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Sports injuries and illnesses in the Lillehammer 2016 Youth Olympic Winter Games
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ABSTRACT

Background Injury and illness surveillance during high-level youth sports events is an important first step in health prevention and caretaking of the young elite athletes.

Aim To analyse injuries and illnesses that occurred during the 10 days 2nd Youth Olympic Winter Games (YOG), held in Lillehammer 2016.

Methods We recorded the daily occurrence (or non-occurrence) of injuries and illnesses through the reporting of (1) all National Olympic Committee (NOC) medical teams and (2) the polyclinic and medical venues by the Lillehammer Organising Committee (LYOCOG) medical staff.

Results In total, 1083 athletes (48 double-starters), 46% (n=502) of them females, from 70 NOCs were registered in the study. NOCs and LYOCOG reported 108 injuries and 81 illnesses, equalling to 9.5 injuries and 7.2 illnesses per 100 athletes. The percentage of injured athletes was highest in the snowboard and ski slopestyle and cross disciplines, alpine skiing and skeleton, and lowest in the Nordic skiing disciplines. Approximately, two-thirds of the injuries (n=71, 65.7%) prevented the athlete from training or competition, while 10 injuries (9.3%) were registered with an estimated absence from sport for >7 days. The rate of illness was highest in curling and the Nordic skiing disciplines with most of them being respiratory tract infections (81.5%).

Conclusions Overall, 9% of the athletes incurred at least one injury during the games, and 7% an illness, which is similar to the first YOG in Innsbruck 2012 and slightly lower compared with previous Winter Olympic Games. The incidence of injuries and illnesses varied substantially between sports.

INTRODUCTION

Protection of the athletes’ health is a clearly articulated objective of the International Olympic Committee (IOC). With its start in 2012, the Youth Olympic Games (YOG) are still a relatively new initiative of the International Olympic Committee (IOC), launched for talented athletes aged 14–18 years to showcase high-level sports in a safe arena. Detailed epidemiological data regarding adolescent elite athletes and overuse injuries are still few in numbers, and unfortunately most often related to one particular sport discipline only.2–9 Only two studies are available on multisport winter sport events, as the 1st YOG in Innsbruck in 20129 and the 12th Winter sport Festival in 2015.9 Therefore, information on injury and illness risk is needed for this group of athletes competing in Olympic summer and winter sports.10

Systematic monitoring of injury and illness trends over time provides epidemiologic data that are invaluable to protect the health of the athletes. Both the IOC,11–14 International Paralympic Committee (IPC)15–21 and several International Sports Federations (FIFA, IAAF, FINA, FIS, FIVB, IHF, IIHF, IRF)22–43 have instituted comprehensive injury and illness surveillance systems longitudinally or in their main events to detect risk factors and mechanisms.44–48 Some of these have later on informed prevention measures in sport.49–52

In the Innsbruck YOG in 2012, the overall injury incidence was comparable to the incidence registered during the 2010 Vancouver Olympic Games, and injury risk in the halfpipe and slopestyle disciplines, in specific, was high.9 As health risks and characteristics may vary between young elite athletes and their older elite counterparts across sports, surveillance of injury and illness occurrence in this young age group is needed.

Thus, to continue health surveillance from the 1st YOG in Innsbruck, the aim of the present study was to describe and analyse the injury and illness rates, as well as their characteristics in the 2nd Youth Olympic Winter Games, held in Lillehammer in 2016. Reflections as well as suggestions for further initiatives and research to protect the young athletes’ health are provided.

METHODS

We employed the IOC injury and illness surveillance system for multisport events in this prospective cohort study.53 For the period of 12–21 February 2016, we asked all National Olympic Committee (NOC) medical teams to report the daily occurrence (or non-occurrence) of injuries and illnesses of athletes in their squads on a standardised medical report form (8 categories to describe the injury/illness case). Concurrently, we retrieved the same information on all athletes treated for injuries and illnesses in the polyclinic of the Lillehammer Organising Committee (LYOCOG). We used the athlete accreditation number to control for duplicates resulting from athletes being treated for the same condition by the NOC and LYOCOG. In cases of duplicates, we retained the NOC data.

