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1 Introduction

The DEEP model is a multi-sectoral, multi-regional, multi-gas dynamic computable general equilibrium model. It was developed for analysing the economics of climate change policy, and to generate emission scenarios for other models. So far it has primarily been used to analyse issues relating to the Kyoto Protocol, such as enforcement and the Clean Development Mechanism. It is also intended for use in analysing future (post-Kyoto) climate agreements, and for integration with other models (atmospheric chemistry and general circulation models).

Chapter 2 explains what data sources we have used, and how we have manipulated these data. Chapter 3 then outlines the flow of goods, and the general structure of the model. The fourth chapter describes in greater detail the structure of production, demand and trade in the DEEP model. Chapter 5 deals with the treatment of greenhouse gas emissions in the model, while chapter 6 explains the dynamics of the model. Finally, the appendix provides the MPSGE code for the model.

2 The data

The Global Trade Analysis Project (GTAP) is a global data base that contains “bilateral trade, transport, and protection data characterizing economic linkages among regions, together with individual-country input-output data bases that account for intersectoral linkages within each region” (Hertel 1997). Version 5 of the data base (Center for Global Trade Analysis, 2001) consists of data for 57 sectors and 66 regions. The original data base is converted into a GAMS readable format using the GTAPinGAMS conversion tool (Rutherford and Paltsev 2000). These data are then converted to all the parameters required to describe a full social accounting matrix (SAM). A SAM is a general and consistent macroeconomic accounting framework. In this case it is a global SAM consisting of input-output matrices for each region (inputs of primary factors to sectors, and output of consumption and investment), and with trade between the regions. The SAM for the DEEP model is constructed in the same way as in the dynamic GTAP-EG model.

The DEEP model allows some flexibility in the aggregation of sectors and regions according to the needs of the project in question. There is full flexibility regarding the aggregation of regions. Certain sectors, however, have to be present in order for the model to work. These are the energy sectors crude oil, petroleum, coal, gas and electricity, and agriculture. While the GTAP data base has five primary factors (capital, land, natural resources, skilled and unskilled labour), this is aggregated to just two primary factors in DEEP – capital (capital, land and natural resources) and labour (skilled and unskilled labour). The GTAP regions and sectors are listed in appendix 2.

Emissions data for CO₂, N₂O and CH₄ are provided by the GTAP/EPA project “Towards An Integrated Data Base for Assessing the Potential for Greenhouse Gas Mitigation” (Lee 2002 and Lee 2003). CO₂ emissions are provided for the use of six energy commodities, as intermediate inputs to all 57 GTAP sectors, for each GTAP region, and divided between imported and domestic sources (a 6*57*57*66*2 matrix). These data we aggregate to a three commodity (oil, coal and gas) emission data base for intermediate inputs in each region, without separation of domestic and imported sources (a 3*57*57*66 matrix). Emissions data for nitrous oxide (N₂O) and methane (CH₄) are from the US Environmental Protection Agency. Emissions are provided with a sectoral breakdown.

---

1 With the exception that we do not separate out the capital used in fossil fuel production as resource capital.
The whole emissions data base is stored in the model, but for each run of the model, emissions are calculated with the appropriate sectoral and regional breakdown, using an aggregation procedure (aggrghg.gms). This ensures that the emissions data do not limit the flexibility in regional and sectoral aggregation.

3 About the model

The DEEP model builds on a combination of other models, but also differs from each of these in significant respects. The structure of production and demand is based on the GTAP-EG model by Rutherford and Paltsev (2000), with some modifications (primarily to the structure of final demand). The dynamics of the model were developed with great help from the “notes” by Paltsev (1999). Non-CO2 emissions are implemented using the approach adopted in the EPPA model (Hyman et al. 2002).

The model is programmed in the MPSGE (Mathematical Programming System for General Equilibrium Analysis). MPSGE is a programming language that was developed by Tom Rutherford for solving Arrow-Debreu economic equilibrium models.

