In the first cycle, the CE is low for all cells, ranging from 38.91 $\pm$ 0.36 % to 46.06 $\pm$ 0.74 % for PM-35CB-FEC/H48 and SM-35CB-EC/H48 respectively. It should be noted that this is lower than the CE reported for the carbon cells in Table 4.4. However, the CE rapidly increase and approach 99%. Comparing cells with pristine SiO$_2$ and carbon coated SiO$_2$, the first cycle CE of cells with pristine SiO$_2$ is lower than cells with carbon coated SiO$_2$. Moreover, the FEC electrolyte yield a lower first cycle CE than cells with EC. However, in the last cycle, cells with FEC have the highest CE.

Figure 4.14: Average coulombic efficiency of cells in phase 2. (a) General trend of the coulombic efficiency over 50 cycles. (b) Enlarged view of (a).
4.3.3.2 Differential capacity analysis

Differential capacity plot of all cells in phase 2 are found in Figure 4.15. All materials systems exhibit the same general trend. In the first cycle, three main cathodic peaks can be seen. In cells with the EC electrolyte (Figure 4.15a, 4.15c), the first peak is located at 1.12 V for PM-35CB-EC/H48 and 1.46 V for SM-35CB-EC/H48. The second and third peak is located at approximately 0.800 V and 0.02 V for both PM-35CB-EC/H48 and SM-35CB-EC/H48. In the cathodic direction, PM-35CB-EC/H48 has a broad peak at 0.03 V, while SM-35CB-EC/H48 has two peaks at 0.03 V and 1.01 V. In cells with the FEC electrolyte (Figure 4.15b, 4.15d), the first cathodic peak is located at approximately 1.40 V, the second at approximately 0.09 V and the third at 0.02 V. In the anodic direction, the peaks are located at the same potential as the cells with the EC electrolyte.

During the electrochemical reduction step in cycle 2, all cells exhibit one narrow cathodic peak at 0.002 V. In the subsequent 50 cycles, peak formation can be seen in all cells. However, as seen in Figure 4.16d, the peak formation most is prevalent in cells with pristine SiO$_2$. Table 4.8 presents the peak potential at the 50th cycle of all materials system.

In cells with the pristine SiO$_2$ (Figure 4.15a, 4.15b), it can be observed that onset potential for the peak formation change significantly through cycling. In the cathodic direction, the onset potential for peak formation shifts to higher potentials. In the anodic direction, the onset potential can be seen to shift to both higher and lower potentials. Some shift in the onset potential can also be seen in cell with the carbon coated SiO$_2$ (Figure 4.15c, 4.15d). However, this shift is small compared to cells with pristine SiO$_2$. 
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Figure 4.15: Differential capacity plots of all cells in phase 2, displaying cycle 1 - cycle 50. (a) PM-35CB-EC/H48, (b) PM-35CB-FEC/H48, (c) SM-35CB-EC/H48 and (d) SM-35CB-FEC/H48. Resolution of differential capacity analysis, $\Delta E = 10 \text{ mV}$.

In Figure 4.16, superimposed plots of all materials systems in phase 2 at different cycles are presented. Figure 4.16a, shows the first cycle. The initial cathodic peak of cells with the FEC, can be seen to limit the peak formation at 0.80 V, relative to cells with the EC electrolyte. In the 3rd cycle (Figure 4.16b), peaks in both the cathodic and anodic direction can be seen to reside at the same potential for all cells. In Figure 4.16c, some difference in the potential of the second peak in the cathodic direction can be seen. In cells with carbon coated SiO$_2$ this peak is at 0.022 V, while the same peak is located at 0.05 V in cells with pristine SiO$_2$. In Figure 4.16d, cycle 3-50 of all material systems are superimposed, demonstrating the relative height and width of all peaks.
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Figure 4.16: Superimposed differential capacity plots of all material systems in phase 2. (a) cycle 1, (b) cycle 3 and (c) cycle 50, (d) cycle 3-50. Resolution of differential capacity analysis, $\Delta E = 10 \text{ mV}$.

4.3.3.3 Rate performance

In Figure 4.17, the rate performance of all cells in phase 2 is presented. All the cells demonstrate excellent rate performance up to 1000 mAg$^{-1}$. However, at 2000 mAg$^{-1}$, the capacity of the cells collapse. When the specific current is reversed back to 100 mAg$^{-1}$, the capacity is recovered by more than 100 % in all cells with the exception of SM-35CB-EC/H. The capacity retention of the last cycle at 1000 mAg$^{-1}$ and 100 mAg$^{-1}$, is presented in Table 4.9.
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Table 4.8: Peak potentials in differential capacity plot of cells in phase 2 after 50 cycles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell</th>
<th>Peak potentials anodic direction vs Li/Li(^+) [V]</th>
<th>Peak potentials cathodic direction vs Li/Li(^+) [V]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peak 1</td>
<td>Peak 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-35CB-EC/H48</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-35CB-FEC/H48</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM-35CB-EC/H48</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM-35CB-FEC/H48</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The CE of the cells under the rate capability test is reduced compared to regular cycling (Figure 4.18a, 4.18b). Nevertheless, with exception of the cycles at 2000 mAg\(^{-1}\), the CE of all cells is above 97 % for all currents.

Figure 4.17: Rate performance of cells in phase 2. Specific currents: 100 mAg\(^{-1}\), 200 mAg\(^{-1}\), 300 mAg\(^{-1}\), 500 mAg\(^{-1}\), 1000 mAg\(^{-1}\), 2000 mAg\(^{-1}\) and 100 mAg\(^{-1}\).
Figure 4.18: (a) Coulombic efficiency of cells under rate performance test. (b) Up-close view of (a). Currents: 100 mAg$^{-1}$, 200 mAg$^{-1}$, 300 mAg$^{-1}$, 500 mAg$^{-1}$, 1000 mAg$^{-1}$ and 2000 mAg$^{-1}$.

Table 4.9: Overview of capacity retention of the last cycle at 1000 mAg$^{-1}$ and 100 mAg$^{-1}$ (cycle 35), relative to first cycle at 100 mAg$^{-1}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrode</th>
<th>Cap. retention at 1000 mAg$^{-1}$ [%]</th>
<th>Cap. retention at 100 mAg$^{-1}$ [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM-35CB-EC/48H</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-35CB-FEC/48H</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM-35CB-EC/48H</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>99.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM-35CB-FEC/48H</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.3.4 Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy

Nyquist plot of EIS measurements carried out in fully lithiated state (0.01 V) after cycle 1, cycle 3 and cycle 3 are presented in Figure 4.19. Due to limited time, only three of the four material systems explored in phase 2 were tested. Thus, no EIS measurements were conducted on SM-35CB-FEC/H48. Nyquist plot of EIS measurements conducted both in lithiated and delithiated state (2.00 V) are located in the Appendix section A4.4. Tabulated values of $R_1$, $R_2$, $R_3$ and $C_1$, calculated on the basis of the equivalent circuit presented if Figure 4.19a, are presented in Table 4.10.

Based on the equivalent circuit, $R_1$, $R_2$ and $R_3$ can be view as representative of the
resistance of the electrolyte \( (R_e) \), resistance of the SEI layer \( (R_{SEI}) \) and the charge transfer resistance \( (R_{CT}) \) respectively. The fitted data appears to be in good agreement with the experimental data.

**Figure 4.19**: Nyquist plot of three electrode cells with different anode and electrolyte composition. (a) Equivalent circuit used for data fitting. Nyquist plot of lithiated state at (b) cycle 1, (c) cycle 3 and (d) cycle 10. \( Q_1 \) represents a constant phase element. Frequency range: 500 000 000 - 0.01 Hz. Amplitude: 10 mV vs Li/Li\(^+\). \( V_{cell} = 0.01 \) V vs Li/Li\(^+\).
Table 4.10: Estimated values of $R$ and $C$ based on fitting of the equivalent circuit in Figure 4.19.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell</th>
<th>R$_1$ [ohm]</th>
<th>R$_2$ [ohm]</th>
<th>R$_3$ [ohm]</th>
<th>C$_1$ [F]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM-35CB-EC/H48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycle 1</td>
<td>10.31</td>
<td>19.31</td>
<td>56.48</td>
<td>21.81e-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycle 3</td>
<td>9.67</td>
<td>8.68</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>12.17e-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycle 10</td>
<td>9.29</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>171.5</td>
<td>24.68e-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-35CB-FEC/H48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycle 1</td>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>29.01</td>
<td>30.52e-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycle 3</td>
<td>9.28</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>42.25</td>
<td>9.58e-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycle 10</td>
<td>9.416</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>34.09</td>
<td>85.66e-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM-35CB-EC/H48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycle 1</td>
<td>9.39</td>
<td>19.67</td>
<td>49.28</td>
<td>18.89e-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycle 3</td>
<td>9.46</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>37.17</td>
<td>28.84e-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycle 10</td>
<td>9.62</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>5.64e-6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4 Characterization of electrodes pre- and post-cycling

4.4.1 Electrode microstructure and morphology

In this section, SEM micrographs of the electrodes before and after cycling are presented. As the electrodes were taken from phase 2, all cycled electrodes had undergone an electrochemical reduction step and were cycled for 50 cycles.

4.4.1.1 Surface overview

An overview of the electrodes surface before and after cycling is presented in Figure 4.20. Figure 4.20a and Figure 4.20b show electrodes with unmilled and milled SiO$_2$ frustules respectively. The Figures indicates a massive reduction of size after milling, and that there is some crack formation in the electrodes before cycling.

