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Abstract

Climate change effects are already being felt across Africa. Global warming, increasing rainfall variability and extreme events multiplication accentuate the risks for peasants who often already have to deal with natural resources scarcity. Peasants are among the most vulnerable to these evolutions and therefore need to take adaptation measures that will secure the maintenance of their farming systems. Agroecology should present great potential for adaptation. Literature review on climate change in four climatic zones of Africa (arid, sub-arid, sub humid and humid tropical) permitted to underline major challenges. Agroecological practices of peasants across Africa were then inventoried through interviews with experts of French NGOs and African associations. Climate evolutions accelerate land degradation, further restrict water availability, and limit growth season length and crop and animal productivity. All over Africa, peasants implement agroecological practices to efficiently use natural resources for food production. These practices, including diversification, contribute to improve the management of all the agroecosystem’s components, strengthening farming systems’ resilience. Furthermore, collective organization reinforces peasants’ adaptation capacity. Farming systems’ adaptation could therefore lay in the combination of practices that improves the global system’s functioning. However, agroecology does not provide with a universal solution, but with principles that can be applied to all specific contexts.
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1. Introduction

Climate change effects are already being felt in various regions of the world. Some of the increasing energy accumulated in oceans is then distributed on Earth surface, leading to a global raise of temperatures over the globe. Scientists of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) are modelling these climate changes to determine scenarios for the future. The most optimistic one, so called “low-emissions scenario”, which considers a reduction of greenhouse gases emissions, expects global temperatures to increase by 1 to 2°C across Africa compared to mean temperatures of the 20th century, and to stabilize by 2100. A pessimistic high-emissions scenario predicts a 3.5 to 5°C increase in temperatures by 2100, with further warming in the following decades if emissions keep increasing (Masson-Delmotte, 2015). This warming is expected to be faster over Africa than over other areas, with greater changes over northern and southern arid regions and relatively smaller ones over central Africa (IPCC, 2014). Higher temperatures also affect rainfall patterns. The volume and distribution of precipitations in space and time are increasingly unpredictable, often implying weather extremes. Depending on the regions, extreme weather events such as heatwaves, droughts, heavy rains and floods are indeed expected to become more frequent and intense. Such changes have and will have negative effects on agricultural productions and food security. Indeed, cultivation season irregularity, heat waves or water scarcity largely disturb plants growth cycles and livestock production, limiting the availability of foods for human populations.

This evolution of the climate is significantly accelerated by greenhouse gas emissions resulting from human activities. Climate change could therefore be mitigated by a reduction of these emissions and an enhancement of these gases sequestration. However, even if mitigation was addressed, which does not appear as a priority for most countries for now, climate change would still have widespread effects on agricultural activities. Thus, in order to maintain and improve food security, strategies of adaptation need to be elaborated. African peasants, who represent 70 to 80% of farmers on the continent, are amongst the most vulnerable to climate change because of their geographic and economic situations. In some regions where present climate variability already threatens food production; climate change could totally inhibit agricultural activities if no measures are taken to adapt existing farming systems to the new contexts. There is therefore an urgent need to find solutions for maintaining agricultural production throughout the continent and improve working conditions. African peasants have developed farming systems that constantly adapt to climate variability. The agroecological practices they implement represent a major potential to face the challenges of natural

---

1 See more details on climate change in Africa in Appendix 4
resources sustainable management and population growth. Local and international NGOs that work for the maintenance and development of peasant agriculture in Africa and elsewhere need to take into consideration these traditional and emerging peasant techniques. Innovations have a greater chance to be accepted and appropriated if they come from the people who actually need them. There is therefore a need to take an inventory and study the relevance of peasant practices in various contexts for adaptation to climate change.

Some studies focus on adaptation to climate change, while others emphasize the potential of agroecology for sustainable agricultural production. However, the link between those two themes has not been investigated in deep so far. This study aims at linking climate change, adaptation and agroecology and therefore intends to answer the following questions:

- Which climate changes have been observed and are projected in different climatic zones of Africa? How are such evolutions already influencing and expected to affect farming systems across Africa?
- How do agroecological practices implemented by peasants contribute to their adaptation to climate change?
- To which extent is agroecology relevant to face the challenges of climate change and food security?

The study is geographically limited to four main climatic zones of Africa: arid, sub-arid, sub humid and humid tropical. First, the main trends of climate change and their implications for farming systems in each of the four zones of study are identified. Then, we present the agroecological practices inventoried in those systems which contribute to adaptation to climate change. The following part gathers examples of innovative combinations of agroecological practices that allow peasants to increase the resilience of their farming systems to climate change effects. Finally, the potential of such practices for adaptation to climate change is discussed, to conclude on the relevance of agroecology to face climate change.
2. Methodology

2.1 How was the study carried out?

Characterization of climate change major trends
First, a literature review (scientific publications including IPCC reports, papers provided by the various partner NGOs) was carried out to characterize climate change observed and projected trends in the four zones of study, and impacts on African agriculture. In addition, discussions with two researchers of IRD permitted to strengthen this information. The results of this characterization were reviewed by several persons including NGO workers, IRD researchers and agroecology professors.

Identification and presentation of relevant farming systems to study
Then, one referent system was chosen for each of the four zones, according to two criteria: its representativeness of farming in the area, and its reliance on agroecological principles. This choice was discussed with the different NGO partners\(^2\) working in Africa, to finally be validated. Then, review of literature and discussions with experts permitted to characterize those referent systems.

Inventory of agroecological practices in the referent systems
Interviews were led with 16 experts from 11 French NGOs which lead projects in Africa in agroecology. At least one NGO working in each of the four climatic regions was interviewed, in order to get information for the four zones of study\(^3\). Interviews were led either face to face, by telephone or by skype. The notes taken were then transposed to finally be organized in “reading tables” that gather the major elements.
From the “reading tables” and information provided by literature, all the agroecological practices mentioned were gathered in an Excel table. These results were sorted out according to the zone of study where they are implemented and presented in summarizing tables\(^4\). Specific information concerning the different referent systems was then organized in graphics and mind maps for analysis.

Evolution of the methodology
Initially, there was to present one detailed agroecological innovation at each scale (plot, farm, territory) for each of the referent system. Through the discussions with partners, it appeared more relevant to follow a more systemic approach. Instead of one practice per scale, examples of combinations of agroecological practices implemented by farmers that contribute to enhance the

\(^2\) See list of people interviewed in Appendix 9
\(^3\) For detailed information on interview topics see questionnaire in Appendix 2
\(^4\) See Appendices 5 to 8
system’s resilience to climate change are thus presented. New interviews were therefore led with 6 experts of local NGOs from different African countries to get this information\(^5\).

The methodology of the study is summarized in Figure 1:

- Characterization of climate change and its effects in the 4 zones
- Presentation of a referent farming system for each zone
- Inventory of agroecological practices
- Presentation of examples of innovation combinations of agroecological practices
- Analysis of results
- Conclusion

**Figure 1 - Scheme of the methodology**

### 2.2 Adaptation, resilience and agroecology

The IPCC defines adaptation as “the process of adjustment to actual or expected climate and its effects, in order to moderate harm or exploit beneficial opportunities”. Africa’s adaptation is “not an option but a necessity” (Boko et al. 2007, p.452). As climate trends are evolving, it will become increasingly important to put adaptation measures in place in order to manage and reduce the risks of such changes for agriculture and to build resilience. It is the only effective option for societies to face the inevitable impacts of climate change that mitigation cannot reduce (IPCC, 2014).

An agroecosystem may be resilient by itself, if we let interactions between its component take places without disturbing them (NGO expert). Resilience is defined by the Field et al. (2012) as “the ability of a system and its component parts to anticipate, absorb, accommodate, or recover from the effects of a hazardous event in a timely and efficient manner, including through ensuring the preservation, restoration, or improvement of its essential basic structure and functions.”

Agroecology is based on the application of ecological concepts and principles to agricultural production for the optimization of agroecosytems, adding value to local resources with minimal reliance on external inputs. It aims at maintaining or mimicking natural balances while re-placing the farmer at the core of the production process. Agroecosystem management relies on traditional

---

\(^5\) See Questionnaire in Appendix 3
knowledge through participatory approaches. According to Pretty (Pretty 1995, cited in Altieri 2002), the basic principles of agroecology are:

- enhancing biomass renewal and optimizing nutrient availability and balance of nutrient flows;
- ensuring favorable soil conditions for plant growth (organic matter management, soil cover, improvement of biological activity in the soil);
- minimizing losses in solar energy, air and water;
- promoting genetic diversification of species in time and space;
- adding value to favorable biological interactions.

Agroecology also includes social and economic principles:

- social organization and local knowledge transmission
- guarantee of decent revenue for farmers and their families

The agroecological approach is thus multidimensional and can be applied at several scales: the plot, the farm and the territory, always keeping in mind a holistic view.

2.3 **Peasant agriculture**

In Africa, the majority of farms are managed by families. We will thus put an emphasis on small-scale peasant farming systems, which are being promoted by international organizations for rural development and fight against poverty. Peasant farming is based on a long term vision, including environmental risk management to reduce farms’ vulnerability to possible shocks and ensure a minimum production in spite of climatic constraints. Peasants therefore aim at preserving natural environment while using its functions. First, they cultivate species with various characteristics and agronomic needs. This biodiversity constitute a natural barrier against parasitism and weeds and contributes to landscape preservation (maintenance of hedges, uncultivated areas, etc.). Reasonable size of herds allow for preservation of grasslands and woody pasturelands. In addition, they integrate crop and livestock productions, maintaining and sometimes improving soil fertility and tending towards autonomy. Secondly, peasant systems rely on very low use of chemicals. Such economic systems and the reliance on natural biological cycles allow limiting impact on water resource. Finally, smallholders’ farming systems are generally well inserted in local economics, which strengthen local food systems that are essential to face climate changes. All these mechanisms contribute to carbon sequestration and limitation of greenhouse gas emissions while favoring peasant agriculture’s adaptation (Confédération paysanne, 2015).

2.4 **The innovation process in agricultural systems**

Adaptation strategies may include innovation in agriculture. **Innovation** is defined as the application of resources and technological, institutional and human findings to production, generating
new practices, new products and markets, new institutions and organizations with increased efficiency (Poole, 2006). The sources of innovation are multiple: they emerge among farmers, or emanate from research bodies or development organizations. In all cases, all these actors must combine their efforts, in a collective and interactive process, to consolidate the initial concept; to adapt it to the diversity of environments and farms; and determine its area of validity in social and economic terms (Meynard and Casabianca, 2011). According to the World Bank (2006), innovations generally consist of many small improvements in a continuous amelioration process, rather than of radical improvements. Those progressive localized improvements can be difficult to detect. Furthermore, transformations may be considered as innovative or not depending on the point of view. Practices may be innovative in a given context whereas they have been implemented for a long time in another one. Innovation may contribute to anticipation and adaptation and appears as a way for peasants to remain competitive or even to survive. It implies diverse changes of practices that spread in farmer communities (Vall et al., 2014).

2.5 Justification and characterization of the four zones of study

Why those 4 zones?

Climate change effects varying from one region to another one, its impacts need to be considered at a regional scale. The African continent was divided according to the Köppen-Geiger climate classification (presented in Appendix 1). The climate of the continent is controlled by complex maritime and terrestrial interactions that produce various climates from the humid tropics to the arid Sahara. Four zones were selected which are rather homogeneous in regards to climatic conditions. According to the map of Köppen-Geiger, the dominant climate in Africa is the arid B (57.2%) (in red and orange on the map), followed by the tropical A (31.0%) (in light and dark blue on the map) (Peel et al., 2007). We chose areas that are subject to either the global arid or the tropical climate, so that they are quite representative of the heterogeneity of Africa. Moreover, we chose to focus on areas where climate change is likely to seriously impact agricultural systems and local populations. Indeed, less brutal changes are predicted in equatorial and coastal areas (Christensen et al., 2007), which are not considered in this study (grey areas on the map).

Identification and presentation of the 4 zones

Table 1 briefly presents the different types of climates. Temperate and cold ones climate types were not selected as they are much less representative of African climates.
We will study the following climate zones, which are highlighted in Table 1 and delimited in Figure 2:

**Zone 1: Arid**
(or dry tropical climate) which corresponds to the Desert (climate BWh and BWk).

**Zone 2: Sub-arid**
which corresponds to the Hot Steppe (climate BSh^6).  

**Zone 3: Sub humid**
(or tropical wet-and-dry climate), which corresponds to the Savannah (climate Aw).

**Zone 4: Humid tropical**
which corresponds to the Rainforest (climate Af) and the Monsoon (climate Am).

---

**Legend**
- **Zone 1: Arid**
- **Zone 2: Sub-arid**
- **Zone 3: Sub humid**
- **Zone 4: Humid tropical**
- Areas not considered in the study

---

**Figure 2 - Delimitation of the 4 zones of study**

The **Arid zone** is characterized by a dry climate. “Arid” regions can be defined as regions with a structural precipitation deficit (World Bank, 2013). This type of climate implies little rainfall and/or concentrated rainfall in short periods between long rainless periods. This region globally receives less than 50 mm of rain per year, with a water deficit lasting at least 8 months. Precipitation is less than half of potential evapotranspiration. Also, there are wide differences in temperatures between day and night (up to 30°C difference). During the day, temperature average ranges from 20 to 25°C, and can

---

^6 In the climate BS, we chose to study only BSh (hot steppe), and not BSk (cold steppe).
exceed 50°C (Berthelot, 2006). Hot and dry deserts are warm throughout the fall and spring seasons and very hot during the summer. Winter usually has very little if any rainfall. Such areas host very rare vegetation: mainly shrubs and short woody trees, adapted to survive the climate (International Sustainability Council, 2013).

**The Sub-arid zone** borders desert areas, with climatic conditions similar to those found in the desert. It receives more than 150 mm of rain each year (Berthelot, 2006). The dry season lasts for the major part of the year, followed by a short wetter season. Sub-arid areas are also characterized by seasonal extremes: the warmest month averages more than 29°C and the coolest as low as 16°C. The vegetation is mainly composed of short grasses, providing grazing for animals.

**The Sub humid zone** can be found between the tropical rainforest and desert biome. The climate is characterized by two distinct seasons: the very long dry season (winter), followed by a very wet season (summer) which can last up to six months. Monsoon rains begin in May, with an average of 380 to 650 mm of water falling during this period. The distribution of the rain is unequal: it is rainier towards the tropical forest zone and less towards sub-arid deserts zones. The climate is relatively warm all year long, with a minimum of 18°C during the coldest month (Berthelot, 2006). Such conditions of humidity and heat allow for dense herbaceous vegetation to grow, with some shrubs and isolated trees, offering grazing to animals.

**The Humid tropical zone** is characterized by the rainfall it receives all year round, with an average of 1250 to 6000 mm, occurring mostly during the summer. Precipitations are very variable from one month to another, with winter drought in some regions. In addition, some areas are subject to storms and tropical cyclones linked to high precipitation. Temperature average ranges from 24 to 32°C (Berthelot, 2006), with warmer temperatures during summer and a colder winter season of 2 to 4 months. This climate favors dense vegetation (International Sustainability Council, 2013).

### 2.6 Justification and characterization of the four referent farming systems

For each of the four zones of study, we focus on a farming system that is broad enough to include a diversity of situations. They are farming systems, meaning that they include not only the production at the farm scale, but a broader frame (processing, commercialization…etc.). The FAO (2001) defines a **farming system** as “a population of individual farm systems that have broadly similar resource bases, enterprise patterns, household livelihoods and constraints, and for which similar development strategies and interventions would be appropriate“.

---

7 References will be presented as follows:
Information from the literature: (Author, year)
Information from the interviews: (NGO expert)
As we can see in Figure 3, in the arid zone, sparse vegetation prevails (number 13 on the map). That is why sophisticated systems have been designed to adapt to difficult climatic conditions: the oasis systems, which have been selected for the arid zone.

Regarding the sub-arid zone, we can see on the map that we find principally agropastoral millet/sorghum systems and cereal-root crop mixed systems (numbers 11 and 8 on the map). It therefore appears relevant to study agropastoral systems for this zone.

In the sub humid zone, we observe on the map a predominance of root crop, maize mixed, cereal-root crop mixed and forest-based systems (numbers 8, 9, 7 and 3). Considering the importance of livestock for livelihoods, the study will focus on mixed crop-livestock systems for this zone.

Finally, in regions under humid tropical climate, tree crop, forest based and rice-tree crop systems prevail (numbers 2, 3 and 4). We will thus study agroforestry systems in the humid tropical zone.

**Figure 3 - Major farming systems in Sub-Saharan Africa**
(Source: FAO, Farming systems and poverty: improving farmers’ livelihoods in a changing world, FAO, 2001, p.31)
2.6.1 Oasis systems of arid regions

What characterizes an oasis system?

Oases are “islands of prosperity” in an arid environment, which can host intensified agroecosystems. They are places of settlement and intense economic and socio-cultural activities (Deygout and Treboux, 2012). In Africa, they are found in the Saharan region, where they developed with caravanned trade. They remain quite traditional in their cultivation system.

A specific cultivation design in several strata creates the “oasis effect”, a microclimate based on reduction of temperature in an isolated moisture source surrounded by an arid area. Humidity, heat and light allow for space optimization in an environment of high thermic amplitude where fertile soils and water lack. Indeed, oases host a biodiversity adapted to specific climatic constraints and thus constitute a great reservoir of local genetic diversity. Diversified agricultural production is distributed in the different vegetative strata:

- The tree layer: date palm, whose leaves filter the sunlight
- The shrub layer: mainly vines and fruit trees (pomegranate tree, apricot tree, etc.)
- The herbaceous layer: cereals, alfalfa, vegetables, medicinal and aromatic plants

The core of the oasis hosts intensive irrigated cultivation based on submersion specific systems that do not use fossil energy and rely on available water under tree layer. Extensive livestock production is led on large pastoral areas surrounding the palm grove. Oases also constitute a relay for nomad and semi-nomad breeders of arid zones. Animals contribute to soil fertility management while benefiting from oasis forage production (Cheneval and Michel-Queirel, 2012). Additional recession crop production can be practiced in some areas although it is quite unpredictable (Deygout and Treboux, 2012). Oases provide with a wide range of foods including cereals, legumes, milk products and animal proteins, which contribute to food security and nutritional quality of local populations’ diets.

Why a focus on oasis systems?

To which extent are oasis systems resilient regarding climate change?

In arid zones, climate changes accentuate water scarcity and soil degradation and affect agricultural yields. Oases have a great potential to face such challenges. They represent real models of adaptation to tough climatic conditions, with characteristics of flexibility to face climatic shocks. Indeed, oasis farmers have been able to adapt in response to the droughts in the 1970’s and 1980’s,
which have caused a decline of agricultural production and losses in livestock. Oases have shown their resilience through several adaptation measures including a diminution of the number of cultivated layers, sales of animals and multiplications of wells.

*Which representativeness of oasis systems?*

Oases constitute the agroecosystem which host the most people (NGO expert) and counts for the majority of the Utilized Agricultural Land in arid zones (Cheneval and Michel-Queirel, 2012). We observe an increasing interest from governments and international organization for these farming systems.

*How are oasis systems agroecological?*

The multiple layers optimize the use of surfaces and take advantage of beneficial interactions between plants; and crop and livestock integration favors biological recycling. Diversification of production, including trees, bushes and grasses, strengthens the resilience. For instance, if cereal production, which is more sensitive to climate change, fails, other productions such as fruits may be able to compensate. This diversity also enables the production of forage for animals, relatively compensating the lack of pastures.

*Which advantages of oases?*

Thanks to an optimized utilization of soil and water resources and productions adapted to the environment, oasis cultivation gives high yields. Also, these family farming systems aim at preserving production means and increasing resilience. Indeed, the oasis system is designed to be able to absorb brutal shocks and quickly recover equilibrium. This is mainly due to its functioning, its familial organization and its environment. Humans have had to innovate, adapt and sustainably manage resources, in order to produce more with fewer resources and be able to survive in arid areas. Moreover, such farming systems present a potential for job creation. Their diversified products also potentially give access to broader market. Collateral activities such as processing and sale are often restricted or relocated whereas they could be developed and profit to local population.

*Which socio-economic factors threaten oasis systems?*

First, groundwater tables are overexploited because of uncontrolled multiplication of pumping stations. Secondly, oases maintenance is weakened by the migration of workers which is stimulated by climate change. This phenomenon, combined with the lack of organization and professionalization of producers, contributes to the degradation of oasis maintenance and productivity. Furthermore, nowadays oasis systems are often not economically sustainable because they are dependent to external financial inputs (peasants pluriactivity and money transferred by migrants). In addition, knowledge and know-how are progressively disappearing, instead of being transferred between generations. Further recognition of oasis specificity by public policies would be required. Finally, production modes are becoming more and more individual, with the emergence of large modern palm groves controlled by external investors which represent unfair competition for water access.
2.6.2 Various levels of crop-livestock integration in sub-arid and sub humid regions

In Africa, livestock has a great importance for social, economic and environmental aspects. Animal breeding allows for valorization of pasture land which represents 78% of total agricultural surface on the continent (Vall et al., 2014); and significantly contribute to food security, reduction of poverty and job creation. Small-scale livestock farming communities take advantage of human-inedible forage and marginal lands, to produce high quality and human-edible foods; and avoid degradation of natural resources and social arrangements (Rivera-Ferre et al., 2012).

In Africa, livestock breeding depends on direct feed supply of spontaneous and cultivated vegetation. Its mobility is therefore linked to its spatial repartition which depends on rainfall and temperatures. As presented in Figure 5, there exists a diversity of livestock systems. In sub-arid zones, breeding is strongly dependent to natural resources and mobility. Pastoral systems that practice transhumance therefore prevail. In sub humid regions, livestock husbandry has relatively settled as climatic conditions allow for crop cultivation. Mixed crop-livestock systems are thus dominant. Finally, in the two zones, intermediary systems, which associate mobility and cultivation, are developing: agropastoral systems. We will put an emphasis on agropastoral systems of sub-arid zones in order to study integration of crop and livestock productions which is a basic principle of agroecology. Regarding sub humid zones, we will focus on mixed crop-livestock systems.

2.6.3 Agropastoral systems of sub-arid regions

What characterizes an agropastoral system?

A diversity of agropastoral systems

Agropastoral systems present a wide range of mobility levels, herds’ sizes and cultivated surfaces. In Africa, they are generally found in regions with low density of population. Herds’ mobility is a necessary condition for the systems’ viability, as it gives access to resources of water and pastures whose spatial distribution varies along the year. Breeders may also have access to cultivated land through their family or agreements with crop producers.
D’Aquino et al. (1995) underline the emergence of systems combining crop and livestock production. In areas that have been historically dedicated to cultivation, resources have often been overexploited, leading to yield decreases. In response, farmers generally diversify their production with animal breeding. Animals provide food for auto consumption, additional income, fertilizer and animal power. In agropastoral systems, livestock is also a patrimony and material security insurance, as well as a symbol of success. Dicko et al. (2006) distinguish the associations dry crops-livestock (with millet/ cowpea or millet/peanut); irrigated crops-livestock (with irrigated or rain-fed rice); and the agrosilvopastoral system (with Acacia Senegal).

How is livestock managed in agropastoral systems?

Agropastoral herds are rather small compared to pure transhumant one, and composed mainly of sheep, goats and bovines from local breeds. Milk is the main animal product in the Sahel, in particular bovine one, although farmers do not necessarily have access to (NGO expert). Goats quickly spread after the droughts in the Sahel thanks to their aptitude to better exploit degraded lands, their short reproduction cycle and the fact that smallholders can easily acquire them. Breeders can also easily sell them in order to buy other animals and diversify the system (Dicko et al., 2006). Herds may be owned individually by a family, or collectively, and are often managed by an employed shepherd who is in charge of daily management of cattle while women generally take care of small ruminants. Livestock can be managed through transhumance or more sedentarily (range pasturing, divagation, tether or “zero-grazing”). Transhumance is practiced mostly with bovines. It is planned and accompanied only by the herdsman while dairy animals stay at the permanent place. In wet season, animals are brought to the driest areas, to leave arable land available for cultivation. Then, at the beginning of the dry season, herds move back to the permanent place. Regarding small ruminants, the system is more sedentary. In the wet season, herds are either brought on fallows with a herdsman, or let tethered to a post on family land. During the dry season, the divagation system prevails (Dicko et al., 2006).