Implementation

We informed the NOCs about the study in a letter sent 4 months in advance of the YOG. The day before the opening of the Games, we organised a meeting with LYOCOG and all NOC medical staff
and Chef de Mission (for NOCs without medical personnel) to account for and discuss the study procedures, which were also detailed in a one-page information sheet. The print and pdf forms of the daily report forms were available in the following languages: English, French, Spanish, German, Russian, Japanese and Chinese. Throughout the data collection, we recorded the response rate of all NOCs. We had daily meetings with LYOCOG and frequently visited NOCs to address any questions and encourage continuous reporting during the games.

**Definition of injury and illness**

We defined injuries and illnesses as new (pre-existing, not fully rehabilitated conditions were not recorded) or recurring (athletes having returned to full participation after a previous condition) musculoskeletal symptoms or concussions (injuries) or illnesses incurred in competition or training during the Lillehammer YOG (12–21 February 2016) receiving medical attention, regardless of the consequences with respect to absence from competition or training.

In cases where a single incident caused multiple injury types or affected multiple body parts, we recorded only the most severe diagnoses, as determined by our research team. Severe injuries and illnesses were defined as injuries or illnesses entailing an estimated absence from training or competition of more than 1 week.

**Injury and illness report form**

Our injury and illness record form was identical to those we used in previous Summer and Winter Olympic Games, including the 1st Winter YOG. With respect to injuries, we recorded the accreditation number, sport and event, whether the injury occurred in competition or training, date and time, body part, type, cause and estimated time lost from competition or training. We also recorded the following information for illnesses: accreditation number, sport and event, date, affected system, main symptom(s), cause and estimated time loss.

**Confidentiality and ethical approval**

We recorded and used the athlete accreditation number to prevent duplicate records, as well as to query the IOC athlete database for their age, gender and nationality. We treated all information with strict confidence, and anonymised our medical database at the end of the Games.

The study was approved by the medical research ethics committee of the South-Eastern Norway Regional Health Authority.

**Data analysis**

We calculated injury and illness incidences as the number of injuries or illnesses in competition, training or in total during the study period divided by the respective number of exposed athletes within the specific sport; with incidence values presented as injuries/illnesses per 100 athletes and per 1000 athlete days. Data on age are presented as mean with SD and compared between athlete groups with respect to injury and illness risk by using Student’s t-test for continuous variables. We calculated the risk ratio (RR) of the number of injuries or illnesses between two groups by a simple Poisson model, assuming constant hazard per group. We present injury and illness incidences with 95% CIs. We regarded two-tailed p values of ≤0.05 as significant.

**RESULTS**

A total of 1083 athletes from 70 NOCs were registered for and exposed to the 10 competition days of the 2016 Lillehammer Youth Olympic Winter Games; 581 male (54%) and 502 female athletes (46%). There were 48 double-starters, meaning athletes who participated in two different sports, giving a total of 1131 athletes exposed to injury or illness.

The mean ages of the 1083 female and male athletes were 16.6 (SD 1.0) and 16.9 (0.9) years, respectively. For female athletes, the average age ranged from 15.3 years (ice hockey) to 17.5 years (ski cross) and for male athletes, from 15.8 years (ice hockey) to 17.6 years (alpine skiing).

**Injury risk**

Among the registered athletes, 102 (51 females, 51 males) sustained 108 injuries with 6 athletes having 2 injury reports, corresponding to an injury risk of 9.5 injuries per 100 athletes (95% CI 7.8 to 11.4), equalling 10.0 injuries per 1000 athlete days. In relation to the number of registered athletes, injury risk was highest in the ski (28.6%) and snowboard cross disciplines (27.3%), skeleton (17.5%), short track (15.2%), in the freestyle (14.7%) and snowboard slope style disciplines (14.3%), and in bobsleigh (13.8%). On the other side of the spectrum, the lowest injury risk was found in the Nordic skiing disciplines (table 1). Injury risk was similar between female (10.1%) and male athletes (8.8%) (RR=1.18; 95% CI 0.78 to 1.77), with the average age of injured versus non-injured athletes being 16.8 (0.9) and 16.8 (1.0) years (p=0.27), respectively. For female and male athletes, age of injured athletes was similar across sports (p>0.05).