Figure 4.20c, presents the surface of SM-35CB-EC/H48 after cycling, which is representative of all electrode after cycling. An overview of all cycled electrodes is found in the Appendix section A5.1. Crack formation is slightly more prevalent in cycled electrodes.
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(Figure 4.20b) relative to the uncycled electrodes (Figure 4.20b). No significant difference in crack formation could be seen in the cycled cells in phase 2.

![SEM images of electrodes](image)

**Figure 4.20:** SEM images of electrodes. (a) uncycled P-35CB, (b) uncycled PM-35CB and (c) SM-35CB-EC/H48 after 50 cycles. Arrows indicate crack formation in the electrode.

A large variety in surface film formation was found after cycling. Figure 4.21 and Figure 4.22 present SEM images of surface film formation on electrodes with pristine SiO$_2$ and carbon coated SiO$_2$ respectively.

**Electrodes with pristine SiO$_2$**

Figure 4.21 presents up-close micrographs of electrodes with pristine SiO$_2$ (PM-35CB-EC/H48 and PM-35CB-FEC/H48) after cycling. In most cases, the SiO$_2$ particles on the surface of the electrodes showed no signs of film formation. Moreover, the nanostructure of the particles was mostly intact (4.21b, 4.21e), indicating limited volume expansion during cycling. However, as demonstrated in Figure 4.21c, 4.21f, some film formation was identified on a limited number of the SiO$_2$ particles. No significant difference was
found in the amount of film formation in cells cycled in FEC or EC. However, the surface films appear to have different morphologies. The film formed on electrodes cycled FEC contained more spherical decomposition products. This is demonstrated in Figure 4.21f, and is in agreement with the SEI films observed in similar material systems in the literature [60].
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Figure 4.21: SEM micrographs of SiO$_2$ particles on; (a)-(c) PM-35CB-EC/H48 and (d)-(f) PM-35CB-FEC/H48, after 50 cycles.
Electrodes with carbon coated SiO$_2$

Film formation was observed on all carbon coated SiO$_2$ particles (Figure 4.22). In both SM-35CB-EC/H48 (Figure 4.21a, 4.21b) and SM-35CB-FEC/H48 (Figure 4.21c, 4.21d) thick films completely cover the SiO$_2$ particles, making it impossible to see the morphology of the SiO$_2$ particles. That the structures observed in Figure 4.21 indeed were SiO$_2$ particles, was confirmed by exposing the structure to a high current electron beam, thereby removing the surface film and exposing the SiO$_2$ particles. The result of this exposure can be seen in the Appendix, section A5.

The morphology of the film formed on the particles was also in this case dependent on the electrolyte composition. The decomposition products formed on SM-35CB-FEC/H48 (Figure 4.21c, 4.21d) were more spherical than the decomposition products seen in SM-35CB-EC/H48 (Figure 4.21a, 4.21b).

Figure 4.22: SEM micrographs of film formation on SiO$_2$ particles on; (a)-(b) SM-35CB-EC/H48 and (c)-(d) SM-35CB-FECV/H48, after 50 cycles.
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4.4.1.2 FIB cross-section

FIB cross-sections of the electrodes in phase 2 are presented in Figure 4.23. Figure 4.23a and 4.23b, demonstrates large difference in the density and the number of cavities, in electrodes with milled (PM-25CB) and unmilled SiO$_2$ (P-25CB).

The cross-section micrographs of the electrodes with pristine SiO$_2$ (PM-35CB-EC/H48 and PM-35CB-FEC/H48) in Figure 4.23c and Figure 4.23d respectively, indicates that the microstructure of the SiO$_2$ particles in the bulk of the electrode is intact after cycling. In PM-35CB-EC/H48 (Figure 4.23c), film formation in the bulk of the electrode can be observed, as the CB particles appears to be “fused together”. This form of bulk film formation appears to be limited in PM-35CB-FEC/H48 (Figure 4.23d), as a more porous structure can be observed.

The cross-section micrographs of the electrodes with carbon coated SiO$_2$ (SM-35CB-EC/H48 and SM-35CB-FEC/H48) in Figure 4.23e and Figure 4.23f show extensive film formation in the bulk of the electrode. In SM-35CB-EC/H48 (Figure 4.23e) it is difficult to distinguish between CB and SiO$_2$ particles. Film formation in the bulk of the electrode was also observed in SM-35CB-FEC/H48 (Figure 4.23f). However, the structures in Figure 4.23f seems more porous than the structures in Figure 4.23e.
Figure 4.23: SEM micrographs of FIB cross-section of; (a) uncycled P-35CB, (b) uncycled PM-35CB, (c) cycled PM-35CB-EC/H48, (d) cycled PM-35CB-FEC/H48, (e) cycled SM-35CB-EC/H48 and (f) SM-35CB-FEC/H48. Arrows indicates the porous structure of the frustules still visible after cycling.
4.4. CHARACTERIZATION OF ELECTRODES PRE- AND POST-CYCLING

4.4.2 EDX mapping of electrode surface

EDX maps of a PM-35CB-EC electrode before and after cycling are presented in Figure 4.24 and Figure 4.25 respectively. Before cycling (Figure 4.24b), the O Kα1 and Si Kα1 signal coincide well. This indicates that most of the oxygen signals come from the SiO₂ particles. This is further illustrated by the fact that the signal from C Kα1.2 and O Kα1 is almost inverse, i.e. limited oxygen is observed on the CB.

After cycling (Figure 4.25b), the O Kα1 signal is present over the entire surface of the electrode, also in areas with C Kα1.2 signals. Moreover, the mapping of Si Kα1 show that the SiO₂ particles are scattered in confined domains, exuding the possibility of the O Kα1 signal being caused by a more homogeneous distribution of SiO₂ particles after cycling. Thus, it is reasonable to believe that the O Kα1 signals is caused by oxygen rich decomposition products formed during cycling. Furthermore, the presence of C Kα1.2 and O Kα1 signals from the same area indicates that the decomposition products also are present on the surface of CB. This observation is of importance, as it suggests that decomposition products most likely are over the entire surface of the electrodes, even though it cannot be seen in the SEM. This underscores the limitation of SEM as a characterization tool, as only thick films can be observed.

In an attempt to determine the relative concentration of fluorine on the surface of the electrodes of phase 2 after cycling, EDX mapping of the surface was conducted. The reported counts per second (cps) of fluorine from all electrodes in phase 2 is reported in Table 4.11. The values were acquired by reading off the value on the respective spectra, presented in the Appendix section A6. The results show that more fluorine is present on the electrodes with carbon coated SiO₂. Moreover, the result also indicates that cells cycled in FEC has a higher fluorine content after cycling, than equivalent cells with EC.

Table 4.11: Tabulated values of the cps of fluorine in the EDX spectra of electrodes in phase 2 after cycling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrode</th>
<th>cps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM-35CB-EC/H48</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-35CB-FEC/H48</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM-35CB-EC/H48</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM-35CB-FEC/H48</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.24: EDX mapping of PM-35CB-EC/H48 before cycling. (a) Layered mapping of all elements, (b) mapping of C K$_{α1,2}$, O K$_{α1}$ and Si K$_{α1}$ respectively. EDX conducted at 5.00 kV.
**Figure 4.25:** EDX mapping of PM-35CB-EC/H48 after cycling. (a) Layered mapping of all elements, (b) mapping of C $\text{K}_{\alpha 1}$, O $\text{K}_{\alpha 1}$ and Si $\text{K}_{\alpha 1}$ respectively. EDX conducted at 5.00 kV.
Chapter 5: Discussion

5.1 Characterization of extracted SiO$_2$ and active material

5.1.1 Notes on extracted SiO$_2$

The SEM micrographs in Figure 4.2 are representative of SEM micrographs acquired from all batches of processed diatoms. Large accumulation of organic materials are relative easily observed in SEM, but no such observations were made. This indicates that most of the organic material was removed during the washing and calcination process. The broad peak at 22 ° in the XRD plot indicates that the purified frustules mainly consist of amorphous SiO$_2$. Finally, the lack of any crystalline peaks in Figure 4.1 also demonstrates that most inorganic material, such as NaCl and KCl, was successfully removed.

Even though the SEM micrographs and XRD diffractograms give compelling evidence for the purity of extracted SiO$_2$ frustules, the purity of this sample should be considered as low compared to that supplied by the chemical industry. SEM micrographs only gives snapshots of the sample, thus the presence of large organic impurities cannot be excluded. Furthermore, XRD diffractograms can only detect crystalline impurities and the detection limit is about 2-3 wt%. Thus, small amounts of inorganic impurities could be present.
5.1.2 Characterization of active material

5.1.2.1 Size, morphology and surface area of the frustules

The SEM micrographs and the laser diffraction data demonstrated that the size of the frustules was quite large, 40 µm before milling and 3.09 µm after milling. Moreover, the nitrogen adsorption data seen in Table 4.2 show that the increase in surface area after milling is mainly in the external area. This imply that the milling has not change the fundamental microstructure of the frustules, but rather broken the larger frustules particiles into smaller pieces.

By consulting section 2.4.1, it is evident that most of the SiO$_2$ used in the literature is smaler than a couple of hundred nm and often exhibits a mesoporous structures. The largest structures identified in the literature, is that by Wang et al., who used highly mesoporous and hollow spheres with a diameter of 0.5 µm - 1 µm [13]. Thus, the macro structure of the frustules both before and after milling is significantly larger than most of the SiO$_2$ used in the literature. This might hamper the performance of the silica, as lithiation of SiO$_2$ traditionally is attributed to nano-silica [67]. However, the relative high fraction of external t-plot area observed (Figure 4.4), and the microstructures observed in the SEM micrographs (Figure 4.2), indicates that the frustules have a mesoporous nature similar to that used in the literature. If this is the case, anodes with SiO$_2$ from frustules might exhibit some of the benefits of porosity described in section 2.4.4.