Why a focus on agropastoral systems?

To which extent are agropastoral systems resilient regarding climate change?

Warming destabilizes precipitation patterns which then disrupt primary biomass production and filling of water reservoirs. Water availability increasing unpredictability impacts crop and livestock
productivity and influences animal mobility. Breeders have to move back earlier to cultivation areas, which leads to an overexploitation of grasslands in some areas and under exploitation in others (NGO expert). In addition, warming restricts the area suitable for cultivation and heavy rains damage soils. Such evolutions cause yield reductions, including of millet. Heavy rains also favor diseases propagation (NGO expert). In addition, successive years of extreme drought decimate herds and prevent their reconstitution. More frequent weather extremes may also destroy physical infrastructure for crops and livestock (Rivera-Ferre, 2012).

The drought in 1972-1973 affected livestock production in several ways: degradation of livestock itinerary, loss of herds, migration of populations to sub humid zones. However, farmers were able to reconstruct their systems. Moreover, this event conducted to the international recognition of the vulnerability of this region and the necessity to take action to restore its production functions and prevent future disasters (Dicko et al., 2006). Agropastoral systems present several aspects of resilience to climate change. First, their mobility allows optimizing land use in accordance with climatic constraints (NGO expert). Heterogeneity of sub-arid zones, generally perceived as a production disadvantage, is actually the basis of mobility which is the optimal use of these ecosystems (Wezel, 2014). Secondly, such systems include a variety of productions and species, whose needs and life cycles differ in time, increasing their flexibility. They generally rely on the maintenance of hardy local breeds of animals that are resistant to poor diets and water lack. Thirdly, breeders may sell animals to ensure their food security. Finally, breeders’ capacity of negotiation allows them to a certain extent to take advantage of opportunities to graze their animals while creating alliances that strengthens their systems (NGO expert). Nevertheless, agropastoral systems’ resilience is sometimes insufficient and farmers sell capital that they should keep (NGO expert).

Which representativeness of agropastoral systems?

Agropastoral systems are representative of peasants’ activities in sub-arid zones and concern large numbers of population (NGO expert). They represent the main wealth of the region and constitute one fundamental social value. Even though peasants in sub-arid regions cannot always afford to breed animals, large parts of the population depend on agropastoral systems. Indeed, many households rely on livestock for food, animal products, income or insurance against crop failure. For instance, in the sub-arid zone of Madagascar, zebus are traditionally integrated in farming systems for transport and manure supply (NGO expert).

How are agropastoral systems agroecological?

Agropastoral systems rely on agroecological principles including fertility transfer from animals to fields; the use of local resources and their collective management (NGO expert); low dependence to external inputs; and the maintenance of sensitive zones. They are based on traditional know-how and knowledge transmission between farmers (NGO expert).
Which advantages of agropastoral systems?

Animals bring organic manure that contributes to crop fertilization and thus to yield increase. Higher amounts of crop products (forage, byproducts and residues) lead to a better alimentation of animals, which in return bring more manure and work force for cultivation and transport. This integration permits to maintain crop production, which is impacted by climate change, while ensuring another production in case of crop failure. In addition, breeding permits to make use of remote lands on which crop cannot be grown and of residual resources. It also generates jobs in the dry season, when work force required for cultivation is low. Dicko et al. (2006) state that livestock farming sustainability in the Sahel will depend on the integration between crop and animal production. Furthermore, population growth and urbanization contribute to increasing animal products demand and to the multiplication of markets and local trade of livestock products. Moreover, agropastoral systems host various innovations, such as livestock diversification, use of woody forage resources, hay fabrication and organization of pastoral itineraries (NGO expert).

Which limits of agropastoral systems?

There might be a competition for allocation of resources between crop and livestock productions. Indeed, agricultural work during wet seasons may limit animal breeding during this period. Animals may be placed in available lands that are degraded by the lack of control over grazing. Moreover, small ruminants generally do not provide with sufficient manure for cultivated land fertilization.

Which socio-economic factors threaten agropastoral systems?

Herds’ mobility is constrained by crop cultivation expansion and pasture paths degradation. This situation often leads to conflicts linked to land and water availability but also linked to damages caused by animals on fields. Reduced herds’ mobility generates feeding issues in periods when they didn’t exist in the past (Vall et al., 2014).

2.6.4 Mixed crop-livestock systems of sub humid regions

What characterizes a mixed crop-livestock system?

A diversity of mixed crop-livestock systems

Major farming systems of sub humid zones identified by the FAO (Cf. p.27) all include animals, to different extent. In root crop and maize mixed systems cattle is strongly integrated. However, most farmers cannot afford to breed many animals. Herds are more anecdotal in cereal-root crop mixed and forest-based systems. Only when space opening allows it, bovine breeding is favored (D’Aquino et al., 1995). In regions where tripanosomiasis limits cattle-based systems, peasants generally introduce small ruminants into their mixed systems. In such systems, integration between crops and livestock productions is limited by restricted amounts of manure.
How are crops and animals managed in mixed crop-livestock systems?

Farmers implement different kinds of strategies. Some alternate between cultivation and pasture on the same plot; some rely almost exclusively on crops that they produce and their byproducts for livestock feeding; some graze animals under plantations; and some combine several of these techniques.

In unsaturated areas, farming systems are often based on slash-and-burn techniques, in rotation with fallow of variable duration for pastoral use. Such systems rely on high availability of space which allows for a rest period of about 10 to 30 years to restore soil fertility. They may be replaced by others with stronger integration of crop and livestock productions as competition for land extends.

In some regions with more saturated land, farmers rely on close crop-livestock integration for fields’ fertilization and animal feeding.

Mixed systems may also rely on perennials or trees (cash crops and subsistence crops for own consumption). In regions where plantations are almost exclusive, subsistence farming is in deficit and animal proteins supply may be of high interest for populations. The association between livestock and plantations is diverse, depending on cultivated plant nature and cultivation mode. For instance, in South of Benin, Cameroun, Côte d’Ivoire and Togo, we can find small ruminants and some cattle in palm groves and coconut. Animals add value to herbaceous cover under plantation and “clean” the land, reducing plantation maintenance cost while favoring soil biological activity which is often weakened in plantations by pesticides and herbicides. This fertilization is completed with compost made of perennials’ leaves.

In more humid forest areas, vegetation density restricts animal movement and favors parasites and diseases spreading. There are though some small ruminants grazing in undergrowth where vegetation opening allows, providing farmers with supplementary revenue.

Why a focus on mixed crop-livestock systems?

To which extent are mixed crop-livestock systems resilient regarding climate change?

Mixed crop-livestock systems are still highly dependent to natural resources. Rainfall is likely to become more unpredictable, with generally shorter wet seasons and more frequent and intense heavy rains and cyclones. This implies greater variability of production and price volatility. Farmers perceive
changes in start and end dates of rainy seasons, although clear direction of this evolution cannot be identified (NGO expert). Floods may favor pests, diseases and weeds spreading. Cyclones may destroy crops and weaken animals. In addition, higher temperatures and CO₂ concentrations are expected to reduce the surface of savanna grassland.

However, mixed crop-livestock systems present certain resilience. Their nature implies a diversification of production and economic complementarity that increases peasant systems’ flexibility to face climatic shocks. If one type of production fails because of unfavorable climatic conditions, other crop or animal production may compensate and ensure minimum food security. Mixed systems may therefore increase the capacity of peasants to face extreme events and ensure their maintenance (NGO expert).

*Which representativeness of mixed crop-livestock systems?*

Sub humid zones are characterized by the prevalence of savanna grasslands with pastoral societies and smallholder rain-fed cultivation. Rainfall patterns generally allow breeders to grow crops. Rain-fed mixed crop-livestock farming therefore replaces livestock-only and crop-only systems when conditions allow it (agricultural land availability and access to market). Moreover, in areas with high population densities, land pressure forces farmers to associate crop and livestock production. Many families breed animals, even at very small scale. Livestock highly matters as a way of saving. In Togo for instance, almost all farmers have at least a small herd (NGO expert).

*How are mixed crop-livestock systems agroecological?*

The integration of animal and crop productions allows for biological recycling: animal manure is used as organic fertilizer while crops and their byproducts feed animals. Animals may also ensure the conservation of a dense multi-specific herbaceous cover close to natural vegetation, which allows for better interception of rain, slower mineralization of organic matter, increased fertilization and soil protection against erosion. Grazing also permits to avoid using chemical herbicides which is frequent in some areas and increases soil exposure to erosion. Moreover, mixed crop-livestock systems are generally highly diversified, including associations and rotations of cereals and legumes (NGO expert). Livestock breeding can also generate jobs (shepherds, blacksmiths…) and reinforce social link between crop producers and breeders.

*Which advantages of mixed crop-livestock systems?*

Livestock both improves organic fertility and adds value to weak vegetation on poor soils which would otherwise be subject to deforestation. It represents an economic complementarity which is essential to secure regional farming systems. Also, dry cereal production is expected to be less affected by climate change in sub humid regions than in sub-arid ones. Maintaining systems that associate crops and animals could permit to compensate for expected issues of livestock production (reduction of grassland, land pressure…). Moreover, some global changes may produce opportunities
for livestock producers. Population growth and new consumption patterns of emerging middle class stimulate demand for animal products (Vall et al., 2014).

**Which limits to mixed crop-livestock systems?**

In regions where land pressure is growing, surfaces cannot be left as fallow and soils are losing fertility. In addition, vegetable production sometimes relies on chemicals to control pests and diseases, as it is the case in many areas of Togo (NGO expert). Also in this country, many farmers are extremely dependent to mineral fertilizers and use them at high rates. Interactions between crop and livestock productions are not always fully exploited. Furthermore, livestock expansion and cultivated surface extension lead to an important stocking rate that weakens the environment and threatens natural vegetation (D’Aquino et al., 1995).

**Which socio-economic factors threaten mixed crop-livestock systems?**

In West African sub humid zones, populations coming from the Sahel with different cultures have coexisted for a long time. However, nowadays competitions for land and resources have become more serious and conflicts linked to land use are multiplying, reinforcing division between ethnic and religious groups. In addition, farmers also have to deal with competition for land from agribusiness projects which affect large zones with a good agricultural potential. In countries such as Madagascar, Soudan, Ethiopia and Ghana, between 1% and 2.5% of arable land are estimated to be concerned by agribusiness threat. Migrations linked to climate change also affect agricultural activities and dynamics in families. Women generally stay and take on all the work (Vall et al., 2014). In addition, insufficiency of public services and policies limit the development of livestock production. In many countries, zoo technical and veterinary services cannot meet the demand. Animal movements, reduction of animal health services, climate change and unmanaged urbanization complicate diseases control (Vall et al., 2014).

### 2.6.5 Agroforestry systems of humid tropical regions

**What characterizes an agroforestry system?**

**A diversity of agroforestry systems**

The word “agroforestry” gathers “land-use systems in which woody perennials are deliberately used on the same land management unit as agricultural crops, animals or both, either in some form of spatial arrangement or temporal sequence. In agroforestry systems there are both ecological and economic interactions between the different components.” (World Agroforestry Center in Marin, 2014). Agroforestry systems are characterized by plant associations’ degree of complexity and spatial structure. In humid tropical zones, such systems result either from a progressive occupation of woodland by agriculture through the plantation of cultivated species under natural shade or from the plantation by humans of associations after complete clearing of wood or savanna plot. Forest-based
farming systems are generally based on shifting cultivation, but as population density increases fallow periods are progressively being reduced and agroforestry appears as an alternative. There exist a wide range of agroforestry systems: from relay cropping to complex multi-strata systems associating numerous perennial and annual plant species that mimic natural forests. In humid tropical Africa, agroforestry systems are peasant plantations combining perennial cash crops (coffee, cocoa, coconut…) with other plants such as trees for wood, fruit trees, subsistence crops, material for handicraft (palm, bamboo..), medicinal plants and vegetative cover. Although livestock production is generally marginal in those systems, peasants may introduce small ruminants, pigs or poultry in areas with high densities of population, where crop-only small farm predominance affects soil quality, and where foods lack. In South Nigeria for instance, small ruminant breeding is spreading (D’Aquino et al., 1995).

How are agroforestry systems managed?

As shown in Figure 8, in Madagascar for instance, the different crops are distributed according to the topography: fruit and cash crop trees at the top; fruit trees, root crops, cereals and legumes on the slope; and vegetables and rice in the plain (NGO expert).

Tree crop farming systems may also be considered as agroforestry as they are generally composed of tree crop production (cocoa, coffee, oil palm, rubber…) inter-planted with food crops (roots and tubers). Cassava, yam and cocoyam are the main staple while tree crops and off-farm activities are the main source of cash.

In addition, different kinds of agroforestry techniques are implemented in all kinds of farming systems: hedgerows, grassy strips, cultivation under tree shade, reforestation. The same peasant may implement slash-and-burn techniques on some of his plots and agroforestry on others.
Why a focus on agroforestry systems?

To which extent are agroforestry systems resilient to climate change?

Mean annual temperature raise and increased duration of heatwaves may provoke heat stress for crops. Such warming also leads to more frequent and intense heavy rains which are a factor of soil erosion and crop destruction. Increased moisture may favor diseases vectors and stimulate weed infestation. In addition, cyclones, which are becoming more frequent and intense, cause land degradation and weaken animals, increasing their sensitivity to diseases. Furthermore, cropping calendar will be disturbed by changes in rainfall patterns: start and end dates of rainy seasons are increasingly unpredictable; rainy seasons are likely to become shorter while droughts duration extend and temporary drought become more frequent even during rainy season.

Agroforestry systems are quite resilient, including against cyclones (NGO expert). Risks linked to climatic and other extreme events are diversified, and so are income sources. For instance in Madagascar, if cyclone occurs in January, rice is affected whereas fruits are saved and the other way round. Furthermore, in most documented cases of successful agroforestry implementation, these systems prove to be more productive, more sustainable and more attuned to people’s cultural or material needs than treeless systems (Mbow et al., 2014).

Which representativeness of agroforestry systems?

Agroforestry systems are not necessarily the most representative farming systems of the humid tropical zone, but they characterize these regions and are implemented by peasants. They significantly contribute to households’ food security and have experienced recent increase in adoption by farmers in many parts of Africa (Mbow et al., 2014). Humid tropics have great species diversity and are suitable for complex agroforestry (Marin, 2014).

How are agroforestry systems agroecological?

Trees benefit to agroecosystems in several ways: biodiversity regeneration; better water infiltration reducing water erosion; shelter for pollinator insects and birds; reduced evapotranspiration and thus water loss; protection of the soil against wind erosion and erratic rains (NGO expert). Agroforestry takes advantage of interactions between species on a same plot: trees provide crops with shade allowing them to grow; legumes favor soil fertility that will benefit to other plants; plants’ various rooting systems explore different soil strata favoring its structure; animals may pass on fields and bring manure. Such systems also optimize land use on slope and in plains (NGO expert). Agroforestry improves natural resources use efficiency (space, soil nutrients, water, and light) and limits sanitary, climatic and economic risks thanks to diversification (Penot and Feintrenie, 2014). Such systems favor a useful biodiversity with a market value while integrating a non-valorized biodiversity that plays an important ecological role.
Which advantages of agroforestry?

Agroforestry is increasingly recognized as a sustainable land use that contributes to farmers’ ability to adapt to climate change. It enhances agro-ecosystem diversity and resilience while contributing to the limitation of greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere (IPCC, 2014). Such systems favor plants recovering after extreme climatic events such as floods (Foubert, 2014). Agroforestry therefore provides multiple benefits: food provision, diversified income sources and environmental services. For instance, African peasants have been able to transform degraded agricultural landscapes into more productive and sustainable systems by integrating trees into annual cropping systems (IPCC, 2014). The vegetation cover may also contribute to a year-round cooling which could favor crop production (Christensen et al., 2007). Furthermore, agroforestry systems present several agronomic advantages. First, they maintain and even improve soil fertility, through the use of legumes, protection against erosion and reduced soil working (thanks to plant cover competition capacity). Plant covers may also constitute forage to feed animals. In addition, species diversity limits pests spreading. Agroforestry can consequently be seen as a way to sustainably intensify farming practices for increased food security using socially and cost-effective management techniques. They require low external input, high recycling rates and sometimes crop-livestock integration.

Which limits to agroforestry systems?

The potential of agroforestry is limited in the short term because of small farm size, poor development of markets and isolation (FAO, 2001). In addition, as their land holdings are small, farmers are often unwilling or unable to dedicate land to agroforestry. Peasants are often reluctant to invest in the long-term when it may benefit the next owner of their land rather than themselves (Mbow et al., 2014). Also sometimes land owners do not permit peasants to plant trees because it is a sign of property. Moreover, agroforestry options are not applicable everywhere and the current stage of knowledge does not provide sufficient information on what systems work where, for whom and under what circumstances.

Which socio-economic factors threaten agroforestry systems?

Increasing population pressures natural resources. Furthermore, peasant systems generally cannot compete with monospecific conventional systems in terms of profitability of work and land in short and medium term (Penot and Feintrenie, 2014) and are thus threatened by their expansion.

The following part characterizes the expected effects of climate change in the different climatic zones and how they could affect the four farming systems that have been presented. Peasants’ agroecological practices that contribute to their systems’ adaptation are then presented. We then study innovative combinations of agroecological practices implemented by peasants.
3. Results

3.1 Expected effects of climate change on agriculture in Africa

3.1.1 Which implications of climate change for agriculture in Africa?

The 5th Assessment Report of the IPCC (2014) provides many evidences of climate changes on all continents. Even under most optimistic IPCC scenarios, climate change will have widespread impacts on food security, water availability, livelihoods and human health in Africa. Vast proportions of African countries’ populations rely on agricultural production (both crops and livestock) for livelihood and food security. Indeed, agriculture employs almost 70% of the population in most African countries and represents in average 21% of GDP in Africa, with a contribution ranging from 10 to 70% depending on the country (Boko et al., 2007). Yet it is often considered as the human activity that is the most dependent to climate (Sultan, 2008).

The main staple foods in Africa are cassava, rice, soybean, wheat, maize, millet and sorghum (Adesina, 2010, cited in World Bank 2013). In Sub-Saharan Africa, 97% of total crop land is rain-fed and many African countries do not have efficient irrigation systems (Boko et al., 2007). The livestock sector is also very important in Africa, with a concentration in sub-arid and sub-humid zones, because of susceptibility to diseases and low digestibility of grasses in tropical environments. African peasants’ rain-fed farming systems are particularly dependent to precipitation variability and therefore critically vulnerable to climate evolutions. Besides the challenges of climate change, African farmers have to cope with constraints such as poor soil fertility, pests, crop and animal diseases and restricted access to inputs and improved seeds (Boko et al., 2007). Climate changes and hazards are aggravating the already challenging situation, threatening populations’ livelihoods (World Bank, 2013).

Rainfall increasing variability is likely to further restrict access to water resources; shorten growth season; impact soil fertility; and alter quantity and quality of available grass. These factors would lead to reductions of animal and crop productivity. In addition, warming further weakens agricultural production. It contributes to soil degradation and loss of arable land; crop yield and animal productivity reduction; alteration of vegetative covers; and pests and diseases spreading. Also extreme events could have significant consequences which are uncertain for now (Rötter et al, 2011, cited in World Bank 2013). Yields from rain-fed cultivation could decrease by up to 50% in some countries by
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2020; and crop net revenues by 90% by 2100 (Somorin et al., 2010). The relative significance of temperature and precipitation in the effects of climate change on agriculture varies depending on the regions. It is difficult to assess as they are closely linked and interact. Yield modification in arid zones may be mainly driven by rainfall changes, whereas it is more dependent to temperature in tropical zones (World Bank, 2013).

However in many parts of Africa climate change is not fully understood (Boko et al., 2007). Processes such as rainfall, evaporation and infiltration are not efficiently represented whereas they are the phenomenon with the strongest ecological and societal impacts because they affect local climates at agricultural scale (Sultan, 2008). Furthermore, there exist some uncertainties about climate projections, the response of different crops to changing climatic conditions, and the coupling of climate and crop models based on different temporal and spatial scales. Climate models are generally consistent regarding the direction of warming in Africa whereas projections for precipitation are less certain (Boko et al., 2007). Furthermore, although climate change is expected to affect mainly negatively agriculture in Africa, Müller et al. (2011, cited in World Bank 2013) suggest that it may have positive influence as well, depending on farm type and crop type and depending on whether or not adaptation is assumed. For instance, crops may respond positively to increasing atmospheric CO$_2$ concentrations. But important crops such as maize, sorghum and millet are not very sensitive to atmospheric CO$_2$ concentrations, and the magnitude of these effects remains uncertain.

In the following section, the effects of climate change on agriculture in each of the four zones will be studied more in detail.

3.1.2 Which challenges to farming systems in the four zones of study?

a. In the arid zone

Agriculture in arid Africa

Arid regions rely on the wet season for water and receive little runoff from permanent water sources. High temperatures and dry soils, which absorb more moisture, accentuate this phenomenon. Moreover, agricultural production in arid zones is subject to strong constraints such as low fertility of soils, due to low organic matter content, and high density of weeds and pests. Producers are mostly subsistence farmers, growing mainly cereals and legumes in rain-fed or specific irrigation systems. They also produce smaller amounts of roots, fibers, fruits and vegetables. Livestock production is limited by the scarcity of accessible grasslands (Wellington, 2007).
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Climate changes in arid Africa

In the arid zone, climate is becoming drier and warmer in average during the year. Average annual rainfall is decreasing while mean temperature increases. In the worst case, local associations expect a 50% decrease of rainfall by 2060 in Morocco and Algeria (Cheneval and Michel-Queirel, 2012). Furthermore, extreme climatic events such as droughts, heatwaves and flooding should multiply (Kabat et al., 2002, cited in Christensen et al. 2007). The number of heatwaves days per year is expected to increase over the 21st century (IPCC, 2014). In the Horn of Africa and in North East Africa, global climatic models predict an increase of rainfall whereas regional climatic models expect drier conditions. If rainfall was to increase, it would be during periods of heavier rainfall instead of being uniformly distributed during the year, accentuating flooding risk (Schellnhuber et al., 2013).

Subsistence farming of African arid regions is threatened by the following major climate changes: increase of mean annual temperature; reduction of annual average rainfall; higher spatial and temporal variability of rainfall; more frequent and longer droughts; more frequent and intense heat waves; and more frequent and intense floods. Such evolutions generate great challenges for farmers, which are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2 - Main challenges for farmers in the arid zone regarding climate changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected factor</th>
<th>Impacts on natural resources and production means</th>
<th>Challenges for farmers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>Expansion of desert zones</td>
<td>Loss of arable land</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Lower quantity of available water</td>
<td>Lack of water supply for crops and animals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher uncertainty about water availability in time and space</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low-efficiency surface irrigation systems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased crops and animals water requirements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>Higher soil salinity</td>
<td>Reduction of soil fertility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accentuated evapotranspiration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loss of organic matter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Plants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensitivity of crops to heat</th>
<th>Loss of crops</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Destruction by flooding and heat waves</td>
<td>Reduction of crop yields</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pests and diseases spreading</td>
<td>Reduction of products shelf life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limitation of crop storage capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Food security

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reduction of arable surfaces and crops yields</th>
<th>Reduction of cereal offer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unstable prices of foods</td>
<td>More uncertain access to foods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accentuated conflicts and insecurity</td>
<td>Migrations of workers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Agriculture in sub-arid Africa

In sub-arid regions, steppes are often converted into cropland and pastures. Rain-fed cultivation is the main food resource and the main source of income, for a population that is growing increasingly quickly. Agricultural production is particularly dependent to the following factors of rainfall: onset date of wet season, distribution of rainfall during wet season and annual sum of rainfall. The types of crops cultivated are linked to average annual precipitations. In driest regions, we find mostly cereals and livestock, with transhumance systems. In some areas, animal husbandry is the main source of livelihoods. In Botswana for instance, more than 40% of the nation’s resident rely on it. In more humid areas, cash crops such as cotton may be cultivated.

