Experimental Set-up for partial discharge detection
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Problem Description

Production of electric power from floating wind turbines will be a big oncoming challenge. Numerous Norwegian companies are working on different aspects of floating wind power generation.

Power electronics used for HVDC converters will stress the cable with a DC voltage with overlaid transients. The effect of these transients on the performance of the polymeric cable insulation is yet not known and the main purpose of the project is to investigate how transients can influence the life time of the cable insulation.

The work will mainly be experimental. In the first stage, a noise reduced test circuit for AC voltage at higher frequencies must be designed and built. Further work will be to measure partial discharges for AC voltage at different frequencies. Ultimately, the test objects should be tested with a DC voltage with an overlaying AC to simulate the voltage stress a HVDC cable will be subjected to close to the HVDC converters.
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Abstract

There are many technological challenges related to the production of electrical power from offshore wind farms. In offshore HVDC transmission systems converter stations are installed between the AC and DC power grid. The resulting DC voltage from the converter stations will contain transients originating from the switching of the power electronic components. The AC ripple voltage on the DC side of the HVDC stations can be in the range of 1-10% of the nominal DC voltage, depending on the size of the engaged filter. Harmonic content of the ripple can range up to 30-100 times the switching frequency, with the dominant components close to the switching frequency which can be around 1-2 kHz.

The effect of the DC component and the overlaid transient on the ageing of polymeric insulation is not yet known. An operational insulation system is one of the most important prerequisite for functional high voltage system and partial discharge are one of the main ageing mechanisms in a dielectric.

The main purpose of this master thesis has been to develop and build a low interference experimental set-up for partial discharge detection that can simulate the conditions in offshore cable insulation close to the converter stations. The experimental set-up should be able to apply a combined DC voltage and high frequency AC voltage across a test sample.

This master thesis is mainly experimental and have been performed in three sections; sub-system test, system test and main test. The sub-system test was an analysis of the AC voltage source and transformers to find a combination able to deliver a 2 kV\textsubscript{rms} sinusoidal voltage with little distortion across the frequency range 1-5 kHz. The Behlman signal generator and Messwandler Bau transformer gave a THD below 1% at all frequencies and is the AC voltage supply system used in the further tests.

The experimental set-up, based on a partial discharge straight detection circuit, is built inside a faraday cage. During the system testing the noise and interreference from the surrounding and circuit was tested and the nessesary alterations were done. Resulting in a noise band of approximately 0.6 pC, allowing a detection limit of 1 pC. The system test also included a benchmark test on the layered PET samples compared to solid ones. The results indiciated partial discharges in the interfaces between the layers and the pressure was increased.

The results from the main test on the layered samples was very inconsistent when applying the same voltage. The variation reduced the quality and credability of the test making it difficult to interpret the results. The problem with the OMICRON
measuring system with interpreting the correct polarisation of the detected discharges further complicate the interpretation with reducing the ability to distinct the signal from noise at unipolar applied fields.

The combined DC and AC voltage test show a clear distinction between the samples with and without a cavity. The repetition rate during the cavity tests at 50 Hz AC and DC voltage had a high initial value, decreasing down to a stable level. This is consistent with the expectations that repetition rate is dependent of the conductivity of the dielectric and the cavity. The 1 kHz AC and DC tests had a significantly lower repetition rate, both initially and at the stable level, but a similar shape as the repetition rate during the 50 Hz test. The pure DC voltage test is too inconsistent and unreliable results to make any comparison to the results from the combined AC and DC voltage tests.

The results indicate that more tests and further improvements must be done in the experimental set-up to increase the reliability of the results.
Sammendrag

Offshore HVDC overføringssystemer bruker omformerstasjoner mellom AC- og DC-kraftnettet. Likespenning fra omformerstasjonene vil inneholde transienter. Disse ligger i området 1 til 10 % av den nominelle likespenningen, avhengig av filteringen. Det harmonisk innholdet i AC rippelen kan være opptil 30-100 ganger bryterfrekvensen, som kan være rundt 1-2 kHz. Effekten av DC spenning overlagt en høyfrekvent AC transient på åldring av polymer isolasjoner er ennå ikke kjent. Et velfungerende isolasjonssystem er en av de viktigste forutsetningene for et driftssikker høyspentanlegg, og partielle utladninger er en av de mest krevende åldringsmekanisismene man må ta hensyn til i et isolasjonsmateriale.

Hovedformålet med denne masteroppgaven har vært å utvikle og bygge et eksperimentelt oppsett for måling av partielle utlandninger som kan simulere forholdene i offshore kabelisolasjon nær omformerstasjoner. Det eksperimentelle oppsettet skal være i stand til å påtrykke en kombinasjon av DC-spenning og høyfrekvent vekselspenning over et testobjekt.

Denne masteroppgaven er i hovedsak en eksperimentell oppgave og ble gjennomført i tre seksjoner; sub-systemtest, systemtest og hovedtest. I sub-system testen ble en analyse av en Behlman signalgenerator og ulike transformatorer gjennomført for å finne en kombinasjon som var i stand til å levere en 2 kV\textsubscript{rms} sinusformet spenning med liten forvrengning over hele frekvensområdet 1-5 kHz. Behlman signalgeneratoren og Messwandler Bau transformatoren ga en THD under 1% på alle frekvenser og ble valgt for videre testing.

Det eksperimentelle oppsettet ble bygget inne i et faradaybur. Systemtesten ble gjennomført ved å støyteste omgivelsene, oppsettet og komponentene. Det endelige støybåndet ligger på omtrent 0.6 pC, og en deteksjongrense på 1 pC ble satt. Systemtesten inkluderte en test på de lagdelte prøvene sammenlignet med en solid prøve for å utelukke partielle utladninger i grensesjiktene. Resultatene indikerte at det forekommer partielle utladninger i grenseflatene mellom lagene og trykket på prøveobjektene ble økt.

Resultatene fra hovedtesten på de lagdelte prøvene var meget inkonsistente ved samme påtrykte spenning. Variasjonene reduserer kvaliteten og validiteten til resultatene som gjør det vanskelig å tolke resultatene. Problemet med OMICRON målesystemet i tolkningen av korrekt polaritet i små utladninger gjør tolkningen av resultatene enda vanskeligere.

Testresultatene fra den kombinerte AC- og DC-spenningen viser et klart skille mellom
prøvene med og uten hulrom. Repetisjonsraten i prøvene med hulrom og påtrykt både 50 Hz AC- og DC-spenning, hadde en høy initiell verdi som reduseres gradvis til et stabilt nivå. Dette er i overensstemmelse med forventningene om at repetisjonsfrekvens er avhengig av isolasjonens og hulrommets ledningsevnen. Testene med kombinert 1 kHz AC- og DC-spenning hadde et forløp lignende 50 Hz testen, men med en merkbar lavere repetisjonsfrekvens, både i initiell verdi og stabilt nivå. Den rene DC-spenningstesten har for inkonsistente og upålitelige resultater til å gjennomføre en sammenligning av resultatene fra de kombinerte AC- og DC-testene.

Resultatene tyder på at ytterligere forbedringer må gjøres i det eksperimentelle oppsettet for å øke påliteligheten av resultatene.
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1. Introduction

1.1 Background

The market for HVDC transmission systems has increased substantially over the last years, driven by intercountry connections, technological developments and new renewable energy sources. The application of wind energy and especially offshore wind energy is a cornerstone in the policy of several European countries due to the higher wind speeds and hence a greater production. However, the further out to sea and the higher the power capacity, the greater is the challenge of transmission of the energy to the mainland. [1]

1.1.1 Transmission

From the offshore wind turbine collection point, the transmission link to the shore may be High Voltage Alternating Current (HVAC) or High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC). Today the majority of power transmission from offshore wind farms are conducted using HVAC, but as the offshore wind farms get a higher installed power capacity and are located further from the onshore grid connection point, HVDC has proven to have several advantages compared to HVAC. [1]

The submarine AC cables generate reactive current due to its capacitance characteristics. This reduces the power-carrying capability of the cable and reactive shunt compensation may be needed. [1] The capacitive charging current limits the possible length of the AC cable to a maximum practical length of about 50 to 100 km and may cause system instability. Also the compensation alternatives are expensive and hard to implement offshore. [2] In addition, the AC grid of wind turbines and the onshore grid is synchronously coupled; all fault is propagated from one to the other. However the AC solution is a well-established system with low investment costs compared to HVDC. [1]

In DC no reactive power is generated and all of the line capacity is used for transmitting active power. The main advantages with choosing DC is the low losses and no charging current in the cable. There is virtually no limit on connection distance beyond practical constraints of the manufacturers and the laying of the cable. [1]

1.1.1.1 Converter Technology

An HVDC system takes electrical power from an AC network via a transformer, converts it to DC at a converter station and transmits it to the receiving point, where it
is converted back to AC and connected to the existing grid.

The HVDC connection using thyristor based line-commutated converters (LCC) is an established and well documented technology that can transfer large amount of energy over a large distance with low losses. In spite of its excellent results onshore it has proven to not be very suitable in offshore application. The converter stations and auxiliary equipment have demanding space requirements, resulting in big offshore converter platforms. Furthermore, the LCC technology is highly susceptible to AC network disturbances, resulting in converter commutation failures which can temporarily shut down the entire HVDC system. The main limitations of the classical HVDC are the need for large converter stations onshore and offshore and a strong AC network in both ends to control the thyristors, making the LCC technology an unfavourable choice for radial connections such as offshore wind energy. [2] [3]

The voltage source converter (VSC) HVDC system uses Insulated-Gate Bipolar Transistors (IGBT). A self-commutating VSC based HVDC technology with pulse width modulation (PWM). A technique where the commutations do not require an AC voltage source and are practically instantaneous. VSCs are able to independently control both active and reactive power exchange with the AC grid and may help with voltage regulation and are able to operate in weak or even dead AC networks. Power reversal can be accomplished by keeping the same voltage polarity. The major drawbacks of the VSC technology is the high converter loss caused mainly by switching losses in the converters and the high investment cost for the converter stations. Nevertheless the VSC HVDC is considered more suitable for offshore application due to its increased controllability and compact architecture. [4]

1.1.1.2 Harmonics

The resulting DC voltage from the converter stations will contain transients originating from the switching of the power electronics components. The AC ripple voltage on
the DC side of the HVDC stations can be in the range of 1-10 % of the nominal DC voltage, depending on the size of the filter employed. Harmonic content of the ripple can range up to 30-100 times the switching frequency, with the dominant components close to the switching frequency, which can be around 1-2 kHz. [6]

Figure 1.2: Harmonic DC voltage [5]

The high voltage transients will experience dampening along the cable due to the capacitative properties. The insulation closest to the power electronic converters will experience the most severe harmonics. [7]

1.1.1.3 Cable insulation

Oil-impregnated cables have been the leading technology for HVDC power transmission since its introduction. Recently however, much research and development has been devoted to the development of extruded HVDC cables due to their advantages. Such as the low material and production costs, as well as their dielectric strength, electrical resistivity and their physical properties such as resistance to cracking and moisture penetration. The main reason that prevents the use of polymer cables for HVDC transmission lies in the degradation of polymers under high electric stress. This phenomenon is associated with the formation of space charges. Space charge accumulation in polymeric material poses major scientific and technological problems. The continued development of the polymer material and the converter technology increase the possibility of making extruded cables a feasible choice for HVDC transmission. [8]
1.2 Aim of work

Polymers is vulnerable to partial discharges, one of the most important ageing mechanisms. Repetition rate during pure DC voltage is very low, several order less that at applied AC voltage at 50 Hz. However introducing a AC ripple will increase the repetition rate and may affect the ageing of the polymeric insulation. The goal of this assignment is to develop an experimental set-up for detecting and measuring partial discharge at a combined DC and high frequency AC voltage. This is done to simulate a stress similar to the one the cable insulation is subjected to close to the converter stations in an offshore HVDC transmission system. This is to determine the partial discharge characteristics during combined DC and high frequency AC voltage to further ascertain its influence on ageing of polymeric material.