**Injury location and type, causes of injury, circumstance and severity**

All the data regarding injury location and type by disciplines are presented in table 2. Almost one-third of all skiing and snowboarding injuries were knee injuries (n=14, 30%). A total of 10 injuries to the head and face were reported from ice hockey; 5 of which were concussions. Other typical injury types were bone injuries, contusions, sprains and strains, mainly to the lower limbs.

More than half of all injuries (n=64, 59.2%) occurred in a contact situation, either with another athlete (typical for ice hockey) or on icy surfaces (typically crashes in the freestyle and snowboard disciplines, as well as in ice hockey). Approximately one-fifth of the injuries were caused by long-lasting overuse symptoms (typically tendinopathies) or by non-contact injuries (typically ligament sprains and muscle strains) (table 3).

Slightly more than half of the 108 injuries occurred during competition (n=56, 51.9%), while 49 injuries (45.4%) happened during training, and 3 injuries (2.8%) during other sport-related activities, such as ‘warm-up’ (table 4). While almost all ice hockey injuries occurred in competition, most of the injuries in the freestyle skiing and snowboarding disciplines, as well as in bob and skeleton occurred during training.

Approximately two-thirds of the injuries led to absence from sport or training (n=71, 65.7%), while a quarter of all injuries (n=27 injuries, 25.0%) were expected to lead to 1–7 days absence from sport. During the 10 days of competition, 10 serious injuries (9.3% of all injuries) with an estimated absence from sport for >7 days were recorded; 8 of these injuries affected female athletes with 5 out of those affecting the knee:

- ice hockey, female, competition, MCL rupture, contact, 14 days estimated absence from sport;
- ice hockey, male, competition, concussion, contact, 21 days;
- short track, female, training, wrist fracture, fall, 14 days;
- alpine skiing, female, training, knee tendinopathy, non-contact/overuse, 21 days;
snowboard cross, female, competition, knee MCL rupture, contact athlete, 42 days;
snowboard cross, male, competition, shoulder fracture, fall, 60 days;
snowboard slopestyle, female, competition, hand fracture, fall, 28 days;
snowboard slopestyle, female, training, knee ligament sprain, contact object, 14 days;
ski cross, female, competition, knee ligament sprain, contact athlete, 21 days;
ski cross, female, competition, hip contusion, non-contact, 14 days.

Illness risk
A total of 76 athletes (31 males, 45 females) sustained 81 illnesses, with 5 athletes having 2 illness reports, equalling to an illness risk of 7.2 illnesses per 100 athletes (95% CI 5.6 to 8.7), or corresponding to 7.5 illnesses per 1000 athlete days. Illness risk was almost doubled for female (9.0%) compared with male athletes (5.3%) (RR=1.75; 95% CI 1.09 to 2.81). The average age of ill versus non-ill athletes was 16.9 (SD 0.9) and 16.8 (SD 1.0) years (p=0.17), respectively.

Illness risk was highest in curling (17.2% of participating curling athletes) and in the Nordic skiing disciplines with biathlon (14.6%), nordic combined (14.3%) and cross country skiers (12.5%) suffering from at least one illness case during the games. Most of the illnesses were respiratory tract infections (n=66, 81.5%). Also, five cases of gastrointestinal infections were reported, corresponding to 6.2% of all reported illnesses (table 3). Almost two-thirds of all illnesses (26, 32%) prevented the athlete to train or compete for at least 1 day, in most cases due to respiratory track infections with fever, cough and pain symptoms for 1–2 days.

NOC versus LYOCOG reports
We received daily feedback from all 70 NOCs on the occurrence or non-occurrence of new injury and/or illness cases among the participants (100% response rate). Of the 108 injury cases, 51 (47%) were reported solely through the NOC medical staff, 39 cases (36%) were reported by both sources and 18 cases (17%) through LYOCOG only. Of the 10 severe injuries, 7 cases were reported through both sources, while 2 cases were reported through the NOC only and 1 case solely through the LYOCOG. The pattern was different for illnesses, 65 out of the 81 illnesses (80%) were reported through the NOC only, while 16 cases (20%) were reported through the NOC and LYOCOG.