### Climate changes in sub-arid Africa

An overall shift towards higher temperatures and lower annual rainfall has been observed in the sub-arid regions of Africa since the 1960s. Over West Africa and the Sahel, the number of cold days and cold nights has decreased whereas the number of warm days and nights has increased between 1961 and 2000. Also high warming rates are projected over the sub-arid parts of South Africa, Botswana and Namibia (IPCC, 2014). In the Sahel, average annual rainfall is estimated to have decreased by 20% since the 1960s (Sultan, 2008). This reduction has seriously impacted the main rivers flow and the filling of water storage for agriculture. Also, severe droughts have affected the Sahel, the Horn of
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**Figure 10 - Sub-arid climate zone**

Africa and southern Africa in the 1960s (Christensen et al., 2007). Nevertheless, relative increase of rainfall has been observed in Central Sahel in the last decades, concentrated in short periods though (LOCEAN et al., 2015). Indeed, regional model studies suggest an increase in the number of extreme rainfall days over West Africa and the Sahel in May and July (IPCC, 2014).

Rain-fed cultivation and livestock breeding of sub-arid Africa are threatened by the following major climate changes: increase of mean annual temperature; higher spatial and temporal variability of rainfall; more frequent and longer droughts; more frequent temporary droughts; and more frequent and more intense floods. Such evolutions generate great challenges for farmers, which are summarized in Table 3.

**Table 3 - Main challenges for farmers in the sub-arid zone regarding climate changes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected factor</th>
<th>Impacts on natural resources and production means</th>
<th>Challenges for farmers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>Shift from mixed crop-livestock to livestock-only systems</td>
<td>Reduction of arable land surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Lower quantity of available water Higher uncertainty about water availability in time and space</td>
<td>Lack of water supply for crops and animals More difficult planning of agricultural calendar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>Higher soil salinity Accentuated evapotranspiration Loss of organic matter Overgrazing</td>
<td>Reduction of soil fertility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plants</td>
<td>Sensitivity of crops to droughts and floods Destruction by floods Pests and diseases spreading</td>
<td>Reduction of crop yields Loss of crops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animals</td>
<td>Reduction of vegetation diversity and productivity in grasslands Lower land carrying capacity Parasites and diseases spreading</td>
<td>Animal feed shortage Reduction of animal productivity Loss of animals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food security</td>
<td>Abandonment of traditional activities Competition for fertile land Increasing scarcity of natural resources</td>
<td>Social conflicts Territorial conflicts More precarious livelihoods</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
c. In the sub humid zone

Agriculture in sub humid Africa

Conditions of heat and humidity in sub humid regions of Africa allow for very diverse crop and livestock productions. Savanna grasslands are generally grazed by cattle and goats. Peasant rain-fed agriculture is also part of the predominant livelihoods; mainly for subsistence although in some regions cash crops such as coffee or cotton are well represented. Farmers mainly grow maize, millet, sorghum, cassava, yam, groundnut, cowpeas and leguminous forage. Rain-fed mixed crop-livestock farming is also well represented (UNCCD et al., 2009).

Climate changes in sub humid Africa

Sub humid zones are subject to a high variability in temperature and precipitation, which could be accentuated by climate change. For instance, in Côte d’Ivoire, climate change is characterized by variations in the rainy season’s onset and end dates. Annual amount of rainfall has decreased since the 1970s, with a general drop of 4.6% per year in the 1980s, and wet seasons have become shorter. Increased frequency of storms, heavy rains and floods has also been observed. Moreover, average daily maximum temperature for the warmest month of the year should increase by up to 2.5°C by 2050.

The diversity of crop and livestock productions of sub humid Africa is threatened by climate change. So are the livelihoods of populations which rely on these activities. This climate change’s major trends are: increase of mean annual temperature; reduction of rainy season duration; higher spatial and temporal variability of rainfall; more frequent and intense cyclones; more frequent and intense heavy rains; more frequent and intense floods; and more frequent temporary droughts. Such evolutions generate great challenges for farmers, which are summarized in Table 4.

Table 4 - Main challenges for farmers in the sub humid zone regarding climate changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected factor</th>
<th>Impacts on natural resources and production means</th>
<th>Challenges for farmers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>Shift from grassland to woodland</td>
<td>Loss of savanna grassland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shift from grassland to desert</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sensitivity of herbaceous plants to high temperatures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
d. In the humid tropical zone

Agriculture in humid tropical Africa
In humid tropical zones, climatic conditions favor dense vegetation. Food production largely relies on forests, and rice from rain-fed systems is often one of the main staple food-crop (International Sustainability Council, 2013).

Climate changes in humid tropical Africa
Fewer papers exist on climate evolution in humid tropical Africa and its implication for agricultural production than for the other studied zones. Mean temperatures are globally rising while heat waves duration increases. According to the World Bank (2013), no long-term trend for rainfall has been observed in the humid tropical zones,
although there should be a decline in mean annual precipitation over tropical rain-forests (Boko et al., 2007). In North Congo for instance, it has decreased of about 3% for the period 1960 to 1998 (Somorin et al., 2010). Rainfall is likely to concentrate in shorter wet seasons, while drought periods extend. In Sierra Leone for instance, prolonged periods of dry days have been observed even during rainy seasons. In addition, higher temperatures and air humidity could provoke heavy rains (Ministère de l’environnement, des eaux et forêts de Madagascar, 2006). Indeed, extreme precipitation will very likely become more intense and frequent as global mean temperature rises (Pachauri et al., 2014). Also cyclones frequency has doubled in the last 15 years compared to the 15 previous years in the humid tropical areas of Madagascar (NGO expert, 2015).

Rain-fed and forest-based production systems of humid tropical Africa are threatened by the following major trends of climate change: increase of mean annual temperature; reduction of rainy season duration; more frequent and intense cyclones; more frequent and intense heavy rains; more frequent and longer temporary droughts during wet season; longer heatwaves. Such evolutions generate great challenges for farmers, which are summarized in Table 5.

Table 5 - Main challenges for farmers in the humid tropical zone regarding climate changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected factor</th>
<th>Impacts on natural resources and production means</th>
<th>Challenges for farmers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>Reduced vegetation cover</td>
<td>Surface heating and soil moisture reduction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Water           | Higher uncertainty about water availability in time and space  
|                 | Shorter wet seasons                               | More difficult planning of cropping calendar  
<p>|                 | Lower quantity of available water                 | Lack of water supply for crops and animals |
| Soil            | Damages caused by heavy rains and cyclones        | Reduction of soil fertility |
|                 | Reduced vegetation cover                         |                                     |
|                 | Increased soil erosion                           |                                     |
| Plants          | Sensitivity of crops to temporary droughts        | Reduction of crop yields |
|                 | Surface heating                                  |                                     |
|                 | Diseases spreading                                | Loss of crops |
|                 | Weed spreading                                    |                                     |
|                 | Sensitivity of crops to heatwaves                 | Reduction of products shelf life |
|                 | Destruction caused by cyclones                   |                                     |
|                 | Destruction of storage infrastructure by extreme climatic events | |
| Animals         | Sensitivity of animals to temporary droughts and heatwaves | Reduction of animal productivity |
|                 | Parasites and diseases spreading                  |                                     |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food security</th>
<th>Destruction of infrastructure caused by extreme climatic events</th>
<th>More restricted access to markets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Globally, temperatures are rising and rainfall patterns are becoming increasingly unpredictable over Africa, with regional disparities. Also various extreme climatic events are multiplying in many regions. Climate change effects will most likely compromise agricultural production and access to food in many African countries, while their populations keep growing. It should reduce the area suitable for cultivation and grazing; alter growth season length and yields potential; and limit animal productivity. Agricultural sector’s vulnerability is accentuated by existing challenges for development such as poverty, governance and institutional aspects, limited access to capital, ecosystem degradation and complex disasters and conflicts. Peasants are likely to be the most affected whereas they produce about 90% of total agricultural output in Africa.

Even if global society stopped emitting greenhouse gases today, warming would still be inevitable in the next few decades (IPCC, 2014). Solutions have therefore to be identified and implemented urgently in order to maintain and improve food security of African vulnerable populations and prevent conflicts spreading. If changes at institutional scale cannot be achieved so easily, it should start with agricultural practices. On the one hand, the agricultural sector contributes to 14% of greenhouse gas emissions (Branca et al., 2013). On the other hand, agriculture has a great potential of mitigation. Indeed, improved management practices of cropland and livestock could respectively allow for a reduction of CO₂ and CH₄ and N₂O emissions. In addition, carbon sequestration can be enhanced thanks to conservation farming practices, agroforestry, improved grassland management and restoration of degraded lands. The adoption of sustainable agricultural practices could therefore play a major role in climate change mitigation.

African peasants implement agricultural techniques that fit the environment they are producing in. Indeed, they have developed practices that permit to fulfill the needs of crops and animals considering the conditions of availability of natural resources in various regions with a wide diversity of climates, soil types and vegetation. In response to direct and indirect effects of climate change on agricultural productions, peasants may adapt their farming systems in order to keep producing food and ensure food security. Some of them imagine innovative practices or improve traditional ones to

---

11 Here “practices” embraces technical and organizational systems implemented by farmers at different scales: the plot, the farm and the territory.
respond to novel climatic conditions. Among the variety of peasant adaptation strategies, we chose to focus on agroecological ones. The following part of the study therefore emphasizes adaptation practices based on agroecological principles. Moreover, this study focuses on peasant rural agriculture rather than peri-urban production.

3.2 Peasant agroecological adaptive strategies

We study innovative practices based on agroecological principles that are already implemented by peasants in their adaptive strategies. Presented practices may not be specifically implemented to face climate change effects, but they all contribute to enhance farming systems’ resilience to those evolutions. They have been identified as innovations by the interviewed experts. An overview of inventoried practices (interviews and literature review) in each of the referent farming systems is given, at different scales: the plot, the farm and the territory\textsuperscript{12}. In addition, a glossary of these agroecological practices can be found in Appendix 9. As presented before, agroecological principles apply to the different ecosystem’s components: landscape, water, soil, seeds and plants and animals. The inventoried practices are therefore classified according to these components. In addition, strategies of production valorization, social organization and knowledge transfer will be briefly presented.

3.2.1 In oasis systems of arid zones

Table 2 presents the main challenges of climate change for farmers of arid Africa. Such evolutions threaten oasis systems. They may be partly faced by a set of practices that have been mentioned in the interviews and in the literature and are summarized in Appendix 5. They are classified according to the previously identified components that are affected by climate change: landscape (dark green), water (blue), soil (light green), seeds and plants (orange) and animals (yellow).

These agroecological practices are then classified according to the scale they are implemented at, in Figure 13\textsuperscript{13}. The determination of the scale(s) of implementation of each practice is subjective and could be different if approached with another point of view.

\textsuperscript{12} Territory: a particular area used for or associated with a specific individual or activity (Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 2014)

\textsuperscript{13} Figures 13 and 14 are the results of interviews with experts of ACF, AVSF, CARI and CCFD; and literature review: Baker 2015, Bouziane et al. 2013, CARI and PROMMATA 2014, Cornu 2011, Leroy 2015, Sokpoh and Nimaga 2014, TENMIYA 2011, Terre et humanisme 2014, Vall et al. 2014
Figure 13 shows that agroecological innovations in oasis systems have been inventoried at the three scales: the plot, the farm and the territory. However, they seem to focus more on farm scale (47% of inventoried practices) and plot scale (42%). Peasants may focus on the interactions taking place inside their oases rather than on those with the external environment. Oasis production is based on practices that favor beneficial interactions between different species. Peasants therefore strengthen their systems by further stimulating these interactions.

Regarding the different agroecosystem’s components, we can see that these innovations principally focus on the soil (46% of inventoried practices) and water resource (36%). Inventoried practices appear to be directed directly to the management of resources for plants alimentation in the palm groves. They aim at diversifying soil fertilization modes as well as improving water use efficiency. Although practices of animal management have not been inventoried in this study for oasis systems, they may still be of major importance for peasants in arid areas. Furthermore, even though farmers’ collective organization does not appear as a principal strategy for improving oasis systems, some innovations are implemented at organizational scale.
Inventoried strategies of collective organization and production valorization

In the study zone, oasis peasants also implement strategies to add value to their production. They gather to process and sell their products: they create **processing plants** and **cooperatives**. Some producers valorize their production through **short food supply chains** such as direct selling and fairs. Another strategy is to get their **products labelled**: some oasis farmers produce organic henna; others dates with a Demeter certification. These practices at organizational scale are included in Figure 13 below as they can be considered as agroecological innovations that may contribute to adaptation to climate change. Indeed, such collective improvements are essential for peasants to increase their resilience to climatic shocks.

Figure 14 below establishes the link between previously identified challenges of climate change to oasis systems and inventoried agroecological practices. We can see that for each of these major challenges there is at least one inventoried agroecological practice that responds to it.

Analysis of peasant strategies of adaptation to climate change

The inventoried innovative agroecological practices contribute to strengthen oasis systems. Techniques of efficient irrigation (drip irrigation system, Californian irrigation system, collective irrigation management), sustainable water pumping (solar pumping system), and humidity maintenance (date palm mulch) can better valorize scarce available water resources. Furthermore, practices that enhance soil fertility (date palm leaves compost, manure compost, intercropping such as wheat-alfalfa association, crop rotations) and protect it from erosion (date palm mulch, drip irrigation system) are implemented in order to control fertility loss. In addition, peasants modify their practices of crop management to adapt to novel conditions of soil fertility and water supply. They improve sowing conditions (nursery on hot layer and early sowing) and favor beneficial interactions between plants and insects (intercropping, planting of nectar-producing plants). Finally, peasants’ collective organization (short food supply chains, fairs, cooperatives, processing plants, and label for oasis products) allow better valorizing their production while ensuring food security of local populations. Peasants’ strategies respond to the major challenges of soil fertility loss and reduction of water supply.
Figure 14 - Challenges to oasis peasants and agroecological practices
3.2.2 In agropastoral systems of sub-arid zones

Table 3 presents the main challenges of climate change for farmers of sub-arid Africa. Such evolutions threaten agropastoral systems. They may be partly faced by a set of practices mentioned in the interviews and in the literature and are summarized in Appendix 6.

These agroecological practices were then classified according to the scale they are implemented at, in Figure 15.\textsuperscript{14}

\textbf{Figure 15 - Scale analysis of agroecological innovations in agropastoral systems}

Figure 15 shows that agroecological innovations in agropastoral systems have been inventoried at the three scales, although they seem to focus more on territorial (42% of inventoried practices) and farm scales (40%). These results seem in accordance with the fact that peasants have to organize their practices at territorial and farm scales to plan animals’ displacements and crop cultivation.

Regarding the different agroecosystem’s components, we can see that the majority of inventoried practices concern animal management (56% of inventoried practices). Farmers compensate for the lack of forage by producing alternative feeds, exploiting new resources and organizing transhumance more efficiently. In addition, soil fertility management seems to be well addressed, through enhanced soil fertilization and practices that limit soil erosion (26% of inventoried practices). To a lower extent, some practices also focus on water management (7%) and plant management (7%), but farmers seem to focus principally on the access to pastures and feeds and the maintenance of cultivated soils’ quality. Furthermore, peasants innovate at organizational scale, to better valorize their production.

Inventoried strategies of collective organization and production valorization

In sub-arid Africa, some peasants take part in short food supply chains that allow them to get a better price while getting closer to their clients. Breeders with dairy production may take part in milk collection systems to add further value to their production and ensure access to outlet. Some meat producers gather to develop the sector, in order to strengthen their production mode and stimulate their revenue while getting closer to their fellow breeders. These innovations are included in Figure 16 below as they can be considered as agroecological innovations that may contribute to adaptation to climate change.

Figure 16 below presents the link between previously identified challenges of climate change to agropastoral systems and inventoried agroecological practices. We can see that for each of those main challenges there are plenty of agroecological practices inventoried responding to it.

Analysis of peasant strategies of adaptation to climate change

The inventoried agroecological innovations contribute to strengthen agropastoral systems. Peasants manage increasing water availability uncertainty through different strategies: they collectively optimize the use of available water (concerted management of water sources, groundwater sources networking); they choose more resistant crop varieties and animal breeds (peasant seed exchange systems, selection of hardy cattle breeds); they adapt their cultivation practices (seeds coating and dry sowing); and they diversify the risks (association millet-cowpea, introduction of small ruminants, introduction of poultry, introduction of beekeeping). In addition, peasants try to limit the loss of arable land surface and quality by controlling soil fertility loss (protected areas, wind breaks, fallow) and improving fertilization (association millet-cowpea, introduction of alfalfa, millet residues compost,
Faidherbia parks, and grazing contracts). They also compensate for the reduction of forage availability by improving feed rations (urea treatment of hay, mineral supplement, millet bran supplement); producing alternative feeds (introduction of alfalfa, Faidherbia parks, hay production, fodder trees, Bourgou fields); and organizing collectively animal transhumance more efficiently (reduced herds, collective transhumance organization, planned grazing, grazing contracts, rotational grazing). Finally, peasants organize themselves collectively to add value to their production (short food supply chains, milk collection systems, meat sector development), which can partly compensate for the loss caused by climate changes.
Figure 16 - Challenges to agropastoral peasants and agroecological practices
3.2.3 In mixed crop-livestock systems of sub humid zones

Table 4 presents the main challenges of climate change for peasants of sub humid Africa. Such evolutions imply great challenges for mixed crop-livestock systems. These challenges may be partly faced by a set of practices that have been mentioned in the interviews and in the literature and are summarized in Appendix 7.

These agroecological practices were then classified according to the scale they are implemented at, in Figure 17.15

---

Figure 17 shows that agroecological innovations in mixed systems have been inventoried at the three scales, although they seem to focus more on farm (41% of inventoried practices) and territorial scale (38%). Peasants focus on territorial and farm scale to manage the combination of animals grazing and crop cultivation.

Regarding the different agroecosystem’s components, we can see that the majority of inventoried practices concern animal management (54%). This result may be biased by the tendency of interviewed people to talk more about animals than about crops. Peasants compensate for the loss of grassland surface and quality by organizing animals’ displacement efficiently, in order to better take advantage of available resources; and by exploiting alternative feed sources. In addition, plant management improvement is addressed (20% of inventoried practices), with a strengthening of crop production. To a lower extent, some practices also focus on water management (13%) and soil fertility management (13%). Some peasants also organize collectively knowledge transfer.

**Inventoried strategies of collective organization and production valorization**

Another type of strategy implemented by peasants in sub humid areas which complete more technical ones concern knowledge transmission. In Senegal for instance, 20 groups of vegetable producers got involved in a program of *agroecological practices promotion*. Such programs facilitate exchange of knowledge between peasants. They also contribute to the organization of the sector concerned and a better valorization of farmers’ productions. In Senegal, this program led to a rationalization of vegetable commercialization, allowing peasants to get higher revenue through a collective determination of higher prices for their products.

**Figure 18** presents the link between previously identified challenges of climate change to mixed crop-livestock systems and inventoried agroecological practices. We can see that for each of these there is at least one agroecological practice inventoried responding to it.

**Analysis of peasant strategies of adaptation to climate change**

The inventoried agroecological innovations contribute to better take advantage of the interactions between crop and livestock productions and better manage natural resources. Peasants implement strategies to store available water (water reservoirs, pond structures, strengthening of vegetable production), to maintain soil moisture (compost, *Faidherbia* parks) and to adapt crop production through the choice of more resistant varieties (peasant seeds exchange system). These practices contribute to enhance the system’s resilience to unexpected temporary droughts. Furthermore, smallholders may face grassland surface reduction by better managing soil fertility (compost, animal mechanization, introduction of legumes, *Faidherbia* parks, biogas slurry) and preventing erosion (regulation of animal free movement, rotational grazing, local land management charter, fodder trees, hay production). They may also compensate for the decline of forage availability by adding value to
natural resources (local land management charter) and producing alternative feeds (introduction of legumes, hay production, fodder trees, valorization of crop residues). In addition, peasants aim at controlling crop and animal productivity decrease by protecting them from floods and cyclones’ damages (fodder trees, *Faidherbia* parks) and controlling weed infestation (compost, animal mechanization). Finally, some peasants take parts in programs for knowledge transfer, which strengthen their farming systems and their collective work. The inventoried agroecological practices implemented by peasants respond to the major challenges of climate change to mixed systems.
Figure 18 - Challenges to peasants with mixed systems and agroecological practices
3.2.4 In agroforestry systems of humid tropical zones

Table 5 presents the main challenges of climate change for peasants of humid tropical Africa. Such evolutions imply great challenges for agroforestry systems. These challenges may be partly faced by a set of practices that have been mentioned in the interviews and in the literature and are summarized in Appendix 8.

These agroecological practices were then classified according to the scale they are implemented at. Figure 19\(^\text{16}\) presents this classification.

Figure 19 shows that agroecological innovations in agroforestry systems have been inventoried at the three scales, although they seem to focus more on farm scale (46% of inventoried practices). Peasants

work at farm scale to favor interactions between the different components of agroecosystems. Techniques implemented at plot scale are also well addressed (30%) and focus on favoring beneficial interactions between different plants.

Regarding the different agroecosystem’s components, we can see that these innovations principally focus on animals (45%) and soil management (35%). All inventoried practices tend to either manage fertility loss or diversify agricultural production. In addition, practices of landscape management are implemented (10%). Practices concerning plants and water (each 5%), are not emphasized here, but they are indirectly addressed through practices classified in “landscape” and “soil” components. Peasants therefore seem to implement a systemic approach considering all ecosystems’ components.

**Inventoried strategies of collective organization and production valorization**

To improve their resilience to climate change effects, one strategy of farmers is to better add value to their production, in order to ensure revenue that will strengthen their food security. For instance, in Madagascar, honey producers have gathered to create processing plants called *honey houses*. They are ensured to sell their production, which stimulates the amounts produced. This enhancement of honey production also contributes to improve local populations’ diets thanks to honey’s nutritional value.

*Figure 20* presents the link between previously identified challenges of climate change to agroforestry systems and inventoried agroecological practices. We can see that for each of these challenges there are plenty of agroecological practices inventoried responding to it.

**Analysis of peasant strategies of adaptation to climate change**

To face water availability variability, peasants valorize water source (Participative map making for bottomlands water management) and optimize their use (System of Rice Intensification) while trying to maintain soil moisture (reforestation, plantation of Eucalyptus, basket compost, grass strips of *Brachiaria*). They also manage soil fertility loss through soil fertility stimulation (reforestation, alley cropping systems, basket compost, fertilizer tree species, introduction of legumes after storms, crop-livestock integration, agrosilvopastoral systems, introduction of fish breeding); and soil erosion control (reforestation, plantation of Eucalyptus, grass trips of *Brachiaria*, cash cover crops, *Brachiara* or *Stylosanthes* cover crop, association orchard-arachis, agrosilvopastoral systems, cover crops as forage). In addition, farmers face crop and animal productivity decline through different strategies. They manage weeds infestation (association orchard-arachis, cover crops) and pests and diseases spread (System of Rice Intensification). In addition, farmers protect crops and animals from extreme events’ damages (reforestation, alley cropping systems, and shelters for livestock). Some focus on animals feeding and health (cover crops as forage, crop-livestock integration, agrosilvopastoral systems, and animal health service for poultry). Furthermore, a more global strategy to face climatic shocks is diversification in order to diversify the risks and ensure decent revenue (association orchard-
arachis, cash cover crops, introduction of beekeeping, and introduction of fish breeding). Finally, peasants may gather to add value to their productions (honey houses). Peasants’ agroecological practices inventoried in agroforestry systems of tropical humid Africa take all the agroecosystems’ components into account (water, soil, plants, animals, landscape). Through production diversification and improvement of soil fertility and water managements, agroforestry systems present adaptation opportunities to climate change based on agroecological principles.
Figure 20 - Challenges to peasants with agroforestry systems and agroecological practices
Agroecological practices are implemented in the four referent systems and contribute to enhance their resilience to natural resources availability variations linked to climate variability. We have inventoried practices, but there is not one practice that is more relevant than the other ones for adaptation to climate change. Agroecology does not provide universal solutions to respond to such challenges. Indeed, all agroecological practices are not applicable to all types of environments. However, associations of agroecological practices that complete each other can favor the functioning of each of the agroecosystems’ components and their interactions. Such combinations may prove efficient to enhance farming systems’ capacity to adapt to climatic evolutions and hazards in given conditions. There is therefore to consider a more systemic approach. That is why the following section presents some concrete examples of combinations of agroecological practices that respond to identified effects of climate change.