The DEEP model consists of five main elements: Production, a representative agent (demanding a consumption good, receiving tax revenue, and having primary factor endowments), an Armington aggregation of domestic and imported goods, and a capital and investment sector. The model structure is presented in figure 1. For simplicity, emissions trading has been excluded from this figure. Parameter names are given as the different elements of the models are presented.

In the basic model there are eight sectors and nine regions, which are listed in table 1. The production of goods \((py)\) in each sector \((y)\) takes place using the primary factors \((pl)\) and \((rk)\) and inputs from other sectors (domestic - \(py\) or imported - \(pa\)). With the exception of the primary factors and the investment good \((py(cgd))\), all goods can be traded bilaterally between all regions. Tariffs on imports and exports, and taxes on inputs and outputs are included in the model (in terms of rates reported by GTAP). All trading takes place through an Armington aggregation \((a)\) of domestic and imported goods. Transport margins \((pt)\), a fixed-share cost of transportation, are applied to all such international (bilateral) trades – giving rise to a price differential between world prices for exports and imports (transportation applied to exports before import price is calculated). The transport margin is produced by an international transport sector \((yt)\) with inputs from the production sectors \((py)\). The consumption good \((pc)\) is made up of inputs from all sectors (after domestic and imported goods have been aggregated to an Armington composite). Thus there is not direct consumption of imported goods. This consumption good is the only good that the representative agent \((ra)\) demands.

The representative agent is endowed with the two primary factors, labour \((pl)\) and capital \((pk)\), and with emission permits. The sale of these endowments generates income for the representative agent. All revenues from taxes and tariffs also accrue to the agent (which we recall is both consumer and government).

The capital which the representative agent is endowed with \((pk)\), is purchased by a capital sector \((kap)\). This sector rents out capital to the production sectors (receiving the interest \((rk)\) in return). The capital stock minus the per-period depreciation is carried forward to the next time period. The capital stock can grow through investments. The investment sector \((inv)\) produces capital \((pk)\) by purchasing a capital (investment) good \((py(cgd))\) from the non-fossil fuel production sector.

All sectors that emit carbon dioxide \((CO2)\), methane \((CH4)\) or nitrous oxide \((N2O)\), in regions that are part of a climate agreement, are required to hold permits corresponding to the amount of emissions. These permits are initially held by the representative agent in each
region, who are endowed with a certain amount of permits—corresponding to their emission limits. Because the national target is for a certain amount of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions (measured in CO₂-equivalents), this endowment is given in the form of general GHG permits. A sector converts the general GHG permits to the specific emission permits for each gas—that the emitters are required to hold. These specific-gas permits are not region specific, and can be traded among all regions that are part of the climate agreement (unlike for other goods, where all trades are bilateral). Because of the complexity of the flow of goods, emission permits are not included in figure 1.

Table 1 Regions and sectors in DEEP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regions</th>
<th>Sectors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EUU</td>
<td>European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPN</td>
<td>Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSU</td>
<td>Former Soviet Union countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEE</td>
<td>Central and Eastern Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAB</td>
<td>Rest of Annex B countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRC</td>
<td>Kyoto-rejecting countries (USA and Australia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHN</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPE</td>
<td>OPEC countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>Rest of world</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Non-fossil fuel production</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AGF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ELE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CGD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CRU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1** Regions and sectors in DEEP
Figure 1  Flow of goods in the DEEP model (excluding emission permits)
4 Structure of production sectors and final demand

The structure of production and demand has been adopted from the GTAP-EG model by Rutherford and Paltsev (2000). This chapter therefore follows closely their description of the model. All unreferenced quotes in this chapter are Rutherford and Paltsev (2000).

The structure of DEEP deviates from the GTAP-EG model in three respects. The first is the inclusion of methane and nitrous oxide. How emissions and emission permits (including CO2) are implemented in the model, will be described in the next chapter. The second is that the nesting structure for final demand has been changed to reflect what we believe are more realistic assumptions concerning energy use. Because the DEEP model is dynamic, while the GTAP-EG model is static, all sectors and goods have a time dimension. Also, in some cases technology improvement, or growth variables have been introduced. These are discussed in chapter 7 (dynamics of the model).