The work is mainly experimental and is divided into several different sections before the circuit is built and the testing on partial discharge in a test object with a cavity can begin. One part is to test the Behlman signal generator together with transformers to generate a low distortion sinusoidal voltage across a frequency range, 1-5 kHz at 1 kV$_{\text{rms}}$ and 2 kV$_{\text{rms}}$. As the hardware analysis is done, noise elimination/attenuation tests should be preformed on the components of the circuit, and the set-up as a whole to increase the sensitivity. When the sensitivity is at an acceptable level partial discharge tests are preformed on test objects. The test objects may either be solid or with a artificially manufactured cavity. Both AC, DC and Cobined DC and AC voltage is applied across the sample to investigate the partial discharge activity to further ascertain the capability of the experimental set-up.
2. Theory

2.1 Partial discharges

Partial discharges (PD) are one of the main fault and ageing mechanisms in an insulation system. Partial discharges are small sparklike short circuits across parts of the insulation, an electrical discharge that does not completely bridge the electrodes. Partial discharges will gradually lead to degradation and ageing of the insulation. How fast depends on the type of insulation system, the material as well as the repetition rate and magnitude of the discharges. Consequently the occurrence of PDs give a good indication of the state of the insulation system and have an impact on its lifespan. [9]

Partial discharges include internal discharges, surface discharges and corona discharges. This report concentrates on partial discharges in cavities. Cavities occur during the manufacturing and ageing of the dielectric. Due to the generally lower permittivity and breakdown strength in the cavity, compared to the surrounding dielectric, a local field enhancement is developed and partial discharges can occur. Partial discharges result in a release of energy and cause degradation of the surrounding insulation through a combination of chemical, mechanical, thermal and radiative processes. Especially the cavity surface is affected and by-products may form on the surface. This causes local field enhancements within the cavity which leads to a concentration of the partial discharges. This may cause inception of electrical trees and could eventually lead to a breakdown of the insulation system. [10]

![Figure 2.1: Different types of internal discharges](image)

Two criteria must be fulfilled in order for partial discharge to occur in cavities within a dielectric; there must be free electrons to initiate an electron avalanche and a sufficiently high electric field to start an avalanche and create a breakdown path. These criteria are both stochastical phenomenons explaining why partial discharges are a very complicated phenomenon that often display chaotic behaviour. [6]
Free electrons can originate from volume or surface generation of electrons, such as ionization by energetic photons from radiation, electron release by ion impact, detrapping of electrons from traps at insulation surfaces or thermal ionization. The critical field value is assumed to follow Paschens law, that is dependent of the type of gas, its pressure and the size of the cavity. In a small cavity there will only rarely get an exited atom/molecule, even though the field is of sufficient size. Partial discharge is therefor a stochastical phenomenon, that will vary in both size and frequency from time to time. [12] [13]

The actual field distribution which initiates the discharge is dependent of the shape and amplitude of the applied voltage, the characteristics of the involved materials, and the geometry of the cavity. The DC stationary field distribution is governed by geometry and conductivity of the materials. The conductivity of the materials is significantly influenced by temperature, amplitude of the electrical field and the present ions. The AC field distribution is determined by geometry and permittivity of the involved materials. The permittivity will vary with the frequency of the field, due to different polarization mechanisms in the dielectric materials. Permittivity will also vary with temperature, but not to the same extent as the conductivity. [14]

2.2 Breakdown voltage of cavities

2.2.1 Paschens curve for breakdown in air

The electrical resilience of a cavity, or its breakdown strength, is dependent of the type and the pressure of the gas as well as the cavity dimensions. The breakdown voltage in a homogeneous field is a function of the product of the gas pressure and size of the cavity at a given temperature. This is Paschens law. [15]

\[ U = \phi(pd) \]  

(2.1)
A breakdown in a cavity bound by a dielectric occurs at approximately the same voltage as between equally spaced electrodes. This voltage can be found in Paschens curve. Considering a gap of a given size, as the pressure decreases so will the gas density and the electron free path increases. Resulting in a reduced number of collisions and an increase of the breakdown strength. Several factors may cause the breakdown voltage to be lower than predicted. The walls of the cavity may be covered by static charges produced when the cavity was formed or left after previous partial discharges. Semiconducting layers may also occur on the cavity walls, more or less short circuiting the cavity. This may cause a significant increase of the breakdown stress. [9]

The discharges will start at some critical field, $E_p$, given by Pachen’s law. As long as $\text{height} \ll \text{radius of the amplitude}$, the amplitude of the electric field in the cavity is given by:

$$E_c = \frac{V_c}{h}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

The voltage across the cavity at which partial discharges start to occur when the voltage is increased is called the inception voltage, and the corresponding stress is called the inception stress. When a partial discharge occurs at a voltage $V_{c,\text{inception}}$ across the cavity, the cavity is partly or completely discharged through a breakdown path in the field direction. When the discharge reaches the surface of the dielectric it is followed by discharges along the surface. After a few nano seconds the voltage across the void has dropped to the extinction voltage, also known as the remanent voltage, $V_e$ and the PD is extinguished. A considerable part of the cavity surface is affected during the
PD and a surface charge is deposited on the surface of the cavity. Immediately after discharge the electric field in the cavity will have a size of only a fraction of the field before the discharge occurred. The cavity is once again insulating until the voltage across it exceed $V_{c,\text{inception}}$ and a new partial discharge occurs. [15] [14] 

2.2.2 Partial Discharge under AC voltage

![Internal discharge equivalent circuit under AC conditions](image)

Internal discharges at AC voltage can be described using the well-known abc equivalent circuit illustrated in Figure 2.3. The intact part of the dielectric is described by a capacitance $C_a$ while the dielectric in series with the cavity is represented by $C_b$ and the cavity is represented by a capacitance $C_c$, which is shunted by a breakdown path. [9]

2.2.2.1 Voltage distribution

The AC voltage across a cavity can be expressed by the following equation:

$$\hat{V}_{c,\text{ac}} = K_{AC}\hat{V}_{ac}\sin(\omega t)$$ (2.3)

$\hat{V}_{ac}$ is the amplitude of the AC voltage with an angular frequency of $\omega=2\pi f$ applied across the dielectric and $K_{AC}$ is given by the capacitances of the insulation and the cavity illustrated in Figure 2.3:

$$K_{AC} = \frac{C_b}{C_b + C_c}$$ (2.4)

The inception voltage for partial discharges measured over the terminals at AC voltage is defined as:
2.2.2.2 Recurrence of discharges

Figure 2.4: Discharge recurrence during AC voltage [17]

Figure 2.4 illustrates the PD activity in during AC voltage, the voltage across the cavity is given by \( v(t) \), the ignition voltage is \( V_i \) and the extinction voltage is denoted \( V_r \). The voltage across a cavity when no partial discharges occur is given by Equation 2.3. After a partial discharge occur the voltage across the cavity drops and the voltage immediately after discharge is given by superposition of the main electric field and the field of the surface charges at the cavity walls left by the PD. [9]

\[
V_{c,ac,pd} = K_{AC} \hat{V}_{ac} \sin(\omega t) - \Delta U
\]  

(2.6)

In this formula \( \Delta U = V_{c,inception} - V_e \) is the voltage drop across the cavity during a partial discharge. A new PD will occur when the voltage in the cavity again reaches the critical value, \( V_{c,ac,pd} \geq V_{c,inception} \). The same will occur in the negative half period of the alternating voltage. [15]

For \( V_{ac} \geq V_{PDIC,AC} \) the discharge frequency is given by:

\[
f_{pd,ac} = \frac{4fK_{AC}\hat{V}_{ac}}{V_{c,inception}}
\]

(2.7)

[6]

The repetition rate of partial discharges is difficult to determine since partial discharge inception and extinction voltage is strongly affected by the deposited discharge and the shape of the voltage applied. Ripple on the voltage may induce partial discharges at
an applied voltage below the inception voltage and the waiting time for free electrons may delay the discharge, letting the voltage increase to a level above breakdown voltage before an avalanche occurs.

The partial discharge inception voltage have been observed to be dependent on the frequency of the AC voltage and increase at higher frequencies. The frequency dependence can be described by certain parameters; the statistical time lag, charge transport in the dielectric and the cavity surface decay. If the waiting time for a free electron is much shorter than the period time of the voltage there is no frequency dependence in the PD. However if the statistical time lag is in the same range as the period, the partial discharges is shifted forward in phase and occur at higher voltage due to the lack of free electrons. The result is fewer partial discharges per period and larger magnitudes. The surface charges deposited by previous partial discharges decay over time due to conduction and recombination and if there are significant surface charge decay the field in cavity decreases and hence decrease the number of partial discharges. If there is a significant transport of surface charge in the cavity from shallow traps to deeper traps it will reduce the surface emission of starting electrons. This effect is intensified by increased frequency and will reduce the number of partial discharges per period. [10] [18]

2.2.3 Partial Discharge under DC voltage

![Internal discharge equivalent circuit under DC conditions](image)

Figure 2.5: Internal discharge equivalent circuit under DC conditions

[9]

To illustrate partial discharge under DC conditions, the well known abc circuit from AC partial discharge is extended with resistances, as seen in Figure 2.5. During AC voltage the capacitive voltage determine the field but at stationary DC voltage it is the resistive voltage that determine the field. During the increase and decrease of the applied DC voltage one will often get a capacitive voltage distribution across the insulation, and hence comparable conditions as during AC voltage. C_a and R_a
represent the properties of the entire dielectric between the cavity and the electrodes and \( C_b \) and \( R_b \) represent the properties of the dielectric in series with the cavity, \( C_c \) is the capacitance of the cavity and \( R_c \) represent the surface resitance of the void. \[19\] \[12\]

The time constant, \( \tau \), for charging of the cavity is given by:

\[
\tau = \left( \frac{R_b R_c}{R_b + R_c} \right) (C_b + C_c) = R_b C_b \frac{K_{DC}}{K_{AC}} = \frac{\epsilon_0 \epsilon_b K_{DC}}{\sigma_b K_{AC}}
\]

(2.8)

for a sylindrical cavity the time constant can be written:

\[
\tau = \frac{D \epsilon_0 \epsilon_c + \epsilon_0 \epsilon_b}{D \sigma_c + \sigma_b}
\]

(2.9)

Where \( \epsilon_c \) and \( \epsilon_b \) is the relative permittivity of the cavity and the dielectric respectively and \( \sigma_c \) and \( \sigma_b \) is the conducitivity cavity and the dielectric. \( D \) is given by the dimensions of the cavity:

\[
D = \frac{H - h}{h}
\]

(2.10)

2.2.3.1 Voltage distribution

The voltage distribution in the cavity under stationary DC conditions, assuming an extinction voltage \( V_e = 0 \) is given by:

\[
V_{c,dc} = (1 - e^{-\tau}) K_{DC} V_{dc}
\]

(2.11)

where \( V_{dc} \) is the applied DC voltage across the test sample and \( K_{DC} \) is given by Equation 2.12.

\[
K_{DC} = \frac{R_c}{R_c + R_b}
\]

(2.12)

The partial discharge inception voltage during applied DC voltage is given by:

\[
V_{PDIV,DC} = \left( \frac{1}{K_{DC}} \right) V_{c,inception}
\]

(2.13)

The inception voltage for DC is not well defined, because there will be no discharges at the inception voltage, \( V_{c,dc} = V_{PDIV,DC} \). In practice, the inception voltage for partial discharges under applied DC voltage is better defined as the voltage that will give a certain number of discharges per time. It can be accepted that the DC voltage across the cavity must be well above the inception voltage before discharges occur. \[6\]
2.2.3.2 Recurrence of discharges

When the DC is applied the field is capacitively graded. In this area the PD repetition rate is high and follows the polarization current closely. After all the polarization processes are completed, the field in the cavity is resistive and discharges only occur infrequently. Because of the resistances located around the cavity is finite the surface charge from the previous PD will decay and a new discharge will occur. The frequency of which these discharges occur is given by the local resistances. [9] [19]

After a discharge occur the next one can take place after a recovery time $t_r'$. The statistical timelag $t_L$ is assumed equal to zero, hence there is no waiting time for free electrons. The equation below is an expression for the minimal time between discharges. [19] [6]

\[ t'_r = -\tau \ln\left[1 - \frac{V_{PDIV,DC}}{V_{DC}}\right] \] (2.14)

for $V_{DC} > V_{PDIC,DC}$.

