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I

INTRODUCTION.

The disposal possibilities of a large amount of dust from FeSi, Si-metal and CaSi productions as a result of melting processes by Fiskaa Verk in Kristiansand, with the aim of sea water was reported previously. (1) During the above mentioned work all study were based on the dust from FeSi production, and it was thought that obtained results would be valid also for other dust qualities in question. Later it was discovered by Fiskaa Verk that dust from CaSi production had different characteristics than the other categories, the mixture of which sea-water might result in different flow properties.

The proposed transportation line for the disposal of dust is considerably long, and, for economic reasons, the accurate determination of friction factor was necessary. Therefore the flow behaviour of sea-water mixed with varying concentrations of CaSi-dust was investigated through the rotational viscometer. These data are essential for determining the flow parameters necessary for the evaluation of friction factor. As a result of this investigation the flow shows a combination of Bingham plastic and pseudo-plastic behaviour at high dust concentrations, but only Bingham plastic behaviour at lower concentrations.

The specific weight of CaSi-dust was measured by our institute with the aim of pycnometer where carbontetrachloride was used as repressing water. Viscometric measurements were undertaken by the Central Institute for Industrial Research, given range of which was not wide enough for the accurate determination of flow parameters and thixotropy if it existed. The friction factors obtained for both Bingham plastic and pseudo-plastic flow behaviours will result in considerable differences in their corresponding head loss values (see Tables 3 and 4).

II

DISCHARGE CONDITIONS.

Previous experiments showed that to achieve effective density currents and harmless discharge conditions at the discharge area, the specific weight of

discharged liquid should range between 1.074 and 1.132, corresponding concentrations for which were \( n = 200 \text{ g/l (1/5)} \) and \( 100 \text{ g/l (1/10)} \) respectively. The measured specific weights of the later dust from Si-metal and CaSi productions are 1.748 and 1.795 respectively, which are considerably different from the specific weight of FeSi.

As it is obvious from Fig. 1 and values given in Table 1, CaSi-dust concentrations are not the same for the corresponding suggested specific weights and remain within the range of \( n = 250 \text{ g/l (1/4)} - 111 \text{ g/l (1/9)} \).

In relation with the achievement of a suitable density current, given flow velocities in the transportation system were about 0.80 - 1.50 m/sec. for 100 - 150 mm \( \Phi \) pipes.

III BEHAVIOUR OF SEA-WATER MIXED WITH CaSi-DUST.

The shear stress - shear rate curves (fluid flow curves) representing the behaviours of very well known fluids under laminar flow conditions are given in Fig. 2 (2).

For comparison and because relationship between shear stress and shear rate can be denoted best on an arithmetic paper, the related viscometric data, as measured by the Central Institute for Industrial Research, are given in Figs. 3 and 4 as an arithmetic plot. Therefore in the following it is tried to estimate the behaviour of the liquid in question by making comparison between the curves given in Fig. 2 and Figs. 3 and 4. As it is obvious from the Figs. 3 and 4, it seems that in all cases flow initiated until a yield value of shear stress was overcome. The rest of the curves are approximately straight lines which can be assumed as increasing linearly with the stress as it is for Bingham plastic fluids (2) (3). The common expression for this behaviour is given by the following relationship.

\[
\tau - \tau_y = \eta \left( \frac{du}{dy} \right) \overset{\ldots}{\ldots} \overset{\ldots}{\ldots} \overset{\ldots}{\ldots} \overset{\ldots}{\ldots} \overset{\ldots}{\ldots} \overset{\ldots}{\ldots} (1)
\]

where

\( \tau \) = shear stress  
\( \tau_y \) = yield stress  
\( \eta \) = coefficient of rigidity (slope)  
\( \frac{du}{dy} \) = shear rate

The above given expression differs from Newtonian fluids only in that the relationship between, \( \tau \), and, \( \frac{du}{dy} \), does not go through the origin, as is found for the liquid in question.

On the other hand, as is experienced very often, a great deal of pseudo-plastics will plot straight lines on log-log paper. Our observations plotted on log-log paper partly introduce straight lines (see Figs. 5-9). In this case the relationship between shear stress and shear rate is given by the following power function.

\[
\tau = k \left( \frac{du}{dy} \right)^m
\]

where

\( m \) = flow behaviour index  
\( k \) = flow consistency index

Above information indicates very well that the behaviour of this liquid is non-Newtonian and involves more than one parameter. The accurate determination of these parameters for pipe line design is of great importance.

