Method for studying high temperature aqueous electrochemical systems: Methanol and glycerol oxidation
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\textbf{Abstract}

A method for high purity aqueous electrochemical experiments at temperatures above the normal boiling point of water and at temperatures up to 140 °C is described. A three-electrode cell in a self-pressurized glass autoclave is heated in an oil bath. Slow ramping of the temperature allows efficient acquisition of kinetic parameters such as activation energies, oxidation onset potentials and Tafel slopes by using cyclic voltammetry. The oxidation of two organic alcohols with different volatilities, methanol (high volatility) and glycerol (low volatility), are studied to demonstrate the capabilities of the method. Methanol oxidation on platinum is found to have a similar mechanism at all temperatures, with either dissociative adsorption of water or dissociative adsorption of methanol as the rate-determining step. In the case of glycerol oxidation on platinum, the mechanism changes at 110 °C. At low temperatures dissociative adsorption of water or dissociative adsorption of glycerol is suggested to be the rate-determining step. At higher temperatures, a significant decrease in onset potential was observed and the glycerol is suggested to selectively oxidize to glyceraldehyde or dihydroxyacetone, with dissociative glycerol adsorption as the rate-determining step.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Use of direct alcohol fuel cells (DAFC) offers an attractive alternative to the full implementation of the hydrogen economy [1]. However, organic fuels suffer from sluggish kinetics, electrode poisoning, and fuel crossover through the membrane. Understanding the reaction mechanism at the operating temperature is essential to improvement of the kinetics, and measurement over a wide range of temperatures enables activation energies to be obtained. High temperature aqueous electrochemistry has been reviewed [2,3], and has been used for decades to study corrosion processes [4], reference electrodes [5–7], transport properties [8–10], nuclear materials [11], and to study methods for pH measurements [12,13]. The method presented in this work builds on previous experimental activities with pressurized autoclaves [14–16] for studies of alcohol oxidation. The method facilitates quick acquisition of kinetic information at several temperatures. We demonstrate that a self-pressurized autoclave can study alcohol oxidation at temperatures up to 140 °C under the high-purity conditions needed to get quality kinetic data at noble-metal electrodes.

The method here builds on previous methods using pressurized autoclaves to study alcohol oxidation [14–16], but also facilitates fast acquisition of kinetic properties at several temperatures. In this work, we demonstrate that a self-pressurized autoclave can study alcohol oxidations at temperatures up to 140 °C under the high-purity conditions needed to get quality kinetic data at noble-metal electrodes. This system has the advantage that aqueous electrochemistry can be run at temperatures above the normal boiling point of water.

Two alcohols with distinctly different volatilities and kinetics, methanol and glycerol, were chosen to demonstrate the method. These fuels have a high volumetric energy density, 4.3 and 6.3 kWh L\textsuperscript{−1}, compared to compressed hydrogen at 700 bar, which has a volumetric energy density of 1.3 kWh L\textsuperscript{−1}. Methanol is a single-carbon molecule that is widely studied as a potential DAFC fuel candidate. The reaction mechanism of methanol oxidation has been well studied, e.g. [17–20], and it is a simple molecule with the potential for high CO\textsubscript{2} yield. However, it is volatile and
has high vapour pressures above its normal boiling point of 64.7 °C, which complicates high temperature operation with liquid reactants. Glycerol is a three-carbon alcohol molecule that has attracted increasing interest as a potential fuel candidate in the last decade. This interest has arisen due to glycerol being readily available at low cost, as it is a byproduct of biodiesel production [21]. Glycerol has the advantage of a low vapour pressure below its normal boiling point of 290 °C. Complete electrooxidation of glycerol to CO₂ demands carbon-carbon bond breaking, resulting in low yields of CO₂ at room temperature on polycrystalline Pt [22]. However, this is not necessarily a problem as the low cost of glycerol makes selective oxidation to partial oxidation products such as glyceraldehyde or dihydroxyacetone a profitable process [23].