The repetition rate is given by equation 2.15 and is found using the first term in the Taylor series.

\[ n = \frac{1}{t_r} \] (2.15)

\[ n \approx \frac{1}{\tau V_{PDIV,DC}} \] (2.16)

The repetition rate during DC voltage is proportional to $V_{c,dc}$ and hence the external voltage. It can be seen from Equation 2.16 that the repetition rate during DC voltage is dependent of the time constant for the charging of the cavity. The charging time is a function of both the permittivity and the conductivity of the involved materials. Since the conductivity of a material is strongly dependent of the temperature and the applied electric field, so is the repetition rate. The conductivity of a dielectric changes by many orders of magnitude when the temperature is raised from a temperature of 20°C to a temperature between 60 and 90°C. The repetition rate is directly affected by the increase in conductivity. If the conductivity is increased by two orders, so would the repetition rate. [19] The repetition rate will also vary with time from the decrease in the volume conductivity and reach a stable level after some time has passed. The stable repetition rate is reached after a time dependent of the temperature. [9] [19] [20]

The repetition rate under DC stress is several order of magnitude less than at AC voltage. Only at very high stresses the repetition rate during DC reaches a level close to the repetition rate at AC voltage. [19]
2.2.4 Partial discharge under combined AC and DC voltage

The abc equivalent for combined DC and AC voltage is the same as the one illustrated in Figure 2.5 with resistances to take into account the stationary DC voltage and capacitances for the alternating voltages.

2.2.4.1 Voltage distribution within the dielectric

A combined DC and AC voltage applied across the experimental set-up can be expressed as:

\[ V_{\text{input}} = V_{\text{dc}} + \hat{V}_{\text{ac}} \sin(\omega t) \]  

(2.17)

where \( V_{\text{dc}} \) is the DC voltage and \( \hat{V}_{\text{ac}} \) is the amplitude of the AC voltage with an angular frequency of \( \omega = 2\pi f \).

The resulting peak voltage over the cavity, \( V_c \), will be given by superposition of the combined voltages across the cavity.

\[ V_c = V_{c,\text{dc}} + \hat{V}_{c,\text{ac}} = (1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}})K_{\text{DC}}V_{\text{dc}} + K_{\text{AC}}\hat{V}_{\text{ac}}\sin(\omega t) \]  

(2.18)

2.2.4.2 Repetition rate

The equation for the repetition rate under applied DC voltage, Equation 2.14 can be modified to take into account the AC voltage. Since the frequency of the AC voltage is much higher than the time constant given by Equation 2.8, it will appear as if the DC voltage is offset by the peak voltage of Equation 2.3. The new time between discharges can be written as:

\[ t_r = -\tau \ln[1 - \left(\frac{V_{\text{PDIV,DC}}}{V_{\text{DC}}} - \frac{V_{c,\text{ac}}}{V_{c,\text{dc}}}\right)] \]  

(2.19)

which can be rewritten as

\[ t_r = -\tau \ln[1 - \left(\frac{V_{\text{PDIV,DC}}}{V_{\text{DC}}}(1 - \frac{V_{AC}}{V_{\text{PDIV,AC}}})\right)] \]  

(2.20)

The repetition rate \( n \) is the inverse of \( t_r \) and can be approximated by ignoring all terms after the first one in the Taylor series of \( n \):

\[ n = \frac{1}{t_r} \]  

(2.21)
\[ n \approx \frac{1}{\tau} \left( \frac{V_{dc}}{V_{PDIV,DC}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{V_{ac}}{V_{PDIV,AC}}} \right) \]  

Equation 6.2 is similar to the repetition rate during DC voltage with an additional section to take into account the superimposed AC voltage. The repetition rate during combined DC and AC voltage will have a similar shape as the voltage during DC voltage, but will increase with an increased AC voltage. With an applied AC voltage of half the inception voltage during AC voltage the repetition rate during a combined DC and AC voltage will be twice the frequency at DC voltage. It also states that \( n \) goes to infinity when \( \hat{V}_{ac} \) approaches \( V_{PDIV,AC} \). Due to Equation 2.7 this is clearly not the case. [6]

![Figure 2.6: Repetition rate illustration for combined DC and AC voltage](image)

Figure 2.6: Repetition rate illustration for combined DC and AC voltage
3. Partial Discharge Detection

3.1 Detection circuit

Figure 3.1: Equivalent circuit of straight detection circuit

Figure 3.1 illustrates a equivalent straight detection circuit. When discharges occur in the test object $C_t$ the voltage across the test object experience a sudden voltage drop corresponding to the apparent charge. The coupling capacitor $C_k$ in parallell with the test object will deliver a current into the test object to counteract the voltage difference that originate between them due to discharge, and create a low impedance circuit for the high frequency signals. The measuring impedance can be placed in series with either the coupling capacitor or the test object. The voltage drop during a discharge will result in a small transient current and the measuring impedance will result in a detectable voltage due to this current. The detection equipment is connected across the measuring impedance and must be high frequency detection equipment due to the short duration and high frequency of the voltage. [12] [15]

The coupling capacitor should be larger than the capacitance of the testobject to get a good sensitivity. The measuringimpedance will affect the measured signal and the data possible to get out of the measurement, hence the choice in design is very important. [12]

3.1.1 Sensitivity and noise

During partial discharge measurements the sensitivity of the detection circuit must be very good. Generally the aim is to maximize the signal to noise ratio by minimizing the noise, maximizing the signal or a combination of both. The reliability and sensitivity of
detection circuits are strongly affected by interference. Interference can originate from the main delivering the voltage to the equipment, the circuit itself, contact noise or from the surrounding, since all wiring basically works as antennas when not connected. Due to the low repetition rate during pure DC measurements the signal to noise ration should be very low to get a clear picture of the actual partial discharges occurring in the test object.

A majority of the noise problems can be solved using electro-magnetical shields/faraday cage, careful design and testing all the equipment for PD separately, and hence eliminating them as sources of partial discharge in the sample, since all types of discharges have its own characteristic signature. Radio noise can be attenuated using a faraday cage. Corona discharges can be eliminated using field grading spheres and torids on sharp edges. Ground loops can be eliminated by careful design, grid interference can be attenuated using a filter on the main and floating objects can be pretty much eliminated by having a neat and clean test cell. Choosing a frequency band where the external noise is low and the signal from the defect high is important to distinct PD from noise.

3.1.2 Partial discharge magnitude

The magnitude of the detected discharges may vary in size. The magnitude depend on where in the dielectric the discharges occur.

The apparent charge measured across the dielectric is not the same as the charge released in the cavity due to a partial discharge. The model during partial discharge detection is used to calculate the charge in the cavity rather than the one detected.

The capacitance of the dielectric is dependent of the permittivty and the dimentions of the cavity and test object,

\[ C = \epsilon_0 \epsilon_r \frac{A}{d} \]  

where \( \epsilon_0 \) is the permittivity of vacuum=8.854\( \times \)10\(^{-12} \) and \( \epsilon_r \) is the reltive permittivity of the material. \( A \) is the area and \( d \) is the height of the different parts of the dielectric.

Using the dimentions listet in table 4.2 one can calculate the capacitances of the test objects using equation 3.1 getting \( C_a=20.28 \) pF, \( C_b=0.4867 \) pF and \( C_c=0.278 \) pF. The value of the coupling capacitor is \( C_k=800 \) pF.

The magnitude of the discharges across the entire cavity can be calculated. When a discharge occur all the components is considered to be capacitances due to the high frequency.

A charge \( Q \) is the product of the capacitance and the voltage across it.

\[ Q = VC \]
Assuming a remanent voltage (extinction voltage) equal to zero the charge resulting from a partial discharge across the entire area of the cavity is found using Equation 3.3 where $\Delta V_c$ is peak value of the breakdown voltage $V_{\text{paschen}} \approx 950$ V peak.

$$Q_{\text{cavity}} = \Delta V_c C_c$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.3)

Resulting in a charge $Q_{\text{cavity}} = 265.25$ pC. The measured value for a discharge of this size at the breakdown voltage is given in Equation 3.4. The value of $C_{eq}$ is given by the equivalent before discharge occur, and $\Delta V$ is given by voltage division.

$$Q_{\text{measured}} = C_{eq} \Delta V$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.4)

$$C_{eq} = C_k + C_a + \frac{C_b C_c}{C_b + C_c}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.5)

$$\Delta V = \Delta V_c \frac{C_b}{C_b + C_a + C_k}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.6)

This gives a measured charge, corresponding to the cavity charge $Q_{\text{cavity}}$, $Q_{\text{measured}} = 452.3$ pC.
3.2 Experimental set-up

The test circuit is based on a straight measuring circuit and is designed to able to apply both high frequency AC and DC voltage across the test cell. An equivalent circuit for the experimental set-up is illustrated in Figure 3.2. The experimental set-up is placed inside a faraday cage to attenuate the noise from the radiowaves, and corona toroids and spheres are connected at all the sharpe edges of the set-up to prevent corona discharges during testing. Pictures of the experimental set-up can be found in section A.1 in the appendix.

\[ C_{ac} \] is a buffer capacitance to prevent the DC current from entering the AC voltage side of the set-up. \( R_{dc} \) is a buffer resistance to prevent the AC current from entering the DC voltage source. One of the key parameters in a test set-up like this, with both AC and DC voltage source, is the buffer components and prevent creating a low impedance path for the transient currents from the partial discharge activity in another branch than through the measuring impedance.

### Table 3.1: List of parameters in the experimental circuit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( R )</td>
<td>Series resistance</td>
<td>100 ( \Omega )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_{ac,\text{buffer}} )</td>
<td>Buffer capacitance</td>
<td>10 nF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{dc,\text{buffer}} )</td>
<td>Buffer resistance</td>
<td>100 M( \Omega )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_k )</td>
<td>Coupling capacitor</td>
<td>800 pF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_k )</td>
<td>Coupling resistance</td>
<td>280 M( \Omega )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_t )</td>
<td>Testobject capacitance</td>
<td>200 nF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_t )</td>
<td>Testobject resistance</td>
<td>1 T( \Omega )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3.2: Equivalent circuit of the experimental set-up
The DC voltage across the test object can be expressed:

\[ V_{DC,testobject} = V_{dc} \frac{R_k}{R_{dc,buffer} + R_k} \]  (3.7)

since \( R_t \gg R_k \).

The AC voltage across the test object:

\[ V_{AC,testobject} = V_{ac} \frac{C_{ac,buffer}}{C_{ac,buffer} + C_k + C_t} \]  (3.8)

The resulting voltage across the test object is:

\[ V_{testobject} = V_{AC,testobject} + V_{DC,testobject} \]  (3.9)

3.2.1 Hardware

Generation

- **Behlman Signal generator** a high frequency AC voltage source with a voltage range of 0-270 V_RMS and frequency range 45-10,000 Hz.
- **FUG** DC voltage source with a voltage output range of 0-35 kV
- **Messwandler Bau** Measuring Transformer

Some additional information on the hardware can be found in Section B.2 in the appendix.