5.1.2.2 Characterization of the carbon coating

The large surface area yielded by the sucrose coating relative to the cornstarch coating (Figure 4.4), might be attributed to differences in the carbon coating, or it could be caused by the increased amount of carbon in the sucrose coated SiO$_2$ (Table 4.3). From Figure 4.4 it is also evident that the sucrose precursors yield a larger external surface area than the cornstarch precursor. The distinction between micropores and external area is of importance, as the radius of micropores is smaller than the solvated radius of Li$^+$ ions, limit transportation of Li$^+$ through the carbon coating [100]. Thus, a carbon coating with a large concentration of micropores might prevent transportation of Li$^+$ from the electrolyte to the SiO$_2$ surface. Nevertheless, the exposure of the micropores to the electrolyte may result in increased SEI formation. Thus, an increase in the micropore area over the external area is unwanted, as it facilitates SEI formation and may limit Li$^+$ diffusion to the surface.
5.2 Capacity contribution from carbon

The high capacity of the pyrolyzed sucrose may be attributed to the high surface area of pyrolyzed sucrose observed in Figure 4.4. Even though the capacity of the pyrolyzed sucrose far exceed that of graphite, the capacity is in agreement with that reported for amorphous carbon by Dahn et al. [37]. Finally, it should also be noted that the standard deviation of the carbon cells is quite large. This suggest that the capacity contribution of carbon in individual SiO\textsubscript{2} cells might vary, and that the presence of carbon could be a significant source of uncertainty in cells with SiO\textsubscript{2}.

5.3 Initial screening of SiO\textsubscript{2} derived from diatom frustules

5.3.1 Capacity of SiO\textsubscript{2} anodes

The capacity of both of pristine and carbon coated SiO\textsubscript{2} was low. The best performing cell with unmilled and pristine SiO\textsubscript{2} (P-35CB-EC/L) had a final capacity of 170.6 mAhg\textsuperscript{-1}, which is far lower than the reported capacity of milled SiO\textsubscript{2} (α quartz) by Chang et al., at approximately 800 mAhg\textsuperscript{-1} [8]. The best performing cell with unmilled carbon coated SiO\textsubscript{2} (S-25CB-EC/L) had a final capacity of 188 mAhg\textsuperscript{-1}, only slightly higher than the cell with pristine SiO\textsubscript{2}. This is somewhat surprising, as the capacity contribution from carbon alone was estimated to 224.7 mAhg\textsuperscript{-1} (Table 4.5). Moreover, the capacity of the carbon coated SiO\textsubscript{2} is significant lower than the 437 mAhg\textsuperscript{-1} reported by Nysteen, for almost the identical material system [16].

The difference in capacity of the carbon coated SiO\textsubscript{2} could be caused by a verity of factors. In Nysteens work, the cells were cycled between 0.00 V - 3.00 V at 50 mAg\textsuperscript{-1}. As demonstrated in Figure 4.7f, utilizing a cycling window between 0.01 V and 3.00 V significantly increase the capacity of the cell. In addition, as the cells were cycled to 0.00 V, the capacity measured by Nysteen could also be partially caused by Li-plating. It is also possible that the lower specific current (50 mAg\textsuperscript{-1}) used by Nysteen might increase the capacity of the cell. Moreover, as seen in Figure 4.7b, the carbon coated and milled SiO\textsubscript{2} (SM-35CB-EC/L) had a capacity closer to that reported by Nysteen. Thus, it is possible that the large difference observed in this work and that of Nysteen, is caused by differences in processing, leading to SiO\textsubscript{2} with different size distribution.
Effect of milling on electrochemical properties

Milling of the SiO$_2$ appears to significantly improve the capacity of the cells, which is in agreement with the work done on milling of SiO$_2$ by Chang et al [8]. Figure 4.7, demonstrates that this is the case both for pristine SiO$_2$ and carbon coated SiO$_2$. The origin of this effect could be two fold. Lepoivre et al. have hypothesized the insulating nature of SiO$_2$ could limit reactions with Li$^+$ beyond a depth of 45/50 nm [70]. If this is the case, the increase in observed surface area of the milled frustules (Figure 4.4) will significantly increase the volume of SiO$_2$ that may react with Li$^+$. Second, a reduction of size might hamper the nonconductive nature of SiO$_2$, by supplying more surface area that can form contact points to CB. Thus, electrons are better supplied to the SiO$_2$ particles. This is supported by the SEM micrographs of the cross-sections (Figure 4.23a and Figure 4.23b), which indicate that electrodes with milled SiO$_2$ is more densely packet, allowing for more points of contact between SiO$_2$ and CB. From these micrographs it is also evident that the ball milling of the slurry was unable to reduce the size sufficiently, as large cavities can be observed in the electrode with unmilled SiO$_2$. Therefore, pre-milling of the SiO$_2$ appears to be necessary.

Effect of conductive additives

Three different sets of conductive additives were explored, 25 wt% CB, 35 wt% CB and 35 wt% (50:50 wt%) CB:CNT. As demonstrated in Figure 4.7e, the composition of the different conductive additives had little influence on capacity of the cells. As the variation in conductive additives appeared to have limited effect on the system, the phase 2 standard for conductive additives was set to 35 wt% CB. CNT was no longer considered as a conductive additive in phase 2, due to the limited benefits and the high material cost.

Electrolyte composition

The effect of electrolyte composition only appeared to be of significance in cells with milled SiO$_2$. Moreover, the addition of FEC and VC to the electrolyte appeared to affect cells with pristine SiO$_2$ and carbon coated SiO$_2$ differently. As cells with pristine SiO$_2$ experienced an increase in capacity with the FEC electrolyte, while the opposites was observed in carbon coated SiO$_2$ (Figure 4.7). This observation could be explained by the increase in surface area of the milled SiO$_2$,
making the electrode more sensitive to SEI formation. That pristine SiO$_2$ and carbon coated SiO$_2$ exhibit different responses to the electrolyte composition, could potentially be cause by the different surface chemistry of carbon and SiO$_2$. However, as limited literature is available on this topic and that these observations are only based on one set of cells, it is difficult to draw any decisive conclusion based on these data.

5.3.2 Options for improving the capacity

Due to the low capacity reported in section 5.3.2, two approaches were explored to improve the capacity. First, SiO$_2$/Si composites were prepared, to explore if the high capacity of Si and good cycling stability of SiO$_2$ could be combined. Second, an electrochemical reduction program was applied. This, to determine if holding the cells at low potentials could improve the conversion rate of SiO$_2$ to Si.

5.3.2.1 SiO$_2$/Si composites

As demonstrated in Figure 4.8, all cells with Si showed a high initial capacity. However, the cycling stability of the cells was poor and the the capacity of all cells quickly faded to levels comparable to that of cells with SiO$_2$ as the main active material (Figure 4.7).

The poor cycling stability of the composite anodes indicates that the Si and SiO$_2$ used in this work has incompatible operation parameters. The the cut-off potential used, 0.01 V and 2.00 V, might lead to extensive lithiation and volume expansion of the Si. Therefore, it is possible that the large size of the SiO$_2$ and Si particles limited the ability of SiO$_2$ to buffer the expansion of Si. This is supported by the fact that composites of Si and SiO$_2$ have been successfully demonstrated in the literature with similar cut-off potentials, but smaller SiO$_2$ and Si particles [78]. In these case, the composites were made by nano Si/SiO$_2$-particles. Based on these observations, SiO$_2$/Si composites were abandoned as an option for improving the capacity of the SiO$_2$ anodes.

5.3.2.2 Electrochemical reduction

Introducing an electrochemical reduction step by holding the cells at 2 mV for 48 h in the second cycle, significantly improved the capacity of both the carbon coated SiO$_2$ and the pristine SiO$_2$. Moreover, the capacity increase over the following cycles in PM-35CB-EC/H48 indicate a high level of conversion SiO$_2$ to Si, and subsequent lithiation of Si.
This is further supported by the differential capacity curves in Figure 4.10c, showing peak formation in PM-35CB-EC/H48 after the hold step.

By comparing the peak potential in the differential capacity plot of PM-35CB-EC/H48 and the Si-anode in Table 4.6, it can be seen that the peaks of the PM-35CB-EC/H48 cell is the same as the Si cell, only shifted by a potential of 0.05 - 0.06 V. This suggests that the peaks seen in Figure 4.10d is cause by lithiation/delithiation of Si. As the anodic and cathodic peaks are shifted to higher and lower potentials respectively, the shift is most likely caused by a significant overpotential in the electrode. This is likely caused by a rather poor conductivity of the electrode matrix. This hypothesis is further supported by the work of Lepoivre et al., which observed peak formation in differential capacity plots at similar potentials after electrochemical reduction of SiO$_2$ nanoparticles [70].

5.3.3 Summary of initial screening

Based on the significant increase in capacity observed after the electrochemical reduction step, electrochemical reduction of SiO$_2$ was further studied in phase 2, and will be discussed in more detail in section 5.4.1. Moreover, based on the observations in this section, only milled SiO$_2$ was further tested. To limit the number parameters in phase 2, only sucrose was used as carbon precursor. This, due to the higher amount of external area in sucrose coated SiO$_2$, compared to cornstarch coated SiO$_2$.