In this work, we present kinetic data for oxidation of methanol and glycerol at Pt electrodes in sulfuric acid electrolyte for temperatures up to 140 °C. The platinum voltammogram shows the well-defined partial oxidation products such as glyceraldehyde or dihydroxyacetone a profitable process [23].

2. Experimental

The pressurized system consists of a 300 mL glass autoclave with a PTFE lid (Büchi AG). This setup allowed for operation up to a temperature of 200 °C or a pressure of 10 bar. The electrical connection to the inside of the autoclave used a PTFE seal around a twist free piano wire (d-6 mm K&S Engineering) that could be fitted into the 1/4 inch Swagelok connection. The reference electrode (within the cell) was a Pt mesh electrode sealed in glass. The working electrode was a Pt wire electrode sealed in glass. The area of the working electrode was 0.08 cm² in the fuel-free experiments, 0.05 cm² in the methanol oxidation experiments, and 0.005 cm² in the methanol oxidation experiments as determined from the charge affiliated to the hydrogen underpotential deposition in alcohol-free electrolyte at room temperature before each experimental series. The much higher current densities for methanol oxidation made it necessary to use a smaller electrode to reduce the effect of solution resistance.

The cell setup for the autoclave.

Fig. 1.

The glassware and electrodes were cleaned in hot sulfuric acid before each run and rinsed at least twice in high purity water (MilliPore Milli-Q). The autoclave was filled with 200 mL of electrolyte containing the alcohol, sealed off, and put in a temperature-controlled oil bath. Due to the corrosive nature of the electrolyte and the limited number of inputs to the autoclave, the temperature was controlled and measured in the oil bath. Therefore, the relationship between the electrolyte temperature and the oil bath temperature was determined in a calibration run using 200 mL of high purity water.

The supporting electrolyte was 0.5 mol dm⁻³ sulfuric acid (Seastar Chemicals Baseline 93 - 98%). The alcohol oxidation experiments used 1 mol dm⁻³ solutions of methanol (Acrros electronic use grade 99.8%) or glycerol (Anachemia ACS grade 99.5%) in the supporting electrolyte. A Gamry Ref 600 potentiostat was used to control the potential between the working and reference electrode. The electrodes were cycled 100 times between 0.05 and 1.4 V at 200 mV s⁻¹ prior to all experiments at elevated temperatures. A series of experiments at different temperatures was conducted in a single session while ramping the temperature in the oil bath at 10 K h⁻¹. A series of cyclic voltammograms between 0.05 and 1.4 V at sweep rates between 20 mV s⁻¹ and 1000 mV s⁻¹ started every 30 min and lasted less than 10 min. In addition, potentiostatic electrochemical impedance spectroscopy was used to estimate the solution resistance, which was found to be small at all temperatures and neglected in the analysis. In summary, a series of experiments acquired the data at 5 K intervals, with a temperature uncertainty of ±2 K. The current densities were calculated based on the estimated electrochemically-active surface area, assuming the charge of the hydrogen adsorption peaks between to be 220 μA cm⁻².

The supporting electrolyte was 0.5 mol dm⁻³ sulfuric acid (Seastar Chemicals Baseline 93 - 98%). The alcohol oxidation experiments used 1 mol dm⁻³ solutions of methanol (Acrros electronic use grade 99.8%) or glycerol (Anachemia ACS grade 99.5%) in the supporting electrolyte. A Gamry Ref 600 potentiostat was used to control the potential between the working and reference electrode. The electrodes were cycled 100 times between 0.05 and 1.4 V at 200 mV s⁻¹ prior to all experiments at elevated temperatures. A series of experiments at different temperatures was conducted in a single session while ramping the temperature in the oil bath at 10 K h⁻¹. A series of cyclic voltammograms between 0.05 and 1.4 V at sweep rates between 20 mV s⁻¹ and 1000 mV s⁻¹ started every 30 min and lasted less than 10 min. In addition, potentiostatic electrochemical impedance spectroscopy was used to estimate the solution resistance, which was found to be small at all temperatures and neglected in the analysis. In summary, a series of experiments acquired the data at 5 K intervals, with a temperature uncertainty of ±2 K. The current densities were calculated based on the estimated electrochemically-active surface area, assuming the charge of the hydrogen adsorption peaks between to be 220 μA cm⁻².