4.1 Production

Production is described using two different production functions, one for fossil fuel production, and one for non-fossil fuel production. Figure 2 shows the production structure for fossil fuel production. The values to the right of each node in the tree show the elasticity. The name of the nodes refers to the MPSGE formulation listed in appendix 1.

Fossil fuel production is a CES-function that includes crude oil, gas and coal. The output is produced as an aggregate of a resource \( rk \) and a non-resource input. The non-resource input is a Leontief composite of labour \( pl \) and the Armington composite good \( pa \). The elasticity of substitution between the resource and non-resource inputs \( ese \) depends on the (fixed) value share of resource inputs in fossil fuel supply.

\[
g:ese(xe,r) \]

Figure 2 Fossil fuel production

Figure 3 describes the nesting structure and elasticities for non-fossil fuel production. “Output is produced with fixed-coefficient (Leontief) inputs of intermediate non-energy goods and an energy-primary factor composite \( vae \). The energy-primary factor composite is a constant-elasticity of substitution (CES) function with an elasticity of 0.5. The composite is in turn made from an energy composite and a primary factor composite \( va \) of labor \( pl \) and capital \( rk \). The primary factor composite is aggregated through a Cobb-Douglas production function. The energy composite is a CES function of electricity \( pa(ele) \) versus a non-electricity \( nel \) energy composite, with an elasticity of 0.1. The energy composite is made up of coal \( pa(col) \) and a liquid fuel \( lqd \) composite, with an elasticity of 0.5. At the very bottom of the nest, we find this liquid fuel composite of oil \( pa(oil) \) and gas \( pa(gas) \) with an elasticity of substitution of 2.
4.2 International trade

In DEEP the world consists of different regional economies that are linked though bilateral trade flows. All goods, except the primary factors (labour and capital) and the investment good, can be traded among the regions. The model assumes that goods produced in different countries are not identical (the “Armington assumption”). Trades therefore take place between pairs of countries, rather than importing and exporting through some global pool of the good. Each bilateral trade flow requires its own transportation service (with the exception of emission permits).

Figure 4 shows the structure of the Armington aggregation of domestic \(py(i,r)\) and imported \(py(i,s)\) goods. The elasticity of substitution between domestic and imported goods \(s\) is 4, while the, while the elasticity of substitution among imports from different regions \(m\) is 8. When a region imports a good, it is required to pay for transportation. This is modelled as a Leontief technology between the imported good and the transportation good \(pt\).

The transportation margins are “proportional to quantities traded”. The international transport services themselves are “assumed to be a Cobb-Douglas composite of goods provided in the domestic market in each region.”
4.3 Final demand/consumption

The structure of final demand is shown in figure 5. Final demand consists of one good, the consumption good $c(r)$, which is a “constant elasticity aggregate of non-energy consumption and energy. The non-energy composite ($c$) is in turn a Cobb-Douglas aggregate of different goods.”

The structure of the energy composite ($e$) is different in DEEP from GTAP-EG. In DEEP it is an aggregate of oil ($p_o(oil)$) and a composite ($o_e$) of electricity, petroleum and gas, with an elasticity of 0.3. The $o_{e}$ composite is in turn an aggregate of fossil energy ($o_f$) and electricity ($p_e(ele)$) with an elasticity of 0.75. The fossil energy composite is an aggregate of coal ($p_c(col)$) and gas ($p_c(gas)$) with an elasticity of substitution of 0.6.

The reasoning behind the design of this structure for energy demand is the following; the first node ($e$) is a choice between mobile (transportation) and stationary energy (primarily power generation). Transportation is represented by oil (96% of all energy use in transportation is oil, and 49% of all oil goes to transportation), while power generation consists of electricity, gas and coal. 100% of coal and 99% of gas goes into non-transport energy use. Stationary energy use is then, in turn, a choice between electricity and fossil fuels (coal and gas).
Figure 5 Nesting structure for consumption good

5 Representative agent

The representative agent, which is both consumer and government, demands only the consumption good. To pay for this good, the agent is endowed with labour and capital. The labour endowment grows for each year – at the same rate as the growth parameter (see chapter 7), while the capital endowment is only given in the first year (an initial capital stock which has to be increased through investment). The representative agent collects all taxes and tariffs specified in the model. If the region takes part in a climate agreement, then the agent is also endowed with emission permits.