5.4 Electrochemical reduction as a performance enhancing technique

5.4.1 Increase in capacity by electrochemical reduction

The improvement in capacity by electrochemical reduction was confirmed by the additional testing of two cells for each material system, with the average capacity presented in Figure 4.13. In addition to the gain in capacity observed under the electrochemical reduction step, the cells in Figure 4.13 experienced a significant gain in the capacity over the subsequent cycles (cycle 3 - cycle 50) (Table 4.7). This was especially prevalent in cells with pristine SiO$_2$. This increase in capacity with cycle number could be explained by the formation products of the conversion reaction of SiO$_2$ (Li$_2$O, Li$_4$SiO$_4$ and Li$_2$Si$_2$O$_5$), improving the ionic conductivity of the electrode. Moreover, it is also possible
that partially lithiated SiO₂ (Li-SiO₂) improves the electrical conductivity of the anodes by reducing the bandgap of SiO₂, as proposed by Zhang et al. [95]. The combination of improved ionic conductivity and electrical conductivity might increase the volume of SiO₂ active towards lithiation.

The total capacity increase by electrochemical reduction in this work, exceeds that reported by similar cases in the literature. Lapoivre et al. achieved a capacity of only 400 mAhg⁻¹, after subjecting 500 nm SiO₂ particles to a 250 h hold step at 2 mV [70]. They attributed the low capacity to the insulating nature of SiO₂, limiting Li⁺ ability to react with SiO₂ beyond a depth of 45-50 nm. That SiO₂ from diatom frustules achieved a capacity far exceeding that of 500 nm SiO₂ particles, may be attributed to the porous nature of the frustules observed in the SEM micrographs, limiting the amount of bulk SiO₂ further than 50 nm away from the surface.

The origin of the capacity increase observed in both the electrochemical reduction step and subsequent cycles, can be attributed to the conversion of SiO₂ to Si by the proposed reactions 2.22a-2.22c. As elaborated on in section 5.3.2.2, this hypothesis is supported by the emerging peaks in the differential capacity plots corresponding to the lithiation potential of Si. These peaks were also observed in differential capacity plots of cells in phase 2 (Figure 4.15). In addition, the hypothesis is supported by the average CE of the initial cycles (Figure 4.14) of cells in phase 2 being far below the CE of the carbon dummy cells (Table 4.4). Thus, it is reasonable to believe that a significant fraction of ICL in the first cycles is caused by the irreversible conversion of SiO₂ to Si by the reaction 2.22a-2.22c, not by SEI formation. Which of the reaction contribute to capacity increase will be further discussed in section 5.4.3.

The existence of two peaks in both the anodic and cathodic direction may be attributed to the different lithiation potentials of LiₓSi phases proposed by Ogata et al. [52]. However, which LiₓSi phases the lithiation potentials corresponds to is considered to be beyond the scope of this work.

5.4.2 Cycling stability and rate performance

The cells subjected to electrochemical reduction also exhibit excellent cycling stability, with a capacity retention well above 100 % at cycle 50, relative to cycle 1. This can most likely be attributed to the formation products (Li₂O, Li₄SiO₄ and Li₂Si₂O₇) by the conversion of SiO₂ to Si (reaction 2.22a-2.22c), buffering the volume expansion of Si. This is supported by the SEM images of the electrode surface after cycling, which show limited
crack formation in the electrodes compared to that experienced in Si-anodes [120]. This theory is also supported by similar reporting in the literature [77, 78, 10, 79].

The cells also showed good rate capability up to 1000 mA\text{g}^{-1}, which is of importance to the power density (equation 2.10). All cells had a capacity retention above 80 % at 1000 mA\text{g}^{-1}, exceeding that reported in the literature for similar specific current [15, 85, 89].

The good rate capability experienced up to 1000 mA\text{g}^{-1} might be caused by the decreasing band gap of SiO\text{2} with lithiation, improving the electrical conductivity of the electrode, as proposed by Zhang et al. [95]. In addition, the formation products of reactions 2.22a-2.22c, such as Li\text{4}SiO\text{4} and Li\text{2}Si\text{2}O\text{5}, are proposed to increase the diffusivity of Li\text{+} in the electrode, which could benefit the rate performance [96, 8]. That the conductivity of the electrode is improved by cycling is supported by the EIS data, as $R_{ct}$ of all cells is reduced from cycle 3 to cycle 10. Moreover, the differential capacity data in Figure 4.15 supports this, at the onset potential of peak formation in the cathodic direction, i.e. lithiation, shifts to higher potentials with cycling. However, it should also be assumed that better impregnating of the electrolyte into the porous structure of the materials contributes to improve the impedance of the cell. This can be seen by the increase in capacitance with cycle number (Table 4.10), which is proportional to the electrochemical active area of the electrode.

The low capacity experienced by all cells at 2000 mA\text{g}^{-1} could be caused by an overpotential, resulting either by limited availability of Li\text{+} species around the active material, or by the inability of the electrode to consume Li\text{+} species at the rate at which electrons are supplied. A reasonable rate performance of SiO\text{2} have been demonstrated at 2000 mA\text{g}^{-1} by Meng et al. [15]. However, in this case graphene was a conductive additive. Thus, it is possible that better conductive additives, such as CNT, might improve the rate performance at high specific currents.

### 5.4.3 Identifying the lithiation mechanism of SiO\text{2}

In an effort to identify the lithiation mechanism of SiO\text{2}, a model was developed based on previous work by Lepoivre et al. [70]. In this model, the resulting capacity gain ($Q_G$) caused by a given ICL ($Q_{ICL}$-ratio) for the reactions 2.22a-2.22c, is used to indicate which of the three reactions contribution to the capacity gain in a SiO\text{2} cell.

This is achieved by plotting the theoretical $Q_{ICL}$-ratio of the aforementioned reactions with the experimental $Q_{ICL}$-ratio of different cells for a given cycle range. If one assume; (1) no SEI formation, (2) that all the Si is lithiated and (3) that no Li\text{+} is stored in
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the anode in-between cycles, this plot can be used to estimate the reaction mechanisms contributing to the capacity gain of a cell. However, as not all Si can be expected to be lithiated and SEI could form in each cycle, the model cannot be used to exclude any reaction with a higher $Q_{ICL}$-ratio than reported experimentally for a given cycle. However, any experimental $Q_{ICL}$-ratio higher than the theoretical limit given by any of the proposed reactions 2.22a-2.22c, must imply at least some contribution from a reactions with higher $Q_{ICL}$-ratio.

![Figure 5.1: Increase in specific capacity gain ($Q_G$) as a function of ICL. The three lines (yellow, red and blue) show the theoretical capacity gain as a function of ICL for the three lithiation mechanisms of SiO$_2$ (reactions 2.22a-2.22c). The scatter dots show the increase in capacity as a function of the ICL over the electrochemical reduction step, cycle 2 - cycle 3 (hollow) and over cycle 3 - cycle 50 (filled).](image)

In Figure 5.1, the $Q_{ICL}$-ratio profile of the reactions (I-III) are plotted with the experimental data from phase 2. The experimental $Q_{ICL}$-ratio was calculated for two cycle ranges, cycle 2 - cycle 3 and cycle 3 - cycle 50, representing the capacity increase over the electrochemical reduction step and regular cycling. Cycle 1 was excluded, as a large fraction of the ICL loss in this cycle may be assumed to be caused by SEI formation. To further test the validity of the model, a PM-35CB-EC cell was assembled and subjected to a 132 h hold step.
CHAPTER 5. DISCUSSION

Lithiation mechanism over electrochemical reduction step

In Figure 5.1, the $\frac{Q_{ICL}}{Q_{ICL}}$-ratio over the electrochemical reduction step (hollow dots) appears to be slightly below the $\frac{Q_{ICL}}{Q_{ICL}}$-ratio of reaction 2.22b for all cells. That the experimental $\frac{Q_{ICL}}{Q_{ICL}}$-ratio is slightly below the theoretical value, is reasonable, as not all the assumptions of the model can be met experimentally. Based on this observation it is reasonable to believe that the capacity gain during the electrochemical reduction step is mainly driven by reaction 2.22b. However, some contribution from reaction 2.22a, 2.22c cannot be excluded.

Lithiation mechanism during regular cycling

In the case of regular cycling, the $\frac{Q_{ICL}}{Q_{ICL}}$-ratio of the cells with pristine SiO$_2$ is above the theoretical limit of reaction 2.22a and 2.22b, implying a significant contribution from reaction 2.22c to this capacity gain. However again, contributions from 2.22a, 2.22b cannot be excluded. In the case of cells with carbon coated SiO$_2$, virtually no capacity increase is seen in cycle 3-50. Thus, it is likely that most of the ICL is caused by SEI formation. This is also supported by SEM micrographs of the electrode surface after cycling (Figure 4.22), showing decompositions products on the surface.

Origin of the difference in the lithiation mechanism

The difference in $\frac{Q_{ICL}}{Q_{ICL}}$-ratio experienced during the electrochemical reduction step and the subsequent cycles, could be caused by SEI formation during the hold step, as SEI formation will reduce the $\frac{Q_{ICL}}{Q_{ICL}}$-ratio. In this case, there would be no true difference in the reaction mechanism. However, it could also be effected by the rate of conversion by the reactions 2.22a-2.22c, at different potentials. Zhang et al. have proposed that reaction 2.22c takes place first in the reduction process [95]. Thus, it is possible that a larger contribution from 2.22c is experienced from cycle 3 - cycle 50, because the relative contribution from 2.22c is larger at higher potentials.