DISCUSSION
The main findings of the 10-day long prospective cohort study, conducted during the 2nd Youth Olympic Winter Games in Lillehammer 2016, were that 9% and 7% of all the 1083 athletes suffered from at least one injury or illness, with overall rates of 9.5 injuries and 7.2 illnesses per 100 athletes, respectively. These figures are marginally lower than those reported...
from the Innsbruck 2012 Youth Olympic Winter Games (10.9 and 8.4); however, higher than figures reported from the 12th Winter European Youth Olympic Festival (4.2 and 3.8, five competition days). Identifying high-risk sports is the important first step in developing evidence-based preventive measures. In addition to its direct effect on injury rates, minimising the risk of injuries, especially those with severe and long-lasting consequences, may contribute to fewer athletes dropping out from sport. While the highest injury rates were found in sports characterised by high-speed, frequent jump-landings and head-to-head competitions, namely ski cross (29% of the competing athletes) and snowboard cross (27%), skeleton (18%), short track (15%) and freeskis (15%), and snowboard slopestyle (14%), the highest rates of illness were found in curling (17%) and in the Nordic skiing disciplines (1–6% in both events) and in speed skating (9% both events). However, as injury cases in each sport generally were low, comparisons between the two Youth Games need to be seen as purely descriptive and thus interpreted carefully.

Looking at Olympic Winter Games, three of the most high-risk sports in the 2014 Sochi Olympic Games (aerial skiing and moguls) were not on the programme for any of the youth winter sport events (Innsbruck YOG 2012, Winter European Youth Olympic Festival 2015, Lillehammer YOG 2016), which can be seen as wise decision to reduce injury risk among the young talents. Still, in the Lillehammer YOG, as in both recent senior and YOG, snowboard and ski cross/slope style turned out to be the sports with the highest risk with almost injury risk was seen for some disciplines. Injury risk was presumably higher in ski cross (29% in Lillehammer vs 17% in Innsbruck), bobsleigh (14% vs 8%), skeleton (18% vs 4%), short track (15% vs 7%), and in curling (8% vs 3%). Injury risk was similar in ice hockey (12% vs 15%), luge (3% vs 6%), alpine skiing (13% vs 14%), the Nordic skiing disciplines (1–6% in both events) and in speed skating (9% both events). However, as injury cases in each sport generally were low, comparisons between the two Youth Games need to be seen as purely descriptive and thus interpreted carefully.

Table 2 Number (n) and proportion (%) of injuries in sports on the agenda of the 2016 Lillehammer Youth Olympic Games

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Alpine, freestyle skiing and snowboarding (n=317)</th>
<th>Curling (n=64)</th>
<th>Ice hockey (n=199)</th>
<th>Sliding sports (n=139)</th>
<th>Nordic skiing sports (n=246)</th>
<th>Skating sports (n=166)</th>
<th>Total (n=1131)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Injury Type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concussion</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>12 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture (trauma, stress, other bone injuries)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 (5.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprain (dislocation, subluxation, ligamentous rupture)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>18 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain (muscle rupture, tear, tendon rupture)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>18 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meniscus, cartilage</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>7 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contusion, haematoma, bruise</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>23 (21.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tendonsis, tendinopathy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>9 (8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthritis, synovitis, bruisitis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 (3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impingement</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>7 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laceration, abrasion, skin lesion</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>7 (6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental injury, broken tooth</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 (2.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle cramps, spasm</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>7 (6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (nerve, spinal cord, fasciitis)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>8 (7.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data include 48 double-starters.

from the Innsbruck 2012 Youth Olympic Winter Games (10.9 and 8.4); however, higher than figures reported from the 12th Winter European Youth Olympic Festival (4.2 and 3.8, five competition days). Identifying high-risk sports is the important first step in developing evidence-based preventive measures. In addition to its direct effect on injury rates, minimising the risk of injuries, especially those with severe and long-lasting consequences, may contribute to fewer athletes dropping out from sport. While the highest injury rates were found in sports characterised by high-speed, frequent jump-landings and head-to-head competitions, namely ski cross (29% of the competing athletes) and snowboard cross (27%), skeleton (18%), short track (15%) and freeskis (15%), and snowboard slopestyle (14%), the highest rates of illness were found in curling (17%) and in the Nordic skiing events (13–15%).
in 3 athletes suffering from an injury. Out of 19 injuries in these disciplines, 6 resulted in an estimated absence of more than 2 weeks (fractures, contusions, distortions). As these athletes expose themselves to aerial manoeuvres and jump-landing tasks under high-speed conditions (slopestyle) or compete in heats with considerably risk-taking attitudes (cross),36 46 47 the occurrence of more severe injuries happening is not surprising.

