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Abstract

Floating offshore wind turbines provide more access to deeper water than conventional fixed-bottom wind turbines, which expands the viable area for wind energy development, reduces visibility from shore, and can potentially be located in areas with a higher and steadier wind characteristic. However, since floating turbines are in the early prototype stage of development, there are very limited data to use for validating computer models of these machines. This lack of validation increases uncertainty and risk for future installations. In lieu of large scale test turbines, which are expensive to build and operate, a few institutions have conducted small scale experiments in wave basins. This paper will present a review of the past and planned model-scale floating offshore wind turbine experiments, with a focus on types of data collected and challenges encountered by these tests.

The objective of this review is to provide a background for the Integrated Research Program on Wind Energy (IRPWind), specifically for Work Packages 6.1 and 6.2. The goal of these work packages is to create a database of both fixed-bottom and floating offshore wind turbine test cases that can be accessed by researchers to verify and validate computer-aided engineering codes. The database will consist of a number of benchmarks that will validate different parts of a given design code. This review will discuss two model experiments that are likely to be included in the IRPWind database.
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1. Introduction

The offshore wind energy resource worldwide is one of the largest renewable energy sources. Much of this resource is located over deep water, and current fixed-bottom turbine technology may not be an economical solution for developing this deep water resource. Floating offshore wind turbines (FOWT) are being developed that have the potential to economically capture energy over deep water. Floating wind turbines have added benefits, as the ability of being towed out to the energy production site allows for assembly in port, and the potential to be located farther from shore reduces visibility impacts. However, for useful design work to be possible, accurate computer modeling tools are essential. Validation of computer models with full-scale prototype data would be optimal, but there have
been very few full-scale floating tests, and most of them are proprietary and the data is not available to the research community. Therefore, there have been a number of scaled experiments in wave tanks around the world. This paper will review the literature discussing these experiments and provide comparisons.

2. Description of Floating Experiments

In this section the details of the seven FOWT experiments will be discussed. See Table 1 for a summary of the experimental tests discussed here.

Table 1: FOWT experiment comparisons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment Name</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Testing Location</th>
<th>Platform Type</th>
<th>Aerodynamic Setup</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spar at NRMI (2009)</td>
<td>1/22.5</td>
<td>NMRI</td>
<td>Spar Buoy</td>
<td>Steady Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeepCWind (2011)</td>
<td>1/50</td>
<td>MARIN</td>
<td>Semi-submersible, Spar Buoy,</td>
<td>Full Rotor (Froude-Scaled)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and Tension-Leg Platform</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeepCWind, continued (2013)</td>
<td>1/50</td>
<td>MARIN</td>
<td>Semi-submersible</td>
<td>Full Rotor (Performance Scaled)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tension-Leg Bouy (2011)</td>
<td>1/100</td>
<td>MARINTEK</td>
<td>Tension-Leg Buoy and Spar Buoy</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tension-Leg Bouy (2014)</td>
<td>1/40</td>
<td>IFREMER</td>
<td>Tension-Leg Buoy</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete Star (2014)</td>
<td>1/40</td>
<td>ECN</td>
<td>Braceless Semi-Submersible</td>
<td>Ducted Fan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARINTEK Braceless (2015)</td>
<td>1/30</td>
<td>MARINTEK</td>
<td>Braceless Semi-Submersible</td>
<td>Novel Actuator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INNWIND.eu Model Test (2015)</td>
<td>1/60</td>
<td>ECN</td>
<td>10MW Semi-Submersible</td>
<td>Ducted Fan and Froude-scaled Rotor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 2009, researchers from Kyoto University in Japan performed a 1/22.5 scale experiment using a spar buoy platform (see Fig. 1a) at the National Maritime Research Institute (NMRI) in Tokyo, Japan [1]. Free decay, regular wave, irregular wave tests were conducted. Additionally, tests combining regular waves and the application of a constant force on the top of the tower to replicate a steady thrust force were performed. No other aerodynamic forces or interactions were considered.

The Oregon-based company Principal Power has installed a full-scale prototype of their WindFloat platform off the coast of Portugal. A small-scale (1/105) experiment using this platform (see Fig. 1b) was conducted in 2010 [2]. This experiment utilized an actuator disk to replicate wind thrust, as well as a scaled spinning mass to generate gyroscopic forces as if there was a true rotor. This experiment was mainly used to test platform performance the 100-year wave case, but some regular wave cases were also conducted to get a baseline platform response.

The DeepCWind Consortium, led by the University of Maine, conducted a series of experiments in a wave pool at MARIN in the Netherlands in 2011, and again in 2013 [3,4]. In the 2011 test, a UMaine designed semi-submersible and tension-leg platform as well as a spar buoy based on the OC3 Spar Buoy (see Fig. 1c) [5] were tested at 1/50th scale in a variety of conditions including free decay, regular waves, irregular waves, and wind. This first experiment used a Froude-scaled rotor based on the NREL 5MW blade design. Due to the Reynolds mismatch when Froude scaling, a higher wind speed was used to replicate the full-scale aerodynamic/hydrodynamic force balance. Since the blades for this first test were direct geometric scales of the NREL 5MW blades, the aerodynamic performance did not match expectation at the lower Reynolds numbers of the test, so a second round of testing was performed in 2013 using the semi-submersible platform and a new rotor designed to be equal in performance as the full-scale NREL 5MW rotor.