Measurement

**OMICRON MPD600** measurement and analysis tool for partial discharges is used for detection of the partial discharges. The OMICRON consist of a measuring shunt connected in the detection circuit. The measuring shunt is connected to the measuring unit that registers and measures the size of the transient voltage signals. The analog signal is converted to digital signals and transmitted to the computer through fiber optics for further treatment.

The detection limit will be limited by the sensitivity of the registration instrument and the noise band. The design of the experimental laboratory set-up is very important with regard to interference reduction/elimination.
3.2.2 Test Cell

The set-up consist of a test cell with parallel plane Rogowski shaped electrodes with a surface area of approximately 19.62 cm$^2$. During testing the cell is filled with degassed mineral oil to prevent discharges at the edges of the electrodes. The oil is heated by a heat coil, to maintain a temperaterature within ±0.1% during testing. The pressure applied to the sample is controlled by weights and are set to 50 kN/mm$^2$ and 75 kN/mm$^2$. A picture of the test cell can be found in section A.2 in the appendix.

Figure 3.3: Test Cell
3.2.3 Security Measures

- A door switch is connected to a connection box between the voltage sources and the experimental set-up to eliminate the possibility of applying the voltage without a shut door and cut the connection if the door is opened during tests.
- A earthing rod is connected to the same security switch as the door. The rod must be placed on the circuit before handling it to prevent shock from charged components in the circuit.
- A smoke detector is connected to the circuit to detect any development of smoke from overheating in the oil.
- Two temperature sensors in the test cell is programmed to terminate the test if the temperate exceed 100°C.
- Oil level measurer are set to terminate the test if large amounts of oil is leaking from the test cell.

3.2.4 Calibration

The test circuit must be calibrated in order to measure the correct partial discharge magnitudes. This is done using a calibrator and applying a known charge pulse across the test cell with a test sample in place. The applied charge generates a current in the circuit and the voltage across the measuring impedance due to the charge is detected by the measuring equipment. The relation between the applied charge and related voltage transient measured is the calibration factor. When this is know the OMICRON detection program is able to convert the detected voltage signal to the correct charge magnitude. Calibrating must be preformed each time a test object of different height or material is used, since this changes the characteristics of the detection circuit and hence the detected transient voltage.

In the experimental set-up two OMICRON units are placed in parallell to detect partial discharges at two different gain levels simultaneously. The two OMICRON MPD600 units in the experimental set-up is calibrated at 5 pC for the high gain unit and 50 pC for the low gain unit.
4. Experimental

The testing is performed to build a interference free experimental set-up illustrated in figure 3.2 for partial discharge testing and is performed in sections where the further work is based on the results from the previous test.

The testing on the experimental set-up is performed in a given order to eliminate problems as they arise.

- **Sub-system test**: testing on Behlman signal generator and transformers to find a low noise generation system for high frequency AC voltage.

- **System test**: testing of the external noise and interference originating from the circuit to eliminate them, and preforme tests on the layered samples without a cavity compared to solid samples to determine if discharge occur in the interfaces.

- **Main test**: tests on the layered samples described in Section 4.4 at different voltages and frequencies to further test the ability of the detection circuit by interpreting the results.

4.1 Sub-system test

The experimental set-up should be able to deliver both DC and high frequency AC across the test objects. The goal is to have a sinusoidal voltage with as little ripple as possible since they may lead to partial discharges at a lower applied voltage than the breakdown voltage. [9]. During the project assignment it was found that the Behlman signal generator is a viable choice for generating high frequency sinusoidal voltage. It is easy to regulate and can supply a wide range of frequencies with low distortion. The results from the project did not have a sufficiently high frequency resolution and a new test is nessesary to determine the distortion of the seemingly sinusoidal voltage. [22]

The voltage span of the Behlman signal generator is 0-270 V\textsubscript{RMS} and a transformer is needed to reach the desired voltage for partial discharge testing.

The test is performed on both the Behlman Signal Generator and several transformers to be able to find a transformer able to handle the high frequencies, 1-5 kHz, and still reach a voltage of 2 kV\textsubscript{RMS}. The difficulty with high frequency transformers is the risk of the core being saturated at higher frequencies distorting the output voltage. The transformers to be tested:

- The transformer design by Petter Nodeland [23] [24]
- Neon Transformer
• Messwandler Bau Measuring Transformer
• Arteche Measure Transformer

Some additional information on the transformers and the Behlman signal generator can be found in section B.1 in the appendix.

4.1.1 Experimental approach

The analysis to determine the quality of the output voltage were performed by connecting the Behlman source and the transformer in series with a resistance on the primary side of the transformer and a capacitor of 800 pF on the transformers secondary side. The capacitor on the secondary side is meant to simulate a load similar to the one it is subjected to during the partial discharge detection tests. The resistance is placed in series with the primary side of the transformer to limit the current to the transformer, get a higher voltage from the Behlman and a less sensitive control system.

Initially a preliminary test is performed by observing the transformer ratios and the voltage shape on the secondary side by placing a high voltage measurement probe across the capacitor connected to a high resolution Yokogawa oscilloscope. The transformer with the most promising results during the observations done with the oscilloscope is investigated further with a fast fourier transform (FFT) of the signal.

The necessary data for the FFT is collected using the Yokogawa oscilloscope and the corresponding computer program Xviewer for saving the collected data as csv files. The text file is imported into a MATLAB script for plotting of the fast fourier transform and finding the total harmonic distortion (THD) of the signal. To get a satisfactory analysis a sufficient amount of data is needed to observe as much of the signals components as possible. A high sampling frequency must be used to detect the harmonic content of the voltages with high frequencies. The sampling where set to 62.5 MSamples and the acquisition time 20 ms at the Yokogawa oscilloscope.

Tests are performed at 1 kHz, 2 kHz, 3 kHz, 4 kHz and 5 kHz at 1 kVRMS and 2 kVRMS.

4.2 System test

All the system tests is performed using the OMICRON measurement system.

Noise and frequency band

Noise measurement tests are performed to eliminate the sources of interference if possible. The tests are performed with individual parts connected both with and without applied voltage to detect whether discharges occur. The test object used during the
noise tests is a teflon plate to assure that no discharges occur in the sample and only the set-up is tested.

A measurement on the noise band is performed to ascertain at what limit partial discharges can be detected and not be strongly affected by underlaying noise. This is done by turning all the circuit equipment on, with no applied voltage and using the OMICRON program to detect the limit.

Finding the appropriate frequency band to preforme the tests are done using the OMICRONS FFT function and is done in the same manner as detecting the noise band, observations and choosing an area where the noise content is low.

When the noise is at low level and all the segments of the circuit is tested and approved the experimental circuit is completed and approved for further testing.

Benchmarking

The benchmarking will give an indication of the partial discharge behaviour in a layered sample compared to a solid one to assure that no discharges occur in the interfaces of the test objects decribed in section 4.4. The set-up tests are done using solid layered test sample and a solid PET sample with a thickness of 250 µm without cavity.

The tests are performed applying a combined DC and AC voltage, \( V_{dc}=10 \, kV \) and \( V_{AC}=1 \, kV \) at 50 Hz and a pressure of 50 kN/mm.

4.3 Main test

All the main tests is performed using the OMICRON measurement system.

The test are ment to be a frame of reference by observing the discharge magnitudes and repetition rates at different applied voltages to be able to have some data to compare to the expected results based on theory. The results is used for a comparison to determine the capability and reliability of the experimental set-up.

The further testing is performed on the 3×100 µm samples with and without cavity \( r=1 \, mm \). The tests are performed under equal conditions, a temperature of 60°C and a pressure of 75 kN/mm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applied voltage</th>
<th>Run time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pure AC voltage, ( V_{ac}=500 , V_{rms}, f=50 , Hz )</td>
<td>24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure DC voltage, ( V_{dc}=10 , kV_{dc} )</td>
<td>24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined AC and DC voltage, ( V=10 , kV_{dc} +500 , V_{ac,rms}, f=50 , Hz )</td>
<td>24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined AC and DC voltage, ( V=10 , kV_{dc} +500 , V_{ac,rms}, f=1 , kHz )</td>
<td>12 hours</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.4 Test Objects

The test objects are created out of Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) sheets. The choice of using PET sheet is due to the consistent thickness, low amount of contaminants and constant dielectric properties. This makes the test objects simpler to create without large variations in characteristics of the samples.

The test objects consist of three circular layers of the PET sheet glued together at the edges. The middle sheet can be altered to either make a sample without or with a cavity of a given radius. The thickness of the PET sheet is 100 µm so the thickness of the finished sample will be 300 µm and the radius of the cavity can easily be changed based on the desired size of the artificial cavity for the test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \epsilon_b )</td>
<td>Relative permittivity of dielectric</td>
<td>3.5 [F/m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \epsilon_c )</td>
<td>Relative permittivity of cavity</td>
<td>1 [F/m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma_b )</td>
<td>Conductivity of dielectric</td>
<td>(1.65 \times 10^{-15} \text{[S/m]} \text{ at } 80^\circ \text{C})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma )</td>
<td>Conductivity of dielectric</td>
<td>(1 \times 10^{-15} \text{[S/m]} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>height of test object</td>
<td>300 [µm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>height of cavity</td>
<td>100 [µm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>effective radius of electrodes</td>
<td>25 [mm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>radius of cavity</td>
<td>1 [mm]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.1: Test Object

4.4.1 Manufacturing of the samples

A stensil and press are used to create the circular objects from the PET sheet. The middle layer is either solid or have a hole drilled in the middle to create a cylindrical cavity filled with atmospheric air.

The samples with the drilled hole is inspected in a microscope in order to discard the object with sharp edges or irregularities around the cavity that may lead to local field
enhancements. The samples are sorted into categories A, B and discarded, based on the findings. This gives a more correct reading of the relevant partial discharges, e.i the ones occurring due to the artificial air cavity.

Since partial discharges occur in the imperfections in a dielectric it is important to manufacture the samples in a clean environment.

- The three PET sheets are cleaned using isopropanol and dried.
- A catalyser (770) is applied along the edges and allowed to dried before the sheets are placed together.
- The sheets are placed in a press and a pressure of approximately 3 bar is applied
- When the objects is placed under pressure, glue (406) is applied between all the layers along the edges.
- After 2-3 hours the glue have sufficiently hardened and the test object is complete.

The final samples are visually examined for contaminants before they are approved and numbered.

4.5 Test procedure

The test object to be tested is cleaned with isopropanol and dried to remove any contaminants before it is lowered into oil in the testcell. Here it is moved around to remove airbubbles before being placed on the bottom electrode. The upper electrode must be partially lowered into the oil at an angle to let the airbubbles rise to surface. When the airbubbles have risen the lid is put in place and the electrode is lowered firmly in a vertical angle onto the sample to prevent the sample of sliding away. When the electrode is in place with the sample in the correct position the pressure needed is applied using the weights before connecting the electrode to the circuit and connecting the corona toroid before closing the faraday cage.

If the equipment have been in off position for a long time the sources are turned on, but with no voltage applied to reach operating temperature before applying the voltage. When the equipment have been on for at least an hour the voltage is applied. The DC voltage is tuned up slowly not induce any partial discharges.
5. Results

The results from the tests performed using the OMICRON measuring equipment a
digital filter is used on the raw data. The filter takes out symmetrical, high frequency
discharges out of the raw data. High frequency in this context is above 1 Hz, most of
the discharges detected have a repetition rate of 180 per hour and lower, i.e. with a
frequency well below 1/20 Hz. The filter was able to take out a small portion of the
discharges responsible for sudden jumps in the repetition rate.