3.3 Combinations of agroecological practices: some examples across Africa

3.3.1 Management of water and soil fertility in Mauritanian oases

As presented in the methodology chapter, oasis systems of arid regions have to face major challenges of water and soil fertility management which are accentuated by climate changes. In Mauritania, some peasants therefore work at plot scale in order to improve the management of both resources. In addition, they organize themselves into peasant groups to work collectively on oases’ preservation and management improvement. As presented in Figure 2, these peasants replace submersion irrigation systems by more efficient ones. They implement either Californian irrigation systems or drip irrigation systems. Both are supplied by submersible solar pumps. Californian systems present several advantages: they save water; they save time that can be dedicated to other activities; they can be used by women and children; they can be extended to neighbor oases; they imply new know-how for farmers (plumbing). However, they do not permit to maintain the microclimate effect that is provided by submersion systems and favors several vegetative strata. Drip irrigation systems allow for vegetable cultivation by women. This type of irrigation increases water use efficiency while providing with significant yields.

Figure 21 - Californian irrigation system
(Source: Tenmiya, 2011)

17 Descriptions of all mentioned practices can be found in Appendix 9

18 Result of interview with project coordinator of Tenmiya association
In parallel, the same peasants better manage soil fertility through **season cultivation**: they grow vegetable from October to December, then they plough the soil before spreading organic matter and letting the soil rest. This technique permits to prevent accelerated soil degradation and to maintain its fertility. Peasants use **compost from date palm leaves** as organic fertilizer.

At territorial scale, all oasis farmers of the area gather in a peasant organization: the **Association for Participatory Management of Oases**. This organization provides them with technical training and support. It is a place for peasants to exchange with each other, including during organized farm visits. Peasants thus implement two complementary strategies: they gather in peasant organization to strengthen their professionalization; and they act directly by implementing efficient agricultural techniques. This combination of practices allows peasants to face water scarcity and soil degradation and therefore limits migrations of rural populations to cities.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 22** – Example of combination of agroecological practices in oasis systems in Mauritania

### 3.3.2 A response to land degradation in Senegal

In the sub-arid area of Niayes, Senegal, droughts combined with deforestation have led to serious land degradation that forces rural populations to migrate to cities. Agricultural production is based on rain-fed systems exploited during only three months of the year. As presented in **Figure 23**, peasants improve their management of water and strengthen their systems’ resilience to droughts. They **multiply the number of growth cycles within a year** by diversifying their production: to complement rain-fed production, these peasants introduced off-season vegetables, fruits and cereals. Peasants can produce almost all year round and diversify the risks linked to droughts. In parallel, the same peasants implemented **drip irrigation systems** which improve water use efficiency and permit to maintain off season cultivation.

---

19 Result of interview with program coordinator of ENDA Senegal
In addition, these peasants limit soil degradation through the plantation of hedgerows. *Leucaena* specie is generally planted because of its fast growth that provides with firewood. This technique presents several advantages: it limits wind and water erosion; it creates a protected environment for crop growth; it sequestrates carbon; it reduces evapotranspiration; and it provides with wood for energy.

Diversification of productions, including off-season crops, generates agricultural work in dry season that allow young people to remain in this area instead of migrating to cities. Land degradation caused by droughts and deforestation sometimes inhibit cultivation and constrain peasant to move to urban areas to find other activities generating revenue. Production diversification also contributes to improve local populations’ diets. Also vegetable production introduction enhances women’s economic power. Indeed, this activity is often practiced by women as it generally requires less hard work than other types of productions.

3.3.3 Choice of resistant crop varieties in Madagascar

In sub-arid South Madagascar soil fertility loss is a critical issue, because of heavy wind erosion, and partly because ploughing used to be implemented by farmers in areas where it was not suitable. Also agriculture relies on rain-fed systems which production is sometimes ruined by droughts or pests. As presented in Figure 24, in order to adapt to these tough conditions, some peasants multiply selected local adapted seeds. These seed varieties (of maize, sorghum or millet) which are first tested in research stations are then implemented in real conditions before being multiplied by peasant groups. They are selected according to several criteria: they need to be perennial; to contribute to soil fertility restoration; to permanently cover the soil (maintain soil humidity); and to limit wind erosion. Associations of crops with these characteristics are then implemented. Although seed selection is time consuming, it is worth it because results are ensured with adapted varieties. Part of this seed production is commercialized in input

---

20 Result of interview with project coordinator of Gret Madagascar
shops (100 in the whole region). In addition, these selected seeds get an intermediary certification (Quality Declared Seeds) that gives farmers access to some markets.

To limit wind erosion, farmers also grow hedging plants in nurseries before planting them in their fields. Having them in nurseries makes them more resistant to droughts and winds than those directly sowed in the field. Also, the use of *Cajanus as wind break* is currently spreading by itself. It is planted around fields but also in alley cropping systems that include crop rotations with legumes and vegetation cover. *Cajanus* presents several advantages: it is perennial; its deep roots contribute to soil fertility restoration; and its grains are edible.

![Diagram of agroecological practices](image)

**Figure 24 – Example of combination of agroecological practices in South Madagascar**

### 3.3.4 Efficient combination of soil and water management practices in Burkina Faso

In Burkina Faso, in order to address the challenges of climate change regarding water and soil fertility management, peasants combine techniques to efficiently collect and use available water; and to enhance soil quality and prevent its degradation. This combination is presented in Figure 25.

Regarding water management, peasants implement strategies at two scales. At family scale, they collect rainwater through their roofs and catchment areas. At community scale, peasants install water reservoirs and mini dams to collect run-off water and wells for groundwater. In addition, they improve the sowing phase to reduce the risk arising from droughts. They practice seed coating that constitutes a humid layer, (with clay soil, compost and cereal bran) and sow those seeds in zai holes before rain comes. This technique reduces the risks linked to dry sowing; suppresses the need of pre-germination; avoids

---

21 Result of interview with director of ARFA and expert of AVSF
consumption of seeds by ants, termites and birds because they cannot recognize them anymore; and gains two days on evapotranspiration.

In parallel, peasants stimulate soil fertility with several techniques. First, they improve zaï holes with addition of improved compost (instead of household waste) and digging mechanization. However, this technique may be limited by water scarcity for compost in dry season, and the additional work to prevent composting material from being destructed by animals. To respond to the lack of water, a group of women started exploiting a well and became producers of improved compost. The combination of mechanized zaï holes and improved compost improved working conditions of peasants while restoring degraded lands.

Peasants are not forced anymore to move to more fertile lands. Secondly, a new technique has been recently tested by farmers, which is enriched compost. Compost can be enriched either with trichoderma, which acts as a fungicide and liberates phosphorus, or with natural phosphate. Thirdly, some peasants produce another type of organic fertilizer resulting from a bio digester. This technique uses animal excrements to produce biogas which provide families with energy. The effluent is then composted and used as fertilizer to produce forage that will be consumed by the animals. These farmers also consider using water catchments to supply the bio digester with water. This technique therefore takes advantages of the interactions between livestock breeding, crop production and water management. In parallel, farmers also prevent soil degradation with two types of strategies. They implement anti-erosion devices such as stone bunds and contour bunding in order to maintain organic matter (compost) on plots and soil humidity. They also practice agroforestry: they plant cereals in Acacia parks. Acacias enhance soil fertility and protect the soil from erosion. However, these trees do not provide with a lot of shade for cereal growth.

Finally, those peasants practice rotations of cereals and vegetables. Thanks to the water contained in wells and midi dams, farmers can produce vegetables from October to April, before sowing cereals in wet season that will benefit from vegetable fertilization. This successful system demonstrates that it is possible to grow vegetables with no use of chemical inputs.

**Figure 25 – Example of combination of agroecological practices in Burkina Faso**
3.3.5 How to maintain soil fertility in the plateaux region of Togo

In the plateaux sub humid region of Togo, peasants combine several strategies to restore and maintain soil fertility, which are presented in Figure 27. They traditionally manage soil fertility by including a period of fallow in their farming systems, although its duration is increasingly limited by population growth pressure. In addition, soil-improving plants are planted to enhance soil fertility. For instance Cajanus cajan, which is a legume shrub, is planted in fallows and sometimes around plots. Besides its fertilization capacity, this plant presents other advantages: its grains are consumed by local populations and its stems provide with fire wood. In parallel, peasants favor agroforestry systems. Cash trees are planted (cashew tree, oil palm or teak), and crops cultivated under them. Furthermore, some tree species are preserved (baobabs, papaya, shea, mango trees…etc.). They provide local populations with fruits; their wood is used for energy and constructions; they bring shade for workers; and some of these trees’ leaves constitute green manure (Neem, Leucaena).

In this region of Togo, peasants therefore adopt strategies of diversification and plant-based soil fertility improvement to maintain their production capacity. By maintaining tree species that provide with foods and enhance soil fertility, peasants contribute to food security of local populations and to the maintenance of fertile land in long term. Such plant associations may also contribute to improve their resistance to the spreading of weeds, pests and diseases.

Figure 27 – Example of combination of agroecological practices in Togo

Information extracted from De Witte, 2013a and 2013b.
3.3.6 Traditional combination of practices of the Sénoufos in North Côte d’Ivoire

In sub humid North Côte d’Ivoire, peasants have implemented agroecological practices for centuries. These techniques, presented in Figure 28, allow them to adapt rain-fed production to rainfall variability and to preserve soil fertility. They implement itinerant farming systems (cultivation is rarely practiced more than 3 consecutive years on the same plot) which are based on a high diversity of cultivated crops. They associate subsistence crops with different growth cycles on the same plot (tubers, cereals, vegetables…etc.). Vegetables (such as eggplant or chili) are sown in yam fields. In addition, Sénoufos implement crop rotations which compositions are adapted according to the presence or not of rainfall (crops more or less water demanding). After yam, peasants generally cultivate cassava or peanut. Then, fallow is practiced during 2 to 3 years for the soil to rest. Furthermore, a complementary strategy of these peasants is to adapt the cropping calendar: they modify sowing date for crop growth to occur in most favorable period of the year. In addition, farmers adopt shorter-term varieties (of rice for instance) which are more adapted to rainfall variability than long-term ones. Moreover, Sénoufos also acknowledge the role of woods in climate regulation and cooling, and therefore aim at maintaining forests. This diversified production provides populations with foods and significant income and permit to diversify the risk linked to climate. Sénoufos’ farming systems are a great example of adaptation to climate variability based on agroecological practices.

3.3.7 Diversification strategy in Bamileke country, West Cameroon

In humid Bamileke country, West Cameroon, some peasants have developed combinations of agroecological practices, which are summarized in Figure 30. They cultivate in highly diversified subsistence farming systems based on tubers. Sufficient rainfall in this area allows farmers to cultivate cassava and yam, in association with many species and varieties of crops. These peasants associate

---

23 Information extracted from Cherif, 2014.
24 Result of interview with associated expert of AVSF
fruit trees (such as avocado) with 3 to 4 intermediary crops (banana, coffee). Under these trees and shrubs, we can find 10 types of annual crops that are cultivated depending on soil types. Maize, beans, groundnut and/or leafy vegetables are association with tubers (yam, taro and macabo), and crops such as soybean. In addition, hedges are planted around the fields which provide with wood for construction. Such associations permit to greatly optimize the use of surfaces, while enhancing crop yield thanks to beneficial interactions between plants. These systems include very little livestock production (some black pigs and small ruminants), because of the presence of Tripanosomia that limits cattle breeding. They therefore rely on legumes and trees for organic fertilization. Large soil cover also limits soil erosion. Such diversified systems present great potential to face the major challenges arising from climate change in tropical areas. They permit to maintain soil humidity. They limit soil erosion while enhancing its fertility. Furthermore, annual crops may be protected to a certain extent from extreme climatic events, such as heavy rains and cyclones, by surrounding trees. Also crop associations are supposed to have better management of pests and diseases than monoculture systems. However, such systems present a low productivity of work.

Peasants combine agroecological techniques to better manage the different agroecosystems’ components and favor their interactions. These improvements contribute to improving living conditions of rural populations and increasing food security. Such strategies should therefore limit migration of populations to urban areas.
Discussion

4.1 Agroecological adaptation opportunities of African peasants

We have seen that agroecological practices that respond to the main challenges arising from climate change are implemented in the four referent farming systems. First, peasants of oasis systems have to face water scarcity and land degradation. Peasants focus on efficient techniques of irrigation and humidity maintenance to add value to scarce available water resources. They also stimulate soil fertility and limit erosion in order to control accelerated fertility loss. In addition, peasants modify their practices of crop management to adapt to novel conditions. Oases should constitute a great advantage for food security of rural populations in the coming years if they are well maintained. Secondly, peasants of sub-arid regions with agropastoral systems have to deal with increasing uncertainty about water distribution in time and space and with a reduction of surface and quality of arable land. Peasants thus adapt their practices to deal with water scarcity and to enhance soil fertility. They compensate the loss of spontaneous vegetation with the production of alternative feeds and an improved management of transhumance. They better add value to their production and diversify it in response to animal productivity reduction. Thirdly, peasants who implement mixed crop-livestock systems in sub humid Africa must face growth season length reduction and multiplication of temporary droughts as well as grassland surface reduction and spreading of pests, diseases and weeds. Peasants therefore aim at maintaining soil humidity while choosing more resistant crop varieties. Furthermore, practices that favor soil fertility and protect it from erosion are implemented in order to limit soil fertility loss. To face the lack of forage, peasants valorize unexploited resources and produce alternative feeds. They also aim at controlling crop yields reduction through improved weed management and try to limit animal free movement on cultivated fields. Finally, peasants of humid tropical zones with agroforestry systems have to face temperature raise and rainfall patterns increasing variability. Heavy rains and cyclones, which are more and more frequent and intense, threaten crops, animals and infrastructures. Peasants therefore better valorize available water. They also protect the soil from extreme events thanks to plant covers, crop associations and trees that limit erosion. In parallel, peasants contribute to soil fertility with legumes and the introduction of some livestock. More generally, peasants diversify their farming systems, in order to limit the risks linked to climatic variability and secure their revenue. Peasants in oasis and agroforestry systems seem to work more at farm scale, to favor beneficial interactions between the agroecosystem’s components. Agropastoral and mixed crop-livestock systems peasants focus more on territorial scale, to manage access to grasslands and cultivation areas. In general, the study shows that peasants implement agroecological practices to better take advantage of the interactions between the different components of their agroecosystems (between crops and animals, between different crops…). However, very few inventoried practices concern the management of pests and diseases, whereas it appeared as a major threat arising from climate change. It may be relevant for
African peasants to develop knowledge on biological control which relies on the use of natural enemies. All these farming systems and peasant agriculture in general, therefore present adaptation opportunities to climate change based on agroecological principles.

4.2 Which relevance of agroecology among adaptive strategies?

To face global challenges, peasants’ strategies of adaptation are not necessarily based on agroecology. They are often dependent to policies on adaptation to climate change which generally do not emphasize the agroecological option. For instance, Rousset and Arrus (2006) present several adaptation possibilities for agriculture in the Maghreb. Some strategies rely on the idea of finding alternative sources of natural resources rather than on improving the management of existing ones. The authors mention desalination of seawater as a response to increasing water scarcity for agriculture. This kind of approach could be compared to strategies relying on external inputs to control emerging issues. For instance, using chemical herbicides to control weed infestation can be seen as a way of getting round the issue. It does not constitute a solution in the long-term, as weeds, pests and diseases keep evolving and chemicals often reduce soil quality and biodiversity. Rousset and Arrus (2006) go as far as questioning the viability of agriculture in the Maghreb. They suggest that in arid and sub-arid regions, adaptation could lay in the diversification of economic activities favoring less climate-dependent ones.

Other adaptive strategies may rely on biotechnologies including GMOs (Genetically Modified Organism) which may be more resistant to pests and diseases. These strategies are often associated with the use of external inputs such as chemical pesticides to ensure the systems’ viability. However, we can question the relevance of such technique for African peasants with little capital. They generally cannot afford buying new seeds and other inputs every year. Also seed varieties which have been selected by peasants for centuries should prove more resistant in the conditions they developed in than those coming from elsewhere.

Nevertheless, Rousset and Arrus (2006) recognize that agricultural water supply in the Maghreb should be improved thanks to a reasoned and efficient use of available water. They also underline the need to re-think agricultural calendar and to choose shorter-term crop varieties. Furthermore, Ouédraogo et al. (2010), emphasize several adaptive practices of peasants in Burkina Faso: the choice of adapted varieties, water and soils conservation techniques, organic fertilizers use and sowing date modification. All these practices have been inventoried in the study as agroecological practices that contribute to peasant systems’ adaptation. Agroecological practices have a great potential for peasants to face climate change because they allow for adaptation of agricultural production to novel climatic contexts, increasing farm’s resilience. Indeed, they are in harmony with the local conditions of the agroecosystem they are implemented in. In addition, general conditions of implementation of agroecological practices may fit African peasants’ environments. Indeed, they rely on low capital and important labor force. Such working
force is often available on small farms managed by families, whereas capital is generally very restricted. It thus appears feasible for peasants to put in practice sustainable agroecological innovations. Furthermore, many African farmers already implement sustainable practices. They typically manage risk through diversification, which is a basis of agroecology. Spreading risks between different crop productions, in time and space, permits to ensure food supply or revenue in case of crop failure. Higher temperatures may also allow shorter but more frequent crop cycles within a year (World Bank, 2013). Crop rotations would therefore take advantage of novel climatic conditions. The spreading of such techniques would be facilitated by existing local traditional knowledge on sustainable agricultural practices. Agroecology therefore appears as a realistic approach for peasant adaptation strategies to climate change. Although these practices may not be implemented specifically with an adaptive purpose, they help strengthen farming systems and could therefore constitute great inspirations for peasants who need support to face the growing threats of climate change. However, we can wonder to which extent these practices are transferable and what will make peasants adopt them.

4.3 Key factors of the adoption of practices by peasants

The presented combinations of practices proved successful in the studied cases. But are they applicable to other peasant systems in other regions of Africa? What are the conditions of success of agricultural innovations? What are the costs of implementation of such practices?

One should consider several factors when implementing adaptive strategies to climate change. Multidisciplinary approaches should therefore prevail (LOCEAN et al., 2015). First, agricultural innovation requires identification and understanding of existing practices of target populations which have often developed great knowledge on adaptation to adverse environments and climatic shocks. Traditional and scientific knowledge should thus be combined through participatory approaches for an improvement of existing farming systems’ management. Adaptation strategies have a better chance to be adopted and prove successful when based on indigenous knowledge. Secondly, the issue of social acceptance and appropriation of technical innovations must be addressed. Local leaders who test and promote successful innovations could contribute to their adoption. Furthermore, social and cultural factors may stimulate or limit innovation. Vulnerability and adaptation capacity of Human communities are linked to the composition of livelihoods, the role of social safety nets and other social protection measures (mutual assistance, microcredit…). Also, transformational adaptation sometimes relies on higher investment or shift in fundamental values and expectations which may create greater resistance among farmers (IPCC, 2014). Thirdly, there is to acknowledge political and economic factors which influence peasants’ decision to adjust their practices. Indeed, effective functioning of institutions and governance systems play a major role in adaptation. Also, peasants’ access to markets and infrastructures must support adoption of innovations. Moreover, adaptation capacity may be limited by complex factors such as extreme poverty.
and limited technical knowledge and resources. Some practices require significant investment (equipment, workforce, seeds purchase…) which are more than peasants can afford. Also peasants may not be ready to take the risk of shifting to new practices whose results are uncertain in the short term. Finally, the question of transferability of innovation from one agricultural production scheme to another one should be considered, taking into consideration biophysical factors and the other factors underlined above. Considering the diversity of factors influencing the implementation of adaptive processes, there is to recognize that no single adaptation strategy exists, but that there are as many strategies as specific contexts in Africa. Yet, one aspect is relevant in all cases, which is the need for peasants to work collectively to strengthen their adaptation capacity.

4.4 The importance of collective organization

Collective organization of peasants contributes to secure their farming systems. First, peasants may gather to better add value to their production through the development of collateral activities. As we have seen in oasis systems, peasants could take care of processing and commercialization to increase their revenue and secure the maintenance of their agricultural systems. Some peasants gather in cooperatives and/or collect systems to get better prices. They also develop direct selling and therefore get closer to their consumers. Higher revenue may compensate for losses linked to climate change effects. Secondly, peasants may improve collective management of natural resources, as it is the case for water and pastures in agropastoral systems of sub-arid regions. It is of critical importance for peasants to efficiently manage existing resources, as their availability is generally decreasing as a consequence of climate change. Finally, peasants groups may contribute to the maintenance and transmission of traditional knowledge. Indeed, peasants gather to share knowledge and know-how with each other. They can benefit from each other’s experience as well as from research results. These systems are also a way to transfer knowledge to new generations, which is an issue that has been particularly underlined in oasis systems. All these strategies contribute to reinforce and professionalize agricultural sectors. They also strengthen social link in and between communities which is of major importance for the maintenance of farming systems by the youth. These ideas may apply to any farming system and should help peasants face global changes.

4.5 Limits of the study

This study is far from exhaustive and cannot pretend to give a complete picture of agroecological practices of African peasants. Mostly French NGOs’ experts acting in different African regions have been interviewed. Although some local NGOs have also been questioned, the topic should be further studied directly with African peasants to get more insight. Furthermore, the focus on only some referent farming systems left aside other systems which may also be important for peasants. Also, available information was more restricted for humid zones as interviewed people focus more on arid zones where climate change effects are already more clearly identified.
5 Conclusion

General conclusions on climate change trends over Africa are difficult to draw as climate and its effects are very variable depending on location, even inside climatic zones. However, it can be said that mean temperature is rising over the whole continent. Rainfall patterns variability increases as well, although it has different results depending on the regions. Annual amount of rainfall declines in some areas whereas it increases in others with a concentration in shorter time periods. Wet seasons generally shorten. In addition, extreme climate events’ frequency and intensity are augmenting. Their nature varies according to location (droughts, heat waves, heavy rains, floods, cyclones…).

The different climate trends are likely to affect farming systems of arid, sub-arid, sub humid and humid tropical Africa, and especially peasant ones which are more vulnerable because they are highly climate-dependent (rain-fed systems, grazing animals). Peasants therefore need to anticipate, as they often live near the margin of subsistence, in order to be able to survive and ensure food security for their families. Major threats arising from climate change to agriculture in Africa have been identified. First, natural resources availability (water, pasture…) is likely to be negatively impacted by rainfall patterns evolution. Secondly, soil fertility loss will be accelerated by higher temperatures and extreme climatic event multiplication, provoking a reduction of arable land surface. Thirdly, crop yields and animal productivity will most likely be limited by heat and water stress, nutrient shortage, extreme events and pests and diseases development. These restrictions of agricultural activity might cause more and more migrations of rural populations to less impacted and urban areas. Yet, African peasants have always had to deal with climate variability. They have developed great knowledge and practices in response. This knowledge needs to be transferred to new generations, and combined with scientific one to strengthen existing farming systems.

African peasants have implemented agroecological practices for a long time to manage the different components of their farming systems and take advantage of their interactions. These practices can generally also respond to the major threats of climate change, as they are based on more efficient use of natural resources. As extreme climatic events indirectly affect the soil, peasants have introduced or developed existing techniques to prevent land degradation, such as anti-erosion devices. Furthermore, in order to limit soil fertility decline, peasants generally bring different forms of organic fertilizer and maintain plant covers. To face water availability increasing unpredictability, peasants implement techniques to efficiently use water and maintain soil humidity. More globally, they generally manage risk through diversification. They introduce new plant species and varieties (in association on the same plot or
not), and/or animals into their farming systems. This strategy permits to diversify both climate risk and income sources.