The metal-solution potential difference at the RHE changes with temperature, and so measurements of the apparent activation energy, $E_A$, at a fixed potential vs RHE are not determined only by the working electrode reaction. They are corrected to represent the activation energy for constant working-electrode metal-solution potential difference, $E_A$, according to the method of Protsenko and Danilov [25], Eq. (1).

$$E_A = E_{A,app} - \alpha F \frac{dE_{RHE}}{dT}$$

(1)

For the correction term, $\alpha$ was assumed to be 0.5. $T$ was the average temperature of the temperatures used for the regression, and $dE_{RHE}/dT$ was estimated as $\Delta S^0_{RHE}/F = 0.87$ mV K⁻¹ [26], where $\Delta S^0$ is for the reaction $H^+ + e^- = \frac{1}{2}H_2$. The small temperature dependence of the activity part of the Nernst equation at the RHE was neglected.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Platinum surface processes at high temperatures

Fig. 2a-c compare the cyclic voltammograms of Pt in sulfuric acid electrolytes without alcohol (a), with methanol (b), and with glycerol (c) at 80 °C. The platinum voltammogram shows the well-known regions for underpotential hydrogen adsorption/desorption (0.05 V - 0.3 V), double-layer charging (0.3 V - 0.8 V), and platinum
oxide formation and reduction [0.8 V - 1.4 V] [27]. The addition of methanol or glycerol, Fig. 2a-b, gives a large increase in current and the alcohol oxidation processes dominate the total current response. However, the influence of the platinum oxide formation is seen as a large drop in current at potentials higher than 0.9 V, even though the overpotential for alcohol oxidation is steadily increasing. The current increases sharply again during the negative-going sweep direction as the platinum oxide is reduced and exposes active Pt sites for alcohol oxidation.

When the temperature is increased for platinum in sulfuric acid, it mainly influences the platinum oxide formation and reduction processes, Fig. 3. This was also observed previously over a lower temperature range [28], and to temperatures up to 200 °C for electrically heated electrodes [29]. Although the extent of Pt oxidation increases at higher temperatures, the onset potential change is small and between 0.81 and 0.85 V for all temperatures. Therefore, the platinum oxide should have little influence on the alcohol oxidation reaction at potentials relevant for fuel cells, i.e., below 0.7 V. However, the temperature may influence chemical processes such as anion adsorption and water adsorption without a visible influence on the voltammograms. These processes may influence the alcohol oxidation current.

3.2. Temperature dependence of methanol oxidation

In the presence of methanol, large anodic currents arise as seen in Fig. 2b. The complete oxidation of methanol to CO₂, Eq. (2), has a standard potential of 0.016 V vs SHE. However, as seen in the voltammogram in Fig. 2b, the methanol oxidation reaction rate is insignificant until about 0.6 V.

\[ \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + 6\text{H}^+ + 6\text{e}^- \]  

(2)

The reaction mechanism of methanol oxidation has been reviewed [17–20]. A suggested pathway for producing CO₂ is given in Eqs. (3)–(6), and involves reactions such as dissociative adsorption of methanol (Eq.(3)) and water (Eq.(5)), oxidation of methanol to adsorbed CO (Eq.(3)–(4)), surface reaction between adsorbed molecules (Eq.(6)), diffusion of the reactants and products in the solution, and surface diffusion of the adsorbed species. A second “direct” pathway also occurs, which does not involve adsorbed CO as an intermediate [17,19,30]. In addition, at room temperature only about 40% of the total current goes towards CO₂ production [31,32], and products such as formaldehyde and formic acid are found [31,33–35]. Accordingly, the sequence of reactions presented is a simplified picture of the actual mechanism.