5.1 Sub-system test

The preliminary testing of the Behlman source and the transformers gave some unexpected results. The Petter Nodeland transformer showed a high current in the transformer, resulting in a high temperature in the windings, measured to be 97°C, at a voltage of 564 V at the secondary side of the transformer at 5 kHz.

The test on the neon transformer showed a transformer ratio of 1:100 in the 750 Hz area but that the transformer ratio declined rapidly with increased frequency with a 1:3 ratio at 5 kHz. Due to the ratio, the transformer cannot be used to reach 2 kV\text{rms} with the Behlman's maximum output of 270 V\text{rms}.

During the preliminary tests on the Arteche Measure Transformer it is revealed that the ratio between the primary and secondary side are very dependent on the frequency applied. The ratio differs from 1:500 at around 1 kHz to 1:11 at 5 kHz. The voltage looks good, but due to the low ratio at higher frequencies it cannot be used to deliver the desired voltage.

In the preliminary tests performed on Messwandler Bau Measuring Transformator it became clear that both the voltage and the ratio looks good. Across the entire frequency range of 1 kHz- 5 kHz the ratio ranges between approximately 1:100 and 1:400. The Messwandler Transformer is used for further testing.
5.1.1 Harmonics analysis

The total harmonic distortion (THD) of the Messwandler Bau measuring transformer is calculated on harmonics of the voltage signal.

Table 5.1: Total harmonic distortion for the Messwandler Bau at 1 kV and 2 kV at frequencies 1 kHz - 5 kHz.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>1 kV&lt;sub&gt;rms&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>2 kV&lt;sub&gt;rms&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 kHz</td>
<td>0.90 %</td>
<td>0.73 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 kHz</td>
<td>0.81 %</td>
<td>0.47 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 kHz</td>
<td>0.70 %</td>
<td>0.50 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 kHz</td>
<td>0.58 %</td>
<td>0.33 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 kHz</td>
<td>0.40 %</td>
<td>0.29 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5.2 and Figure 5.3 on the two next pages illustrates the results from the FFT of the signal on the secondary side of the transformer during the testing at 1 kHz and 1 kV and 2 kV, respectively.

The total harmonic distortion is below 1% for all the voltages and frequencies tested. It is determined that a filter is not necessary before continuing with the design of the experimental set-up. The figures and additional data for the tests across the entire tested range of frequencies and 1 and 2 kV can be found in appendix C.
FFT analysis plot 1 kHz and 1 kV

Figure 5.2: FFT plot 1 kHz and 1 kV
FFT analysis plot 1 kHz and 2 kV

Figure 5.3: FFT plot 1 kHz and 2 kV
5.2 System test

5.2.1 Noise testing

The tests on the different segments of the circuit were carried out and alterations were done to lower the noise band. Resulting in a noise level of approximately 0.6 pC and a very good starting point for further testing. Figure 5.4 and 5.5 show the noise content and the FFT on the PD input, respectively. The frequency integrating range is set in a low noise area 1.15 - 1.45 MHz and is marked in purple in the FFT illustration. The two peaks in the FFT plot, one small to the left of the frequency band and the larger one to the right, originate from an external noise source and have a sporadic occurrence.

Figure 5.4: Noise content when all equipment is connected and in on state, but no voltage applied
Figure 5.5: FFT and frequency band choice for the circuit. All equipment is connected and in on state, but no voltage applied.
5.2.2 Combined 10 kV\textsubscript{dc} and 50 Hz 1 kV\textsubscript{ac,rms} Voltage

\( P = 50 \text{ kN/mm} \)

Table 5.2: PD data for PET 2, Solid 250 \( \mu \text{m} \) sample without cavity, 10 kV\textsubscript{DC}, 1 kV\textsubscript{AC,RMS}, \( f= 50\text{Hz} \), \( P=50 \text{ kN/mm} \), \( t=18 \text{ hours} \), \( T=40^\circ\text{C} \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PD data for sample 2, without cavity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of discharges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum magnitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum magnitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean discharge magnitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median discharge magnitude</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.3: PD data for 3\( \times 100 \mu \text{m} \) layered samples without cavity, 10 kV\textsubscript{DC}, 1 kV\textsubscript{AC,RMS}, \( f= 50\text{Hz} \), \( P=50 \text{ kN/mm} \), \( t=24 \text{ hours} \), \( T=60^\circ\text{C} \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PD data for layered samples, without cavity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of discharges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum magnitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum magnitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean discharge magnitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median discharge magnitude</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The plots of the discharge magnitude and repetition rate of sample 2 and sample 3 can be seen on the next two pages in Figure 5.6 and 5.7, respectively. It should be observed that the sampling time for sample 2 is 18 hours and the temperature is 40\(^\circ\text{C}\). The plots for sample 4 and 5 can be found in Figures D.1 and D.2 in section D.1 in the appendix.

From the data displayed in Table 5.3 above it can be seen that a high amount of discharges were detected in the layered samples without a cavity. In addition the discharges in the layered samples have a high magnitude compared to the discharges observed in sample 2, displayed in Table 5.2. Since these samples does not include a cavity these observations rises suspicion of discharges in the interfaces between the layers. The weight on the samples were increased to a corresponding pressure of 75 kN/mm for the continued testing.
Figure 5.6: PET 2 plot. Solid 250 µm sample without cavity, 10 kVDC, 1 kVAC,RMS, P=50 kN/mm, t=18 hours, T=40°C
Figure 5.7: PET 3 plot. 3×100 µm layered sample without cavity, 10 kVDC, 1 kVAC,RMS, f=50 Hz, P=50 kN/mm, t=24 hours, T=60°C
P = 75 kN/mm

Table 5.4: PD data for PET 2.2, Solid 250 µm sample without cavity, 10 kV\textsubscript{DC}, 1 kV\textsubscript{AC,RMS}, f=50Hz, P=75 kN/mm, t=24 hours, T=60°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PD data for sample 2.2, without cavity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of discharges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum magnitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum magnitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean discharge magnitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median discharge magnitude</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.5: PD data for PET 6, 3×100 µm sample without cavity, 10 kV\textsubscript{DC}, 1 kV\textsubscript{AC,RMS}, f=50Hz, P=75 kN/mm, t=24 hours, T=60°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PD data for sample 6, without cavity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of discharges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum magnitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum magnitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean discharge magnitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median discharge magnitude</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The plots of the discharge magnitude and repetition rate of sample 2.2 and sample 6 can be seen on the next two pages in Figure 5.8 and 5.9 respectively.

From the data displayed in table 5.4 and table 5.5 above it can be suggested that increase of the pressure did not have an effect worth mentioning. Only a marginal reduction in magnitude and number of discharges for the layered sample 3 in the previous section and 6 can be observed by the data. However, looking at the plot for sample 3, Figure 5.7, and for sample 6, Figure 5.9, a noticeable reduction in the repetition rate after some time has passed can be observed, and may indicate that the increased pressure did have some effect.

It was decided to apply a lower AC voltage for the main test, since the ignition voltage of the air cavity is approximately 1 kV\textsubscript{ac,rms} and the further tests are applied a voltage of 0.5 kV\textsubscript{ac,rms}.
Figure 5.8: PET 2.2, Solid 250 µm sample without cavity, 10 kV\textsubscript{DC}, 1 kV\textsubscript{AC,RMS}, f=50 Hz, P=75 kN/mm, t=24 hours, T=60°C
Figure 5.9: PET 6, 3 × 100 µm layered sample without cavity, 10 kV DC, I = 20 Hz, P = 75 kN/mm², t = 24 hours, T = 60°C.
5.3 Main test

5.3.1 50 Hz 0.5 kV_{ac,rms}

The inception voltage of the cavity was found to be approximately 1 kV_{rms} and the applied voltage across the dielectric was reduced to 500 V_{rms}.

Table 5.6: PD data for PET 17, 3×100 µm without cavity, 0.5 kV_{AC,RMS}, f=50 Hz, P=75 kN/mm, t=24 hours, T=60°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PD data for sample 17, without cavity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of discharges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum magnitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum magnitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean discharge magnitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median discharge magnitude</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.7: PD data for PET 16, 3×100 µm with cavity r=1 mm, 0.5 kV_{AC,RMS}, f=50 Hz, P=75 kN/mm, t=24 hours, T=60°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PD data for sample 16, cavity r=1 mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of discharges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum magnitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum magnitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean discharge magnitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median discharge magnitude</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The plots of the discharge magnitude and repetition rate of sample 17 and sample 16 can be seen on the next two pages in Figure 5.10 and Figure 5.11, respectively.

At a applied voltage of 500 V_{ac,rms} there should be no discharges in either sample, as the applied voltage is below the inception voltage. The discharges in the sample with a cavity have a low magnitude and occur in the first 2 hours of the measurements.
Figure 5.10: PET 17, 3×100 µm sample without cavity, 0.5 kV$_{AC\,RMS}$, f=50 Hz, P=75 kN/mm, t=24 hours, T=60°C
Figure 5.11: PET 16, 3×100 µm sample with cavity r=1 mm, 0.5 kV\textsubscript{AC,RMS}, f=50 HZ, P=75 kN/mm, t=24 hours, T=60°C
5.3.2 10 kV\textsubscript{dc}

The tests are performed applying a pure DC voltage, V\textsubscript{dc} =10 kV.

Table 5.8: PD data for PET 19, 3×100 \textmu m without cavity, 10 kV\textsubscript{DC}, P=75 kN/mm, t=24 hours, T=60\textdegree C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PD data for sample 19, without cavity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of discharges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum magnitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum magnitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean discharge magnitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median discharge magnitude</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.9: PD data for samples, 3×100 \textmu m with cavity r=1 mm, 10 kV\textsubscript{DC}, P=75 kN/mm, t=24 hours, T=60\textdegree C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PD data for samples with cavity r=1 mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of discharges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum magnitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum magnitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean discharge magnitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median discharge magnitude</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The plots of the discharge magnitude and repetition rate of sample 19 and sample 18 can be seen on the next two pages, in Figure 5.12 and Figure 5.13 respectively. The plots for Sample 21 and 22 is found in figure E.1 and figure E.2 in the appendix.

As can be observed in both the tables above and the figures, there is a large variation. No clear distinction between two of the samples with a cavity and the one without a cavity can be observed. However, sample 21 have a high number of discharges. A test were performed to try to induce the same at 20 kV\textsubscript{dc}, data and plots from this measurement can be found in the appendix in Table E.1 and Figure E.3. Even at a voltage twice as high, the same occurrence could not be induced to the same extent.
Figure 5.12: Pet 19, 3×100 µm sample without cavity, 10 kV<sub>DC</sub>, P=75 kN/mm, t=24 hours, T=60°C
Figure 5.13: PET 18, 3×100 μm sample with cavity r=1 mm, 10 kVDC, P=75 kN/mm, t=24 hours, T=60°C
5.3.3 Combined 10 kV\textsubscript{dc} and 50 Hz 0.5 kV\textsubscript{ac, rms}

The tests are performed during combined DC and AC voltage applied, $V_{dc} = 10$ kV, $V_{ac} = 500$ V\textsubscript{RMS} and $f = 50$ Hz.