This study permitted to evaluate the potential of agroecology for adaptation of African peasant farming systems to climate change. As we have seen, the agroecological approach takes into consideration all the components of the agroecosystem, in order to strengthen its functioning and therefore its resilience at plot, farm and territory scales. Agroecology relies on a holistic approach which has been presented through the examples of combinations of practices. Many experiences of agroecology in various African regions have proved successful and allowed for improving farming systems’ resilience and food security of local communities. A major issue is the migration of workers from rural areas to more productive ones. This phenomenon threatens the maintenance of traditional farming systems which significantly contribute to food security of rural populations. By improving the management and therefore the productivity of existing farming systems, agroecological practices’ implementation may contribute to maintain populations in those areas.

To strengthen agricultural techniques and increase their adaptation capacity, peasants also improve their collective organization. They gather to better add value to their production (processing, direct sell, labelling); to professionalize their production sectors; to share equipment; and to exchange knowledge with each other’s. These organizational innovations improve farmers’ access to markets, which is a major condition of their survival against unfair industrial farms competition and improves local food security. Furthermore, several interviewed people emphasized the need for farmers to share knowledge. This sharing is promoted through participatory action systems such as Farmer Field Schools where peasant can take part in training sessions on agroecological techniques; or multi-actor research platforms which take peasants’ expectations into account.

The potential of agroecology for adaptation to climate change has therefore been demonstrated. Although beneficial effects of agroecological practices may not be felt in short-term, their potential is huge in longer-term perspective. Other approaches, such as biotechnologies (GMOs…) may permit yield increase and punctual adaptation to new contexts, but agroecology secures the sustainability of peasant systems by strengthening them in their globality. Agroecology therefore appears as a concrete solution to strengthen farming systems and ensure their maintenance for food security improvement. African peasants may be the most vulnerable to climate change effects, but they could also have the greatest chance to adapt, thanks to their rich traditional knowledge in agriculture adaptation to biophysical conditions. Nevertheless, there is to acknowledge the importance of policies in populations’ adaptation capacity and choice of strategy. We can wonder how to act at institutional scale to strengthen and accelerate changes at technical scale.
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Appendices

Appendix 1: Köppen-Geiger climate type map of Africa

Köppen-Geiger climate type map of Africa
(Peel, M. C. et al., University of Melbourne, 2007)
Appendix 2 : Questionnaire on agroecological innovations

1. Identification of person interviewed
   - Organization
   - Functions

2. First part of the study
   Objective: define on which zones the person can provide with information; discuss results of Part 1
   - Show map of the different zones: On which zones does he/she work?
   - Part 1: presentation of the main results of literature review on climate changes and their impacts. What does he/she think of these results? What has he/she observed in their intervention zones?

3. Second part of the study
   o The referent systems
   Objective: explain why we focus on referent systems; discuss the relevance of chosen referent systems; get information to characterize the referent systems
   - Choice of referent systems: 2 criteria: representativeness and reliance of agroecological principles
   - Relevance of these systems? Why?
   - How to characterize these systems? Does he/she have references on the systems?
   - What are agroecological principles according to him/her?
   - Why can we say that these systems rely on agroecological principles?

   o Agroecological innovations to face climate change
   Objective: precise the subject (definition of scales); relevance of referent systems regarding climate change adaptation; get detailed information on the systems for each of the 3 scales (plot, farm, territory); identify combination of agroecological practices
   - Precisions on the subject: presentation of the different scales
   - The systems’ resilience to climate change? In the past 50 years, has a major extreme climatic event affected the system? How did it react?
   - How can the systems be innovative? Does he/she have observed innovative agroecological practices in these systems? At plot scale? At farm scale? At territorial scale?
   - One detailed practice per scale: description, advantages and limits, innovative aspect regarding climate change, relevance, and reliance on which agroecological principles?
   - Does he/she have information on other agroecological practices implemented to face climate change in the systems? And in general in the zone?
   - Combination of practices: does he/she know combination of agroecological practices that permit to increase the systems’ resilience to climate change?
   - Where would he/she say that innovations mainly come from? (endogenous, exogenous)
   - Does he/she have literature to provide me with? Scientific papers, diagnosis, project capitalizations, master thesis (in French or English)

4. Other relevant people to interview?
Appendix 3: Questionnaire on combinations of agroecological practices

1. Identification of person interviewed
   - Organization
   - Functions

2. Presentation of the study
   - The different zones of study
   - Part 1: characterization of climate changes and their consequences for agriculture
   - Part 2: identification of referent systems; inventory of agroecological practices
   - Part 3: identification of combinations of agroecological practices

3. Combinations of agroecological practices

   *No practices are more relevant than others to face climate change, but there exist associations of practices: per scale and cross-scales (plot, farm, territory), that contribute to enhance peasant systems’ resilience to climate change.*

According to the zone you know:

- Could you give me an example of combination of agroecological practices that are often observed in peasant systems (in the referent systems, or in the climatic zone)
  - To face major climate changes:
    - Arid zone: reduction of water supply; loss of soil fertility
    - Sub-arid zone: uncertainty about water availability in time and space; lack of forage for animals
    - Sub humid zone: temporary droughts; loss of pastures; spreading of weeds, pests and diseases
    - Humid tropical zone: uncertainty about water availability in time and space; loss of soil fertility; destruction of crops by extreme events

- Could you give me an example of combination of agroecological practices which is very complex and specific?

- Do you know where these combinations come from? (endogenous/exogenous)

- Do you have literature on these combinations?

---

Appendix 4: Expected effects of climate change on agriculture in Africa – A review

**Which major climate trends over Africa?**

**Temperature evolutions**

As we can see on the map, it is very likely that mean annual temperatures have increased in the past century over most of the African continent, with a faster increase for minimum temperatures than for maximum ones (IPCC, 2014). Between 1906 and 2006, Denhez (2007) estimates that average temperatures have globally increased by 1.4°C over Africa.

By 2050, average temperatures are predicted to rise by 1.5 to 3°C, and will continue further. Although there lacks historical data to determine trends in large areas of Africa (white areas on [Change in annual average temperature in Africa, 1901-2012](source: IPCC, Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability, 2014, pp.64-65))
the map), average temperatures are likely (66% chance) to increase more in drier subtropical regions than in the moister tropics (IPCC, 2014).

In parallel of global warming, it is expected that unusual and unprecedented heat extremes will occur with higher frequency during summer months (World Bank, 2013).

**Rainfall patterns evolutions**

Available data indicates that rainfall patterns are changing across Africa, as we can see on the map. Rainfall trends vary greatly over time and location, and are therefore more difficult to assess than temperature evolutions. On the one hand, the amount of precipitations has decreased in some areas, mainly in western Africa and the north of Madagascar. There, an average annual decrease of about 25-50 mm has been observed between 1951 and 2010 (Christensen et al., 2007). On the other hand, average annual rainfall has generally increased each decade in areas of southern and eastern Africa in the same period (Christensen et al., 2007). However, rainfall trends in eastern Africa vary greatly over time and location. Also, most areas of Africa lack sufficient significant data (white areas on the map) to draw conclusions about trends in annual rainfall over the past century (IPCC, 2014). In addition, weather extremes have been observed. During the last 30-60 years, extreme precipitation events, such as droughts and heavy rains, have been experienced more frequently in eastern Africa (Christensen et al., 2007).

It is estimated that much of the subtropics will dry while there will be an increase, or little change, in precipitation in the tropics (Christensen et al., 2007). Arid regions are therefore expected to spread.

Furthermore, rainfall will very likely be more episodic and brutal on the whole continent (Denhez, 2007). Indeed, extreme climatic events are expected to become more frequent: more frequent droughts projected in central and southern Africa; and higher risk of flooding expected in the Horn of Africa and part of East Africa (World Bank, 2013).

**Which implications for agriculture?**

**Consequences of warming**

**Temperatures evolutions factors**

Warming could contribute to the loss or degradation of arable land. A raise in average temperature stimulates the **respiration process**. Only in case of sufficient water availability, when temperature rises, photosynthesis also increases. This phenomenon implies a risk of carbon loss in the soils in dry areas when temperature rises (Ciais et al., 2013), which affects soil fertility. Also, Mueller et al. (2012, cited in World Bank 2013) emphasize that the yield potential of arable land is often higher than actually achieved, because of various factors including water availability. Those limiting factors could be reinforced by climate changes.

Warming could also directly impact crops as their growth strongly depends on environmental factors. Where there lack precipitations, when temperature rises, soils dry out and all heat results in **increased**
**surface temperature** (World Bank, 2013) which can have different impacts on crops. First, it can promote **fungal growth** that kills seedlings in case of relative humidity. Secondly, the IPCC (2014) underlines that some of the major crops in Africa are highly sensitive to changes in temperature. Luo (2011, cited in World Bank 2013) explains that crops such as maize, wheat and sorghum have **high temperature sensitivity thresholds** and large yield reductions are observed once the threshold is exceeded. For instance, for each day in the growing season spent at a temperature above 30°C, maize yield reduces of 1% compared to optimal, drought-free rain fed conditions (World Bank, 2013). The photosynthesis rate, which is a key factor for growth and yield, of wheat and rice is at a maximum for temperatures of 20 to 32°C. Local warming may also provoke an increase of **potential evapotranspiration**. To grow under warmer conditions, standard crops would have to release more heat through evapotranspiration to survive. In sub humid and drier regions, moisture is the main factor constraining the growing season length. Warming would thus **shorten the growth season**, leading to **reduced crop yields** and **higher risk of crop failure** (World Bank, 2013). In addition, temperatures, water availability and CO$_2$ concentration may alter grasslands’ quantity and quality by influencing their species composition. In areas receiving more rainfall there is enough water available for evaporative cooling though, limiting surface warming.

**Consequences for agricultural production**

A 2 to 3°C increase of global mean temperatures should globally stimulate agricultural production on Earth; only its distribution will be more unequal. Global repartition of cereals producing regions will be modified by climate change, accentuating current inequalities. Warmer countries will have to face increasing losses of arable land and more restricted access to water resources, which are already accentuated by inappropriate management. By the 2080s, it is estimated that the proportion of arid and sub-arid lands in Africa will increase by 5 to 8% (IPCC, 2014).

The loss of arable land will certainly be accompanied by a decrease in crop yields. Even moderate increases, of 1 to 2°C, are likely to negatively affect yields of major cereals and further warming will have increasingly negative impacts (World Bank, 2013) although the degree of loss is variable depending on the region (IPCC, 2014). **Figure 5** presents the expected evolution of cereal production between 2000 and 2080 in Africa. We can see that the sub-arid zones are likely to be the most seriously impacted, with a decrease of more than 50% of cereal production (red areas on the map) in the Sahel by 2080. On the contrary, cereal production should be relatively favored in humid tropical zones and some parts of sub humid regions (green areas on the map).

Furthermore, heat and droughts affect livestock. Regional climate change is considered as the largest threat to the economic viability of pastoral food systems. Extreme heat and water stress may alter animals’ feed intake, growth, reproduction, maintenance and production; and increase their mortality. Animals may also be affected by increased prevalence of

![Expected evolution of cereal production between 2000 and 2080 (Source: PNUD, Rapport mondial sur le développement humain, 2005)](image-url)
diseases favored by warmer conditions. In addition, grasslands alteration may affect nutrient availability for animals and thus alter production quantity and quality. Nevertheless, large farms could be more vulnerable to higher temperatures than small farms as they generally rely on breeds such as beef cattle that are less suited to extreme temperatures. Smallholders generally breed species such as goats which are tolerant to higher temperatures. Nevertheless, both types of farms are considered vulnerable to rainfall patterns evolutions (World Bank, 2013).

**Temperature extremes factors and consequences**

Besides global warming, extreme weather events will also alter agriculture in Africa although implications have not been clearly identified so far. Heatwaves, which are projected to affect increasing proportions of Africa, will have adverse consequences for food production systems (World Bank, 2013). Schellnhuber et al. (2013) stress their impact on the vegetative cover, and the threat of extinction they imply for various plant species. Moreover, Patz et al. (2008, cited in World Bank 2013) state that climate extremes can modify the ecology of plant pathogens, although very little research has been led on the effects of climate change on plant pests and diseases. The higher the levels of warming the stronger the negative impacts on food production (World Bank, 2013).

**Consequences of rainfall increasing variability**

**Rainfall patterns evolutions factors**

In regions where average rainfall is predicted to decrease, **water sources will become more and more restricted**. Soil quality is another major factor of the evolution of agriculture. In areas that will be subject to aridity, **the layer of fertile soil will become thinner**. Also rainfall patterns influence the **quality and quantity of plants in grasslands**, as they require water for their growth. If water lacks, only the most competitive plant species, which are not necessarily the most nutritive ones, will be able to survive. Moreover, rainfall increasing variability influences wet season’s characteristics (onset and end dates, duration, and amount of rain).

**Consequences for agricultural production**

Precipitation regime’s changes could indirectly affect farming systems. Rainfall patterns evolutions could exacerbate existing inequalities in water availability between the regions (World Bank, 2013) and accentuate the remoteness of production zones from aquifers will increase in Africa, especially in dry areas, limiting crop and animal production.

Rainfall evolutions could also have direct negative impacts on agricultural production. Indeed, increased aridity will affect crop yields, which are likely to decline as the growing season shortens (Denhez, 2007).

Furthermore, rainfall variability has direct and indirect implications for livestock production. Rainfall patterns influence several factors of livestock production including quantity and quality of feeds; and available grassland surface. Barbier et al. (2009, cited in World Bank 2013) observe that rainfall temporal distribution matters more for pastoral farmers than total amount of precipitation. Yet, increased rainfall presuppose a shift from grassland to forest; spreading of diseases vectors; and shift from livestock to crop production (Boko et al., 2007). Water stress may also directly weaken livestock, in particular because water consumption increases with warmer weather.
Rainfall extremes factors and consequences

The sustainability and quality of arable lands depend on the intensity and frequency of climate events such as droughts and heavy rains, as they generally damage the soil (Denhez, 2007). Moreover, plants putrefy because of heavy rainfall and are attacked by parasites favored by such climatic conditions.

Which challenges for farming systems of the four zones of study?

In the arid zone

Effects on water resources

Water resources will be affected by these climate evolutions. Globally in this zone, arable land surface will decrease while arid and desert zones spread (Cheneval and Michel-Queirel., 2012). Drier conditions will accentuate water scarcity and further limit farmers’ access to water whereas crops water requirement increase with higher temperatures. There will thus be a general increase in irrigation demand, whereas uncertainty about rainfall and rivers flow is increasing. Production zones are more and more isolated from aquifers, especially in Maghreb. In Egypt, about 85% of the annual total water resource is consumed by agriculture, which contributes to about 20% of GDP (Boko et al., 2007). In this country, more than 70% of the cultivated area depends on low-efficiency surface irrigation systems that lead to high water losses, lower land productivity, waterlogging and salinity problems. Unsustainable agricultural practices and water management impact water quality, which can in turn affect irrigated soils and crops.

Effects on soils and landscapes

Climate change is expected to exacerbate the effects of anthropic factors (deforestation, fires, erosion…etc.) on soil quality. Evapotranspiration, stimulated by high temperatures and limited rainfall, reduces water resources in the soil which dries out and becomes increasingly sensitive to erosion. In addition, increased frequency of extreme climatic events such as erratic rains after dry periods is likely to accelerate the erosion process. Higher evaporation also increases soil salinity, affecting their fertility. Higher temperatures may also be responsible for a loss of fertility in the soil as they stimulate the decomposition process, which can lead to losses in organic matter. Agriculture in the arid zone will therefore also be affected by heightened soil degradation.

Implications for agriculture

Local associations expect climate changes to negatively impact yields of staple foods by 2020. Irrigated crops will see their water requirements increase with temperature rise. Cereals have different capacities of adaptation, depending mainly on their resistance to higher temperatures and lower water availability. According to local associations, their global yields could be reduced by 5.7 to 14% in Algeria and by 10 to 50% in Morocco. In Egypt, rice, which type of photosynthesis cannot bear rapid and high increase of mean temperatures is likely to give lower yields, unless farmers adapt its culture and choose other varieties. Maize will also be impacted by the frequency of droughts. Wheat, which can grow in a large range of climate, should be less impacted, unless the mean temperature increases by more than 4°C (Denhez, 2007). Climate change will also negatively affect legume yields. Some local association experts estimate that small and average irrigated farms are likely to shift to rain fed systems as water availability declines. Furthermore, changes in climatic conditions may favor the emergence of pests and crop and livestock diseases that did not exist in arid areas.
**Influence on food security**

Climate change may exacerbate socio-economic issues. In the Maghreb, local associations predict that natural resources degradation and agricultural yields reduction are likely to provoke a great diminution of the cereal offer by 2050. Also higher temperatures will limit storage capacity. The IPCC (2007) estimate that, by 2100, parts of the Sahara are likely to be the most vulnerable, with agricultural losses of between 2 and 7% of GDP. Moreover, unstable prices of foods will make them less accessible for vulnerable populations. Climate changes are thus threatening food security in the arid zone. Furthermore, the drought in Somalia particularly exacerbated conflicts and insecurity. It led to the displacement of large numbers of Somalis inside the country or to Ethiopia and Kenya (USAID, 2012 and McMichael, 2012, cited in World Bank 2013).

**In the sub-arid zone**

**Effects on water resources**

Climate change will amplify existing water stress. The east-west band from Senegal to Sudan, separating the dry Sahara from wet Central Africa is identified as a critical “unstable” area regarding water availability (Boko et al., 2007). Climate changes will limit the access to irrigation water and reduce agricultural productivity, in particular for farmers and pastoralists with minimal capital. Also rainfall patterns increasing variability constitute a challenge for farmers who rely on the wet season for growing crops and for transhumance. Indeed, sowing at the very beginning of the monsoon leads to higher and more stable yields (Sultan, 2008). Farmers also have to consider the impacts that dry periods can have during the sensitive phases of plant development when settling their cropping calendar (Sultan, 2008). Rainfall increasing variability complicates cropping calendar planning.

**Effects on soils and landscapes**

Increased evaporation may provoke salinization and loss of organic matter, two phenomena that alter soil fertility. In addition, grasslands are sometimes overgrazed, when there are more animals than land can support, causing soil degradation (International Sustainability Council, 2013).

**Implications for agriculture**

Extreme climatic events cause damages to agricultural production. For instance, in the Sahel, recurrent droughts and floods in the 1990s and 2000s have often destroyed crops and compounded food security problems (IPCC, 2014). Floods combined with higher temperatures may favor the emergence of pests and crops and animals diseases that did not exist in those regions before. Moreover, Schellnhuber (2013) states that a 1.5°C warming by the 2030s could seriously limit the production capacity of sorghum in western Sahel and southern Africa. In addition, a 20% decline in tree density in the western Sahel has been observed since the 1950s, due to changes in temperature and rainfall variability (World Bank 2013).

In addition, climate changes imply some evolutions of farming systems. According to the World Bank (2013), mixed crop-livestock sub-arid systems in the Sahel and arid and sub-arid rangeland in parts of eastern Africa are among the most vulnerable to changes in climatic conditions. In southern Africa, some farmers are switching from mixed crop-livestock systems to rangeland-based systems because of increased rainfall variability and shorter growing season. Areas may transition from rain fed mixed cropland to rangeland, with a loss of cropland surface. Such conversions also involve risks, including animal feed shortage in dry seasons (Thornton et al., 2009). Indeed, Djoudi et al. (2011, cited in World Bank 2013) emphasize the high dependence of dryland pastoral systems to natural resources such as pasture, fodder, forest products and water, which are affected by climatic variability.
Animals are thus also negatively affected by climate change in sub-arid regions. First, droughts can seriously impact herds, as it happened in 1984 in the interior delta of Niger River when more than 80% of the cattle were killed. Other examples are the droughts that occurred between 1995 and 1997 in south Ethiopia, causing herders a loss of about 50% of their cattle and about 40% of their sheep and goats. Such evolutions may place livelihoods dependent on the sector at risk (Thornton et al. 2009, cited in World Bank 2013). Secondly, the surface of grasslands for livestock feeding is decreasing in some regions as the surface of flatlands inundated declines, limiting transhumance (Sultan, 2008). In Senegal, decreases in optimal stocking density have been observed, which can lead to lower incomes for affected farmers. Droughts in drylands also negatively impact vegetation diversity and productivity, limiting available fodder resources and grazing.

Influence on food security

Roncoli et al. (2001, cited in World Bank 2013) state that climatic risk and relative scarcity of natural resources lead to more precarious livelihoods in areas such as the Sahel where “people are living nearer the margins of subsistence”. Also, the impacts of climate change on human activities can lead to serious social conflicts. Populations are forced to abandon activities that they had been doing for ages and this may provoke familial conflicts. In addition, competition for fertile land is getting stronger and creates territorial conflicts (Sultan 2008). Moreover, Faures and Santini (2008, cited in World Bank 2013) emphasize that relative poverty limits adaptive capacities of local populations, increasing their vulnerability, in particular in pastoral and agro-pastoral areas. These zones include parts of the Sahel region and of Angola, Namibia, Botswana, Zimbabwe, Zambia, Kenya, and Somalia.

In the sub humid zone

Effects on water resources

Rainfall increasing variability disturbs agricultural calendar, accentuating the risks for farmers and breeders. Also reduction of annual average rainfall is very likely to impact most farmers as their agricultural practices rely on the amount and seasonal distribution of rain (Comoé et al., 2013). Indeed, the UNCCD (2009) projects that soil moisture will reduce while heat stress increases.

Effects on soils and landscapes

According to Schellnhuber et al. (2013), predicted evolutions of African ecosystems could lead to a reduction of savanna grasslands surface. By the time global warming reaches 3°C, savannas are expected to decrease from about a quarter at present. Moreover, increased concentrations of CO₂ are likely to facilitate a shift from grassland to woodland savanna. Trees may outcompete shade intolerant grasses in savannas, intensifying the loss in grassland area. In addition, large areas of savanna are lost to the Sahara desert every year because of overgrazing and farming (International Sustainability Council, 2013).

Implications for agriculture

Reduction of grassland surface will restrict forage availability for grazing animals, impacting livelihoods and livestock-based systems. In parallel, plant growth will be affected by rainfall variability, altering crop yields. Higher frequency of temporary droughts can also seriously affect crop production. Floods cause direct and indirect deaths as well as livestock and crop losses. In 2000 in Mozambique, flooding and

25 Temporary drought: for instance unexpected 15 days of drought during wet season
cyclones led to the destruction of one third of total crops (Fleshman 2007, cited in World Bank 2013). Such damages can impact the GDP (Gross Domestic Product). For instance, between 1997 and 2000, Kenya had to face annual damages of 10 to 16% of GDP because of flooding. Furthermore, higher humidity favors diseases spreading. Pests, diseases and weeds are indeed expected to evolve, implying changes of practices for farmers.

Influence on food security

Populations are highly exposed to extreme weather events. For instance, in Mozambique, floods in the Zambezi River Valley displaced 90,000 inhabitants in 2008, some permanently. Furthermore, negative impacts on livestock health may directly affect food and economic security where people depend on the consumption or sale of animals and their products.

In the humid tropical zone

Effects on water resources

Paeth et al. (2008) expect a prominent surface heating and a weakening of the hydrological cycle over most of tropical Africa. Water availability could become unequally distributed in the year.

Effects on soils and landscapes

Paeth et al. (2008) underline the influence of land covers on climate in tropical Africa. They estimate that the prevailing droughts in the second half of the twentieth century in tropical Africa were at least partly a consequence of land cover change towards less vegetation. It therefore highly matters to protect land cover in tropical Africa in order to mitigate the drying trend. Also, maintaining a plant cover could mitigate the impacts of heavy rains and cyclones on soils. Such extreme events, which are increasing in frequency and intensity, damage soils and provoke fertility loss.

Implications for agriculture

Longer heatwaves and temporary droughts in wet season could enhance heat stress for crops and animals. Seasonal droughts disrupt cropping calendar of rain-fed systems (Ministry of environment of the Democratic Republic of Congo, 2006). However, tropical plants such as maize, sorghum, millet or sugarcane should be able to adapt. Indeed, Denhez (2007), expect plants which like hot climates to benefit from warming. Gerardeaux et al. (2012) also expect rice growth to be favored by temperature and CO$_2$ concentration increase in Madagascar, although sustainability of rain-fed rice production systems is threatened by rainfall decline. In Western Africa, unprecedented erratic rains have been observed, disturbing the burning and planting while favoring weed infestation. Such rainfall extremes, as well as cyclones, also cause crop destruction (Jalloh et al., 2013).