IV  PRACTICAL DETERMINATION OF FLOW PARAMETERS AND FRICTION FACTORS.

The logarithmic plot of viscometric data for dust concentrations higher than 125 g/l, demonstrate the combination of Bingham plastic and pseudo-plastic behaviours. The arithmetic plot of the same data gives, however, impression that the possibility of Bingham plasticity may exist for the whole series of data, and that pseudo-plasticity might be due to non-uniform distribution and settling of dust particles at low flow rates during the operation of viscometer. It is believed that the properly stirring of the liquid before operation might eliminate or at least minimize the assumed influence of non-uniform distribution and settling of particles.
The calculated values of behaviour index \( m < 1 \) \(^4\) showed that the liquid in question might show whether Bingham plastic or pseudo-plastic behaviours. For the solution of our problem the consideration of each case separately was thought to be necessary.

1. **Bingham plastic.**

For the calculation of friction factor Reynolds number, \( Re \), for Bingham plastic behaviour is expressed by Eq. 3.

\[
Re = \frac{UD\gamma}{n} \quad \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots (3)
\]

where

\[
U = \text{mean velocity of flow in pipe}
\]
\[
D = \text{inside diameter of pipe}
\]
\[
\gamma = \text{specific weight}
\]
\[
n = \text{coefficient of rigidity}
\]

The data needed for the calculation of Reynolds number such as mean velocity of flow in pipe and inside diameter of pipe were determined as a result of previous experiments, required coefficients of rigidity were obtained from the arithmetic plot of viscometric data (Figs. 3 and 4). Sea-water containing varying dust concentrations and corresponding coefficients of rigidity are given in Table 2. Friction factors (\( \lambda \)) for determined flow velocities and pipe diameters are given in Table 3. Friction factors were obtained from Moody diagram through the curve for smooth pipes (Fig. 10).

2. **Pseudo-plastic.**

Reynolds number for pseudo-plastic flow is expressed by the following equation (see ref. 2, p. 736).

\[
Re = \frac{\frac{U^{2-m}}{D^{m'}} \gamma}{k' \left( \frac{6m' + 2}{m'} \right)^m} \quad \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots (4)
\]

In the above equation it is necessary to determine the flow consistency index, \( k' \), and flow behaviour index, \( m' \). Referring to the curves given on log-log papers, \( m' \) can be read as the slope of the curves, and \( k' \) the intercept on the, \( r = k' \), axis, for \( \frac{du}{dy} = 1 \).

As pointed out by Behn \(^5\) the rotational viscometer equations utilized are not based on any particular shear stress — shear rated model and can not be used directly to evaluate the flow parameters. Therefore the interchange of rotational viscometer data to pipe line data is necessary. For the interchange in question the following equation was used.

\[
k' = k \left( \frac{\frac{3}{4} m' + 1}{4 m'} \right) \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad (5)
\]

where

\[
k = \text{flow consistancy index of rotational viscometer data}
\]

\[
m = \text{flow behaviour index of} \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad (5)
\]

The determination of \( m' \) through the existing data was not possible, so, \( k' \), was evaluated by assuming, \( m' \), to be very close in value to \( m' \). Flow parameters corresponding to the sea-water having different dust concentrations are given in Table 4.

Friction factors for pseudo-plastic conditions obtained from the Moody diagram through the curve for smooth pipes are given in Table 5.

V SELECTION OF POSSIBLE FLOW BEHAVIOUR FOR PIPE DESIGN.

Combination of Bingham plastic and pseudo-plastic behaviours were observed for the concentrations of 200, 167 and 143 g/l. It is obvious from the related curves (Figs. 5 and 6) that for the above mentioned concentrations pseudo-plasticity exists at low flow rates, but for decreasing concentrations \( n < 1/7, 143 \text{ g/l} \) the influence of pseudo-plasticity is diminishing. It seems from the viscometric data that for concentrations over 125 g/l the rate of flow is responsible for the change in the behaviour of flow.

---

This investigation had to be undertaken according to the previously determined flow velocities and pipe diameters. The influence of flow rate on the behaviour of flow is obvious from the results, and the selection of the possible flow behaviour corresponding to the flow velocities and pipe diameters in question was necessary.

Because shear rate is a function of flow velocity in the pipe and the diameter of pipe, a selection process was undertaken through the shear rate relationship.