\[ \text{CH}_3\text{OH} \leftrightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{OH(ads)} + \text{H}^+ + \text{e}^- \]  

(3)

\[ \text{CH}_2\text{OH(ads)} \leftrightarrow \text{CO(ads)} + 3\text{H}^+ + 3\text{e}^- \]  

(4)

\[ \text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{OH(ads)} + \text{H}^+ + \text{e}^- \]  

(5)

\[ \text{CO(ads)} + \text{OH(ads)} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}^+ + \text{e}^- \]  

(6)

The large overpotentials suggest that the surface is either blocked by a strongly adsorbed species or is kinetically not favorable for one or more of the reactions in Eqs. (3)–(6). The overall reaction is not expected to be mass-transport controlled at these concentrations [36], although a clear impact of mass transport has been seen before [37] due to an alteration in product distribution and hence, the current yield. At potentials below 0.6 V, IR studies show that the surface is largely covered by CO [38]. However, steady-state experiments indicate that the maximum surface is only about 70% of a monolayer [39,40], which arises because dehydrogenation of methanol to adsorbed CO requires three adjacent Pt sites [41,42]. Therefore, the surface is not blocked by a compact CO layer indicating that sites are still available for dissociative water adsorption. The reaction of CO to CO₂ (Eq. (6)), or the dissociative adsorption of water onto the surface (Eq. (5)) has to be the rate-determining step. An observed drop in overpotential when platinum is alloyed with a metal more active towards water adsorption supports this assumption of bifunctional surface [43,44]. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations suggest that dissociative water adsorption to OH is favorable above about 0.6 V vs SHE at room temperature on Pt(111) [45–48].

Although the potential-dependent adsorption of water (Eq. (5)) is rate-determining at low potentials, its rate increases into the peak starting at 0.6 V until another step becomes rate determining...
a large influence on the methanol oxidation mechanism on polycrystalline platinum in the temperature range studied. The onset potential, Tafel slopes, and activation energies derived from these data are discussed in more detail in Section 3.4.

3.3. Temperature dependence of glycerol oxidation

In the case of glycerol oxidation, in Fig. 2c, the voltammogram has many of the same features as for methanol oxidation in Fig. 2b, indicating that many of the same processes determine the measured current. There is little current until 0.6 V in the positive-going sweep, then a peak with a shoulder from 0.6 V to about 1 V, and then a drop in current to a plateau that persists until the reversal potential at 1.4 V. During the negative-going sweep, there is little current until 0.9 V, where a large oxidation peak is visible before the current drops to zero again at about 0.5 V. Complete oxidation of glycerol to CO2 is a 14-electron process, shown in Eq. (7).

$$\text{C}_3\text{H}_5(\text{OH})_3 + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 3\text{CO}_2 + 14\text{H}^+ + 14\text{e}^- \quad (7)$$

However, a complete oxidation of glycerol to CO2 is unlikely, and consequently, various partial oxidation products have been detected, including tartronic acid, gluconic acid, glyoxylic acid, formic acid, carbon monoxide, dihydroxyacetone, glycolaldehyde and glyceric acid [22,51,52]. The large variety of products is due to the difficulty of carbon–carbon bond cleavage, where factors like catalyst structure [53–55], reactant concentration and steric effects [56–59], support material [60–63], adatoms and alloyed catalysts [64–66], as well as pH [22,51,62,67,68] influence the mechanism. Low temperatures and overpotentials mainly produce glyceraldehyde, Eq. (8) (R = –CH(\text{OH})CH\text{OH}), and glyceric acid, Eq. (9), with dihydroxyacetone as a minor product [22]. At higher overpotentials formic acid and carbon dioxide are found [22,52,69–73]. The tendency to favour oxidation to 2- and 4-electron transfer products at low temperatures and overpotentials is further supported by the fact that the flat electrodes used give low adsorption strength of the partly oxidized products and lower probability of readsorption due to the planar nature of the catalyst, as indicated by size studies on nanoparticles [58].