The agent uses the tax revenue and income from endowments to purchase the consumption good – or pay for investment. While the agent gets utility only from the consumption good, investment is driven by the returns to capital generated in the next period (which will of course increase consumption), and a negative endowment of terminal capital. The function of this negative endowment is discussed in chapter 7.

6 Greenhouse gas emissions

In the real world CO₂ is emitted in relatively constant proportions to the use of fossil fuels. This makes CO₂ emissions relatively easy to model – particularly when good and detailed emissions data exist: For each amount of fossil fuels used in final demand, or in intermediate demand, a certain amount of CO₂ is emitted (the GTAP/EPA data tell us exactly how much). When there are restrictions on greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, for example if we are looking at implementation of the Kyoto Protocol, each producer emitting GHGs has to hold a quantity permits corresponding to the amount of gases emitted. In the model this is done by requiring a fixed share input of permits (more technically, through a Leontief technology composite of fossil fuels and permits). This is the same approach as in the GTAP-EG model.

Non-CO₂ emissions are modelled adopting the approach of the EPPA model (Hyman et al. 2002). Emission permits for CH₄ and N₂O are modelled as direct inputs to the production function (where there are emissions) at the top of the nest. In doing this we follow Hyman et al. (2002). “This formulation implies, other things equal, that a rise in the price of the GHG (as a result of tighter emissions constraint) leads to a substitution away from it by means of a
proportional increase in the use of all other inputs. [...] locating GHG disposal at the top of the nest, and the implications of doing so, represent in our judgment a good first approximation of input demand resulting from emissions control” (Hyman et al. 2002).

To be more precise, a sector that emits CH₄ and or N₂O produces a good that at the top of the nesting structure is a composite of CH₄ and a N₂O-good composite. This second composite is, as the term would imply, a composite of N₂O and the actual good. The elasticities are taken from Hyman et al. They derive estimates for the elasticity of substitution for CH₄ and N₂O for each sector (and with a further regional differentiation for agricultural emissions). They do this based on estimates of marginal abatement cost curves. Where the regional aggregation is not the same in DEEP as in Hyman et al., the elasticities for agriculture have to be averaged through a weighting procedure.

7 Dynamics of the model

The model is an intertemporal model (developed according to the guidelines of Paltsev 1999) with a utility maximising representative agent. Investment (growth) is endogenous, but investment is not determined, as in many other models, through a time preference rate or savings rate. Instead we have a growth parameter that defines a growth rate that is optimal for the original equilibrium, i.e. when there are no shocks (for example before a climate policy is introduced). The growth rate can be different between regions and time periods.

While we do not have an explicit time preference, the growth parameter does of course imply a specific time preference rate - as achieving a specific level of growth requires a specific level of investments (or a specific consumption-investment trade-off). What the growth parameter does is to tell the model what growth rates will maximise utility as long as everything remains the same. Choosing the growth parameter is therefore a matter of developing a growth scenario that we believe can take place – and that reflects utility maximisation.

When we introduce a shock to the equilibrium, the agent will maximise utility by adapting in various ways; substituting between sectors and inputs – and also between time periods. This intertemporal substitution can reduce or increase investments in a specific time period compared to the pre-defined growth parameters. The resulting economic growth might therefore differ from the growth parameter that defines the optimum for the no-shock situation.

The dynamics of the model are determined through setting certain parameters and through three blocks in the MPSGE formulation. The parameters are the growth parameter (annual growth), interest rate, depreciation rate, number of time periods, and years in each time period. The dynamic parameters can be changed between scenarios. In our standard scenario, the growth rate follows the IPCC SRES scenario 1B, while the interest and depreciation rates are both set to 5% per year. The three MPSGE blocks are the two production blocks capital and investment, and the demand block (representative agent).