Compared with Innsbruck, where injury risk in the half pipe was a major concern and comparable to the risk reported in the 2010 Vancouver Games,13 the half pipe disciplines in Lillehammer generated a very low injury risk (zero and one injury among freestyle and snowboard athletes, respectively).

It was discussed post-Innsbruck whether the half pipe, which was of the same size as in Vancouver, was too demanding for the young athletes.9 However, in Lillehammer, an even higher pipe (22 foot super-pipe, compared with 18 foot standard internationally) was built. It is possible that the size of the pipe (and side walls) may have been too large for the young athletes to generate very high take-off speeds for the most spectacular aerial manoeuvres, and thereby contributing to reduced injury risk. However, this remains speculative at the current stage.

More information on mechanisms of injuries in the halfpipe is needed, to be able to establish what pipe size is most appropriate for athletes of different ages in terms of risk of injury.

Mechanisms, circumstances, severity, location and type of injuries

Similar to the Innsbruck YOG,9 about half of the injuries in Lillehammer occurred in competition and half in training. Most injuries happened through varying contact situation, typically falls or direct athlete contact as in skiing and snowboarding cross, but also in ice hockey and skeleton.

There has been an increased awareness about concussions in youth sports.42 43 55–57 In Lillehammer, 12 concussions (11% of all injuries) were reported, 5 in ice hockey. Most likely, concussions occurred through either tackling or through falls on icy surfaces after high-speed crashes. Safeguarding the young athletes through identifying important risk factors (ie, body checking)56 and implementing better safety rules have in recent years been a priority for hockey federations, as in Canada, where policy changes have effectively been introduced to young age groups.39 40 For the Lillehammer YOG, aiming to minimise injury risk, the International Ice Hockey Federation (IIHF) decided to only let players born in 2000 qualify for the ice hockey competition, thereby eliminating a large variety of skeletal maturation differences in hockey injury from Innsbruck to Lillehammer (15% vs 12%).

For athletes safety, irrespective of age and level, it is a matter of course to create venues as safe and professional as possible. In major sports events, like the Olympic Games, injuries or illnesses of even minor severity and time loss have the potential to be participation-limiting and performance-inhibiting, and thus prevent athletes from reaching their life-time achievement.11 In the Lillehammer YOG, almost 1 in 10 of the injuries were estimated to involve time loss >7 days. In line with other reports from multisport events11 22 34 40 41 59 the rate of illness in the Lillehammer youth sports (13%) was comparable to the risk reported in the 2016 Lillehammer Youth Olympic Games.18

The high incidence of upper respiratory infections mirrors data from other Olympic,11–13 Paralympic16–18 and single elite sport events.22 34 40 41 59–61 The rate of illness in the Lillehammer was similar to those reported in the Innsbruck YOG9 (7% of all athletes affected in Lillehammer, compared with 8% of athletes in Sochi and in Innsbruck vs 7% in Vancouver).9 Also consistent with recent reports from multisport events11 13 with a 75% higher odds, female athletes were exposed to higher risk as their male counterparts. This quite consistent pattern of illness risk between males and females between sports should be explored in more detail. With one-third of the illness cases to lead to an estimated absence from sport for 1–2 days, these situations will be performance limiting for an athlete. Most likely, high athlete density living in the Olympic Village and also exposure to cold air could explain the high incidence of tract infections. Earlier, it has been reported that airway hyper-responsiveness/asthma is one of the most common chronic medical conditions in winter