Table 5.10: PD data for samples, 3×100 μm without cavity, 10 kV\textsubscript{DC}, 0.5 kV\textsubscript{AC,RMS}, f=50 Hz, P=75 kN/mm, t=24 hours, T=60°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample 13</th>
<th>Sample 12</th>
<th>Sample 20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of discharges</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum magnitude</td>
<td>1.3749 pC</td>
<td>1.0466 pC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean discharge magnitude</td>
<td>-1.48 pC</td>
<td>-1.3867 pC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median discharge magnitude</td>
<td>-1.1184 pC</td>
<td>-1.3631 pC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.11: PD data for samples, 3×100 μm with cavity r=1 mm, 10 kV\textsubscript{DC}, 0.5 kV\textsubscript{AC,RMS}, f=50 Hz, P=75 kN/mm, t=24 hours, T=60°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample 9</th>
<th>Sample 8</th>
<th>Sample 15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of discharges</td>
<td>3435</td>
<td>2180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum magnitude</td>
<td>108.931 pC</td>
<td>14.6515 pC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum magnitude</td>
<td>-506.375 pC</td>
<td>-132.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean discharge magnitude</td>
<td>-16.3414 pC</td>
<td>-9.2282 pC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median discharge magnitude</td>
<td>-3.949 pC</td>
<td>-4.2035 pC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The plots of the discharge magnitude and repetition rate of sample 13 and sample 9 can be seen on the next two pages, in Figure 5.14 and Figure 5.15 respectively. The additional plots for sample 12, sample 20, sample 8 and sample 15 can be found Section E.3 in the appendix.

It can be observed in both the tables and the corresponding figures that there is a significant difference in both the total number of discharges and their magnitude between the solid samples and the ones with a cavity. The repetition rate for the samples with a cavity decreases from the moment voltage is applied, and after about 3-4 hours the discharges rate reaches an approximate stable level of discharges per hour. This steady level however varies from sample to sample.
Figure 5.14: PET 13, $3 \times 100 \, \mu m$ sample without cavity, $10 \, kV_{DC}$, $0.5 \, kV_{AC,RMS}$, $f=50$ Hz, $P=75 \, kN/mm$, $t=24$ hours, $T=60^\circ C$
Figure 5.15: PET 9, 3×100 µm sample with cavity r=1 mm, 10 kV\textsubscript{DC}, 0.5 kV\textsubscript{AC,RMS}, f=50 Hz, P=75 kN/mm, t=24 hours, T=60°C
5.3.4 Combined 10 kV\textsubscript{dc} and 1 kHz 0.5 kV\textsubscript{ac,rms}

The tests are performed under combined DC and AC voltage, $V\textsubscript{dc} = 10$ kV, $V\textsubscript{ac} = 500$ V\textsubscript{RMS} and $f=1000$ Hz for 12 hours.

Table 5.12: PD data for PET 26, 3×100 µm without cavity, 10 kV\textsubscript{DC}, 0.5 kV\textsubscript{AC,RMS}, $f=1$ kHz, $P=75$ kN/mm, $t=12$ hours, $T=60^\circ$C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PD data for sample 26, without cavity</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of discharges</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum magnitude</td>
<td>1.1281 pC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum magnitude</td>
<td>-1.5262 pC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean discharge magnitude</td>
<td>-0.81043 pC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median discharge magnitude</td>
<td>-1.4325 pC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.13: PD data for samples, 3×100 µm with cavity $r=1$ mm, 10 kV\textsubscript{DC}, 0.5 kV\textsubscript{AC,RMS}, $f=1$ kHz, $P=75$ kN/mm, $t=12$ hours, $T=60^\circ$C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PD data for samples with cavity $r=1$ mm</th>
<th>Sample 24</th>
<th>Sample 27</th>
<th>Sample 25</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of discharges</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum magnitude</td>
<td>53.11 pC</td>
<td>15.4275 pC</td>
<td>-1.0078 pC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum magnitude</td>
<td>-291.093 pC</td>
<td>-253.672 pC</td>
<td>-2.2009 pC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean discharge magnitude</td>
<td>-35.1944 pC</td>
<td>-23.4936 pC</td>
<td>-1.4991 pC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median discharge magnitude</td>
<td>-13.1498 pC</td>
<td>-10.7035 pC</td>
<td>-1.3598 pC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The plots of the discharge magnitude and repetition rate of sample 26 and sample 24 can be seen on the next two pages, in Figure 5.16 and figure 5.17 respectively. The additional plots for sample 27 and 25 can be found section E.4 in the appendix.

The tests at a combined DC and high frequency AC voltage have been run for 12 hours. The results have a large degree of variation. The results for sample 24 and 27 showed a similar shape of the repetition rate as during the 50 Hz combines test, but at a lower level.
Figure 5.16: PET 26, $3 \times 100 \, \mu m$ without cavity, $10 \, kV_{DC}$, $0.5 \, kV_{AC,RMS}$, $f=1 \, kHz$, $P=75 \, kN/mm$, $t=12 \, hours$, $T=60^\circ C$
Figure 5.17: PET 24, 3×100 µm with cavity r=1 mm, 10 kV\textsubscript{DC}, 0.5 kV\textsubscript{AC,RMS}, f=1 kHz, P=75 kN/mm, t=12 hours, T=60°C
6. Discussion

6.1 Sub-system test

A number of transformers were tested. The results from the sub-system test proved three of the four transformer to have either a to low transform ratio or to much voltage distortion on the secondary side. At higher frequencies several of the transformers had difficulty reaching the 2 kV\text{RMS}. The Messwandler Bau transformer proved to be the most promising transformer. It has a relatively high transformation ratio, which made it possible to deliver a voltage up to 2 kV\text{rms} across the entire frequency spectrum of 1 kHz to 5 kHz. The transformer ratio may indicate that resonance occur at approximately 4 kHz. The FFT analysis results showed the harmonics from the source and transformer to be within a reasonable limit without extensive filtering, all have a THD below 1%. However the goal of reaching a voltage of 5 kV\text{RMS} cannot be delivered with this equipment.

6.2 System test

6.2.1 Noise elimination

The initial testing of noise in the components in the experimental set-up was a time consuming part of the experimental work. The system test was conducted with sections of the set-up connected to the OMICRON to eliminate or attenuate the noise and a number of alterations were done.

- The coupling resistance and the buffer resistance were increased from 10 MΩ and 28 MΩ to 100 MΩ and 280 MΩ because noise from the DC source was detected and an error on the regulating controls of the DC source due to a induced voltage caused by the AC current across the buffer resistance.
- The control system of the heat coil were alterered due to noise.
- A part of the security system were moved, due to noise caused by movement.
- Ground loops due to the security system were eliminated.

The main supply can be a source of noise, but it is passed through a filter. Another source of noise, not solved in this task, is the voltage sources situated outside the faraday cage. Having all current carrying wiring inside the faraday cage eliminates the wiring picking up noise from the surroundings and creating noise in the circuit.
The FFT on the PD input signal shown in Figure 5.5 has two peaks. These originate from noise from the surroundings and appear at sporadic times of the day and the frequency band chosen for testing is placed in the lowest range possible between these peaks. The noise measurements show a distinct noise band at approximately 0.6 pC. However, this is the noise band measured in one time window. Not the entire time test is run and does not necessarily give a clear image of the noise during the whole day.

6.2.2 Benchmarking

A large amount of discharges was detected in the layered sample compared to the solid sample during the benchmarking with an applied pressure of 50 kN/mm. In addition the partial discharges in the layered samples had a higher magnitude. The repetition rate for two of the samples decreases after the voltage has been applied and stabilized on a relatively constant level, for sample 3 at approximately 20/hour and for sample 5 at approximately 120/hour. Since these samples do not include a cavity these observations raises the suspicion of discharges in the interfaces between the layers. Sample 4 have a large variation in repetition rate with an increase to a maximum of approximately 840 discharges per hour after about 2.5 hours.

The results from the benchmarking at 75 kN/mm indicate a decrease in magnitude and a reduction in the number of discharges. The results suggested that an increase of the pressure did have an effect since the results in the solid and layered sample are similar. Comparing the results to the data from the 50 kN/mm test show a small reduction in magnitude and number of discharges for the layered samples. However, a noticeable reduction in the repetition rate after some time has passed is observed and may indicate that the increased pressure did have an effect.

The benchmarking results showed some aspects which can be interpreted as interference. The limited control of the DC voltage amplification and a too low waiting time could be a contributor to the large repetition rates in the first hours of the tests. The results from samples with an increased weight may still indicate a problem with the applied pressure on the sample. The design of the electrodes does not distribute an even pressure across the entire sample and the pressure along the edges of the electrodes could be a problem. The applied AC voltage in the system tests of 1 kV\text{rms} is the inception voltage of the air cavity and the voltage is decreased to 500 V\text{rms} for the main test.

6.3 Main test

The results during the pure AC voltage tests showed a low number of discharges, all situated in the first 2.5 hours. There should be no discharges at this voltage level. In the no cavity sample the discharge also has a higher magnitude than the sample with
a cavity. The discharges may be due to startup noise or small contaminants on the electrode surface. The high discharge detected in the no cavity sample may be noise, but no noise at this level has been detected.

The results from the pure DC test were very varying and discussing the results with the data collected during these tests, is difficult. The test on the sample without a cavity and two of the samples with a cavity had a low number of discharges. The third sample with a cavity had a high number of discharges. The sample with the 20 kVrms show a similar repetition rate. A low repetition rate during the first hours before the repetition rate increased. The discharge during applied DC voltage are all small in magnitude. Since the field is unipolar, it is more likely that charge accumulate on the cavity surface, creating a field in the opposite direction and a smaller voltage drop to the extinction voltage. [26] The small magnitude and a typically low repetition rate will make the DC test results more prone to noise. Another problem with the small magnitudes is the problem with the OMICRON, the detection system have proven to have some issues determining polarity at low magnitudes. The polarity of discharges during DC voltage should all be of the same polarity. [19] Without additional data it is impossible to explain the partial discharge occurrence in the DC tests.

The results from the combined DC and AC voltage test at 50 Hz illustrated a significant difference between the samples with and without a cavity. The solid layered samples experienced a low number of discharges with a small magnitude. The results from the test performed with a cavity showed an increase in both the magnitude and repetition rate. The repetition rate is high in the initial hours before it decrease to a relatively stable level. The initial repetition rate and the stable level is different for the samples. Sample 9 stabilize at approximately 125 discharges/hour, Sample 8 stabilize at a level of approximately 75 discharges per/hour while sample 15 have a stable level of approximately 20 discharges/hour. The repetition rates for the samples with a cavity follow an expected shape indicated by the equation for the repetition rate during a combined DC and AC voltage. It is dependent of the time constant which is a function of the conductivity of the cavity and the dielectric which approaches a stable level after a time dependent of temperature.

\[
\tau = \frac{D\varepsilon_0\varepsilon_c + \varepsilon_0\varepsilon_b}{D\sigma_c + \sigma_b} \quad (6.1)
\]

\[
n \approx \frac{1}{\tau} \left( \frac{V_{dc}}{V_{PDIV,DC}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{V_{PDIV,AC}}} \right) \quad (6.2)
\]

The stable value of the repetition rate is affected by the conductivity of the air in the cavity. This value is unknown since there is no information on the humidity, possible by-products or other contributing factors. The placement of the discharge detected by the low gain unit can be seen in Figure 6.1. It shows that discharges are concentrated near the peak value of the applied voltage.
Figure 6.1: Picture from Omicron illustrating occurrence of discharges, high gain for sample 8, 3×100 µm with cavity r=1 mm, 10 kV$_{\text{DC}}$, 0.5 kV$_{\text{AC,RMS}}$, f=50 Hz, P=75 kN/mm, t=24 hours, T=60°C

The results from the combined DC and AC voltage with a frequency of 1000 Hz gave some variations. The sample without a cavity gave a low number of discharges at low magnitude. The tests on the samples with cavity had a large variation in the number and magnitude of the detected discharges. It should, however, be observed that the repetition rate was overall lower at the high frequency test compared to the 50 Hz test. The stable level is approximately 10 discharges/hour for sample 24 and 40 discharges/hour in sample 27. The reduced number of discharges can be due to an alteration of the capacitance of the test object at higher frequencies because of the permittivity. A decrease of the capacitances result in a lower stress across the cavity and a lower repetition rate. But additional data must be collected to know the effect of the frequency on the permittivity and partial discharge behavior. An error source in the comparison between the 1 kHz tests and the 50 Hz tests is the fact that the Behlman source was not tested at 50 Hz, and might have a higher THD at 50 Hz, than at 1 kHz. In Figure 6.2 one can observe a slight shift in the placement of the voltage phase compared to Figure 6.1 for the 50 Hz combined voltage test. This may be due to the measuring shunt. The RLC measuring shunt might result in a phase shift at higher frequencies. It could also be explained by the statistical time lag.
The results from the discharge tests show a large variation in the number of discharges and magnitude throughout the samples with the same characteristics and voltage. There are a number of phenomena that may contribute in explaining the variations in the number and magnitude of the discharges. The accumulation of space charge due to the resistive field may alter the field across the cavity. Production of by-products from the discharges in the cavity or on the cavity walls may alter the characteristics of the cavity, creating large variations in the breakdown strength and the number of discharges.