The capital sector (cap) takes capital stock (pk(t)) as its input, while the output is return to capital (rk) – and next-period capital stock (pk(t+1)). The return to capital is determined by the interest rate, while the next-period capital stock is equal to the initial capital stock less depreciation. The GTAP data does not provide us with information about what the initial capital stock is, only about the value of return to capital. The size of the capital stock is therefore inferred from the rate of return (capital earnings equal capital stock multiplied by the rental price). The capital stock can increase through investments.

Investment (inv) takes place through the production of an investment good (py(cgd)). The investment good is produced in the same way as other non-fossil fuel goods. The output from the investment is capital stock – in the next time period. The GTAP data on investments will
not be consistent with the investment rates produced in the model (unless they should happen
to exactly match the rates implied by the GTAP data). One option would be to calibrate the
interest rate so that the observed (GTAP) and the predicted investment rates (endogenous to
the model) were in line. We have instead adopted the approach used in the Dynamic GTAP
model (Paltsev 1999), where the observed investment in the GTAP data base is discarded, and
the SAM is recalibrated to a new level of investment – consistent with the growth parameter.
To achieve this, the investment rule is:

\[
\text{Investment} = \text{capital stock} \times (\text{growth rate} + \text{interest rate})
\]

This calibration of investment has to be done if we want to run the model for any growth
rates other than those implied by the investment choices observed by GTAP.

The representative agent has an endowment of labour that grows (exogenously) parallel to
the initial growth rates (the growth parameter). The representative agent is also given a
negative endowment of final-period capital. This is done in order to avoid zero investments in
the final period; if there was no such endowment, the representative agent would consume all
capital in the final period, and make no investments. While this is rational for a finite model
horizon – as the agent will never see the returns from any final period investments, we want
to model a situation where the economy goes on beyond the horizon of the model. We
therefore has to force the agent to make investments in the final period. One way of doing this
is to require the agent to hold a certain amount of capital stock by the final period. The exact
quantity is set endogenously such that the ratio of investments to consumption is the final
period is the same as in the period before that.
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Appendix 1: MPSGE code for DEEP

Ssectors:

\[ \begin{align*}
  c(r,t) & \quad ! \text{Private consumption} \\
  y(i,r,t) & \quad ! \text{Output} \\
  a(i,r,t) & \quad ! \text{Armington aggregation} \\
  yt(t) & \quad ! \text{Transport} \\
  conv(ghg,r) & \quad ! \text{Conversion from endowment to individual ghg permits} \\
  kap(r,t) & \quad ! \text{Capital accumulation} \\
  inv(r,t) & \quad ! \text{Investment}
\end{align*} \]

Scommodities:

\[ \begin{align*}
  pc(r,t) & \quad ! \text{Consumption good} \\
  py(i,r,t) & \quad ! \text{Output} \\
  pa(i,r,t) & \quad ! \text{Armington composite} \\
  pl(r,t) & \quad ! \text{Wage rate} \\
  rk(r,t) & \quad ! \text{Return to capital} \\
  pk(r,t) & \quad ! \text{Capital} \\
  pkt(r) & \quad ! \text{Terminal capital} \\
  pt(t) & \quad ! \text{Transport services} \\
  pp(ghg,r) & \quad ! \text{Individual ghg permit} \\
  ppe(r) & \quad ! \text{ghg endowment permit}
\end{align*} \]

Sconsumers:

\[ \begin{align*}
  ra(r) & \quad ! \text{Representative agent}
\end{align*} \]

Sauxiliary:

\[ \begin{align*}
  tk(r) & \quad ! \text{Terminal capital stock} \\
  theta(r) & \quad ! \text{Steady-state share of assets} \\
  ta(r) & \quad ! \text{Terminal asset adjustment}
\end{align*} \]