Researchers from the Norwegian University of Life Sciences (UMB) and the Institute for Energy Technology (IFE) developed and tested a tension-leg buoy platform (see Fig. 1d) [6–8]. A 1/100 scale platform was tested in 2011 and compared to a spar-buoy with more conventional catenary mooring lines in a MARINTEK wave tank, and a 1/40th scale tension-leg buoy was developed and tested in 2014 at IFREMER. These tests were purely hydrodynamic, and as such, did not include a rotor or other actuator to simulate aerodynamics. Free decay, regular wave, and irregular wave tests were conducted for both testing campaigns.

In 2013, a braceless semi-submersible (see Fig. 1e) was tested in École Centrale de Nantes (ECN) wave tank which used a feedback-controlled ducted fan to simulate aerodynamic forces [9]. The braceless semi-submersible, called the Concrete Star Wind Floater, was designed by Dr.techn.Olav Olsen AS, and is designed to use concrete at
full scale. The ducted fan approach was used to solve the problem of the Reynolds mismatch for aerodynamics when using Froude scaling. NREL’s design code FAST was used to calculate the aerodynamic forces due to the measured platform motion and a simulated turbulent or steady wind and command the ducted fan to provide this aerodynamic force. Both free decay and regular/irregular wave tests were run with and without the simulated aerodynamic forces.

A recent experiment was conducted for the INNWIND.eu project that tested a scaled version of the OC4 DeepCWind Semi-submersible [10]. The platform was modified to support a 10MW wind turbine, and then scaled to 1/60 scale for the model test. A rotor was designed for the experiment that featured high chord blades to match the rotor thrust despite the mismatching Reynolds numbers between the model and full scales. In addition, a feedback controlled ducted fan was used in some of the tests, similar to the Concrete Star experiments. Free-decay, regular waves, irregular waves, and extreme wave conditions were among the test parameters. More analyses from the data obtained from these tests will be published in the future through the INNWIND.eu project.

Another approach to provide realistic aerodynamic forces with feedback was used in a 2015 test at MARINTEK [11]. This experiment also used a braceless semi-submersible (see Fig. 1f), but instead of a ducted fan providing the aerodynamic forces, a series of tensioned wires connected to actuators provided the simulated forces. Once again, FAST was used to calculate the aerodynamic forces in real-time as the tests were conducted. Publications about this experiment are forthcoming.

3. Conclusions

For the seven experimental campaigns discussed in Section 2, all used Froude scaling, but the scale factor varied from 1/105 at the smallest to 1/22.5 at the largest. These differences were due mainly to the size of the wave tank facilities used in the test. One of the major differences in the tests was the method of aerodynamic loading. The UMB/IFE tension-leg buoy project focused only on hydrodynamics, while the NRMI spar buoy experiment used a simple constant force to simulate a steady thrust force. Three of the experiments, WindFloat, DeepCWind, and INNWIND used a wind field generated by fans, with the WindFloat experiment using an actuator disk and the DeepCWind and INNWIND tests using an actual spinning rotor. Finally, the Concrete Star Wind Floater experiment, the MARINTEK braceless semi-submersible, and the INNWIND experiments used novel actuators to provide simulated wind forces, which allowed for correctly scaled wind forces and realistic feedback between motions and aerodynamic forces. However, these approaches are only as good as the simulation tool used to create the wind forces, which was the AeroDyn module of NREL’s FAST program in both cases. There is some concern in the research community that Blade Element Momentum (BEM) codes like AeroDyn may not adequately estimate important aerodynamic phenomena like dynamic stall which may be more prevalent for floating platforms due to the increased rotor motion. Thus, while these simulated aerodynamic force actuators provide both dynamic feedback and correct scaling, they are limited by the aerodynamic simulator and are most useful for studying hydrodynamics of floaters.

One concern for all of these experiments and especially for future experiments of this type is the unavailability of the data for researchers interested in model validation. The DeepCWind data is available and has been used for validation in the Offshore Code Collaboration and Comparison, Continued (OC4) project and it’s continuation OC5. To address this lack of available data, a portion of the IRP Wind program will focus on assembling a database for researchers to be used for benchmarking design codes, which will include the data from the MARINTEK braceless semi-submersible as well as the UMB/IFE tension-leg buoy. Future work will include the publishing of these data in an accessible online form, as well as preliminary benchmarking for a variety of design codes. This online database will be very useful for developers of future wind turbine design codes as it will provide a basis for comparison not only to real experimental data, but also to previously benchmarked design code results.
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Fig. 1: Images of 6 of the experiments discussed in this paper

(a) NRMI Spar [1]    (b) WindFloat Semi-Submersible [2]

(c) DeepCWind Platforms [4]    (d) UMB/IFE Tension-Leg Buoy [8]