Some observations have been made in several samples.

- Partial discharge with a high positive magnitude when a unipolar field is applied. This might indicate a flashback in the cavity or noise.

- Partial discharge magnitude above the calculated value for a discharge across the whole cavity area might be explained by the electrostatic forces on the test object, altering the dimensions and increasing the area. It might also be due to a too low pressure on the sample making the discharges bigger due to the intersections.

- High repetition rate in the first hours may be due to an insufficient waiting time for the voltage sources, or a too rapid increase of the DC voltage.

- Noise. The presence of noise is hard to determine, especially when the OMICRON has difficulty determining the polarity of small discharges. Inconsistencies may also be caused by contaminants or air bubbles on the electrode surfaces.

Both the quantity and the quality of the results must be increased to get a clear image of the partial discharge activity in the samples. The inconsistencies in the results suggest that further improvements must be done with the experimental set-up to get a more reliable result.
6.4 Procedure

6.4.1 Test samples

The manufacturing of the test samples is in theory a simple procedure, but there are many possible error sources that can be caused by poor manufacturing. Oil leakage has been a problem that has occurred during the testing and several samples have been discarded. It is very important to create them using a sharp blade to be able to get the glued surfaces to curve in the same direction to maximize the probability of successful glueing and hence minimize the chance of oil leakage into the sample.

The oil ingress can in most cases be observed as the samples are lowered into the mineral oil in the test cell, but in some instances the ingress had some kind of delay and cannot be discovered until the test finished and the whole measurement must be discarded. In the beginning the process of creating the samples took a minimum of 12 hours for the glue to hardened sufficiently before removing it from the press. In combination with the oil leakage problem the set-up stood still for days. Due to the limited time this proved to be an issue. The problem with the sample production time was however considerably reduced (to about two hours) by applying the catalyst 770 before the glue, 406. The problem with the oil leakage still occurred, but with a significantly lowered production time it would only lead to a 2 hour delay rather than 12.

In addition to the problem with oil seeping into the samples, another issue to be addressed is the possible contaminants. Since a contaminant can lead to field enhancement it is important to work in a clean environment when assembling the samples. The environment is reasonably clean, but still the occurrence of dust and other particles can easily occur. In some completed samples it has been observed imperfections and hence, the sample is scrapped. The assumption that samples are contaminated with small imperfections without it being discovered, is reasonable.
7. Conclusion

The main objective with this master thesis was to develop an experimental set-up able to detect partial discharge activity during a combined DC voltage superimposed a AC voltage. The sub-system tests showed that both the Behlman signal generator and the Messwandler Bau transformer functions to a satisfactory degree with a total harmonic distortion of 1 % in the voltage range 1-2 kVrms and frequency range 1-5 kHz.

An experimental setup based on a straight detection circuit for partial discharge detection is built with the Behlman and Messwandler bau connected to generate the AC voltage.

The resulting noise band of 0.6 pC was found after a number of alterations in the system test, and the detection limit were set at 1 pC. The benchmarking of the layered test object indicated what could be interpreted as partial discharge in the intersection in the sample at a pressure of 50 kN/mm. The pressure is increased to 75 kN/mm before the main tests were conducted. But some observation may still indicate a too low or uneven pressure across the area of the electrodes.

The experiments conducted during the main tests were preformed for several voltages and test objects both with and without a cavity. The result from the main test varied to a large extent. The problem with the OMICRON and the issue with polarity determination makes the interpretations even harder. The AC test voltage was below the inception voltage and still discharges occurred, implicating noise. The results during the DC tests varied to a large extent, making the results unreliable. Making any comparison between the tests with combined voltage and DC test is not possible with these results.

The combined DC and AC voltage test show a clear distinction between the samples with and without a cavity. The results during the cavity tests at 50 Hz AC and DC voltage are more reliable. The repetition rate had a high initial value, decreasing to a stable level. This is consistent with the expectations that repetition rate is dependent of the conductivity of the dielectric and the cavity. The 1 kHz AC and DC tests had a significantly lower repetition rate, both initially and the stable level, but the same shape is observed.

The statistical quality and the quantity of data are always of great importance when discussing experimental results. The results from the testing gave varied results with a large difference in both magnitude and repetition rate in similar samples at the same applied voltage. More test must be performed to get a better fundation for interpretation of the results. The varying results indicate some possible issues with noise and the design of parts in the set-up.
8. Further Work

There is a number of exciting possibilities for further work in the field of partial discharge detection and interpretation.

The results from the partial discharge tests indicated some sources of noise, and the high repetition rate during the first hours could be due to a low waiting time or to a rapid increase of the DC voltage. A control system should be developed to increase the reliability of these parameters. The possible noise originating from having the voltage sources outside the Faraday cage should be addressed. A control system for both the DC voltage source and the Behlan signal generator must be made to be able to move all the current carrying parts of the set-up inside the Faraday cage.

The problem with the OMICRON and determining the polarity of small partial discharges should be solved to increase the reliability. Changing the measuring shunt to an RC circuit, rather than an RLC circuit, could reduce the oscillations and possibly reduce the problem.

The discharge with a magnitude higher than the calculated value of a complete breakdown of the cavity surface indicated discharges being affected by the interfaces due to an insufficient pressure or electrostatical forces. A new design of the test cell should be investigated to assure an even pressure. The problems with oil ingress into the test objects and indications of discharge activity in interfaces suggest that it should be researched to use a different approach for production of the test objects. Using Uglestad spheres or injecting air bubbles in an epoxy resin might be a direction worth looking at.

As stated above, a problem with the interpretation of the result is due to the limitations of the OMICRON. One possibility is to determine where the discharges occur can be done by modelling the partial discharges detected to look at the characteristic signatures. All the different types of partial discharges have a distinct characteristic. It has been done some research on analysing partial discharge and model the discharges based on their characteristics. This gives the opportunity to eliminate the discharges occurring in the surroundings, and focus on the discharges in the cavity. [9] [27] [13]

To determine whether the discharges occur in the sample or originate from external sources it is also possible to use a balanced circuit rather than a straight used in this thesis. The balanced circuit is also known to have a higher sensitivity.

A natural path for further work is to continue the testing to increase the reliability of the results before continuing at additional voltage and frequency levels as well as investigating the temperature dependence. The quantity and quality of the experimental results are the most critical parameters for laboratory research, and further studies are encouraged to validate and increase the credibility of the experimental results in the report.
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A. Experimental setup

A.1 Experimental circuit

Figure A.1: Picture of the experimental setup from outside the faraday cage with the voltage sources, top: DC source, bottom: Behlman
Figure A.2: Picture of the experimental setup inside the Faraday cage with the testcell in the center.
A.2 Test Cell

Figure A.3: Picture of the test cell
B. Hardware Data

B.1 Transformers

B.1.1 Petter Nodeland Designed Transformer

Transformer was designed for 20 kHz - but has proven to work at its best at 15 kHz.

\[ V_{pri} = 350 \, V_{RMS} \]
\[ V_{sec} = 10 \, kV_{RMS} \]
\[ N_{pri} = 25 \, \text{turns} \]
\[ N_{pri} = 724 \, \text{turns} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Primary Side</th>
<th>Secondary side</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>f 20 kHz</td>
<td>20 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage</td>
<td>V 350 V_{RMS}</td>
<td>10 kV_{RMS}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>I 5 A_{RMS}</td>
<td>0.18 A_{RMS}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windings</td>
<td>N 25 turns</td>
<td>724 turns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductor crosssection</td>
<td>A 3.17 mm²</td>
<td>0.12 mm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductor diameter</td>
<td>d 1.78 mm</td>
<td>0.34 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[24] [23]

B.1.2 Neon Transformer

An alternative transformer was located in Frank Mauseths office - a neon transformer.

Data:

**NEONTRAFO TYPE**

N 50/4 S

**PRIM**

\[ U_P = 230 \, V, \, 50 \, Hz \]
\[ I_P = 1.13 \, A \]
\[ \cos \phi = 0.55 \]

**SEC**

\[ U_S = 4000 \, V - PE \]
\[ I_S = 50 \, mA \]
\[ P_P = 260 \, VA \]
B.1.3 MESSWANDLER BAU Measuring Transformator

Labnr.: B01-0967
Type: TRAFO MÅLE
Modell: REL 10
Serienr.: 89/932436
Fabrikat: MESSWANDLER BAU

Sp. min (P): 11 KV
Sp. max (S): 110 V
Ampere (S): 2 A
Effekt (P): 60 VA
Merkeverdi: 11KV - 110V 3A 60VA

B.1.4 ARTECHE Measure Transformer

Labnr.: B01-0800
Type: TRAFO MÅLE
Modell: UCN-36
Serienr.: 10012441 / 6
Sp. type: AC
Fabrikat: ARTECHE

Sp. min (P): 36 KV
Sp. max (S): 120 V
Effekt (P): 200 VA
Merkeverdi: 36KV - 0.5% - 200VA

B.2 Experimental setup equipment

B.2.1 DC Voltage Source

Labnr.:B02-0405
The DC voltage source is a high voltage DC source from Fug. It has the possibility of
a voltage output range from 0-35 kV and a current range of 0-4 mA. The source can be controlled externally.