Shear rate at the pipe wall (6):

\[
(-\frac{du}{dr})_W = \frac{6U}{D} \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{4\tau}{3\tau_w} + \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{\tau}{\tau_w} \right)^4} \right) - \frac{\tau}{\eta} \quad \text{(Bingham plastic)} \quad (6)
\]

\[
(-\frac{du}{dr})_W = \frac{6U}{D} \left( \frac{3m + 1}{4m} \right) \quad \text{(pseudo-plastic)} \quad (7)
\]

where

\[\tau_w = \text{shear stress at the pipe wall, and other terms are as previously defined.}\]

Max. and min. shear rate values are given in Table 6. In calculating shear rate at the pipe wall for Bingham plastic behaviour the value of \(\tau_Y/\tau_w < 0.4\), in all cases, made it possible to neglect the last term in brackets in Eq. 6. The application of data given in Table 6 to the related flow curves (Figs. 5 and 6) show that flow behaviour for the given flow velocities and pipe diameters is pseudo-plastic.

VI DISCUSSION.

This investigation shows that the flow behaviour determination of seawater mixed with varying concentrations of CaSi-dust does not give a direct proportionality between shear stress and shear rate under laminar flow conditions, but has to be evaluated from very accurate viscometric data.

The range of viscometric data used during this investigation was not wide enough for the accurate determination of flow parameters and thixotropy if it existed. As experienced by Behn (5) it is possible to obtain a wider range of viscometric data by using capillary viscometer through which the direct scale-up for pipe-line design is possible.

Settling and non-uniform distribution of dust particles may be the reason for divergence from the Bingham plastic behaviour at low flow rates. However, existing data are not sufficiently extensive to verify this concept.

The economic consequence of this may be quite considerable as shown in the following example:

Friction factor used during the previous investigation for sea-water mixed with FeSi-dust, \( n = 1.43 \text{ g/l (1/7)} \), flow velocity and pipediameter of 1.1 m/sec. and 150 mm Ø respectively was, \( \lambda = 0.019 \). The same value can be used in the case of Bingham-plastic flow for sea-water mixed with CaSi-dust \( n = 1.43 \text{ g/l (1/7)} \) under the same conditions. Friction loss for both above mentioned situations will be 0.008 m for 1.00 m pipe length. Sea-water mixed with CaSi-dust for \( n = 1.43 \text{ g/l (1/7)} \) for pseudo-plastic flow gives a friction factor of, \( \lambda = 0.028 \), for which friction loss in 1.00 m pipe length will be 0.0113 m. Under above given conditions the difference in friction loss in a pipe length of 2,000 m for Bingham plastic and pseudo-plastic flow will be \( 22.6 - 16.0 = 6.6 \text{ m} \).

VII CONCLUSION.

From the theoretical treatise of data based on viscometric measurements on sea-water containing concentrations of CaSi-dust varying between 100 and 200 g/l, the following flow characteristics of the liquid have been evaluated:

1. A combination of Bingham plastic and pseudo-plastic behaviours were observed. For concentrations higher than 125 g/l pseudo-plasticity predominates at low flow rates. For concentrations less than 143 g/l, the influence of pseudo-plasticity is diminishing.
2. Within the given ranges of flow velocity and pipe diameter, the flow behaviour of the liquid having concentrations of CaSi-dust over 125 g/l must be characterized as pseudo-plastic.

3. For concentrations over 125 g/l, friction factors given in Table 5 are recommended to use for design purposes.
TABLE 1.

Specific weight of sea-water for different dust concentrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dust concentration (n)</th>
<th>Sp. weight (γ) mixed with (Si-met.)</th>
<th>mixed with (CaSi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/1 (1000 g/l)</td>
<td>1.439</td>
<td>1.455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2 (500 g/l)</td>
<td>1.232</td>
<td>1.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/3 (333 g/l)</td>
<td>1.163</td>
<td>1.168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/4 (250 g/l)</td>
<td>1.128</td>
<td>1.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/5 (200 g/l)</td>
<td>1.108</td>
<td>1.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/6 (167 g/l)</td>
<td>1.092</td>
<td>1.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/7 (143 g/l)</td>
<td>1.084</td>
<td>1.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/8 (125 g/l)</td>
<td>1.077</td>
<td>1.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/9 (111 g/l)</td>
<td>1.071</td>
<td>1.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/10 (100 g/l)</td>
<td>1.066</td>
<td>1.058</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 2.