$$\text{RCH}_2(\text{OH}) \rightarrow \text{RCHO} + 2\text{H}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \quad (8)$$

$$\text{RCH}_2(\text{OH}) + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{RCOOH} + 4\text{H}^+ + 4\text{e}^- \quad (9)$$

The equations above show that water as an oxygen donor is required to oxidize glycerol to glyceric acid but not to glyceraldehyde. The similarity with the methanol voltammetry in Fig. 2b suggests that dissociative water adsorption is important also for glycerol oxidation. The onset potential at lower temperatures is the same as for methanol, suggesting that water dissociation is again the rate-determining reaction, which would imply that production of glyceraldehyde is the dominating reaction at the onset potential and in the early stages of the main oxidation peak, as indicated by the work by Kwon et al. [22].

An increase in temperature has previously been shown to increase the yield of CO2 [74]. Changing the temperature has a dramatic effect on the voltammograms as shown in Fig. 5a-c. The maximum current density is increased by a factor of 190 with a dramatic effect on the voltammograms as shown in Fig. 5a-c. The maximum current density is increased by a factor of 190 with a temperature change from 21 °C to 140 °C. In addition, the glycerol oxidation reaction is initiated at dramatically lower potentials at higher temperature. Furthermore, the glycerol oxidation has a clear shift of Tafel slope with increasing temperature from between 20 and 60 mV to between 160 and 200 mV. These observations indicate that glycerol oxidation mechanism changes as a function of temperature in the temperature range measured. The quantitative analysis of these changes in terms of the reaction mechanism are discussed in the next section.
3.4. Kinetic analysis

Three parameters may be extracted from the temperature-dependent data and used to investigate the reaction mechanism. Onset potentials, $E_{\text{onset}}$, and Tafel slopes, $b_T$, were found directly from the slow-sweep voltammograms and are shown in Fig. 6. The activation energy was found at fixed potential from a series of voltammograms at different temperatures. In all cases only the initial stage of oxidation was analysed. The Tafel slopes were defined as the potential at which the oxidation current exceeded a threshold value, given in the caption of Fig. 6.

Fig. 6. Temperature dependence of Tafel slopes and onset potentials. Methanol oxidation (blue) and glycerol oxidation (red). (a) Experimental initial Tafel slopes are the filled squares. The dashed lines correspond to the theoretical Tafel slopes for the $\alpha$ values shown. (b) Onset potentials for glycerol at threshold current 0.1 mA cm$^{-2}$ (red triangles), methanol at threshold current 0.1 mA cm$^{-2}$ (green boxes), and methanol at threshold current 1 mA cm$^{-2}$ (blue boxes). Full lines are the regression lines. The potentials are corrected for the temperature dependence of the reference electrode and are given relative to RHE at 298 K.

Considering first the Tafel slopes for methanol oxidation, Tafel behaviour was found from the start of oxidation until about the peak potential. The Tafel slopes changed consistently with temperature and had values corresponding to transfer coefficients $\alpha = \ln(10) (RT/b_T F)$ ranging from 0.3 to 0.9. According to the conventional analysis, values close to 0.5 indicate that the first electron transfer step is rate determining, while values close to 1 indicate a rate-determining chemical step after the first electron transfer step. Assuming that the mechanism given in Eq. (3)–(6) dominates the current at all potentials and temperatures, the possible candidates are the dissociative methanol adsorption, Eq. (3), dissociative water adsorption, Eq. (5), or a surface diffusion step involving one of the adsorbed molecules in the reaction.