Influence on food security

Extreme events such as erratic rains can be responsible for infrastructure destruction, affecting food storage and distribution and therefore food security.
Appendix 5: Agroecological innovations inventoried in arid regions of Africa

All the agroecological practices mentioned for the arid zone are presented here. You can see which ones have been mentioned specifically for oasis systems (column “Referent system”). Practices are classified depending on the components that are affected by climate change: landscape (dark green), water (blue), soil (light green), seeds and plants (orange) and animals (yellow), collective organization (red).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice</th>
<th>Referent system</th>
<th>Major advantages</th>
<th>Some limits</th>
<th>Where?</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bench terracing</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced soil erosion; Increased crop production; Safe cropping operations on slopes</td>
<td>Only on slopes steeper than 15% and where soil conditions are favorable</td>
<td>Traditional technique in Africa</td>
<td>Baker 2015 (CARE)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reforestation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Carbon sequestration; Diversification of productions; Maintenance of soil fertility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Terre et humanisme 2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Californian irrigation system</td>
<td>Oasis</td>
<td>Reduction of water loss on sandy soil; Water transport to remote plots</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TENMIYA 2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drip irrigation system</td>
<td>Oasis</td>
<td>Irrigation efficiency; Reduced loss of nutrients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CARI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar pumping system</td>
<td>Oasis</td>
<td>Higher quantity of available water; Diversification of productions; Time and money saving; Relatively low environmental impact</td>
<td>High cost of installation</td>
<td>Morocco (CARI) Mali (AVSF)</td>
<td>CARI and PROMAMATA 2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collective irrigation management</td>
<td>Oasis</td>
<td>Irrigation efficiency; Reduced water loss; Water storage in anticipation of dry periods; Increased productivity; Strengthening of collective spirit</td>
<td>Agreement required between water users</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bouziane et al. 2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jessour irrigation system</td>
<td></td>
<td>Water saving; No specific equipment required; Diversification of production; Higher yields; Higher income; Higher water infiltration; Reduced water erosion; Creation of arable surfaces; Filling of groundwater table; Biodiversity restoration</td>
<td>Not implementable if more than 250 mm rain per year; Hard maintenance; Reduced pastureland; Reduced runoff water quantity available for downstream users</td>
<td>Traditional technique of arid zones, largely used in Tunisia (CARI)</td>
<td>Interview CARI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borders</td>
<td></td>
<td>Enhanced water infiltration for crops</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>Baker 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sump</td>
<td></td>
<td>Water availability for small herds in dry season; Availability of grazing areas after wet season; Enhanced food security thanks to herd and income maintenance; Distribution of water spot and grazing areas; Based on local knowledge of soils; Low cost</td>
<td>Hard work; Specific knowledge for digging; Low water flow; Pollution of groundwater table by animals</td>
<td>Traditional technique of transhumant populations such as Touaregs and Peuls.</td>
<td>Technical sheet of GTD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water source networking</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ensure herds displacement with minimum loss; Better knowledge of water spots and pasture</td>
<td>Slow decomposition of date leaves</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cornu 2011; interview AVSF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date palm compost</td>
<td>Oasis</td>
<td>Soil fertilization; Valorization of local biomass</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CARI 2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manure compost</td>
<td>Oasis</td>
<td>Soil fertilization with elaborated product ; Limitation of weed infestation</td>
<td>Restricted availability of manure</td>
<td></td>
<td>Interview CARI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date palm mulch</td>
<td>Oasis</td>
<td>Soil cover; Protection against erosion; Soil humidity increase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Morocco (CARI)</td>
<td>CARI 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Association wheat-alfalfa</strong></td>
<td>Oasis</td>
<td>Optimization of cultivated surfaces; Enhanced soil fertility; Diversification of productions</td>
<td>Some farmers in Maghreb</td>
<td>Has not spread so far.</td>
<td><strong>Interview CARI</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intercropping</strong></td>
<td>Oasis</td>
<td>Pests and pathogens control; Protection against erosion; Attraction of beneficial insects; Better weed control; Land use optimization; Diversification of productions</td>
<td>Risk of yield reduction if crops have different competitive abilities</td>
<td>Tanzania (CARE)</td>
<td>Baker 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crop rotation</strong></td>
<td>Oasis</td>
<td>Preserved and enhanced soil fertility; Improvement of soil structure; Pests and pathogens control</td>
<td>Selective crops may give lower yields</td>
<td>Traditional technique in Africa</td>
<td><strong>Interview ACF</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Compost</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Diversification of soil fertilization modes; Limitation of weed infestation; Higher soil humidity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CARI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minimum tillage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Time and energy saving; Increased yields; Crop residues as forage; Higher income; Limited soil degradation by wind and water; Reduced soil compaction; Organic matter accumulation; Lower evaporation; Enhanced water infiltration</td>
<td>Need of mechanical or animal workforce; High cost of animals and equipment maintenance; Higher risk of weed infestation</td>
<td>Traditional African farming practice, developed in Sub-Saharan Africa in the 1980’s</td>
<td>Adoption is sometimes limited by equipment cost, work hardness, high weed pressure</td>
<td>Technical sheet of GTD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Double digging</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased soil drainage; Increased soil aeration: facilitated root penetration</td>
<td>Hard and time-consuming work; May disturb soil life</td>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>Baker 2015 (CARE)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nursery on hot layer and early sowing</strong></td>
<td>Oasis</td>
<td>Larger production; Higher income (better prices on markets when selling some weeks earlier)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Morocco (CARI)</td>
<td>CARI 2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nectar-producing plants planting</strong></td>
<td>Oasis</td>
<td>Favoring pollinators; Enhanced biodiversity</td>
<td></td>
<td>Morocco (CARI)</td>
<td>CARI 2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Introduction of vegetable production</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Diversification of productions and income; Ensure production in unfavorable years; Production in dry periods; Higher quality of families’ diet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Introduction of spineless cactus</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Forage source for animals</td>
<td>Low nutritional value</td>
<td></td>
<td>Vall et al. 2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rotational grazing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Avoid overgrazing; Maintain pastureland in long term; Low workforce required; Organic fertilization; Increased forage quantity and quality; Increased animal productivity; Higher income; Higher soil humidity; Lower runoff (plant cover); Enhanced plant biodiversity</td>
<td>Global farm planning required; Expensive equipment (fences or surveillance); If not well managed: spreading of less palatable plants</td>
<td>Implemented by breeders in sub humid zones. Diffusion from external development actors</td>
<td></td>
<td>Technical sheet of GTD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organization of grazing paths</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>More efficient management of pastures; Organic fertilization of fields; Grass maintenance</td>
<td>Conflicts between crop and livestock producers</td>
<td></td>
<td>Vall et al. 2014; <strong>interview CCFD</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training in agroecological practices</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Diffusion of agroecological practices; Higher acceptability thanks to demonstration</td>
<td></td>
<td>Association PROMMATA</td>
<td>CARI and PROMMATA 2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix 6: Agroecological innovations inventoried in sub-arid regions of Africa

All the agroecological practices mentioned for the sub-arid zone are presented here. You can see which ones have been mentioned specifically for agropastoral systems (column “Referent system”). Practices are organized depending on the components that are affected by climate change: landscape (dark green), water (blue), soil (light green), seeds and plants (orange) and animals (yellow), collective organization (red).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice</th>
<th>Referent system</th>
<th>Major advantages</th>
<th>Some limits</th>
<th>Where?</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wind breaks (Cajanus cajan)</td>
<td>Agro pastoral</td>
<td>Limitation of wind erosion</td>
<td>Required surveillance against animals during first year; Required soil work before implementation; Reduction of cultivated surface (compensated by higher yields); May attract pest</td>
<td>Madagascar (AVSF)</td>
<td>Implementation with stone bunds to stabilize them</td>
<td>Interview AVSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grass strips</td>
<td></td>
<td>Enhanced biodiversity; Soil protection against wind and water erosion; Lower surface temperature; Forage production; Additional income; Additional use of hay (fence, roof, handicraft); Easy implementation; Low investment (available plants and seeds); Increased soil humidity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Better valorization of rain water and water retention. Increased yields if associated with organic fertilization. Traps mineral-rich sediments and organic matter transported by water.</td>
<td>Dorlöchter 2012; Technical sheet of GTD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assisted Natural Regeneration</td>
<td></td>
<td>Limited water erosion; Enhanced vegetation; Protection against soil degradation; Soil fertilization; Low cost; Additional income from non woody products</td>
<td>Land insecurity; Required surveillance at the beginning in dry season to protect plants from animals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dorlöchter 2012; interview Salvaterra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reforestation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reduction of wind erosion; Restoration of non-arable land</td>
<td>Senegal: ENDA, villages, local associations</td>
<td></td>
<td>Improve water quality; Filling of groundwater table; Women involvement</td>
<td>Berton 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Re-vegetation</strong></td>
<td>Soil cover; nitrogen enrichment of the soil (natural compost); higher local food availability; higher yields; water retention; reduced water losses; lower surface temperature; revenue source diversification; lower vulnerability to climatic and economic variability; C</td>
<td>In Niger: 12 million hectares re-vegetalized, with higher groundwater sources level; improved food security. Increasing number of farmers implementing this technique.</td>
<td>RAC 2013; Aune 2011; interview GRET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agroforestry</strong></td>
<td>Enhanced biodiversity; protection against erosion; Better water infiltration; Pollinators shelter; Lower surface temperature; Lower evaporation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Interview ACF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plantation of legume trees</strong></td>
<td>Soil protection against erosion; enhanced soil fertility; improved local populations’ diets</td>
<td>Madagascar: legume trees as <em>Cajanus cajan</em> and cover crops like mucuna and konobe</td>
<td>Soil fertility improved within 3 years. <em>Cajanus cajan</em>: food for local population, improving their food security and living conditions.</td>
<td>Lheriteau et al. 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acacia albida hedgerows</strong></td>
<td>Protection against wind erosion; crops protection; humidity maintenance; lower surface temperature; higher revenues; production of fodder, fruits and wood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plantation of Acacia tumida</strong></td>
<td>Large quantities of fertilizer produced; resistance to droughts; edible seeds and for poultry feeding; protection against wind erosion</td>
<td>Requires more than 300 mm of rain per year</td>
<td>Aune 2011; interview GRET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plantation of Moringa</strong></td>
<td>Nutritional value of leaves abundance in wet and dry seasons; low labor; market for leaves and flowers</td>
<td>Animals may consume them</td>
<td>Popular tree in Niger and North Nigeria (René’s idea)</td>
<td>Aune 2011; interview AVSF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bench terracing</strong></td>
<td>Reduced soil erosion; Increased crop production; Safe cropping operations on slopes</td>
<td>Only on slopes steeper than 15% and where soil conditions are favorable.</td>
<td>Tanzania: traditional technique</td>
<td>Mwanyoka 2015 (CARE)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mechanical dune fixing</strong></td>
<td>Easy implementation; Low maintenance required; Low cost if available branches; Increased income in the long term; Diminution of wind speed; Reduction of erosion; Protection of natural ponds</td>
<td>Work demanding in the first years; Competition for resources in case of limited wood and forage availability; Higher temperature in protected zone; High cost at large scale</td>
<td>Senegal in the 1970’s and Mauritania in the 2000’s</td>
<td>Technical sheet of GTD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biological dune fixing</strong></td>
<td>Easy implementation; Low maintenance required in long term; Production of wood and forage; Production of compost and mulch; Increased income; Increased biomass; Limited</td>
<td>Knowledge required; Important maintenance work in the first years; Required access to seeds and/or nursery; High cost of plants</td>
<td>Senegal in the 1970’s and Mauritania in the 2000’s</td>
<td>Technical sheet of GTD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stone bunds</strong></td>
<td>wind speed; Limited erosion; Higher soil fertility</td>
<td>produced in nursery; High water demand; High cost at large scale</td>
<td>transported by the wind; increases infiltration and limits evaporation</td>
<td>Burkina Faso, in early 1980’s. If combined with organic matter input and other techniques (half-moons, zai holes): increased yields by 40 to 70%. Bilgo 2014; Diguine 2010; Dorlöchter 2012; Technical sheet of GTD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contour bunding</strong></td>
<td>Water retention; slower water flow; protection against water and wind erosion; byproducts (wood, hay); easy implementation; higher yields; higher soil humidity; sequestration of minerals and organic matter transported by water</td>
<td>Land insecurity; hard work; lack of stones; lack of transport means; risk on flooding in rainy years; training requirement to identify contour lines; requires collective work</td>
<td>Burkina Faso, Mali, Niger</td>
<td>Increased yields if associated with fertilizers, zai holes and/or half-moons. Farmers’ adoption limited by hardness of work. Technical sheet of GTD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weirs</strong></td>
<td>Low equipment requirement; easy implementation; forage production; higher soil humidity; higher organic soil fertility; improved soil structure; higher infiltration</td>
<td>Easily destroyed and reconstructed; important maintenance required every year; risk of plants asphyxia; reduction of cultivated surfaces</td>
<td>Burkina Faso, Niger</td>
<td>Yields increases (of about 60%) and recuperation of degraded land. Off-season production (vegetables): supplementary income and work all year long, limiting migrations. BERCEF 2007; Adam Bachar 2011; Bender 2009; Dorlöchter 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Networking of groundwater sources</strong></td>
<td>Agro pastoral</td>
<td>Water and forage supply during transhumance</td>
<td></td>
<td>Interview CARI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Concerted management of water resource</strong></td>
<td>Agro pastoral</td>
<td>Improved management of water sources on transhumance paths; Breeders interests acknowledgment</td>
<td>Mali (AVSF 2007-2011)</td>
<td>Cornu, 2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Half moons</strong></td>
<td>Higher water availability for plants; higher yields from the 1st year; low cost; reduced required quantity of seeds and fertilizers; production on degraded land; higher soil fertility; reduced soil losses; higher soil humidity</td>
<td>Land insecurity; work hardiness; training requirement; organic matter availability; risk of flooding in rainy years; maintenance; fertilizer availability and transport</td>
<td>Burkina Faso. African farmers implemented this technique in response to the droughts in 1980.</td>
<td>Permits plants to survive temporary droughts. It can considerably enhance yields. Reduced soil hardiness and water infiltration. Berton, 2013; interview AVSF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Zai holes</strong></td>
<td>Soil regeneration;; reduced required quantity of seeds and fertilizers; increased yields from the 1st year, increased soil fertility; reduced soil losses; higher soil humidity; maintenance of fertilizer in fields in case of heavy rain; reduction of water loss</td>
<td>Labor intensive; land insecurity; risk of water saturation in rainy years; required availability and transport of fertilizer; unsuitable on sandy soils</td>
<td>Burkina Faso, Senegal, Mali, Niger, Chad, Cameroon, Cape Verde, Zambia, Tanzania</td>
<td>Contributes to ensure production and food security. It significantly enhances yields. It may be limited by hard work and fertilizer availability. Bilgo 2014; Dorlöchter 2012; Technical sheet of GTD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mechanized zai holes</strong></td>
<td>Reduction of labor intensity; regeneration of degraded land; relatively cheap equipment that can be shared between 3-4 farmers; concentration of rain water near the roots of cultivated plants</td>
<td>Burkina Faso. Validated with farmers before being diffused (AVSF)</td>
<td>Permits to recuperate degraded land, limit water flow and reduce work load. Farmers seem to be interested by this technique</td>
<td>Berton 2013; interview AVSF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nardi trenches</strong></td>
<td>Collection of water; improved soil structure for plants to reach nutrients; higher vegetation because trenches retain seeds transported by the wind</td>
<td>Relatively low economic profitability; hardiness of work;</td>
<td>They have been implemented in Niger and have especially benefited to women.</td>
<td>Dorlöchter 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agricultural and silvopastoral benches</strong></td>
<td>Re-vegetation from the 1st year; water supply for plants and filling of ground water sources; land protection against erosion; reduction of soil temperature</td>
<td>May require high level of engineering; relatively high costs compared to stone systems; may be damaged by rise in water level</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dorlöchter 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Filtering embankment</strong></td>
<td>Extended water retention; sequestration of nutrients; re-vegetation; biodiversity; favor vegetable production (alimentation and extra source of income)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dorlöchter 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mini-dams</strong></td>
<td>Maintenance of water in fields for plants in dry periods; avoid flooding in rainy years; higher groundwater level; increased foods availability; higher farmers’ revenue; land occupation of producers all year round; enhanced biodiversity</td>
<td>High quality planning and realization required; organized managing committee required for maintenance</td>
<td>Subsistence and vegetable productions increase. Generates work all year round, stabilizing local population and increasing revenues. Higher groundwater levels.</td>
<td>Dorlöchter 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water reservoir for vegetable production</strong></td>
<td>Traditional technique well managed; higher yields; increased an diversified income (fruits, fishes…); improved food security; opportunities of activities during dry season; place of exchange and social cohesion; favored wild fauna and enhanced biodiversity; re-vegetation around the reservoir; filling of groundwater sources</td>
<td>In case of big reservoirs: high social and financial mobilization; refined knowledge; organized and regular maintenance; expensive equipment; predators of fishes;</td>
<td>Traditional technique, Burkina Faso, Mauritania, Niger</td>
<td>Technical sheet of GTD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rope pump for vegetable production</strong></td>
<td>Low investment; easy implementation; autonomy of users; no energetic dependence; possible use of drinking, irrigation and other activities water use; local construction material</td>
<td>Limited number of users (about 10 families); limited depth (max 40 meters)</td>
<td>Chad: an enterprise created in 1999</td>
<td>URD 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reforestation of water source head</strong></td>
<td>Enhanced water supply</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Interview GRET</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mulching with Acacia tulmida or millet</strong></td>
<td>Reduction of surface temperature; protection against erosion; higher phosphorus rate; increased soil organic matter; control of pests</td>
<td>Animals present in dry season decrease quantity of mulch available</td>
<td>Mulching can increase yields by up to 50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Early preparation and faster planting</strong></td>
<td>Maximize the use of shortened rainy season; reduced risk of crop failure</td>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td><strong>Liwenga et al. 2012</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Compost</strong></td>
<td>Diversification of soil fertilization modes; Limitation of weed infestation; Higher soil humidity</td>
<td>Limited available quantities of manure or local biomass; Water requirement in dry periods</td>
<td>The use of natural fertilizers reduces costs and enhances products sanitary quality.</td>
<td><strong>Dorlöchter 2012; Basquin et al. 2014; interviews GRET and Terre et humanisme</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Millet residues compost</strong></td>
<td>Diversification of soil fertilization modes; Limitation of weed infestation; Enhanced soil fertility; Higher soil humidity; Valorization of local biomass</td>
<td>Limited available quantities of local biomass; Water requirement in dry periods</td>
<td>The use of natural fertilizers reduces costs and enhances products sanitary quality.</td>
<td><strong>Wezel 2014</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Introduction of alfalfa</strong></td>
<td>Improved animals feeding; Market value; Fertilization</td>
<td>Chad</td>
<td><strong>Interview URD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fallow</strong></td>
<td>Enhanced soil fertility; Soil maintenance</td>
<td>Increasing pressure on land</td>
<td><strong>Wezel 2014</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Protected areas</strong></td>
<td>Soil restoration; Protection of sensitive zones</td>
<td>Increasing pressure on land; Free movement of animals</td>
<td><strong>Interview AVSF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reduced herds</strong></td>
<td>Prevention against further environmental degradation</td>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td><strong>Liwenga et al., 2012</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Animal mechanization (donkey)</strong></td>
<td>Less hard work; Higher work efficiency; Fertilization</td>
<td>Endogenous practice revalorized since 2003 with PROMMATA</td>
<td><strong>Interview AVSF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Improved compost</strong></td>
<td>Enhanced soil fertility; Faster availability of nutrients; Competition to diseases</td>
<td>Mali: local association RHK</td>
<td>Good results on cowpea, peanut and maize. Can be used with vegetables and cereals.</td>
<td><strong>Interview AVSF and RHK</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Green manure</strong></td>
<td>Improved soil structure and fertility; Higher soil humidity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Interview GRET</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mulch</strong></td>
<td>Soil protection against erosion; Higher nutrient soil content; Higher yields; Increased soil humidity</td>
<td>Animal consumption; Competition for use of crop residues (forage, construction); Hay increasingly often kept as forage</td>
<td>Improved soil parameters and enhanced biological activity. Higher yields observed.</td>
<td><strong>Wezel 2014; Dorlöchter 2012; interview GRET</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Soil-improving plants</strong></td>
<td>Restoration of degraded soil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Interview GRET</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crop rotation</strong></td>
<td>Preserved and enhanced soil fertility; Improvement of soil structure; Pests and pathogens</td>
<td>In Sahel peasants cannot always afford not to grow cereals</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Interview ACF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Some years.</td>
<td>Need of mechanical or animal workforce; High cost of animals and equipment maintenance; Higher risk of weed infestation; Soil saturation in rainy years</td>
<td>Adoption is sometimes limited by equipment cost, work hardness, high weed pressure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minimum tillage</strong></td>
<td>Time and energy saving; Increased yields; Early crop maturity; Crop residues as forage; Higher income; Limited wind and water erosion; Reduced soil compaction; Organic matter accumulation; Lower evaporation; Enhanced water infiltration</td>
<td>Traditional African farming practice, developed in Sub-Saharan Africa in the 1980’s</td>
<td>Technical sheet of GTD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Double digging</strong></td>
<td>Increased soil drainage; Increased soil aeration; facilitated root penetration</td>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>Baker 2015 (CARE)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seeds coating and dry sowing</strong></td>
<td>Agro pastoral</td>
<td>Gain of 3 days on potential evaporation; Potential yield increase; Forage production for ruminants; Grain production for poultry; Reduced disease risk</td>
<td>Seeds can germinate earlier.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Peasant seed exchange system</strong></td>
<td>Agro pastoral</td>
<td>Protection of peasant seeds and genetic patrimony; Maintenance of varieties adapted to local conditions of soil and climate</td>
<td>West Africa: COPAGEM: association that promotes peasant seeds, raise awareness. Member organizations keep the seeds.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mix of varieties</strong></td>
<td>Risk diversification</td>
<td></td>
<td>Interview AVSF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drought-tolerant crops</strong></td>
<td>Higher resistance to droughts</td>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>Liwenga et al. 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shorter-term crops or varieties</strong></td>
<td>Reduced risk of crop failure thanks to shorter growth period</td>
<td>Tanzania, Mali</td>
<td>Liwenga et al. 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Test and diffusion of local seed varieties</strong></td>
<td>Generally very efficient varieties, adapted to local conditions; tolerance to droughts; higher yields; production valorization; improved living conditions of local populations; resistance to pests (caterpillars); higher incomes for farmers</td>
<td>Niger, Ethiopia</td>
<td>Cooperatives of resilient varieties have been created. Radio emissions on climate changes and the use of such seeds are broadcasted.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Production of local organic seeds</strong></td>
<td>Higher yields; higher revenue for seed producers; access to organic seeds farmers can afford; high quality of seeds</td>
<td>Cost of sending to certification service</td>
<td>RHK provides its members with 80% of their onion seeds, which reduced onion production costs. Quality and adaptation to local conditions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Seeds coating and dry sowing**
  - Gain of 3 days on potential evaporation; Potential yield increase; Forage production for ruminants; Grain production for poultry; Reduced disease risk.
  - Seeds can germinate earlier.

- **Peasant seed exchange system**
  - Protection of peasant seeds and genetic patrimony; Maintenance of varieties adapted to local conditions of soil and climate.
  - West Africa: COPAGEM: association that promotes peasant seeds, raise awareness. Member organizations keep the seeds.

- **Mix of varieties**
  - Risk diversification.

- **Drought-tolerant crops**
  - Higher resistance to droughts.

- **Shorter-term crops or varieties**
  - Reduced risk of crop failure thanks to shorter growth period.