Coefficient of rigidity for sea-water consisting of different dust concentrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>1/5(200 g/l)</th>
<th>1/6(167 g/l)</th>
<th>1/7(143 g/l)</th>
<th>1/8(125 g/l)</th>
<th>1/9(111 g/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 3.
Friction factors obtained from Moody diagram (see Fig. 10), Bingham plastic flow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D_1 = 80 mm</th>
<th>D_2 = 100 mm</th>
<th>D_3 = 120 mm</th>
<th>D_4 = 150 mm</th>
<th>Re for n = 0.032</th>
<th>λ</th>
<th>Re for n = 0.025</th>
<th>λ</th>
<th>Re for n = 0.023</th>
<th>λ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U m/sec.</td>
<td>D_1</td>
<td>D_2</td>
<td>D_3</td>
<td>D_4</td>
<td>D_1</td>
<td>D_2</td>
<td>D_3</td>
<td>D_4</td>
<td>D_1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>25.3x10^3</td>
<td>27.7x10^3</td>
<td>33.3x10^3</td>
<td>41.6x10^3</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>32.4x10^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>27.7x10^3</td>
<td>34.7x10^3</td>
<td>41.6x10^3</td>
<td>52.0x10^3</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>35.5x10^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>33.3x10^3</td>
<td>41.6x10^3</td>
<td>49.9x10^3</td>
<td>62.4x10^3</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>42.6x10^3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 4.
Flow parameters for sea-water having different dust concentrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>1/5(200 g/l)</th>
<th>1/6(167 g/l)</th>
<th>1/7(143 g/l)</th>
<th>1/8(125 g/l)</th>
<th>1/9(111 g/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n*</td>
<td>0.632</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>Bingham plastic</td>
<td>Bingham plastic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k'</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 5.
Friction factors obtained from Moody diagram, pseudo-plastic flow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D_1 (80 mm)</th>
<th>m' = 0.32</th>
<th>( \lambda )</th>
<th>m' = 0.27, 0.26, 0.23</th>
<th>( \lambda )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Re for k' = 4.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Re for k' = 3.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U m/sec.</td>
<td>D_1</td>
<td>D_2</td>
<td>D_3</td>
<td>D_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>2082</td>
<td>3150</td>
<td>3307</td>
<td>4316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>4910</td>
<td>4580</td>
<td>4809</td>
<td>6275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>5983</td>
<td>6540</td>
<td>6867</td>
<td>8960</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 6.
Max. and min. shear rate values for the selection of flow behaviour.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U m/sec.</th>
<th>Pseudo-plastic</th>
<th>Bingham plastic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(du/dr)_w m = 0.32</td>
<td>(du/dr)_w m = 0.27</td>
<td>(du/dr)_w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_1(max.)</td>
<td>D_4(min.)</td>
<td>D_1(max.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1 Specific weight and concentration relationship.

Specific weight of sea water mixed with dust: $\gamma$

Sp. w. of sea water: $\gamma_s = 1.025$

Sp. w. of dust: $\gamma_{\text{dust}} = 1.748 \text{ (Si-met), 1.795 (CaSi)}$

Dust concentration: $n = \frac{1 \text{ kg dust}}{\text{ sea w. mixed with dust}}$

$$\gamma = n \left[ 1 + \gamma_s \left( \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{\gamma_{\text{dust}}} \right) \right]$$

Graph showing specific weight ($\gamma$) as a function of dust concentration ($n$). Points for CaSi and Si met are indicated with markers. Key points:

- $\gamma = 1.132$
- $\gamma = 1.074$
Fig. 2 Fluid flow curves.

\[ \gamma = \text{shear stress} \]

- Bingham plastic
- Pseudoplastic
- Newtonian
- Dilant
- Ideal fluid

\[ \frac{du}{dy} = \text{shear rate} \]
Fig. 3 Rotational viscometer data
(sea water mixed with CaSi-dust)
Fig. 4 Rotational viscometer data
(sea water mixed with CaSi-dust)

Shear stress, $\tau$, in dynes per centimeter squared

Shear rate, $du/dy$, seconds$^{-1}$

Concentrations:
- $125$ g/l
- $111$ g/l
- $100$ g/l
Fig 7  Rotational viscometer data.  
(sea water mixed with CaSi-dust)
Fig. 9 Rotational viscometer data (sea water mixed with CaSi-dust)
Fig. 10 Moody Diagram for friction in pipes.