* Final demand

\[ \begin{align*}
  \$prod: c(r,t) \quad \text{s:sub(r) h(s):sbs(r)} \quad \text{g(h):0.5 c(g):1 e(g):0.3}
\end{align*} \]
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+ oil(e):0 oe(e):0.75 of(e):0.6 gas(of):0 col(of):0
o:pc(r,t) q:ct0(r)
i:pa(i,r,t) q:co0(i,r)p:pc0(i,r) a:ra(r) t:tc(i,r) c:$\langle$not e(i)$\rangle$

+ oil:$\langle$oil(i)$\rangle$ oe:$\langle$ele(i)$\rangle$ gas:$\langle$gas(i)$\rangle$ col:$\langle$col(i)$\rangle$
i:pp(co2,r)#(oil)$\langle$m(r,t)$\rangle$ q:(co2s(oil,r)*imp(r,t)) oil:
i:pp(co2,r)#(col)$\langle$m(r,t)$\rangle$ q:(co2s(col,r)*imp(r,t)) col:
i:pp(co2,r)#(gas)$\langle$m(r,t)$\rangle$ q:(co2s(gas,r)*imp(r,t)) gas:
i:pp(ch4,r)$\langle$m(r,t)$\rangle$ q:(ch4f(r)*imp(r,t)) h:

* Non-fossil fuel production

$\langle$prod:y(i,r,t)$\rangle$ $\langle$mnr(i,r)$\rangle$ s:(sch4(i,r)) h(s):(sn2o(i,r)) g(h):0 va(g):0.5
+ va(vae):1 e(vae):0.1 nel(e):0.5 lqd(nel):2
+ oil(lqd):0 col(nel):0 gas(lqd):0
o:py(i,r,t) q:vom(i,r) a:ra(r) t:ty(i,r)
i:pa(j,r,t)$\langle$not fe(j)$\rangle$ q:vafm(j,i,r) p:pa0(j,i,r) a:ra(r) t:ti(j,i,r)
+ g:$\langle$not erg(j)$\rangle$ e:$\langle$ele(j)$\rangle$
i:pl(r,t) q:ld0(i,r) va:
i:rk(r,t) q:kd0(i,r) va:
i:pa(fe,r,t) q:vafm(fe,i,r) p:pa0(fe,i,r) fe.tl: a:ra(r) t:ti(fe,i,r)
i:pp(co2,r)#(fe)$\langle$m(r,t)$\rangle$ q:(fi(fe,i,r)*imp(r,t)) fe.tl:
i:pp(ch4,r)$\langle$m(r,t)$\rangle$ q:(ch4s(i,r)*imp(r,t))
i:pp(n2o,r)$\langle$m(r,t)$\rangle$ q:(n2os(i,r)*imp(r,t)) h:

* Fossil fuel production activity (crude, gas and coal)

$\langle$prod:y(xe,r,t)$\rangle$ $\langle$vom(xe,r)$\rangle$ s:(sch4(xe,r)) h(s):(sn2o(xe,r)) c(h):0
+ g(c):(ese(xe,r)) id(g):0
o:py(xe,r,t) q:vom(xe,r) a:ra(r) t:ty(xe,r)
i:pa(j,r,t) q:vafm(j,xe,r) p:pa0(j,xe,r) a:ra(r) t:ti(j,xe,r) id:
i:pl(r,t) q:ld0(xe,r) id:
i:rk(r,t) q:kd0(xe,r) g:
i:pp(co2,r)#(xe)$\langle$m(r,t)$\rangle$ q:(sum(fe, fi(fe,xr,e,r))*imp(r,t)) c:
i:pp(ch4,r)$\langle$m(r,t)$\rangle$ q:(ch4s(xe,r)*imp(r,t))
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i:pp(n2o,r)$m(r,t) q:(n2os(xe,r)*imp(r,t)) h:

* Armington aggregation

$prod:a(i,r,t)$a0(i,r) s:4 m:8 s.tl(m):0
o:pa(i,r,t) q:(eff(i,r,t)*a0(i,r))
i:py(i,r,t) q:(gdp(r,t)*d0(i,r))
i:py(i,s,t) q:(gdp(s,t)*vxmd(i,s,r)) p:pmx0(i,s,r) a:ra(s) t:tx(i,s,r)
+ a:ra(r) t:(tm(i,s,r)*(1+tx(i,s,r))) s.tl:
i:pt(t)#(s) q:(gdp(s,t)*vtwr(i,s,r)) p:pmt0(i,s,r) a:ra(r) t:tm(i,s,r) s.tl:

* International transport

$prod:yt(t) s:1
o:pt(t) q:(sum((i,r), vst(i,r)))
i:py(i,r,t) q:vst(i,r)

* Conversion of GHG permits

$prod:conv(ghg,r)$spr(r)
o:pp(ghg,r) q:permqt(ghg,r)
i:ppe(r)$spr(r) q:permqt(ghg,r)

* Capital accumulation

$prod:kap(r,t)
o:pk(r,t+1) q:((1-delta)*k0(r))
o:pkt(r)$tlast(t) q:((1-delta)*k0(r))
o:rk(r,t) q:(k0(r)*(delta+rate))
i:pk(r,t) q:k0(r)

* Investment
$prod: inv(r,t)
  o: pk(r,t+1)  q: i0(r)
  o: pkt(r)St(tlast)  q: i0(r)
  i: py(cgd,r,t)  q: i0(r)

* Final demand:

$demand: ra(r)  s: 0.5$
  d: pc(r,t)  q: (ct0(r)*qref(r,t))  p: pref(r,t)
  e: pl(r,t)  q: (evoa("lab",r)*qref(r,t))
  e: pl("krc",tlast)  q: (-1)  r: ta(r)
  e: pkt(r)  q: (-k0(r))  r: tk(r)
  e: pc("krc",t)  q: (vb(r)*qref(r,t))
  e: ppe(r)$ pr(r)  q: ghgl(r)

* Terminal capital constraint

$constraint: tk(r)$

\[
\text{sum}(t$tlast(t+1), c(r,t)*inv(r,t+1) - c(r,t+1)*inv(r,t)) = e= 0;
\]

* Steady-state share of assets

$constraint: theta(r)$

\[
\text{theta(r) * sum((r,tlast), c(r,tlast)*pc(r,tlast)*ct0((r,tlast)) - evoa("lab",r)*qref((r,tlast))*pl((r,tlast))}
    \text{- vb(rr)*qref(rr,tlast)*pc("euu",tlast) - ppe(rr)*ghgl(r))}
= e= \text{sum(tlast, c(r,tlast)*pc(r,tlast)*ct0(r) - evoa("lab",r)*qref(r,tlast)*pl(r,tlast)}
    \text{- vb(r)*qref(r,tlast)*pc("euu",tlast) - ppe(r)*ghgl(r));}
\]

* Terminal asset adjustment

$constraint: ta(r)$

\[
\text{sum(tfirst, pl("krc",tfirst)) * ta(r) = e= theta(r) * sum(rr,pkt(rr)*tk(rr)*k0(rr)) - pkt(r)*tk(r)*k0(r)};
\]
Appendix 2: GTAP regions and sectors