| Table 3 | Number (n) and proportion (%) of injury causation in sports on the agenda of the 2016 Lillehammer Youth Olympic Games |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Alpine, freestyle skiing and snowboarding (n=317) | Curling (n=64) | Ice hockey (n=199) | Sliding sports (n=139) | Nordic skiing sports (n=246) | Skating sports (n=166) | Total (n=1131) |
| Contact | Contact (unspecified) | 6 | – | 3 | – | – | 4 | 16 (14.8) |
| Contact ground | 9 | – | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 16 (14.8) |
| Contact object | 6 | 1 | 7 | 3 | – | – | 3 | 20 (18.5) |
| Contact player | 2 | – | 9 | – | – | – | 12 (11.1) |
| Non-contact | 14 | – | – | 1 | – | – | 4 | 19 (17.6) |
| Overuse | 9 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 5 | – | 23 (21.3) |
| Other | 1 | – | – | – | 1 | – | 2 (1.9) |
| Total | 47 | 5 | 23 | 13 | 7 | 13 | 108 (100) |

Data include 48 double-starters.

| Table 4 | Injury circumstance, numbers and proportions, of injuries in sports on the agenda of the 2016 Lillehammer Youth Olympic Games |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Competition | Training | Other | Total |
| Alpine, freestyle skiing and snowboarding | 21 | 26 | – | 47 |
| Curling | 4 | – | 1 | 5 |
| Ice hockey | 20 | 2 | 1 | 23 |
| Sliding sports | 2 | 11 | – | 13 |
| Nordic skiing sports | 1 | 5 | 1 | 7 |
| Skating sports | 8 | 5 | – | 13 |
| Total | 56 (51.9%) | 49 (45.4%) | 3 (2.8%) | 108 (100) |

Data include 48 double-starters.
sport athletes, especially among endurance athletes extensively exposed to cold air or ice arenas. Team physicians can anticipate that athletes travelling intercontinentally are at higher risk of illness. These illnesses, however, can be mitigated through careful planning and diagnostic work prior to the Games. Compared with the Olympic Games, athletes and their entourage are obligated to stay at the YOG during the whole period of the YOG. In Lillehammer, it seemed unclear to some NOCs that LYOCOG had spare rooms available for isolation of ill athletes, even though it was mentioned before and during the meetings with the countries Chef de Mission. Nevertheless, all requests for an isolation room to LYOCOG from NOCs were honoured. Trends to tract infections were increasing over the whole YOG period, which was suspected to be lower compared with Olympic Games, when aerial disciplines and high jumps are not on the YOG programme. The appropriate measures to specifically reduce risk in these sports should incessantly be on the agenda of the International Sports Federations, who together with the IOC are responsible for the athletes’ safety during the games. Injury risk in YOG appears to be lower compared with Olympic Games, when aerial disciplines and high jumps are not on the YOG programme. The appropriate sizes of the half pipe, jumps and slope design should continue being a matter of discussions. Also, the skill setting and competition experience needed to qualify for YOG seem important aspects to discuss among stakeholders responsible for the safety during these high-level youth sport events.

Table 5 Number (n) and proportion (%) of illnesses in sports on the agenda of the 2016 Lillehammer Youth Olympic Games

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Illness affected system</th>
<th>Alpine, freestyle skiing and snowboarding (n=317)</th>
<th>Curling (n=64)</th>
<th>Ice hockey (n=199)</th>
<th>Sliding sports (n=139)</th>
<th>Nordic skiing sports (n=246)</th>
<th>Skating sports (n=166)</th>
<th>Total (n=1131)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>79*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>64 (81.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergic, immunological</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic, endocrinological</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermatological</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (urogenital, gynaecological, cardiovascular, neurological, psychiatric, musculoskeletal, dental)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9 (11.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Illness symptoms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Illness</th>
<th>Alpine, freestyle skiing and snowboarding (n=317)</th>
<th>Curling (n=64)</th>
<th>Ice hockey (n=199)</th>
<th>Sliding sports (n=139)</th>
<th>Nordic skiing sports (n=246)</th>
<th>Skating sports (n=166)</th>
<th>Total (n=1131)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19 (24.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28 (35.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhoea, vomiting</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnoea, cough</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14 (17.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (dehydration, anaphylaxis, lethargy, dizziness)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14 (17.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Illness cause

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Illness cause</th>
<th>Alpine, freestyle skiing and snowboarding (n=317)</th>
<th>Curling (n=64)</th>
<th>Ice hockey (n=199)</th>
<th>Sliding sports (n=139)</th>
<th>Nordic skiing sports (n=246)</th>
<th>Skating sports (n=166)</th>
<th>Total (n=1131)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>56 (70.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9 (11.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise-induced</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (pre-existing, drug)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>12 (15.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data include 48 double-starters.