Looking at the Tafel slopes found for glycerol oxidation in Fig. 6a, there is a much larger change as the temperature is increased. The Tafel slopes for glycerol oxidation were not as constant as for methanol oxidation and for many temperatures they account for the sharp increase in current after the onset potential that can span less than 50 mV in some cases. Therefore, the low Tafel slope values in Fig. 6a resulting in high $\alpha$ values have high uncertainties. Starting at room temperature, the transfer coefficient, $\alpha$, increases in value from 0.7 to 2 and then further to 3.5 - 4. Above 75°C it firstly drops to about 2 and subsequently down to less than 0.5 at temperatures above 130°C. Under the simplifying assumption that glyceraldehyde or glyceric acid are the main products at all temperatures [22], the $\alpha$ value may be used to narrow down the number of possible pathways. A low $\alpha$ value, such as at temperatures above 130°C, indicates that the first dehydrogenation of glycerol may be rate determining. The high $\alpha$ values of 3.5 – 4 have numerous possibilities in terms of rate-determining steps, and are consistent with, but not limited to, glyceric acid dehydrogenation or the last electrochemical step in the production of glyceric acid as rate-determining step. For the intermediate $\alpha$ value of about 2, from 90 - 110°C, a possible mechanism is two electrochemical steps followed by a chemical one, and a candidate rate-determining step is the desorption of glyceraldehyde. The $\alpha$ values found from the Tafel slopes are most valuable if the current is linear over several orders of magnitude, which is only fulfilled at 140°C. Therefore, the low $\alpha$ values at these temperatures are a clear indication of a change in mechanism at higher temperatures.

Trend lines are added in Fig. 6b to visualize the relatively large shift in the onset potentials. The resulting slopes are between −1.7 and −2.0 mV K$^{-1}$ for methanol oxidation at all temperatures and for glycerol oxidation at low temperatures. For glycerol, there is a sharp change in slope to −4.7 mV K$^{-1}$ at temperatures above 110°C,
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Fig. 7. Arrhenius plots and activation energies. (a), (b) Arrhenius plots for methanol and glycerol oxidation. Potentials vs RHE: 0.4 V (blue), 0.5 V (red), 0.6 V (green), 0.7 V 

which leads to a much-reduced onset potential of about 0.35 V at
140 °C. The onset potentials taken together with the Tafel slopes create a consistent picture of the mechanisms. For methanol, consistent trends across the whole temperature range support a single dominant reaction mechanism at all temperatures. However, for glycerol, both Tafel slopes and onset potentials change at high temperatures indicating a change in mechanism. The decrease in the onset potential to the region where dissociative adsorption of water does not occur, as indicated by ab initio calculations [46–48] and measurements of the point of zero charge [45], suggests a switch to glyceraldehyde or dihydroxyacetone as a product. The α value of about 0.5 would be consistent with the first electron-transfer step rate limiting, and the second electron transfer in a fast step. A slow oxidative adsorption step followed by a fast oxidative desorption step to give glyceraldehyde is consistent with the Tafel slope, and would lead to low surface coverage, explaining the absence of the surface passivation that seems to dominate at lower temperatures.

The last kinetic parameter available from the cyclic voltammetry data is the activation energy. Apparent activation energies were extracted from the slopes of log(j) vs 1/T plots recorded at 20 mV s⁻¹ for fixed potential vs RHE and are shown in Fig. 7a-b. This sweep rate represents a practical compromise between very slow steady state measurements and faster measurements that reduce the accuracy of the activation energies. Similar sweep rates have been used for mechanistic studies in the literature [20]. The apparent activation energies were converted to the activation energies at fixed working-electrode metal-solution potential difference and given in Fig. 7c. The values found ranged from 4.7 to 49 kJ mol⁻¹ for methanol oxidation and from 0.6 to 78 kJ mol⁻¹ for glycerol oxidation.