- **Test and diffusion of local seed varieties**
  - Generally very efficient varieties, adapted to local conditions; tolerance to droughts; higher yields; production valorization; improved living conditions of local populations; resistance to pests (caterpillars); higher incomes for farmers.

- **Production of local organic seeds**
  - Higher yields; higher revenue for seed producers; access to organic seeds farmers can afford; high quality of seeds.
<p>| <strong>Improved local seeds multiplication</strong> | Seeds better fit local climatic conditions; resistance to pests and diseases | Madagascar: ACF and local partner | ACF 2015 |
| <strong>Keeping local tree species</strong> | Maintenance of local biodiversity; maintenance of endangered tree species; recuperation of degraded land | Mali: local association RHK | Basquin et al. 2014 |
| <strong>Early sowing</strong> | Higher yields | Uncertain successfulness of vegetation implantation | Senegal | AVSF 2011 |
| <strong>Seeds soaking</strong> | Shorter germination period; more uniform production; vigorous plans; reduced time period between sowing and harvest; higher resistance to insects and fungi attacks; higher productivity | Less effect in wetter zones | Mali, Sudan, Ethiopia | Increase of yields by about 30% in Mali, Sudan and Ethiopia. | Aune 2011 |
| <strong>Tree nursery for high demand trees</strong> | Trees for food, revenue, forage, wood, hedges and environmental protection | Animals may consume them | | | Aune 2011 |
| <strong>Introduction of yam production</strong> | Yam is already part of the local diets but is not cultivated | Madagascar | Interview AVSF |
| <strong>Introduction of vegetable production</strong> | Production diversification; improved local diet | Madagascar | Interview AVSF |
| <strong>Introduction of castor oil plant production</strong> | Plant adapted to droughts and mineral-poor soils; harvest in October-November (hunger gap); high genetic diversity of seeds that can be re-used many times; oil is used for pharmacy, cosmetics and industry | Madagascar: 70 years ago it was common in Androy. 2013, a German international cooperation and 20 farmers decided to bring it back. Thanks to this supplementary revenue, farmers can buy subsistence crops during hunger gap and even poultry and goats. They have also increased their cultivated surface. | Van Eeckhout 2015 |
| <strong>Bio-pesticides</strong> | Trichoderma compete with cryptogamic diseases | | Interview AVSF |
| <strong>Bio-insecticide</strong> | Neem tree oil repulses insects; reduced risk of insect attack | Currently being tested in Madagascar | ACF 2015; interviews ACF and AVSF |
| <strong>Natural plant-protection products</strong> | Reduction of risks of pest attacks | Mali: local association RHK | Interview RHK |
| <strong>Integrated control of pests</strong> | Warmth and drying kill Insects; reduction of underground temperature; protection against erosion | | Aune 2011 |
| <strong>Introduction of small ruminants</strong> | Agro pastoral | Resistance to drought and wide range of foods for goats; Easy exchange; High reproduction efficiency that permits to | Mali: Resistance of sheep to drought; Possible damage of goats on grasslands as | Farmers in Sahel | Interview Salvaterra |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Introduction of poultry</strong></th>
<th>Agro pastoral</th>
<th>Small ruminant breeding strengthening; Quick revenue source especially for women</th>
<th>Chad</th>
<th>Interview URD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Introduction of beekeeping</strong></td>
<td>Agro pastoral</td>
<td>Revenue diversification; Alimentation quality</td>
<td>Generally implemented in sub humid zones.</td>
<td>Interview AVSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Selection of hardy cattle breeds</strong></td>
<td>Agro pastoral</td>
<td>Breeds adapted to the lack of water</td>
<td>Farmers selection; government farms</td>
<td>Interview AVSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fodder trees</strong></td>
<td>Agro pastoral</td>
<td>Valorization of trees for livestock feeding; Protection against erosion</td>
<td>Already implemented before in sub-arid regions and became very common.</td>
<td>Interviews GRET and Salvaterra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agroforestry parks (ANR): Association Faidherbia aldida-crops</strong></td>
<td>Agro pastoral</td>
<td>Reduced water input; Reduced fertilizer input; Forage production; Wood production; Higher crop yields; Avoidance of fallow; Maintenance/restoration of soil fertility; Limitation of water and wind erosion; Supplementary feed during hunger gap; Valorization of little exploited resources</td>
<td>Limitation of weeding by trees; Knowledge requirement for pruning; Maintenance; Slow tree growth; Possible animal consumption of trees in dry season; Free access to fruits, pods, leaves…in some regions; Tree implantation reserved to the owner in some areas</td>
<td>Burkina Faso, Niger, Malawi, Tanzania, Zambia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bourgou fields</strong></td>
<td>Agro pastoral</td>
<td>Supplementary feed resource; Valorization of forage plants around ponds</td>
<td></td>
<td>Interview CARI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grazing contracts</strong></td>
<td>Agro pastoral</td>
<td>Improvement of soil fertility of fields; Access to forage for livestock; Avoidance of conflicts breeders/farmers</td>
<td>Implemented by populations for a long time</td>
<td>Wezel 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Collective organization of transhumance</strong></td>
<td>Agro pastoral</td>
<td>Improved rangeland management; Avoidance of conflicts between breeders and farmers</td>
<td></td>
<td>Interview CCFD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Planned grazing</strong></td>
<td>Agro pastoral</td>
<td>Limitation of overgrazing; Restoration of soil fertility; Stimulation of new growth</td>
<td>Collective organization required</td>
<td>Zimbabwe, spreading to Namibia. The government and civil society are working together on a national community-based grazing management policy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rotational grazing</strong></td>
<td><strong>Agro pastoral</strong></td>
<td>Limitation of overgrazing; Maintenance of pastureland in the long term; Low workforce requirement; Organic fertilization; Increased quantity and quality of forage; Increased animal productivity; Higher income; Higher soil humidity/lower evaporation; Lower runoff (plant cover); Enhanced plant biodiversity</td>
<td>Global farm planning required; Expensive equipment (fences or surveillance); If not well managed: spreading of less palatable plants</td>
<td>Technique implemented by breeders in sub humid zones. Diffusion from external development actors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hay production</strong></td>
<td><strong>Agro pastoral</strong></td>
<td>Supplementary feed during hunger gap (storage of food resource); Facilitated work organization for dairy herds (milking 1-2 times/day); Low cost; Enhanced animal production; Higher income (hay can be sold); Limitation of overgrazing; Limitation of vegetation degradation by animals</td>
<td>Hay quality often insufficient to fulfill herds’ needs; In case of low rainfall: low production and hard work; supplementary work; Low work force availability because of subsistence products harvest at the same time; Often land ownership requirement or collective organization</td>
<td>Burkina Faso, Mali, Niger, Cameroon, Senegal, Chad. Old technique in occidental Asia and Western Europe. Development in Africa after 1970 droughts. Some associations (ASFDI; APESS in Sahel) have stimulated its development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Urea treatment of hay</strong></td>
<td><strong>Agro pastoral</strong></td>
<td>Improvement of low quality hay digestibility (for instance for rice); Higher nitrogen content; Valorization of residues</td>
<td>Time requirement for animals to get used to it</td>
<td>Aune 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mineral supplementation for dairy animals</strong></td>
<td><strong>Agro pastoral</strong></td>
<td>Increased milk production</td>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>Significant milk production increases observed in Sudan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Supplementation of millet bran</strong></td>
<td><strong>Agro pastoral</strong></td>
<td>Increased protein content of animal diets; Increased milk production</td>
<td>Aune 2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Direct sowing of local tree species</strong></td>
<td><strong>Agro pastoral</strong></td>
<td>Tree regeneration; biomass production for forage (longer grazing possible); enhanced biodiversity that improves grassland quality</td>
<td>Burkina Faso: Italian NGO REACH</td>
<td>Trees permit to reconstitute grass cover from the first year after plantation. 5 to 30 times higher biomass production. It constitutes quality forage. Animals can graze longer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assurance approaches</strong></td>
<td><strong>Agro pastoral</strong></td>
<td>Better valorization of production in off-season</td>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>Aune 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community granary</strong></td>
<td><strong>Agro pastoral</strong></td>
<td>Ensure food supply during hunger gap</td>
<td>Blein 2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissemination of varieties selected by farmers</td>
<td>Varieties adapted to local climatic and soil constraints; farmers trust other farmers more than technicians</td>
<td>Madagascar: endogenous practices diffused by international NGOs</td>
<td>Lead farmers have contributed to change agricultural practices in their communes. Pigeon pea introduced although it was subject to taboos ten years ago. Adoption of sweet varieties of lima bean (konoke) is expanding.</td>
<td>Lheriteau et al. 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmers Field School</td>
<td>Knowledge exchange; farmers trust other farmers more than technicians; training in farmers own fields; exchanges between farmers and institutions</td>
<td>Madagascar: diffusion of endogenous practices organized by international NGOs (ACF…).</td>
<td>Shows effectiveness and seems to help agroecology to be better taken into account by authorities, especially on seeds production policy.</td>
<td>Lheriteau et al. 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field school</td>
<td>Learning through observation and experimentation; dissemination of new technologies; farmers learn how to make their own diagnosis</td>
<td>ACF and local partner</td>
<td></td>
<td>Aune 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local research-innovation platform</td>
<td>Participatory research: include beneficiaries in research; diffusion by beneficiaries of research results; enhance agroecology recognition</td>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
<td>Zimbabwe: farmers, researchers and farming organizations involved in conservation agriculture for maize.</td>
<td>Interview ACF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community consultation body for natural resources management</td>
<td>Filling of groundwater sources; reforestation; vegetable production; higher rainfall cereals yields</td>
<td>Mali: GRDR (French NGO)</td>
<td>Does not work very well so far.</td>
<td>Berton 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk collection system</td>
<td>Production valorization; supplementary revenue to invest in animal purchase</td>
<td>Mauritiania, Senegal, Mali: NGO projects</td>
<td>Does not work very well so far.</td>
<td>Basquin et al. 2014; interview CARI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honey house</td>
<td>Farmers decide on the price of honey; collective organization; possible sell on local markets; local consumers have constant access to honey; pollinators favor other productions</td>
<td>Burkina Faso: local association (APIL)</td>
<td>Volume of honey collected has increased from 7 to 37 tons between 2008 and 2013.</td>
<td>Basquin et al. 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short food supply chain</td>
<td>Closer link between farmers and consumers; better production valorization</td>
<td>From external examples</td>
<td></td>
<td>Interview CCFD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of meat sector</td>
<td>Professionalization; better production valorization; improved collective organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Interview CCFD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 7: Agroecological innovations inventoried in sub humid regions of Africa

All the agroecological practices mentioned for the sub humid zone are presented here. You can see which ones have been mentioned specifically for mixed crop-livestock systems (column “Referent system”). Practices are organized depending on the components that are affected by climate change: landscape (dark green), water (blue), soil (light green), seeds and plants (orange) and animals (yellow), collective organization (red).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice</th>
<th>Referent system</th>
<th>Major advantages</th>
<th>Some limits</th>
<th>Where?</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agroforestry</td>
<td></td>
<td>Organic matter supply; protection against water erosion; enhanced biodiversity (plants and animals including insect and bird pollinators); lower surface temperature; limited evaporation; better filling of water sources; maintenance or increase of yields; byproducts (wood, fruits…); reduces or suppresses inputs purchase -&gt; economic interest; production diversification</td>
<td>Lack of political willingness; chemicals lobbying; land insecurity</td>
<td>DRC, Togo, Burkina Faso, Senegal. Association APAF: project to popularize agroforestry techniques since the 1990’s. And Salvaterra.</td>
<td>In Togo: 29 850 agroforestry fields implemented between 2001 and 2004 (in general coffee/cocoa and subsistence crops), with increased yields observed and soil fertility improvement.</td>
<td>Scholle 2015 ; Bilgo 2013 ; interviews ACF and AVSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assisted Natural Regeneration</td>
<td></td>
<td>Limited water erosion; Enhanced vegetation; Protection against soil degradation; Increased quantity of organic matter and nitrogen in soils; Low cost of implementation; Additional income from non woody products</td>
<td>Land insecurity; Required surveillance at the beginning in dry season to protect plants from animals</td>
<td>Togo, Burkina Faso. Traditional technique in many countries</td>
<td>Increased crop yields. Generates supplementary production and permits to avoid hedge reconstruction</td>
<td>De Witte 2013 ; interview AVSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedgerows</td>
<td></td>
<td>Protection against water and wind erosion; better water infiltration; protection of plots against animals; higher crop yields; biomass supply; byproducts (fruits, wood…); enhanced biodiversity (beneficial insects, birds…); protection of</td>
<td>Transport of plants; high maintenance and protection required; water requirement; work load; supplementary cost if purchase of plants; may</td>
<td>Togo, Burkina Faso.</td>
<td>Togo, Burkina Faso.</td>
<td>De Witte 2013 ; Technical sheet GTD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Interview ACF**

**Participative certification system**

Lower cost of certification for producers; lower prices of organic products for consumers; better valorization of organic products; encourage other producers to produce organic

Support required at the beginning; demand exist but supply is insufficient; traceability may be limited by analphabetism;

Burkina Faso: CNABio: National Council of organic agriculture (local NGOs, associations, farmers organizations and individuals involved in organic agriculture)

It has not started so far because there is a lot of work to do (organization, training on certification, creation of a protected norm and symbol).  

Bilgo 2013
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Benefits</th>
<th>Challenges</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bench terracing</strong></td>
<td>Reduced soil erosion; Increased crop production; Safe cropping operations on slopes</td>
<td>Only on slopes steeper than 15% and where soil conditions are favorable.</td>
<td>Tumbo 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contour bunding</strong></td>
<td>Protection against water erosion; better water infiltration; few material needed; higher yields when associated with agroecological practices such as manure or compost use; forage for animals from plants stabilizing bunds; higher soil humidity; higher organic fertility of soils; better soil structure</td>
<td>Need of maintenance on clay soils; decrease of cultivated surface; increased risk of flooding of crop with the maintenance of water in case of heavy rain</td>
<td>Togo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Permeable stone dam</strong></td>
<td>Protection against water erosion; better water infiltration; development of natural vegetation</td>
<td>High level of technical knowledge required; availability of stones; maintenance required</td>
<td>Togo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stone bunds</strong></td>
<td>Water retention; slower water flow; protection against water and wind erosion; byproducts (wood, hay); easy implementation; higher yields; higher soil humidity; sequestration of minerals and organic matter</td>
<td>Hard work; stones availability; risk of flooding in case of heavy rain; technical knowledge required; maintenance</td>
<td>Togo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water reservoir</strong></td>
<td>Water supply for animals in dry season; Reduction of water erosion; Vegetable or short-term cereals production</td>
<td>No cultivation around the reservoir; Maintenance; Training in irrigation techniques; Risk of water losses (evaporation and infiltration)</td>
<td>Togo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pond structures</strong></td>
<td>Water supply for animals in dry season; Vegetable production in dry season; Filling of groundwater source by infiltration</td>
<td>Disease risk (animals or bacteria pollution); Important maintenance</td>
<td>Orlhac 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mechanized zai holes</strong></td>
<td>Reduction of labor intensity; regeneration of degraded land; relatively cheap equipment that can be shared between 3-4 farmers; concentration of rain water near the roots of cultivated plants</td>
<td>Recuperation of degraded land, limited water flow; reduced work load. Farmers seem to be interested by this technique</td>
<td>Burkina Faso, Validated with farmers before being diffused (AVSF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sand dams</strong></td>
<td>Drinkable water available all year long; possible erosion control; supplementary source of income (sand); can remain for a long time if well maintained</td>
<td>Not implementable everywhere; external help required for site analysis; work load for construction; cost of implementation</td>
<td>Togo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fanya Juu Terraces</strong></td>
<td>Collective organization; yield increase by 50%; feed production (grass); material production for handicraft (grass); higher soil humidity; reduction of water erosion</td>
<td>Work load for installation; Limits soil working; reduction of cultivated surfaces; flooding risk;</td>
<td>Kenya, Ethiopia, Tanzania, Uganda, Mali, Senegal, Burkina Faso. Appeared in the 19th century with farmers from Kenya in response to increased yields if combined with organic matter supply. It allows for improving infiltration of rain water and sequestration of sediments which are rich in minerals and aggregates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reforestation of water source head</td>
<td>Enhanced water supply</td>
<td>droughts.</td>
<td>organic matter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local committee for water management</td>
<td>More efficient irrigation management; better access to water for farmers; conflicts management</td>
<td>Lack of communication between different levels of organization; lack of funds</td>
<td>Tanzania: Project Gret/Sokoine University of Tanzania, since 2009.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compost</td>
<td>Mixed crop-livestock</td>
<td>Diversification of soil fertilization modes; Limitation of weed infestation; Higher soil humidity; Valorization of local biomass</td>
<td>Availability of manure or local biomass; Water requirement in dry periods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal mechanization (donkey)</td>
<td>Mixed crop-livestock</td>
<td>Less hard work; Higher work efficiency; Fertilization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved compost</td>
<td></td>
<td>Enhanced soil fertility; Faster availability of nutrients; Competition to diseases</td>
<td>Mali: Local association RHK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid compost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green manure</td>
<td>Improved soil structure and fertility; Higher soil humidity</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Gret)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cover crops</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grass strips</td>
<td>Maintenance of local plant species; protection against erosion; better water infiltration; easy implementation; maintenance of water and organic matter it contains in fields; forage, compost, mulching or fences; better valorization of rain water and increased soil humidity; higher yields of cereals</td>
<td>Plantation during a busy period; lack of workforce for preparation; risk of competition with crops; limited by grazing animals; benefits after 3 years</td>
<td>Togo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mulch-based cropping system</td>
<td>Enhanced soil fertility; Higher soil humidity; weed control</td>
<td>Free movement of animals; land insecurity; fire risk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum tillage</td>
<td>Easy implementation; Time and energy saving; Increased yields; Early crop maturity; Crop residues as forage; Higher income; Limited soil degradation by wind and water; Reduced soil compaction; Organic matter accumulation; Lower evaporation; Enhanced water infiltration</td>
<td>Need of mechanical or animal workforce; High cost of animals and equipment maintenance; Higher risk of weed infestation; Soil saturation in rainy years</td>
<td>Traditional African farming practice, developed in Sub-Saharan Africa in the 1980’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Association cereal-cowpea</td>
<td>Favors cowpea development; weed control by cowpea</td>
<td>Availability of seeds quality</td>
<td>Implemented in sub-arid zones.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crop rotation</td>
<td>Preserved and enhanced soil fertility; Improvement of soil structure; Pests and pathogens control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peasant seeds exchange system</td>
<td>Mixed crop-livestock</td>
<td>Protection of peasant seeds and genetic patrimony; Maintenance of varieties adapted to local conditions of soil and climate</td>
<td>West Africa: COPAGEM: promotes peasant seeds, raise awareness. Member organizations keep the seeds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction of legumes</td>
<td>Mixed crop-livestock</td>
<td>Compensation for cereal prices volatility; Enhance soil fertility</td>
<td>Market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strengthening of vegetable production</td>
<td>Mixed crop-livestock</td>
<td>Valorization of production in dry season</td>
<td>Low water availability; Closure of wells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved local seeds multiplication</td>
<td>Seeds better fit local climatic conditions; resistance to pests and diseases</td>
<td></td>
<td>GRET project (2009-2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shorter-term crops or varieties</td>
<td>Reduced risk of crop failure thanks to shorter growth period</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tanzania, Mali</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drought-tolerant crops</td>
<td>Adaptation to climate change</td>
<td></td>
<td>Côte d’Ivoire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction of cassava</td>
<td>Diversification of production; nutritional value of diets; diversification of risks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination wet/dry seasons crops</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bag cultivation</td>
<td>Easy implementation; low cost; enhance families’ food security; surface optimization</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kenya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio-insecticide</td>
<td>Neem tree oil repulses insects;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fodder trees</strong></td>
<td>Mixed crop-livestock</td>
<td>Valorization of trees for livestock feeding, including in dry season; Protection against erosion; Increased animal production; Easy implementation; Byproducts and services</td>
<td>Lack of species appropriate to different agro-ecological zones; Lack of functioning seed supply systems; Knowledge requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hay production</strong></td>
<td>Mixed crop-livestock</td>
<td>Supplementary feed during hunger gap; Facilitation of work organization for dairy herds (milking 1-2 times/day); Low cost; enhanced animal production; Additional income ; Limitation of soil degradation by overgrazing; Limitation of vegetation degradation by animals</td>
<td>Hay quality often insufficient to fulfill herds’ needs; In case of low rainfall: low production and hard work; Supplementary work; In case of no grazing: no or little manure on fields; low work force available because of subsistence products harvest at the same time; Ownership of land or collective organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Valorization of crop residues</strong></td>
<td>Mixed crop-livestock</td>
<td>Alternative feed source; Valorization of local biomass</td>
<td>(AVSF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Faidherbia parks</strong></td>
<td>Mixed crop-livestock</td>
<td>Low equipment requirement; reduced water input; Reduced fertilizer input; Forage production (pods and leaves); Wood production; Low cost; Higher crop yields; Avoidance of fallow; Maintenance/restoration of soil fertility; Limitation of water and wind soil erosion; Positive effect on crops after 4 years; Supplementary feed during hunger gap; Valorization of little exploited resources; Reduced hydric stress for plants</td>
<td>Limitation of weeding by trees; Knowledge requirement for pruning; Maintenance every year; Slow tree growth; Possible animal consumption of trees in dry season; Free access to fruits, pods, leaves...in some regions; Tree implantation reserved to the owner in some areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rotational grazing</strong></td>
<td>Mixed crop-livestock</td>
<td>Limitation of overgrazing; Maintenance of pastureland in the long term; Low workforce requirement; Organic fertilization;</td>
<td>Global farm planning required; Expensive equipment (fences or surveillance); If not</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulation of animal free movement</td>
<td>Mixed crop-livestock</td>
<td>Limitation of animal damages on crops; Dialogue farmers-breeders; Identification of sensitive zones</td>
<td>Absence of fences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local land management charter</td>
<td>Mixed crop-livestock</td>
<td>Control of conflicts linked to land use; Herds mobility and access to grasslands and water sources assurance</td>
<td>Burkina Faso: municipalities, research (Cirdes, Cirad) and legal experts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biogas slurry</td>
<td>Mixed crop-livestock</td>
<td>Alternative to deforestation; Reduction of greenhouse gas emissions; Renewable energy; Valorization of manure; Fertilizer production</td>
<td>High investment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-vegetation of stone bunds</td>
<td>Plantation of <em>Piliostigma reticulata</em> that can be given as feed to animals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promotion of agroecological production modes</td>
<td>Mixed crop-livestock</td>
<td>Knowledge exchange between farmers; production valorization; sector organization</td>
<td>Senegal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperatives for the use of agricultural equipment (CUMA)</td>
<td>Faster work: to face reduction of growth seasons; collective organization</td>
<td>Farmers do not necessarily understand the concept of CUMA; young animals purchased that are not efficient in the first year</td>
<td>Mali: AVSF and local association ICD, 2007-2014.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-actors research platform</td>
<td>Involvement of the different actors in research objectives definition</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tanzania: local authorities, NGOs, local farmers leaders (CARE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Champion farmers”</td>
<td>Farmers teach other farmers: more efficient diffusion of practices</td>
<td>“champion farmers” are generally not the most vulnerable; financial capacities of most vulnerable farmers limit their participation</td>
<td>Tanzania: practices from other communities. These practices are not new but were not necessarily used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community consultation body for natural resources management</td>
<td>Filling of groundwater sources; reforestation; vegetable production; higher rainfall cereals yields</td>
<td>Mali: GRDR (French NGO)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini cooperative dairies</td>
<td>Higher prices for farmers; farmers can benefit from microcredits</td>
<td>Demand is higher than offer</td>
<td>Senegal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSA system</td>
<td>Stable and regular outlet for vegetable producers; confidence between farmers and consumers</td>
<td>Demand too important for offer;</td>
<td>Benin: Hortitechs (local association)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participative certification system</td>
<td>Lower cost of certification for producers; lower prices of organic products for consumers; better valorization of organic products; encourage other producers to produce organic</td>
<td>Support required at the beginning; demand exist but supply is insufficient; traceability may be limited by alphabetism;</td>
<td>Benin: Hortitechs (local association) and NGO Helvetas Swiss Intercoporation Bénin and Burkina Faso (CNABio)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage buildings from local materials</td>
<td>Reduced losses of production; improved food security; better access to markets for farmers; purchase of foods they do not produce; improve nutritional quality of diets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 8: Agroecological innovations inventoried in humid tropical regions of Africa