No difference was observed in the $\frac{Q_{ICL}}{Q_{ICL}}$-ratio of cells with the same electrode, but different electrolyte composition. Therefore, it is unlikely that electrolyte composition have a significant effect on the lithiation mechanism of SiO$_2$. 
5.4.4 Effect of carbon coating

As noted in section 5.4.1, distinct differences can be seen in the capacity of cells with pristine SiO\(_2\) and carbon coated SiO\(_2\). From Figure 4.13, two main trends can be observed. The capacity of cells with carbon coated SiO\(_2\) have initially higher capacity than cells with pristine SiO\(_2\). In addition, the capacity of cell with carbon coated SiO\(_2\) is fairly stable through cycling. In contrast, the average capacity of cells with pristine SiO\(_2\) increase with cycle number, before stabilize towards cycle 50. The presence of FEC and VC appears to impact cells with pristine SiO\(_2\) and carbon coated SiO\(_2\) differently. However, this topic will be addressed in the section 5.4.5.

The behavior described in the aforementioned paragraph could be caused by two mechanisms. In the first mechanism, the initially higher capacity of cells with carbon coated SiO\(_2\) is mainly caused by the capacity contribution from Li\(^+\) intercalation into the amorphous carbon coating. In this case, the limited capacity increase in capacity during the electrochemical reduction step and the subsequent cycles, may be caused by an overpotential created by extensive SEI formation on the carbon coated SiO\(_2\) particles. This overpotential could then limiting the conversion reactions of SiO\(_2\) to Si. A possible second mechanism, is that the high initial capacity of carbon coated SiO\(_2\) is caused by a higher initial conversion of SiO\(_2\) to Si, facilitated by the carbon coating ability to distributing electrons efficiency around the SiO\(_2\) particles. In this case, the limited increase in capacity observed in the subsequent cycles may be caused by the limiting reactivity of SiO\(_2\) beyond a certain penetration depth, as hypothesized by Lepoivre et al. [70](i.e. most of the available SiO\(_2\) is already converted after the first cycle). A combination of the two mechanisms is also possible.

The SEM micrographs of the electrode surface and the FIB cross-section in Figure 4.22 and Figure 4.23e, 4.23f respectively, show extensive film formation on the carbon coated SiO\(_2\) particles compared to the pristine SiO\(_2\) particles. The presence of such film formation could create an overpotential, which would support the first mechanism. If the film formation causes an overpotential, this should be observable in both the differential capacity plot and EIS data. However, no significant overpotential is observable in the differential capacity plot of either cycle 1, cycle 3 or cycle 50 in Figure 4.16a, 4.16b, 4.16c respectively. In these figures, peaks can be seen to form on roughly the same potentials, implying that there is no significant overpotential in the electrode. This observation is further supported by the EIS data in Figure 4.19, where R\(_3\) can be assumed to be representative of R\(_{ct}\). In this figure, R\(_{ct}\) of SM-35CB-EC/H48 is lower than PM-35CB-EC/H48 at all cycles, and at the 10th cycle, SM-35CB-EC/H48 has the lowest R\(_{ct}\) of all
cells. Thus, it is likely that the carbon coating results in a thicker SEI, but that the SEI layer exhibit good Li$^+$ conductivity, limiting the overpotential caused by the SEI.

If the observations made in Figure 4.13 are caused by the second mechanism, the capacity in terms of $m_{\text{SiO}_2}$ of the cells with carbon coated SiO$_2$ should be higher in the first cycles, relative to the cell with pristine SiO$_2$. Moreover, if the reactivity of SiO$_2$ is limited to a certain depth, there should exist a fixed volume of SiO$_2$ available for conversion in the anode. Thus, there should be an upper capacity limit to all cells, that the cells converge to with increasing cycle number.

In an attempt to explore if this is the case, the capacity of cells in phase 2 (Figure 4.13) was recalculated. This was done by subtracting the average capacity contribution pr cycle of all sources of carbon, and then normalize the capacity in terms of $m_{\text{SiO}_2}$ $^1$. It should be noted that this type of calculation is inherently uncertain. The standard deviation of the average capacity of the carbon cells is quite large. Thus, there might be significant differences in the capacity contribution from carbon in each cell. It is also uncertain if the average capacity of carbon is the same in a pure carbon cell and in a cell with SiO$_2$. Finally, the estimated capacity contribution of carbon in each cell relies heavily on the carbon content of the carbon coated SiO$_2$. Thus, small uncertainness in the TGA data might lead to large uncertainties in this data.

$^1$In Figure 4.13, the specific capacity was calculated on the basis of active material, which is the standard in the literature. The amount of active material is the same in all cell, but as the cells with carbon coated SiO$_2$ contain 46.5 wt% carbon, the wt % SiO$_2$ is lower in these cells.
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Figure 5.2: Recalculated average capacity of cells in phase 2. Average capacity contribution pr. cycle from carbon additives is subtracted and the capacity normalized to $m_{\text{SiO}_2}$.

The recalculated average capacities are presented in Figure 5.2. The figure suggests that a large fraction of the capacity in all cells originates from the SiO$_2$, with the highest estimated capacity originating from SiO$_2$ at 625 mAh$^{-1}$ for PM-35CB-EC/H48. The figure also suggests that a significant part of the capacity of the carbon coated SiO$_2$ originate from SiO$_2$, not the pyrolysed carbon. The capacity of PM-35CB-EC/H48 and SM-35CB-FEC/H48 also appears to converge with cycling. However, for this to proven, more cycles have to be conducted.

The higher initial capacity of SM-35CB-FEC/H38 compared to PM-35CB-EC/48, also suggests that the carbon coating contributes to increase the initial conversion of SiO$_2$ to Si. This observation is further supported by the plot of the specific ICL, presented in Figure 5.3. In this plot, the average ICL pr cycle of the different carbon additives have been subtracted and the ICL re-normalized to $m_{\text{SiO}_2}$. Thus, the data in the figure can be viewed as an estimate of the ICL caused by conversion of SiO$_2$ to Si.

The figure indicates that the specific ICL of SM-35CB-FEC/H48 is significantly higher than the other cells in both the 1st and the 2nd cycle. This suggests that a larger amount of SiO$_2$ is converted to Si over the two first cycles in SM-35CB-FEC/H48 compared to the other cells. This observation is also supported by the current profile of the electrochemical reduction step in Figure 4.12. Initially, a larger current can be seen going through cells
with carbon coated SiO$_2$ compared to the cells with pristine SiO$_2$.

![Graph](image.png)

**Figure 5.3:** Average specific ICL of cells in phase 2. Average ICL per cycle from carbon additives is subtracted and the ICL normalized to m$_{SiO_2}$.

Based on the observation in this section, it is likely that carbon coating the SiO$_2$ result in a faster conversion of SiO$_2$ to Si, relative to pristine SiO$_2$. This is probably caused by the carbon coatings ability to distribute electrons around the entire SiO$_2$ particle, thereby counteracting the limited conductivity of the SiO$_2$. This observation is also in agreement with the improved capacity of carbon coated SiO$_2$ relative to pristine SiO$_2$ reported in the litterture [13, 69, 98, 88].

### 5.4.5 Effect of electrolyte composition

As noted in the previous section, the electrolyte additives, FEC and VC, appears to affect the capacity of carbon coated SiO$_2$ and pristine SiO$_2$ differently. In addition, the additives were found to affect the morphology and chemistry of the SEI layer, the extent of SEI formation in the bulk of the electrodes and the impedance of the electrodes.

In the differential capacity plots of the first cycle of cells in phase 2 (Figure 4.16a), the initial decomposition of FEC and VC at $\sim$ 1.5 V in PM-35CB-EC/H48, SM-35CB-EC/H48, appears to limit peak formation at 0.8 V relative to cells with the EC electrolyte.
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This suggests that the initial decomposition of FEC and VC, limits decomposition of EC at 0.8 V, and thereby alters the chemistry of the SEI layer.

This is further supported by the difference in SEI morphology observed in the SEM micrographs of the electrodes cycled in electrolytes in the two electrolytes (Figure 4.21 for cells with pristine SiO₂ and Figure 4.22 for cells with carbon coated SiO₂). Moreover, SEM micrographs of the FIB cross-sections show that cells cycled in FEC and VC appear to have less SEI formation in the bulk of the electrode. This was observed in both cells with pristine SiO₂ and carbon coated SiO₂. Finally, EDX mapping of the electrode surface after cycling resulted in a higher EDX signal of fluorine (Table 4.11) on electrodes cycled in FEC and VC. It should be noted quantifying chemical composition by EDX is an inherently uncertain technique. However, as the same working distance, acceleration voltage and electron current were used in all EDX mappings, and that similar results are reported in the literature, should justify the use of the EDX data as indicative of the chemical composition of the SEI layer [121].

Effect on electrode performance

In Figure 4.13, FEC and VC appears to increase the capacity of carbon coated SiO₂, while hampering the capacity of pristine SiO₂. This behaviour could potentially be caused by the aforementioned differences in the SEI formed on carbon coated SiO₂ and pristine SiO₂.

The SEM micrograph in Figure 4.21 showed limited SEI formation on pristine SiO₂, while the SEM micrograph of the carbon coated SiO₂ (Figure 4.22) indicated extensive SEI formation. Thus, a possible hypothesis is that the first cycle decomposition of FEC and VC affect the two materials systems differently. In the case of pristine SiO₂, the initial decomposition of FEC and VC might increase the thickness of the SEI layer compared to electrolytes without the additive. In the case of carbon coated SiO₂, the initial decomposition of FEC and VC might limit further extensive decomposition of EC, which is found to be the case in the literature for Si-anodes [62].