*Sport is missing for two illness cases.

Methodological considerations

Surveillance methods and software should facilitate clinicians to easily document injuries and illnesses. By asking the NOCs staff to optionally complete paper and fillable pdf injury and illness report forms, we collected 100% of all daily form from the NOC.

The high response rate was likely a combination of decent communication pathways between the Lillehammer medical leadership, the NOC and the research team. Also, athletes and their NOCs were present during the whole YOG period, which made follow-up communication with NOCs during the Games easier. Information meetings with NOCs and LYOCOG medical staff and report forms in seven languages contributed well to a positive atmosphere around the project. A dedicated research team frequently visited the NOCs to boost compliance, and daily meetings were performed between the research team and Lillehammer medical staff to keep each other up to date and thereby to allow early interventions if needed.

As in any surveillance study, a high response rate does not necessarily equal high data validity. The surveillance form was kept simple with only eight categories to complete for each case to sufficiently capture information for injury and illness surveillance. Still, we cannot rule out misclassification of injuries and illnesses, as of overuse injuries versus pre-existing injuries versus recurrences.

Only 36% of the injuries and 20% of the illnesses were captured by the NOCs and the Lillehammer 2016 staff, allowing us to cross-check data. The majority of minor severity injuries and illnesses was likely seen internally by the NOC medical staff only. These findings demonstrate how recording data among NOC medical staff and in the organising committee’s medical stations is vital to the scientific quality of the surveillance study.

Reflections

The recent Winter YOG3 and Festival,8 the Winter Olympic Games in Vancouver13 and Sochi11 and the FIS World Cups35 all revealed a high risk of severe injuries in many of the freestyle and snowboard events. Consequently, considerations on preventive measures to specifically reduce risk in these sports should incessantly be on the agenda of the International Sports Federations, who together with the IOC are responsible for the athletes’ safety during the games. Injury risk in YOG appears to be lower compared with Olympic Games, when aerial disciplines and high jumps are not on the YOG programme. The appropriate sizes of the half pipe, jumps and slope design should continue being a matter of discussions. Also, the skill setting and competition experience needed to qualify for YOG seem important aspects to discuss among stakeholders responsible for the safety during these high-level youth sport events.
While the amount of high-level international competitions for young talented athletes is increasing, discussions are raising on their health benefits. Early sport specialisation among youths has been associated with increased rates of overuse injuries, burnout, decreased motivation for participation and drop out from sports.

In contrast to working with the mature, fully developed elite athletes, there are particular challenges related to working with high-level youth athletes that should be recognised. 66 69 71 Talented players are likely to possess superior athletic ability; however, when coupled with sudden increases in strength, muscle mass and training load, it may prove to further amplify their risk of developing acute and overload injuries. 60–74 Mismatches in biological maturity in addition to excessive and rapid increases in training loads are likely responsible for a large proportion of injuries. 69 71 72 Also, many of the most talented athletes are competing for several teams or in higher age groups, which leads to a mismatch between competition participation and training/recovery and presumably to an increased injury risk. 71 73 Therefore, it is of utmost importance to monitor the young athlete’s training load, including the load that he/she is prepared for. 74 The implementation of an electronic medical record system within the NOCs would not only improve the healthcare provision in the Games, but also provide a number of new opportunities for recording health data in a confidential manner during out of competition periods.

There is no knowledge available to substantiate whether YOG athletes will excel in the adult Olympic Games or whether injuries and burnouts will result in their demise as athletes. It is our role as the sports medicine community to protect their overall health. Injury and illness surveillance during YOG and other high-level junior sport events accompanied by follow-up of these athletes is an important first step in injury and illness prevention and in the caretaking of the young elite athletes.

CONCLUSION
In summary, 9% of the athletes incurred an injury and 7% suffered from at least one illness during the 2nd Youth Olympic Winter Games in Lillehammer 2016, which in itself is comparable to the YOG 2012 Innsbruck event. The incidences and characteristics of injuries and illnesses in training and competition varied substantially between sports.
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