The lack of temperature dependence for values close to zero might suggest mass transport control. However, a quick calculation of the limiting current assuming a 2-electron transfer reaction and an irreversible reaction using cyclic voltammetry, the input values of a concentration of 1 mol L⁻¹, diffusion coefficient of 10⁻⁹ m² s⁻¹ [75], a sweep rate of 20 mV s⁻¹, and a transfer coefficient of 0.5 gives a mass transport limited current of 270 mA cm⁻² at room temperature (jₚ = 2.99 × 10⁻⁵RN(α)²/2cD¹/₂ν¹/₂). This maximum value is significantly higher than the peak currents for glycerol oxidation at all temperatures indicating that mass transport does not significantly influence the current for these reactions. However, the large amount of glycerol present in solution may stabilize different oxidation products on the surface, or hinder water adsorption. This would efficiently impede further oxidation and adsorption of glycerol giving a de facto mass transport limitation as indicated by the work of Gomes et al on concentration effects [56]. On the other hand, the true activation energies may be significantly influenced by the correction factor for the temperature dependence of the reference electrode, perhaps due to the assumption of a constant transfer coefficient.

At low overpotentials, only high temperature data was used for the Arrhenius plots because there is essentially no reaction at low temperatures. At low overpotentials, the activation energy is high for both methanol oxidation (40 - 50 kJ mol⁻¹) and for glycerol oxidation (60 - 80 kJ mol⁻¹). Relatively high activation energies (> 60 kJ mol⁻¹) suggest a bond breaking process [43,76,77]. This supports the previous findings from Tafel slopes and onset potentials that either dissociative water adsorption or dissociative alcohol adsorption is rate determining. At higher overpotentials, a sharp drop in activation energy is observed, indicating a change in the mechanism. Low activation energies for both methanol oxidation (5 - 30 kJ mol⁻¹) and glycerol oxidation (5 - 35 kJ mol⁻¹) suggest that an associative adsorption or surface diffusion step is rate determining [38,78–83]. Conclusions based on electrochemical activation energies are uncertain because they depend to some extent on the potential and on the charges of the species involved. However, combining the results in Fig. 7 with the findings from Tafel slopes and onset potentials increases confidence in the assignment of the rate-determining reaction steps.

In the case of methanol oxidation, the mechanism seems to be stable as a function of both temperature and potential, and this indicates that either dissociative water adsorption, Eq. (5), or dissociative methanol adsorption, Eq. (3), is the rate-determining step in all cases. For glycerol oxidation, the picture is more complicated and the rate-determining step changes both as a function of temperature, Fig. 6, and as a function of potential, Fig. 5. At low temperatures, glycerol oxidation seems to be limited in the same way as methanol oxidation. At high temperatures, the same mechanism seems to span the whole potential range, and the kinetic parameters suggest that the main oxidation product is glyceraldehyde or dihydroxyacetone.

4. Conclusions

This work demonstrates a method for performing high purity aqueous electrochemistry at temperatures above the boiling point of water using commercially available equipment. This allows for the study of alcohol oxidation at high rates and at temperatures relevant for fuel cell operation. It uses efficient acquisition of data over a wide temperature range and allows the determination of kinetic
parameters such as onset potentials, Tafel slopes and activation energies.

Methanol oxidation was studied at temperatures up to 140 °C. The voltammograms and kinetic parameters show that the disso-

ciative adsorption of water or the oxidation dissociative adsorption of methanol, is the rate-determining step at all temperatures and at potentials below the main peak.

Glycerol oxidation was studied over the same temperature range. For temperatures below 110 °C, the rate-determining step is the same as for methanol oxidation. However, the glycerol oxida-
tion reaction mechanism changes above 110 °C, with the Tafel slope indicating that dissociative glycerol oxidation is the rate-
determining step and the final reaction product is glyceroldehyde or dihydroxyacetone. This change in mechanism is associated with a large decrease in overpotential. This suggests that at the higher temperatures studied here, glycerol may be a viable fuel in a fuel cell, or in an electrochemical reactor to selectively oxidize glyce-
ol to glyceroldehyde or dihydroxyacetone. Further studies will be required to chemically verify the high-temperature reaction produ-
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Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2016.11.130.
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