All the agroecological practices mentioned for the humid tropical zone are presented here. You can see which ones have been mentioned specifically for agroforestry systems (column “Referent system”). Practices are organized depending on the components that are affected by climate change: landscape (dark green), water (blue), soil (light green), seeds and plants (orange) and animals (yellow), collective organization (red).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice</th>
<th>Referent system</th>
<th>Major advantages</th>
<th>Some limits</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reforestation</td>
<td>Agroforestry</td>
<td>Diversification of income sources; Protection against erosion; Fertilization</td>
<td>Droughts; Animals free movements; Lack of maintenance</td>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>Eucalyptus has been planted at the top of slopes. Implantation is generally individual, except for some collective planning schemes.</td>
<td>Foubert 2014; interview AVSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plantation of Eucalyptus</td>
<td>Agroforestry</td>
<td>Wood and charcoal production; Protection of slopes against erosion; Protection against silting of bottomlands; Easy management and exploitation of species; Rapid growth on all types of soil</td>
<td>Difficult specie to eliminate; Soil weakening; Risk of competition with subsistence crops</td>
<td>Common practice in Madagascar. Diffusion by AVSF.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Foubert 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedgerows</td>
<td></td>
<td>Soil quality improvement close to crops; protection against wind erosion; humidity maintenance; forage production (Cajanus cajan), wood production; green manure; sometimes human alimentation (flour from Cajanus grains); reduced maintenance</td>
<td>Acacia requires a lot of organic matter and phosphorus, brings a lot of shade and has a bad quality of wood; Cajanus is sensitive to flooding and frost and must be replaced every 3-4 years</td>
<td>Madagascar (AVSF)</td>
<td>Hedgerows implantation is generally individual, except for some collective planning schemes.</td>
<td>Foubert 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contour lines cultivation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Limitation of erosion</td>
<td>Land constraints (fields shape); equipment and work load; reticence of some farmers to invest in “unproductive” plantations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Interviews Agrisud and Etc terra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participative map making for bottomlands water management</td>
<td>Agroforestry</td>
<td>Collective organization; Water resource managements</td>
<td>Difficult organization of communities, often not from intern initiative</td>
<td>AVSF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Interview AVSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basket compost</td>
<td>Agroforestry</td>
<td>Soil fertilization</td>
<td></td>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td></td>
<td>Interview AVSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cover crop: Brachiaria</td>
<td>Agroforestry</td>
<td>Restoration of degraded land; Higher crop yields</td>
<td></td>
<td>Madagascar (AVSF)</td>
<td>After 2 years maximum, crop yields double.</td>
<td>Tisserat et al. 2013; Interview AVSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cover crop: Stylosanthes</td>
<td>Agroforestry</td>
<td>Soil protection against erosion; Once cut, slow regrowth; time let for the crop to grow; Less workload than for Brachiaria</td>
<td>Efficiency on soils with minimum fertility level</td>
<td>Madagascar (AVSF)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tisserat et al. 2013; interview AVSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash cover crops</td>
<td>Agroforestry</td>
<td>Supplementary income source; Protection against erosion</td>
<td>Sensitivity to droughts; Animals free movement; Lack of maintenance</td>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td></td>
<td>Foubert 2014; interview AVSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Association orchard-Arachis</strong></td>
<td>Agroforestry</td>
<td>Protection against erosion; Feed source; Time saving on weeding</td>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>Well adopted by farmers</td>
<td>Interview AVSF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alley cropping system</strong></td>
<td>Agroforestry</td>
<td>Soil fertilization; Yields stabilization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grass strips: Brachiaria</strong></td>
<td>Agroforestry</td>
<td>Protection against erosion; Avoidance of bottomlands’ silting; Important biomass production; Soil restructuration</td>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td></td>
<td>Foubert 2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fertilizer Tree Species (FTS)</strong></td>
<td>Agroforestry</td>
<td>Soil fertilization; Higher crop yields</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lasco et al. 2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Introduction of legumes after storms</strong></td>
<td>Agroforestry</td>
<td>Enhanced soil fertility</td>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>Still few farms implement this system. There exist some popularization campaigns and research is going on. However many farmers in AVSF projects implement some principles of the SRI</td>
<td>Interview AVSF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>System of Rice Intensification (SRI)</strong></td>
<td>Agroforestry</td>
<td>Yield increase; Reduced external inputs use; Reduced quantity of water used; Enhanced resistance to pests and diseases</td>
<td>Workload (weeding and harvest); Attention requirement; Knowledge requirement</td>
<td>Madagascar: French agronomist with local farmers, in the 1980’s.</td>
<td>Tisserat et al. 2013; interview AVSF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Compost</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Soil fertilization; valorization of local biomass; low cost; easy implementation</td>
<td>Farmers often need technical training; work time; hardness of organic matter transport</td>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>An increasing number of farmers are composting.</td>
<td>Delille 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Manure ditch</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Enhanced manure quality</td>
<td>All farmers do not have animals</td>
<td>Madagascar: traditional technique, diffusion with AVSF since 2000.</td>
<td>Tisserat et al. 2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crop rotations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Preserved and enhanced soil fertility; Improvement of soil structure; Pests and pathogens control</td>
<td>In Sahel, small plots of cereals prevail. Farmers cannot always afford not to grow cereals some years.</td>
<td>Madagascar (AVSF)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Interview ACF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minimum tillage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Easy implementation; Time and energy saving; Increased yields; Early crop maturity; Crop residues as forage; Higher income; Limited soil degradation by wind and water; Reduced soil compaction; Organic matter accumulation; Lower evaporation; Enhanced water infiltration</td>
<td>Need of mechanical or animal workforce; High cost of animals and equipment maintenance; Higher risk of weed infestation; Soil saturation in rainy years</td>
<td>Traditional African farming practice, developed in Sub-Saharan Africa in the 1980’s</td>
<td>Adoption is sometimes limited by equipment cost, work hardness, high weed pressure</td>
<td>Technical sheet GTD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Short-term rice varieties</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Better concordance with rainfall patterns; does not stay long in fields: better chance to avoid storms</td>
<td>Disappearance of long-term varieties; imported varieties: risk</td>
<td>Madagascar: Salvaterra and AVSF</td>
<td>Seed selection by Japanese</td>
<td>Interview Salvaterra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-season crops in bottomlands</td>
<td>Crop diversification; valorization of arable land; fertilization; repartition of harvests in the all year</td>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>Tisserat et al. 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rainfall rice on Tanety</strong></td>
<td>Crop diversification; valorization of arable land; diversification of income sources; Work load; required seed quality to get good yield; minimum fertility and water retention of soils required; favorable climate required (regular rain); yield dependent on rainfall -&gt; very variable</td>
<td>Madagascar; This technique has been implemented by farmers for decades. Diffusion by AVSF</td>
<td>It is a new opportunity for many vulnerable farmers with poor soils. Farmers have adapted this technique to their own situation.</td>
<td>Tisserat et al. 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crop-livestock integration</strong></td>
<td>Agroforestry</td>
<td>Valorization of byproducts; Animal feeding; Soil fertilization</td>
<td>Madagascar (AVSF)</td>
<td>Dellile 2011; interview AVSF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agrosilvopastoral systems</strong></td>
<td>Agroforestry</td>
<td>Organic fertilization</td>
<td></td>
<td>Interview ACF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Introduction of beekeeping</strong></td>
<td>Agroforestry</td>
<td>Diversification of income sources; Honey harvest without hive destruction; Nutritional value</td>
<td>High cost of investment</td>
<td>Madagascar (AVSF); Good results (AVSF)</td>
<td>Foubert 2014; interview AVSF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Introduction of fish breeding</strong></td>
<td>Agroforestry</td>
<td>Diversification of productions; Fertilizer source</td>
<td>Not feasible on all types of farms</td>
<td>Madagascar (AVSF)</td>
<td>Dellile 2011; interview AVSF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cover crops as forage</strong></td>
<td>Agroforestry</td>
<td>Valorization of forage source; Protection against erosion</td>
<td>Animals free movement</td>
<td>Madagascar (AVSF)</td>
<td>Rajaobelina 2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Animal health service for poultry</strong></td>
<td>Agroforestry</td>
<td>Limitation/control of poultry diseases</td>
<td></td>
<td>Madagascar (AVSF)</td>
<td>Tisserat et al. 2013; interview AVSF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shelters for livestock</strong></td>
<td>Agroforestry</td>
<td>Limitation of animals mortality (protection during storms)</td>
<td>High cost of investment</td>
<td>Madagascar (AVSF)</td>
<td>Interview AVSF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Forage commercialization</strong></td>
<td>Agroforestry</td>
<td>Diversification of income sources; Valorization of surplus</td>
<td></td>
<td>Madagascar (AVSF)</td>
<td>Interview AVSF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Honey house</strong></td>
<td>Stimulation of honey production thanks to the outlet; improved local diets</td>
<td></td>
<td>Madagascar (AVSF)</td>
<td>Interview AVSF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 9: Glossary of agroecological practices

Agricultural and silvopastoral benches: rectangular device made of soil or stones or both. It can be either permeable or impermeable.

Agrosilvopastoral systems: conservation agriculture with integration of small ruminants.

Alley cropping system: lines of trees with crop cultivation in between.

Animal health service for poultry: private service for poultry vaccination.

Animal mechanization: use of donkeys for agricultural work.

Assisted Natural Regeneration: protection and preservation of natural tree seedlings, to convert degraded lands into productive forests.

Association orchard-Arachis: use of Arachis as vegetative cover, valorized as feeds for animals.

Assurance approaches: credit given by local institution to peasants who provide grain which is stored until they can reimburse the credit. Grain price is generally higher at this time of the year than at harvest time.

Bag cultivation: bags containing soil and stones in which vegetables are planted.

Basket compost: decomposable home garbage, garden and farm waste and leguminous leaves are allowed to rot in baskets half-buried in garden plots as a method of producing organic fertilizer.

Bench terracing: relatively steep land is transformed into a series of level strips running across the slope. It retards erosion losses and makes cropping operations on these slopes possible and safe.

Biogas slurry: animal excrements are bio digested to produce energy. Effluents can be valorized as organic fertilizer.

Bio-insecticide: use of neem oil against insects such as grasshopper.

Bio-pesticides: enrichment of compost with trichoderma against cryptogamic diseases.

Biological dune fixing: to complement mechanical dune fixing. Sand is maintained thanks to trees and perennial herbaceous vegetation.

Borders: small ridges built with soil and residues around the edge of a small plot. Water from the canal is diverted into the plot, and held long enough by the ridges to deeply infiltrate.

Bourgou fields: valorization of forage plants growing around ponds.

Californian irrigation system: PVC pipes are buried to bring water to distribution spots allocated in the farm.

Cash cover crops: plantation of clove, coffee, essential oils plants, citrus as vegetative soil cover.

“Champion farmers”: one or two “model farmers” are identified to promote agricultural practices. They are farmers with influence in the society.

Choice of drought-tolerant local varieties of cereals: plantation of plants that have a higher resistance to droughts (such as lablab, sorghum or cassava).

Collective irrigation management: rational mutual irrigation management with collective water storage, based on cultivated plants’ needs.

Collective organization of transhumance: rationalization, identification of transhumance paths.

26 Based on the information collected in the interviews and literature review
Combination wet/dry season crops: combination of productions that take place in different seasons in order to produce and have work all year round.

Community consultation body for natural resources management: popularization of conservation techniques of water and soils; production techniques for cereals and vegetables; and introduction of new varieties (adapted to hydrologic equipment in rice production).

Community granary: during hunger gap, farmers can borrow millet bags that they will give back once they have harvested, with a small interest.

Composting: let decompose to then recycle organic matter as a fertilizer and soil amendment.

Concerted management of water resource: collective management of water for pastoral use to secure transhumant breeding mobility. It takes into account existing traditional management laws as well as the State law.

Contour bunding: device made of soil to collect rainwater and/or limits water flow’s damages on soil and crops.

Contour lines cultivation: field operations are done on the contour, or at right angles to the natural slope to reduce soil erosion, protect soil fertility, and use water more efficiently.

Cooperative: farmers gather to process and sell their production.

Cooperatives for the use of agricultural equipment (CUMA): equipment is shared in groups of 5 to 8 families. Farmers get training on the use of this equipment.

Crop-livestock integration: valorization of plants and animals’ byproducts; use of organic manure for crop production.

Crop rotation: a series of different types of crops are grown in the same area in sequential seasons.

Cover crop: plantation of herbaceous plants to cover the soil.

Cover crop as forage: valorization of cover crop for animal feeding.

CSA system: short food supply chain. Organic farmers and consumers sign a contract for a given period of time. Consumers commit to buy farmers their products and farmers commit to produce foods for their consumers.

Date palm composting: leaves of date palm are crushed to accelerate decomposition.

Date palm mulching: covering the soil with date palm residues.

Direct sowing of local tree species: direct sowing of local tree species to restore degraded land.

Dissemination of varieties selected by farmers: distribution of seed vouchers that can be used in local seed shop to get one kilogram of seeds. The seeds are selected by farmers and adapted to local soil and climate conditions.

Double digging: type of strip tillage (about 30 inches deep). Maize is then planted into this strip of soil, and the area between strips can be planted in beans, lablab, cowpeas, or pigeon peas.

Drip irrigation system: network of tubes and emitters that allow water to drip to the roots of plants.

Early sowing: sowing before first rain, to get higher yields.

Early preparation and faster planting: innovation in sowing phase, to maximize the use of shortened rainy season.

Faidherbia parks: animals can graze in agroforestry parks based on the specie Faidherbia (high nutritional quality of leaves).

Fair: farmers can sell directly their production in fairs.

Fallow: land is left unseeded for one or more growing season(s).

Fanya Juu Terraces: several ditches are dug on a slope with a low soil wall under it, mainly to collect run-off water. These low walls are stabilized with grass than can provide animals with feeds.
**Farmer Field School:** knowledge exchange system. Training sessions for farmers are organized in villages. Farmers also get the chance to visit lead farmers farms to learn about their practices.

**Fertilizer Tree Species (FTS):** plantation of legume trees that fertilize the soil.

**Field school:** training farmers through observation and experimentation.

**Filtering embankment:** permeable small stone layers for degraded land restoration.

**Fodder trees:** valorization of trees that provide forage for animals.

**Forage commercialization:** farmers sell surplus of forage to get supplementary revenue.

**Grass strip:** planting or sowing local grass species along contours, to slow run-off water flow, increase infiltration and retain sediments.

**Grazing contracts:** contract between breeders and farmers. It defines when breeders are allowed to graze their animals on the farmer’s fields. Animals graze in dry period and move away at the beginning of wet season to let farmers cultivate.

**Green manure:** crop residues are left in the field to serve as mulch and fertilizer.

**Half-moon:** holes are dug with a half-moon shape to grow crops, with a protecting low wall of soil. It permits to collect run-off water.

**Hay production:** natural or cultivated grass is harvested green, dried and stored in balls. It constitutes feed resources for the end of dry season.

**Hedgerows:** plantation of trees and shrubs around or inside plots to prevent water erosion and sometimes animal damages.

**Honey house:** cooperative for processing and selling of honey. Prices are fixed by farmers in general assemble.

**Improved composting:** compost is enriched with either Trichoderma or natural phosphates. Trichoderma accelerates lignin and cellulose degradation and compete with cryptogam diseases. Natural phosphates acidify and enhance available phosphate.

**Improved local seeds multiplication:** purification and multiplication of local adapted seeds.

**Integrated control of pests:** stems are cut right after harvest and left on soil surface.

**Intercropping:** two or more crops are grown simultaneously on the same field.

**Jessour irrigation system:** several dams are constructed to capture runoff water and alluvium. Soil accumulated behind the dam is then used to cultivate or implant fruit trees.

**Keeping local tree species:** ploughing and direct sowing of local tree species to restore degraded land.

**Liquid compost:** use of liquid extracted from compost as fertilizer.

**Local committee for water management:** collective work on irrigation systems.

**Local land management charter:** innovative organization to better manage increasing conflicts linked to the use of agro-silvo-pastoral resources and complement traditional laws when land pressure becomes too important.

**Local research-innovation platform:** work with research centers and farming organizations to increase agroecology credibility.

**Manure composting:** manure from livestock is composted.

**Manure ditch:** ditches used for storage and improvement of manure (for instance composting in several layer that combine plant and animal material).

**Mechanical dune fixing:** installation of palisades to slow winds and immobilize the sand it transports. It aims at avoiding silting of cultivated land and/or natural ponds.

**Mechanized zai holes:** use of animal power for digging of zai holes to reduce peasants’ workload.
Milk collection system: installation of collect points in more marginal areas. Contracts secure milk supply and revenue for farmers. Farmers commit to deliver every day their surplus of milk that can be commercialized. In return, the enterprise commits to collect their surplus.

Mineral supplementation for dairy animals: to complement forage that has low mineral content: give access to salted stone to lick for dairy animals.

Mini cooperative dairies: 30 to 40 farmers own the cooperative. They gather the milk produced in the area. They get revenue that is fixed with all the actors of dairy sector.

Mini-dams: medium size dams constructed in bottom lands to retain run-off water.

Minimum tillage: superficial soil working with crop residues left at the top. It aims at limiting soil erosion and enhancing water infiltration.

Mix of varieties: mixing varieties in sowing phase.

Mulch: cover the soil with branches of natural or cultivated vegetation, after harvest.

Mulch-based cropping system: sowing under vegetative cover.

Multi-actors research platform: consultation between different actors to determine research objectives (local authorities, NGOs, local farmers’ representatives).

Nardi trenches: trenches to collect run-off water and favor infiltration. These tranche also open the soil and improve accessibility to nutrients for plants. Seeds transported by the wind are retained in trenches and strengthen herbaceous vegetation.

Natural plant-protection products: made of chili, ginger, garlic and sometimes tobacco and caicedra.

Nectar-producing plants planting: plantation of plants that produce nectar to favor pollinators.

Nursery on hot layer and early sowing: seeds are precociously produced on a hot layer nursery (heat resulting from composting). They are then sown directly when temperatures increase.

Off-season crops in bottomlands: cultivation of bottomland plots during drier season.

Organization of grazing paths: collective management, negotiation with farmers on time spent on their fields, organization of water sources.

Organization of meat sector: improvement of collective organization, professionalization.

Participative certification system: certification managed by farmers, support NGOs, processors and consumers (as opposed to certification by a third party).

Participative map making for bottomlands water management: participative determination of where to put hedgerows…etc.

Peasant seed exchange system: groups of farmers produce, exchange and distribute seeds.

Permeable stone dam: at territorial scale, stone dams are installed to slow down run-off water flows and increase sedimentation. It allows cultivation in bottomlands.

Planned grazing: the idea is to mimic wildlife and pastoral herds. Local people combine their animals into one large herd, which grazes one area at a time.

Plantation of Eucalyptus: Eucalyptus trees are planted on top of hills to limit erosion and silting of bottomlands and to produce fire wood.

Pond structures: digging of ponds to store water for animals.

Processing plants: women gather to process fruits from oasis trees.

Production of local adapted seed varieties: production of local seeds adapted to soil and climate conditions by local associations, sometimes with a local research center support.

Promotion of agroecological production modes: promotion of agroecological practices based on crop-livestock integration, by facilitators and peasants.

Protected area: degraded lands are set aside, through periodic rotations, to favor ecosystems’ restoration.
Rainfall rice on Tanety: rice cultivation with no irrigation on small plots, with crop rotations including legumes to limit soil fertility loss.

Regulation of animal free movement: limitation of animal free movement to limit their damages on croplands, with hedgerows, fences... etc.

Reforestation: restoring and recreating areas of woodlands.

Reforestation of water source head: plantation of trees near water sources to increase water supply.

Re-vegetation of stone bunds: plantation of endemic plant species on stone bunds, which can be valorized as forage for animals.

Rope pump for vegetable production: introduction of vegetable production thanks to rope pumps installation.

Rotational grazing: pastureland is divided in several plots which are alternatively grazed by animals, to avoid overgrazing.

Sand dams: dams made of sand to collect water.

Seeds coating and dry sowing: seeds coating with clay soil, compost and cereal bran which constitute a humid layer, and dry sowing.

Seeds soaking: submerge seeds in water before sowing.

Shelters for livestock: building of shelters to protect animals from cyclones.

Short food supply chain: farmers process their production and sell it directly to the consumers on farmers markets or fairs for instance.

Shorter-term crops or varieties: choice of varieties with shorter growth period to reduce risk of crop failure.

Short-term rice varieties: rice varieties from research stations, to reduce risk of crop failure.

Soil-improving plants: plants which enhance soil nitrogen, such as legumes.

Solar pumping system: pump running on electricity generated by photovoltaic panels or thermal energy available from collected sunlight.

Stone bund: stones are put along level lines to slow run-off water flow and favor its infiltration.

Storage buildings from local materials: to limit loss of harvested production.

Strengthening of vegetable production: vegetable off-season production thanks to improved access to water.

Sump: kind of temporary well with low depth and narrow diameter traditionally secured with hay and/or wood. It is dug in dry seasons by breeders when natural ponds are dry. It permits to manually water small herds. It is isolated but part of a whole. Animals can therefore move from one sump to another while they are filling.

Supplementation of millet bran: adding millet bran in feed rations to increase protein content and enhance milk production.

System of Rice Intensification (SRI): low input based rice production system. The basic principles are: early transplanting, sufficient distance between plants, compost or manure use, and non-permanent presence of water (to favor roots growth).

Test and diffusion of local seed varieties: seed production by peasant organization

Training in agroecological practices: implementation of an educational farm for production and demonstration (ex: practical training in animal-drawn practices).

Tree nursery for high demand trees: preparation for plantation of trees for food, revenue, forage, wood and hedges.

Urea treatment of hay: improvement of hay digestibility and nitrogen content.

Valorization of crop residues: feeding animals with crop residues.
**Water reservoir for vegetable production:** digging of pond to increase water storage capacity, with vegetable production around.

**Water source networking:** improving distribution of wells and drilling sites in pasturelands.

**Weir:** devices to slow water flow and limit water erosion, in order to favor crop cultivation.

**Wind breaks:** plantation of trees such as *Cajanus cajan* to limit wind erosion.

**Zai holes:** digging of small basins in compacted soils before first rain to retain run-off water. Organic matter is put inside those holes, before plantation of crops inside them.

---

### Appendix 10: List of experts interviewed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACF</td>
<td>Bader Mahaman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agrisud</td>
<td>Sylvain Berton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARFA</td>
<td>Mathieu Savadogo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVSF associated expert</td>
<td>Valentin Beauval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVSF Mali</td>
<td>Marc Chapon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVSF associated expert</td>
<td>René Billaz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVSF Togo</td>
<td>Myriam Mackiewicz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVSF</td>
<td>Stefano Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVSF</td>
<td>Gauthier Ricordeau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVSF</td>
<td>Katia Roesch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARE-France</td>
<td>Aurélie Ceinos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARI</td>
<td>Adeline Derkimba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARI</td>
<td>Jean-Baptiste Cheneval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCFD-Terre solidaire</td>
<td>Florian Dejacquelot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENDA Senegal</td>
<td>Emmanuel Seck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etc Terra</td>
<td>Clovis Grinand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRET</td>
<td>Pierre Ferrand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRET</td>
<td>Judicaël Fetiveau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRET Madagascar</td>
<td>Thierry Rabarijaona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRD</td>
<td>Martial Bernoux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRD</td>
<td>Damien Raclot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRD</td>
<td>Benjamin Sultan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHK</td>
<td>Issiaka Bôh Magassa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salvaterra</td>
<td>Olivier Bouyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenmiya</td>
<td>Sidi Ahmed Cheine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terre et humanisme</td>
<td>Pierre-François Prêt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URD</td>
<td>Julie Patinet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>