However, limited evidence supporting this hypothesis can be found in this work. The SEM micrographs of the electrode surfaces showed neglectable differences in film thickness when comparing cells with the same electrode, but cycled in different electrolytes (PM-35CB-EC/H48 vs PM-35CB-FEC/H48 and SM-35CB-EC/H48 vs SM-35CB-FEC/H48). In the FIB cross-section, FEC and VC was found to reduce the bulk film formation in both cells with pristine SiO₂ and carbon coated SiO₂, indicating that the additives have
the same effect on both pristine SiO\textsubscript{2} and carbon coated SiO\textsubscript{2}. Moreover, the differential capacity plots show no sign of overpotential when comparing cell with and without FEC and VC.

By comparing the Nyquist plots (Figure 4.19) of cells with pristine SiO\textsubscript{2} (PM-35CB-EC/H48 and PM-35CB-FEC/H48), the presence of FEC an VC appears to dramatically reduce both \( R_{SEI} \) and \( R_{CT} \). This could be explained by the hypothesis put forth by Jaumann et al., which proposed that the addition of FEC increase the amount of LiF crystals in the SEI layer, creating a more porous SEI than better conduct Li\textsuperscript{+} [58]. This might be the case, as an increase in fluorine was detected by EDX for cells cycled in FEC. However, there is no way of knowing if the fluorine actually was in the form of LiF crystals. It should also be noted that the EIS data only were measured once. Thus, it is possible that the large variation in impedance is a results experimental uncertainties. Unfortunate, limited time prevented the collection of experimental data on Nyquist plot of SM-35CB-FEC/H48. Therefore, it was not possible to explore if FEC and VC affects \( R_{SEI} \) and \( R_{CT} \) differently in cells with pristine SiO\textsubscript{2} and carbon coated SiO\textsubscript{2}.

Based on this discussion it is evident that the electrolyte additives, FEC and VC, effect the chemistry and morphology of the SEI. Moreover, this effect appears to translated into cell performance, as cells with the same electrode, but different electrolyte compositions, exhibit different capacities and impedance. However, the results of this work failed to identify the underlying mechanism.

### 5.5 SiO\textsubscript{2} from diatom frustules as an anode material

To be a viable option as an anode material, the diatom frustules must be a competitive alternative as a SiO\textsubscript{2} feedstock, and in the long run, be a viable option for replacing carbon anodes.

Compared to the reported capacities of SiO\textsubscript{2} anodes in the literature (Table 2.6), the highest average capacity in this work, 723 mAhg\textsuperscript{-1} after 50 cycles (PM-35CB-EC/H48), is among the highest reported of SiO\textsubscript{2} anodes. In addition, most of the publications in Table 2.6 with higher reported capacities use cut-off potentials above 2.00 V, which unrealistic in terms of full cell applications [85, 14, 12]. However, this work has also demonstrated some serous limitations related to the use of SiO\textsubscript{2} from diatom frustules.

The need for an electrochemical reduction step to achieve sufficient capacities is a major drawback, as it might impose huge costs on potentially commercial applications. Thus,
the need for such a reduction step rises the question of whether or not large scale bulk re-
duction of diatom frustules by magnesiothermic reduction, as demonstrated by Campbell
et al., is a better approach [74]. This might be the case, but magensiothermic reduction
also have serious downs sides, such as safety consideration and the need for an air free
environment during reduction. Moreover, further optimization of milling, carbon coat-
ings and electrolyte composition might make the electrochemical reduction step obsolete.
Therefore, based on the discussion in this section, there seems to be little reason for
discarding diatom frustules as an promising SiO$_2$ feedstock.

The high capacity, cycling stability and rate capability demonstrated in this work, also
makes SiO$_2$ from diatom frustules an interesting option for replacement graphite. How-
ever, this work has also demonstrated limitations related to the use of SiO$_2$ in full cells.

The voltage profile of SiO$_2$ in this work (Figure 4.11) is considerable more sloping than
the voltage profile of graphite anodes. In accordance with equation 2.8 (energy density
of a battery), this imply that the improved capacity of SiO$_2$ anodes do not result in a
proportional increase in energy density. Another significant disadvantage is the low CE
of the first cycles observed in Figure 4.14. Even though the low CE is related to the
capacity increase in a half cell, most full cells are cathode limited, implying that the
capacity increase of the anode cannot be exploited in a full cells configuration. Moreover,
the low CE of the first cycles might deplete the limited Li$^+$ available in a full cell, and
thereby reduce the capacity. Thus, the capacity increase of SiO$_2$ anodes have to be
achieved before the anode is assembled in to a full cell, and from this point out, any
increase in capacity should be limited to prevent consumption of Li$^+$.

Finally, it should also be noted that the results in this work was achieved by electrodes
with a fairly low loading and relative large amounts of conductive additives. Thus, it is
yet to be proven if the same results can be achieved with a loading relevant for industrial
applications.
Chapter 6: Conclusion

The primary goal of this work was to investigate the potential of SiO$_2$ from diatom frustules as a potentially high performance, low cost and environmentally friendly anode material. Initial trails revealed that milling the SiO$_2$ is necessary to achieve densely packed electrodes. In addition, the highest achieved capacity, 409 mAh$^{-1}$ after 100 cycles, was found insufficient compared to the capacities of SiO$_2$ already reported in the literature.

In an attempt to increase the capacity, both SiO$_2$/Si composites and electrochemical reduction of the SiO$_2$ were explored as capacity enhancing techniques. The SiO$_2$/Si composites experienced an initial increase in capacity proportional to the Si content. However, the capacity quickly faded, and after 100 cycles the capacity of all composites had reduced to levels equivalent to that of pure SiO$_2$ cells. The rapid fade in capacity can be attributed to the incompatible operation parameters of SiO$_2$ and Si, resulting in massive volume expansion of Si. Electrochemical reduction was found to significantly increase the capacity of the SiO$_2$ cell.

Further investigation of the electrochemical reduction confirmed that the technique was successful in achieving high capacity SiO$_2$ anodes, with excellent cycling stability at practical operating conditions (200 mAg$^{-1}$, 0.01 V-2.00 V). In addition, the anode showed good rate performance up to 1000 mAg$^{-1}$. The best performing material system, consisting of pristine SiO$_2$, achieved a final average capacity of 723 mAhg$^{-1}$. Of this, the capacity contribution of SiO$_2$ was estimated to 625 mAhg$^{-1}$. Although electrodes with pristine SiO$_2$ had a higher final capacity, carbon coated SiO$_2$ had a higher initial capacity. This can most likely be attributed to the carbon coating facilitating an even distribution of electrons around the SiO$_2$ particles in the electrode, increasing the amount of active SiO$_2$ in the electrode.

Evaluating the gain in capacity as a function of the irreversible capacity loss, revealed that the increase in capacity under the electrochemical reduction step was most likely caused by the conversion reaction, $2 \text{SiO}_2 + 4 \text{Li}^+ + 4 \text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{Li}_4\text{SiO}_4 + \text{Si}$. However, the model
suggests that the capacity gain over regular cycling shows significant contribution from
the conversion reaction, \( \text{SiO}_2 + 4 \text{Li}^+ + 4 \text{e}^- \rightarrow 2\text{Li}_2\text{O} + \text{Si} \), which has a higher theoretical
capacity. Therefore, it is reasonable to believe that the capacity might be significantly
improved if processes that increase the conversion of \( \text{SiO}_2 \) to \( \text{Si} \) by the latter reaction are
identified.

With a capacity 723 mAhg\(^{-1}\) after 50 cycles, the SiO\(_2\) from frustules resulted in some
of the highest reported capacities found in the literature on SiO\(_2\) anodes. In addition
to the high capacity, the cycling stability and good rate capability contributes to coun-
terbalance the drawbacks of a sloping voltage profile. Moreover, this was achieved by
cycling conducted at relatively high specific currents and over a practical voltage window.
Thus, SiO\(_2\) from diatom frustules appears to be a potential high performance, low cost
and environmentally friendly anode material. However, for wide spread applications of
the SiO\(_2\) to take place, the performance must be confirmed at higher loadings, and the
drawbacks of the electrochemical reduction process and the high ICL must be addressed.
Chapter 7: Further work

Aim of this chapter

This chapter on further work is divided into two main sections. The first section will provide suggestions for how some of the challenges identified in this work might be resolved, and highlight some interesting observations made that could not be pursued in this report. The second section has a broader focus, and will attempt to address how the main challenges of using SiO$_2$ from diatom frustules in full cell applications might be solved.

Addressing challenges identified in this work

Improved understanding of lithiation mechanism of SiO$_2$

For SiO$_2$ anodes to reach the theoretical capacity of 1673 mAhg$^{-1}$, a better understanding of the multiple lithiation mechanisms of SiO$_2$ (reaction 2.22) and the relative contribution from the different lithiation mechanisms is needed. It is also important to gain insight into how cycling parameters, such as; SiO$_2$ particle size, the chemistry of carbon coatings and cycling conditions might affect these reaction mechanisms.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) is a powerful technique for identifying the chemical composition of a sample. XPS can also be used to determine the relative amount of each the different reaction products. A detailed XPS analysis of the formation products present in cells with different carbon coating, electrolyte composition and cells which are cycled at different rates and cut off potentials, might contribute to improve the understanding of the reaction mechanisms 2.22a-2.22c, and how to optimize towards reaction 2.22c. If this can be achieved, the capacity of SiO$_2$ might increase towards the theoretical capacity of 1673 mAhg$^{-1}$. 
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Optimization of carbon coating

The results of this work suggest that carbon coating the SiO\textsubscript{2} particles is important in ensuring a fast and uniform conversion of SiO\textsubscript{2} to Si. However, as the theoretical capacity of the carbon coating is lower than SiO\textsubscript{2}, and that the carbon surface is prone so extensive SEI formation, the amount of carbon should be minimized. Thus, a study on the resulting properties of different carbon coatings, and subsequent optimization of the carbon content might significantly improve the capacity of the cell.