B.2.2 AC Voltage Source

Labnr.: B02-0584

The AC voltage source is a Behlman signal generator. The Behlman ACM-3000 OSN-1-45/10000 is a high quality variable frequency AC power supply that produces low distortion sinusoidal wave power over a wide range of output frequencies and voltages. The Behlman voltage source available in the NTNU inventory is built up out of an ACM-3000 and an OSN-1-45/10000. It has a maximum voltage range 0-270 VRMS and a frequency bandwidth of 45-10,000 Hz and the rated power is 3000 VA.
C. Sub-system test

C.1 Total harmonic distortion and FFT in Messwandler Bau Transformer

C.1.1 Harmonic analysis at 1 kHz

Table C.1: Harmonic magnitudes and THD at 1 kHz, 1 kV and 2 kV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Harmonic</th>
<th>1 kHz</th>
<th>2 kHz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 kV</td>
<td>2 kV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dB</td>
<td>V[e+03]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>60.0628</td>
<td>1.0073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>3.3643</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>18.4392</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td>-2.4498</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th</td>
<td>7.3337</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th</td>
<td>-3.3104</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th</td>
<td>-1.7252</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th</td>
<td>-5.0208</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th</td>
<td>-8.8534</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10th</td>
<td>-10.5893</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11th</td>
<td>-9.0920</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th</td>
<td>-12.2572</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13th</td>
<td>-17.3637</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THD</td>
<td>-40.8945</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure C.1: FFT plot 1 kHz and 1 kV
Figure C.2: FFT plot 1 kHz and 2 kV
C.1.2 Harmonic analysis at 2 kHz

Table C.2: Harmonic magnitudes and THD at 2 kHz, 1 kV and 2 kV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Harmonic</th>
<th>1 kV dB</th>
<th>V[e+03]</th>
<th>f[e+04 Hz]</th>
<th>2 kV dB</th>
<th>V[e+03]</th>
<th>f[e+04 Hz]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>60.0237</td>
<td>1.0027</td>
<td>0.2002</td>
<td>66.0288</td>
<td>2.0019</td>
<td>0.2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>8.8042</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>0.4004</td>
<td>0.6618</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>0.4005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>16.7532</td>
<td>0.0069</td>
<td>0.6006</td>
<td>18.1822</td>
<td>0.0081</td>
<td>0.6008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td>0.8089</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>0.8008</td>
<td>3.2071</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>0.8010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th</td>
<td>4.2506</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>1.0012</td>
<td>7.8490</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>1.0013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th</td>
<td>-5.0607</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>1.2011</td>
<td>-0.9325</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>1.2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th</td>
<td>6.2978</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
<td>1.4015</td>
<td>3.6681</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>1.4018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th</td>
<td>-3.9066</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>1.6014</td>
<td>0.6519</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>1.6020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th</td>
<td>2.7576</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>1.8019</td>
<td>-3.1889</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>1.8023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10th</td>
<td>-2.0617</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>2.0021</td>
<td>-8.0325</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>2.0026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11th</td>
<td>-5.5485</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>2.2022</td>
<td>0.1525</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>2.2030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th</td>
<td>-14.2950</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>2.4029</td>
<td>-11.2394</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>2.4034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13th</td>
<td>-12.8451</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>2.6030</td>
<td>-9.9830</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>2.6037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THD</td>
<td>-41.6788</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-46.5906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1 kV

Figure C.3: FFT plot 2 kHz and 1 kV
Figure C.4: FFT plot 2 kHz and 2 kV
### C.1.3 Harmonic analysis at 3 kHz

Table C.3: Harmonic magnitudes and THD at 3 kHz, 1 kV and 2 kV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Harmonic</th>
<th>1 kV dB</th>
<th>V[e+03]</th>
<th>f[e+04 Hz]</th>
<th>2 kV dB</th>
<th>V[e+03]</th>
<th>f[e+04 Hz]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>60.0028</td>
<td>1.0003</td>
<td>0.3001</td>
<td>66.0335</td>
<td>2.0030</td>
<td>0.3001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>5.7832</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>0.6002</td>
<td>5.0493</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>0.6002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>14.6357</td>
<td>0.0054</td>
<td>0.9003</td>
<td>15.5450</td>
<td>0.0060</td>
<td>0.9003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td>-1.4349</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>1.2005</td>
<td>0.1174</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>1.2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th</td>
<td>-7.0954</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>1.5011</td>
<td>-10.6539</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td>1.5006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th</td>
<td>-2.3237</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>1.8011</td>
<td>-0.7087</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>1.8007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th</td>
<td>8.5450</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
<td>2.1009</td>
<td>-2.5797</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>2.1012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th</td>
<td>-1.1953</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>2.4008</td>
<td>-1.8146</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>2.4010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th</td>
<td>1.2636</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>2.7011</td>
<td>-6.2540</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>2.7010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10th</td>
<td>-4.1652</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>3.0013</td>
<td>-5.0623</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>3.0016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11th</td>
<td>-1.6701</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>3.3014</td>
<td>-2.7722</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>3.3015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th</td>
<td>-14.5575</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>3.6008</td>
<td>-7.3699</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>3.6015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13th</td>
<td>-13.3737</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>3.9011</td>
<td>-4.7645</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>3.9017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| THD      | -43.1474|         |            | -47.9638|         |            |
Figure C.5: FFT plot 3 kHz and 1 kV
2 kV

Figure C.6: FFT plot 3 kHz and 2 kV
### C.1.4 Harmonic analysis at 4 kHz

Table C.4: Harmonic magnitudes and THD at 4 kHz, 1 kV and 2 kV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Harmonic</th>
<th>1 kV dB</th>
<th>V[e+03]</th>
<th>f[e+04 Hz]</th>
<th>2 kV dB</th>
<th>V[e+03]</th>
<th>f[e+04 Hz]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>60.0060</td>
<td>1.0007</td>
<td>0.4003</td>
<td>66.0445</td>
<td>2.0055</td>
<td>0.4003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>7.5078</td>
<td>0.0024</td>
<td>0.8005</td>
<td>0.3899</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>0.8006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>10.7621</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td>1.2009</td>
<td>11.2780</td>
<td>0.0037</td>
<td>1.2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td>0.3700</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>1.6012</td>
<td>3.6066</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>1.6011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th</td>
<td>4.4964</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>2.0013</td>
<td>12.1923</td>
<td>0.0041</td>
<td>2.0014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th</td>
<td>-4.4983</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>2.4016</td>
<td>-2.7910</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>2.4017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th</td>
<td>7.2793</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
<td>2.8020</td>
<td>-2.4285</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>2.8021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th</td>
<td>-1.0200</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>3.2023</td>
<td>-0.8208</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>3.2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th</td>
<td>4.7833</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>3.6025</td>
<td>2.5330</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>3.6027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10th</td>
<td>-3.1726</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>4.0028</td>
<td>-4.9000</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>4.0028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11th</td>
<td>-2.6680</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>4.4030</td>
<td>-1.3152</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>4.4032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th</td>
<td>-24.9168</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>4.8030</td>
<td>-9.3174</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>4.8035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13th</td>
<td>-8.9505</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>5.2036</td>
<td>-11.0249</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>5.2038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THD</td>
<td>-44.7482</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-49.5494</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure C.7: FFT plot 4 kHz and 1 kV
Figure C.8: FFT plot 4 kHz and 2 kV
C.1.5 Harmonic analysis at 5 kHz

Table C.5: Harmonic magnitudes and THD at 5 kHz, 1 kV and 2 kV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Harmonic</th>
<th>1 kV dB</th>
<th>1 kV V[e+03]</th>
<th>1 kV f[e+04 Hz]</th>
<th>2 kV dB</th>
<th>2 kV V[e+03]</th>
<th>2 kV f[e+04 Hz]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>60.0297</td>
<td>1.0034</td>
<td>0.5001</td>
<td>66.0284</td>
<td>2.0018</td>
<td>0.5002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>5.7813</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>1.0002</td>
<td>1.4528</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>1.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>2.9841</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>1.5005</td>
<td>3.4332</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>1.5005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td>1.7235</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>2.0005</td>
<td>4.7544</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>2.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th</td>
<td>-2.1606</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>2.5007</td>
<td>11.0826</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
<td>2.5010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th</td>
<td>-6.8642</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>3.0010</td>
<td>-1.4851</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>3.0012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th</td>
<td>4.0719</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>3.5010</td>
<td>4.3206</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>3.5013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th</td>
<td>-0.5190</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>4.0012</td>
<td>0.4570</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>4.0014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th</td>
<td>3.8102</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>4.5014</td>
<td>1.0204</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>4.5017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10th</td>
<td>-2.3777</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>5.0015</td>
<td>-3.4791</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>5.0019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11th</td>
<td>-5.0659</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>5.5015</td>
<td>-0.4978</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>5.5020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th</td>
<td>-26.1117</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>6.0026</td>
<td>-7.6613</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>6.0022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13th</td>
<td>-18.1499</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>6.5020</td>
<td>-5.1565</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>6.5024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THD</td>
<td>-47.8961</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-50.7887</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure C.9: FFT analysis plot 5 kHz and 1 kV
Figure C.10: FFT analysis plot 5 kHz and 2 kV
D. System test
D.1 Partial discharge under combined 50 Hz AC $V_{\text{ac}, \text{rms}}=1 \text{kV}_{\text{RMS}}$ and DC $V_{\text{dc}}=10 \text{kV}$ voltage

$P=50 \text{kN/mm}$

Figure D.1: PET 4, $3\times100 \mu\text{m}$ layered sample without cavity, $10 \text{kV}_{\text{DC}}$, $1 \text{kV}_{\text{AC,RMS}}$, $f=50\text{Hz}$, $P=50 \text{kN/mm}$, $t=24$ hours, $T=60^\circ$
Figure D.2: PET 5, 3×100 μm layered sample without cavity, 10 kV\textsubscript{DC}, 1 kV\textsubscript{AC,RMS}, f= 50Hz, P=50 kN/mm, t=24 hours, T=60\degree C
E. Main test
E.1 Partial discharge under DC $V_{dc} = 10$ kV voltage

Figure E.1: PET 21.2, 3×100 µm layered sample with cavity $r=1$ mm, 10 kV$_{DC}$, $P=75$ kN/mm, $t=24$ hours, $T=60^\circ C$
Figure E.2: PET 22, $3 \times 100$ µm layered sample with cavity $r=1$ mm, $10$ kV$_{DC}$, $P=75$ kN/mm, $t=24$ hours, $T=60^\circ$C
E.2 Partial discharge under DC $V_{dc}=20$ kV voltage

Table E.1: PD data for PET 22.2

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of discharges</td>
<td>673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum magnitude</td>
<td>40.6697 pC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum magnitude</td>
<td>-116.622 pC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean discharge magnitude</td>
<td>-2.1025 pC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median discharge magnitude</td>
<td>-1.296 pC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
With cavity $r=1$ mm

Figure E.3: PET 22.2, $3 \times 100$ µm layered sample with cavity $r=1$ mm, $20$ kV$_{DC}$, $P=75$ kN/mm, $t=24$ hours, $T=60^\circ$C
E.3 Partial discharge under combined DC $V_{dc}=10$ kV and 50 Hz AC $V_{ac,\text{rms}}=0.5$ kV_RMS

Figure E.4: PET 12, 3×100 µm layered sample without cavity, 10 kV_Dc, 0.5 kV_{AC,RMS}, f=50 Hz, P=75 kN/mm, t=24 hours, T=60°C
Figure E.5: PET 20, 3×100 µm layered sample without cavity, 10 kV_{DC}, 0.5 kV_{AC,RMS}, f=50 Hz, P=75 kN/mm, t=24 hours, T=60°C
Figure E.6: PET 8, $3 \times 100 \, \mu m$ layered sample with cavity $r=1 \, mm$, $10 \, kV_{DC}$, $0.5 \, kV_{AC,RMS}$, $f=50 \, Hz$, $P=75 \, kN/mm$, $t=24 \, hours$, $T=60^\circ C$
Figure E.7: PET 15, 3×100 µm layered sample with cavity r=1 mm, 10 kV$_{DC}$, 0.5 kV$_{AC,RMS}$, f=50 Hz, P=75 kN/mm, t=24 hours, T=60°C
E.4 Partial discharge under combined 1000 Hz AC $V_{ac, rms} = 0.5 \text{kV}_{\text{RMS}}$ and DC $V_{dc} = 10 \text{kV}$ voltage

Figure E.8: PET 25, $3\times100 \mu\text{m}$ layered sample with cavity $r=1 \text{ mm}$, $10 \text{kV}_{\text{DC}}$, $0.5 \text{kV}_{\text{AC,RMS}}$, $f=1 \text{ kHz}$, $P=75 \text{ kN/mm}$, $t=12 \text{ hours}$, $T=60^\circ\text{C}$
Figure E.9: PET 27, 3×100 μm layered sample with cavity r=1 mm, 10 kV DC, 0.5 kV_{AC,RMS}, f=1 kHz, P=75 kN/mm, t=12 hours, T=60°C