Optimization of milling

Milling was one of the few parameters in the initial screening of this work that resulted in a significant increase in capacity. Furthermore, if the accessible volume of SiO\textsubscript{2} indeed is limited by the insulating nature of SiO\textsubscript{2}, further milling might significantly improve the capacity by increasing the surface area. In addition, Guo et al. hypothesized that the size of the SiO\textsubscript{2} particles might affect which of the conversion reactions 2.22a-2.22c are most prevalent [11]. Thus, it is possible that detailed understanding on the effect milling might be key in approaching the theoretical capacity of SiO\textsubscript{2} at 1673 mAhg\textsuperscript{-1}.

Proving long time cycling stability

The cycling stability of cell in this work was superb. However, this stability was only proven up to 100 cycles. Even though there is little reason to believe that cycle stability of will fade with increasing cycle number, this is yet to be proven. Thereby, efforts should be made to prove the cycle stability of the cell up to the industry standard for cycle life time at 1000 cycles.

Optimization of electrolyte composition

This work demonstrated that electrolyte additives such as FEC and VC have an impact on the performance of electrode. Even though this work was not able to identify the underlying mechanism causing these differences, further exploring the effect of electrolyte composition on the performance of SiO\textsubscript{2} anodes might prove fruitful. This might especially be the case, if carbon coating SiO\textsubscript{2} is deemed necessary, as this work have shown that FEC and VC significantly improved the performance of carbon coated SiO\textsubscript{2}. Thus, further exploration of electrolyte solvents, such as: DMC, EMS and PC and other
electrolyte additives, such as NO$_3^-$, might result in further improvements of the electrode performance.

**Challenges of SiO$_2$ in full cell applications**

As elaborated on in the previous chapter, two fundamental challenges have to be overcome for SiO$_2$ from diatom frustules to become a viable option as anode material in full cells. First, processes have to be developed for fast conversion of SiO$_2$ to Si. Second, after this conversion has taken place, the capacity must be stabilized in order to limit Li$^+$ consumption in full cells configurations.

As proved in this work, the first challenge may be resolved by electrochemical reduction. However, this technique has limitations related to cost and scalability. Further size reduction of the SiO$_2$ might render the electrochemical reduction step unnecessary. If primitive and low cost size reduction methods, such as ball milling, can further reduced the SiO$_2$ to 100 nm - 200 nm, the surface area of the SiO$_2$ will increase significantly. This will increase the volume of SiO$_2$ that is readily accessible for lithiation. Thus, it is possible that the electrochemical reduction step will be unnecessary.

As previously noted the, capacity gain with cycle number in a full cell must be limited to prevent consumption of Li$^+$. This problem might be eliminated by investigation techniques for ensuring close to 100 % conversion of SiO$_2$ before full cell assembly. This work showed that the increase in capacity with cycle number was significantly lower for carbon coated SiO$_2$ compared to pristine SiO$_2$. Therefore, it is possible that a more in-depth study on effect of carbon coating, electrolyte composition and the resulting SEI might identify processes that limit the capacity gain with cycle number. In addition, it is possible that this problem can be circumvent by monitoring the CE and limit the charging/discharging. However, this depend on whether or not the irreversible conversion reactions of SiO$_2$ to Si are taking place over the entire charging cycle, or if they only take place at the limiting potentials, i.e. only at low potentials.
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Appendix

A1 Supplementary notes on experimental work

A1.1 Slurry preparation

A1.1.1 Actual composition used for carbon coating

The actual compositions used under the carbon coating procedure of SiO\textsubscript{2} and for the fabrication of pyrolysed carbon are presented in Table A1.

**Table A1**: Actual compositions of SiO\textsubscript{2}, carbon precursor and solvent used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Batch</th>
<th>SiO\textsubscript{2} [g]</th>
<th>Carbon precursor</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cornstarch coated SiO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>0.2400</td>
<td>Cornstarch</td>
<td>Ethanol 6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose coated SiO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>0.2799</td>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>DI-Water 7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose coated milled SiO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>0.2002</td>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>DI-Water 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrolysed cornstarch</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Cornstarch</td>
<td>Ethanol 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrolysed sucrose</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>DI-Water 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A1.1.2 Actual Slurry Compositions

An overview of the actual composition of the caste produced is found in Table A2. What constitutes the active material in the individual casts can be seen in section 3.4, Table 3.1. Alg gel represents the amount of the gelled alginate used, while Alg pow. constitutes the amount of Na-alg powder in that volume of alg gel.

**Table A2:** Actual composition of casts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cast</th>
<th>Alg gel [g]</th>
<th>Alg pow. [g]</th>
<th>Active material [g]</th>
<th>CB [g]</th>
<th>CNT [g]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P-25CB</td>
<td>3.0216</td>
<td>0.0504</td>
<td>0.2006</td>
<td>0.0833</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-35CB</td>
<td>3.0112</td>
<td>0.0502</td>
<td>0.1667</td>
<td>0.1167</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-35CB</td>
<td>3.0103</td>
<td>0.0502</td>
<td>0.1667</td>
<td>0.1159</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-25CB</td>
<td>4.0200</td>
<td>0.0670</td>
<td>0.2660</td>
<td>0.1112</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-25CB</td>
<td>4.0126</td>
<td>0.0668</td>
<td>0.2668</td>
<td>0.1111</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM-35CB</td>
<td>2.9966</td>
<td>0.0500</td>
<td>0.1665</td>
<td>0.1166</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-35CBCNT</td>
<td>3.0073</td>
<td>0.0501</td>
<td>0.1661</td>
<td>0.0501</td>
<td>0.0584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-35CBCNT</td>
<td>3.0071</td>
<td>0.0501</td>
<td>0.1671</td>
<td>0.0582</td>
<td>0.0582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si25</td>
<td>4.0101</td>
<td>0.0668</td>
<td>0.0667 Si + 0.1999 CSiO$_2$</td>
<td>0.1115</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si50</td>
<td>3.0484</td>
<td>0.0508</td>
<td>0.1335 Si + 0.1327 CSiO$_2$</td>
<td>0.1111</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si75</td>
<td>3.0484</td>
<td>0.0508</td>
<td>0.2005 Si + 0.0665 CSiO$_2$</td>
<td>0.1112</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si50M</td>
<td>3.0484</td>
<td>0.0508</td>
<td>0.0832 Si + 0.0833 SiO$_2$</td>
<td>0.0583</td>
<td>0.0583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>3 ml</td>
<td>0.0693</td>
<td>0.2350</td>
<td>0.1250</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>4.0011</td>
<td>0.0667</td>
<td>0.2666</td>
<td>0.1105</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB</td>
<td>3.0172</td>
<td>0.0502</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2838</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A1.2 Electrolyte preparation

Actual amount of solvents used to fabricate the FEC electrolyte.

Table A3: Actual composition of prepared electrolyte

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nomenclature</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Solvent [µL]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FEC</td>
<td>~ 0.94 M LiPF$_6$ in 47:47:5:1 ED:DEC:FEC:VC</td>
<td>$V_{\text{Pre}} = 3760$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$V_{\text{FEC}} = 200$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$V_{\text{VC}} = 40$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A2 Supplementary data on characterization of frustules and active material

A2.1 X-ray diffraction

Figure A1 shows the XRD data from all batches prepared in this work. Some variation can be observed in the different batches. However, the same general observation can be made from each batch, no crystalline peaks can be observed and the broad peak at 22° representing SiO$_2$. 

![XRD diffractogram of all batches of extracted SiO$_2$.](image)
A2. SUPPLEMENTARY DATA ON CHARACTERIZATION OF FRUSTULES AND ACTIVE MATERIAL

A2.2 Laser diffraction

Figure A2 presents all the laser diffraction data from this work. To ensure some statistical significance three measurements were conducted for each sample. After the measurements, the sample was subjected 5 min additional ultrasound before 2 additional measurements were conducted. This was done to confirm that most of the agglomerates were removed by the pre ultrasonication.

![Figure A2: Overview of all measurements conducted by laser diffraction.](image-url)
A2.3 SEM micrographs of diatoms

This section presents a more extensive collection of SEM micrographs of the extracted diatoms. The different layers were attempted identifies by comparing the micrographs to the AFM data coscinodiscus presented by Losic et al [101]. Suggestion for which layer the different micrographs show is written in the caption.
Figure A3: SEM micrographs of the diatom frustules. (a) Overview of multiple layers (b) potentially the cribrum layer, (c)-(d) the Cribellum layer and (e) possibly the foramen layer.
Figure A4: SEM micrographs of the different structures on the frustules. (a) Cribellum layer, (b) foramen, (c)-(d) porosity of unidentified structure, (e) bulk porosity of the cribellum layer.
### A3 Experimental matrix for electrochemical characterization

Table A4 shows an overview of cells assembled and cycled in phase 1 of this work.

**Table A4:** Experimental matrix for phase 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material system</th>
<th>Galvanostatic Cycling (# cells, Program)</th>
<th>EIS</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Loading [mgcm(^{-1})]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Cells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD-25CB-EC</td>
<td>3x, L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.30/0.27/0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD-25CB-EC</td>
<td>3x, L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.15/0.21/0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB-EC</td>
<td>3x, L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.21/0.21/0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO(_2) Cells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-25CB-EC</td>
<td>1x, L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-25CB-EC</td>
<td>1x, L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-25CB-EC</td>
<td>1x, L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-25CB-FEC</td>
<td>1x, L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-35CB-EC</td>
<td>1x, L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-35CB-EC</td>
<td>1x, L3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-35CB-FEC</td>
<td>1x, L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-35CBCNT-EC</td>
<td>1x, L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-35CB-EC</td>
<td>1x, L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-35CB-FEC</td>
<td>1x, L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-35CB-EC</td>
<td>1x, H48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM-35CB-EC</td>
<td>1x, L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM-35CB-FEC</td>
<td>1x, L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM-35CB-EC</td>
<td>1x, H48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO(_2)/Si Cells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si25-25CB-EC</td>
<td>1x, L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si50-25CB-EC</td>
<td>1x, L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si75-35CB-EC</td>
<td>1x, L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si50M-35CBNT-FEC</td>
<td>1x, L1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table A5 shows an overview of cells assembled and cycled in phase 1 of this work.

**Table A5:** Experimental matrix for phase 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material system</th>
<th>Galvanostatic Cycling (# cells, Program)</th>
<th>EIS</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Loading [mgcm(^{-1})]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pristine SiO(_2)</td>
<td>1x, H132</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-35CB-EC</td>
<td>2x, H48</td>
<td>1x</td>
<td>1x</td>
<td>0.2, All Cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-35CB-EC</td>
<td>2x, H48</td>
<td>1x</td>
<td>1x</td>
<td>0.2, All Cells</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material system</th>
<th>Galvanostatic Cycling (# cells, Program)</th>
<th>EIS</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Loading [mgcm(^{-1})]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon coated SiO(_2)</td>
<td>2x, H48</td>
<td>1x</td>
<td>1x</td>
<td>0.2, All Cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM-35CB-EC</td>
<td>2x, H48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1x</td>
<td>0.2, All Cells</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material system</th>
<th>Galvanostatic Cycling (# cells, Program)</th>
<th>EIS</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Loading [mgcm(^{-1})]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>3x, L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2, All Cells</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A4 Supplementary data on electrochemical characterization

A4.1 Voltage profiles.

Figure A5a, A5b show the voltage profile of PM-35CB-EC/H48 and P-35CB-EC/L. PM-35CB-EC/H48, which has undergone electrochemical reduction, show an increase in the length of the plateaus initiated at approximately 0.25 V.
Figure A5: Voltage profile of cycle 1, cycle 2 and cycle 3 for (a) P-35CB-EC/L and (b) PM-35CB-EC/H48.
A4.2 Additional capacity plots from phase 1

Figure A6a, A6b, show Figure 4.7c, 4.7d with additional cells. In Figure A6a, the limited effect electrolyte composition had on cells with unmilled SiO$_2$ (S-25CB-EC/L and P-35CB-EC/L) can be seen. In Figure A6b, milling can be seen to increase the capacity of both carbon coated and pristine SiO$_2$. However, the effect appears to be greater in carbon coated SiO$_2$ (SM-35CB-EC/L).

**Figure A6**: Additional Phase 1 capacity plots. (a) Cells with the same electrode composition but different electrolytes, (b) cell with milled and unmilled SiO$_2$. 
A4.3 Additional plots of the coulombic efficiency of cells in phase 2

Figure A7 presents the average CE of cells in phase 2. Error bars show one standard deviation. Tabulate values of selected cycles can be found in Table A6.

![Figure A7: Average Coulombic efficiency of cells in phase 2. (a) General trend of the coulombic efficiency of all 50 cycle. (b) Enlarged view of (a). Error bars show one standard deviation.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coulombic E. [%]</td>
<td>Coulombic E. [%]</td>
<td>Coulombic E. [%]</td>
<td>Coulombic E. [%]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>43.68 ± 1.494</td>
<td>38.91 ± 0.3551</td>
<td>46.06 ± 0.7404</td>
<td>42.47 ± 0.2477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>51.15 ± 0.4462</td>
<td>50.36 ± 0.1041</td>
<td>67.91 ± 0.3881</td>
<td>52.32 ± 21.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>91.63 ± 0.2671</td>
<td>92.02 ± 0.0029</td>
<td>91.84 ± 0.7668</td>
<td>92.51 ± 1.343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>98.90 ± 0.2080</td>
<td>98.73 ± 0.1173</td>
<td>98.80 ± 0.2722</td>
<td>99.05 ± 0.6245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>99.26 ± 0.0197</td>
<td>99.43 ± 0.0293</td>
<td>99.13 ± 0.0341</td>
<td>99.61 ± 0.2201</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A4.4 Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy

Figure A8 shows the Nyquist plot of PM-35CB-EC/H48, PM-35CB-FEC/H48, SM-35CB-EC/H48 in both lithiated and delithiated state, for all cycles EIS was conducted. EIS was not conducted on SM-35CB-FEC/H48 due to limited time.

![Nyquist plots](image1)

**Figure A8:** Nyquist plot of three electrode cells with different anode and electrolyte composition. (a) PM-35CB-EC/H48, (b) PM-35CB-FEC/H48 and (c) SM-35CB-EC/H48.
A5 Supplementary SEM micrographs of electrodes

A5.1 Additional SEM micrographs of electrode surfaces

This section show additional SEM micrographs of electrode surfaces from cells in phase 2. As stated in section 4.4.1.1, limited crack formation is observed in every electrode. The amount of cracks and the crack depth, appears to be of the same order in all electrodes. Some limited differences can be observed. However, these difference is not large enough to exclude the possibility of them being formed by differences in brightness/contrast levels, different surface films and local differences in surface morphology.

![SEM images of cycled electrodes](image)

**Figure A9:** SEM images of cycled electrodes (a) PM-35CB-EC/H48, (b) PM-35CB-EC/H48 (c) SM-35CB-EC/H48 (d) SM-35CB-FEC/H48
A5.2 Removal of SEI layer on electrode surface structure

Figure A10a show a SiO$_2$ particle on a SM-35CB-FEC/H48 electrode after 50 cycles. By exposing the surface to a high potential/high current electron beam, the surface film was removed. The removal of the surface film confirm that the covered structures observed in SM-35CB-EC/H48 and SM-35CB-FEC/H48 (Figure 4.22) indeed are SiO$_2$ structures with thick film formation.

![Figure A10: (a) Structure observed on SM-35CB-FEC/H48 electrode surface after cycling. (b) Same structure as in (a), now exposed to a high voltage/current electron beam.](image-url)
A6 Supplementary EDX spectra

EDX was used to estimate the fluorine composition of the electrode surface after cycling. This was done by comparing the cps of fluorine in the EDX measurements of the electrodes seen in Figure A11-A14. The noted values can be found in Table 4.11 in section 4.4.2. Figures A11-A14(a) show the EDX spectra of all electrodes in phase 2 after cycling. Figure A11-A14(b) show a close-view of the fluorine peaks.

It should be noted that these kind of measurements are inherently uncertain. However, as the same WD, acceleration voltage and electron current were used, the results could be indicative of the actual fluorine content. It should also be noted that the wt % should not be used as an estimate of the actual wt % of fluorine, as this value also is dependent on the cps from other elements.
Figure A11: EDX mapping of PM-35CB-EC/H48 surface after cycling. (a) Full EDX spectrum, (B) close up of fluorine peak.
Figure A12: EDX mapping of PM-35CB-FEC/H48 surface after cycling. (a) Full EDX spectrum, (B) close up of fluorine peak.
Figure A13: EDX mapping of SM-35CB-EC/H48 surface after cycling. (a) Full EDX spectrum, (B) close up of fluorine peak.
Figure A14: EDX mapping of SM-35CB-FEC/H48 surface after cycling. (a) Full EDX spectrum, (B) close up of fluorine peak.
A7 Notes on Si anodes and collaboration with Henning Kaland

This work was done in parallel with master students Henning Kalands work on Si anodes [122]. Due to the similarities of SiO\(_2\) anodes and Si anodes, a collaboration was initiated. This include the casting of SiO\(_2\)/Si composites and sharing of data that was found valuable to the other.

In terms of the collaboration on SiO\(_2\)/Si composites, preprocessing of the SiO\(_2\) was done by the author, while pre processing of the Si was done by Kaland. The composition of the casts and the cycling parameters were decided after a common discussion, and prepared and assembled together. In addition, Kaland was gracious enough to help with the milling of SiO\(_2\) and to supply data from galvanostatic cycling of Si anodes. Differential capacity analysis was conducted on this data, and it was used to compare the lithiation potentials of SiO\(_2\) to Si.

**Differential capacity curve of Si anode**

The differential capacity curve presented in this section is based on galvanostatic cycling conducted by Henning Kaland [122]. However, the differential capacity analysis were conducted by the author according to the same procedure described in the experimental chapter, section 3.7.1.

The differential capacity curve of an Si anode can be seen in Figure A15. Two distinct peaks can be observed in both the anodic and cathodic direction. Two anodic peaks at 0.26 V and 0.43 V, and two cathodic peaks at 0.09 V and 0.25 V. The two peaks can probably be described by the different lithiation potentials of the Li\(_x\)Si phases described in section 2.3.2.
Notes on Si50M

Based on the limited cycling stability of Si25, Si50 and Si75, a new composite cast, Si50M, was prepared. A buffered CMC solution was used in an attempt to improve the bonds between the Si particles and the binder. This binder was prepared by Kaland, and a description of the preparation process can be found in his work [122].

Figure A15: (a) Differential capacity plot of Si anode. (b) Up close view of (a). Resolution of plot is $\Delta E = 10$ mV.