GTAP regions

AUS Australia
NZL New Zealand
CHN China CHN
HKG Hong Kong
JPN Japan
KOR Korea, Republic of KOR
TWN Taiwan
IDN Indonesia
MYS Malaysia
PHL Philippines
SGP Singapore
THA Thailand
VNM Vietnam
BDG Bangladesh
IND India
LKA Sri Lanka
XSA Rest of South Asia: Bhutan, Maldives, Nepal, Pakistan
CAN Canada
USA United States of America: American Samoa, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands, USA
MEX Mexico
XCM Central America and the Caribbean: Anguilla, Antigua & Barbuda, Aruba, Bahamas, Barbados, Belize, Cayman Islands, Costa Rica, Cuba, Dominica, Dominican Republic, El Salvador, Grenada, Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, Jamaica, Netherlands Antilles, Nicaragua, Panama, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Trinidad and Tobago, British Virgin Islands
COL Colombia
PER Peru
VEN Venezuela
XAP Rest of Andean Pact: Bolivia, Ecuador
ARG Argentina
BRA Brazil
CHL Chile
URY Uruguay
XSM Rest of South America: Guyana, Paraguay, Suriname
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUT</td>
<td>Austria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEL</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNK</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIN</td>
<td>Finland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRA</td>
<td>France: France, French Guiana, Martinique, Reunion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEU</td>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBR</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRC</td>
<td>Greece</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRL</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITA</td>
<td>Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUX</td>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLD</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRT</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESP</td>
<td>Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWE</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHE</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XEF</td>
<td>Rest of EFTA: Iceland, Liechtenstein, Norway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUN</td>
<td>Hungary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POL</td>
<td>Poland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XCE</td>
<td>Rest of Central European Associates: Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XSU</td>
<td>Former Soviet Union: Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Estonia, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Latvia, Lithuania, Republic of Moldova, Russian Federation, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Ukraine, Uzbekistan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUR</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XME</td>
<td>Rest of Middle East: Bahrain, Islamic Republic of Iran, Iraq, Israel, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syrian Arab Republic, United Arab Emirates, Yemen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR</td>
<td>Morocco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XNF</td>
<td>Rest of North Africa: Algeria, Egypt, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Tunisia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BWA</td>
<td>Botswana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XSC</td>
<td>Rest of South African Customs Union: Lesotho, Namibia, South Africa, Swaziland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWI</td>
<td>Malawi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOZ</td>
<td>Mozambique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZA</td>
<td>Tanzania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZMB</td>
<td>Zambia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZWE</td>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XSF</td>
<td>Other Southern Africa: Angola, Mauritius</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
UGA Uganda
XSS Rest of Sub-Saharan Africa: Benin, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cameroon, Cape Verde, Central African Republic, Chad, Comoros, Congo, Cote d'Ivoire, Djibouti, Equatorial Guinea, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Gabon, Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Kenya, Liberia, Madagascar, Mali, Mauritania, Mayotte, Niger, Nigeria, Rwanda, Sao Tome and Principe, Senegal, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Somalia, Sudan, Togo, Zaire
XRW Rest of World: Afghanistan, Albania, Andorra, Bermuda, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Brunei, Cambodia, Croatia, Cyprus, Faroe Islands, Fiji, French Polynesia, Gibraltar, Greenland, Guadeloupe, Kiribati, Lao People’s Democratic Republic, Macau, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Malta, Marshall Islands, Federated States of Micronesia, Monaco, Mongolia, Myanmar, Nauru, New Caledonia, Democratic People’s Republic of Korea, Papua New Guinea, San Marino, Solomon Islands, Tonga, Tuvalu, Vanuatu, Western Samoa, Yugoslavia

GTAP sectors
PDR Paddy rice
WHT Wheat
GRO Cereal grains nec
V_F Vegetables, fruit, nuts
OSD Oil seeds
C_B Sugar cane, sugar beet
PFB Plant-based fibers
OCR Crops nec
CTL Bovine cattle, sheep and goats, horses
OAP Animal products nec
RMK Raw milk
WOL Wool, silk-worm cocoons
FOR Forestry
FSH Fishing
COL Coal
OIL Oil
GAS Gas
OMN Minerals nec
CMT Bovine meat products
OMT Meat products nec
VOL Vegetable oils and fats
MIL Dairy products
PCR Processed rice
SGR Sugar
OFD Food products nec
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B_T</td>
<td>Beverages and tobacco products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEX</td>
<td>Textiles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAP</td>
<td>Wearing apparel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEA</td>
<td>Leather products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUM</td>
<td>Wood products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPP</td>
<td>Paper products, publishing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_C</td>
<td>Petroleum, coal products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>Chemical, rubber, plastic products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMM</td>
<td>Mineral products nec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I_S</td>
<td>Ferrous metals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFM</td>
<td>Metals nec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMP</td>
<td>Metal products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVH</td>
<td>Motor vehicles and parts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTN</td>
<td>Transport equipment nec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELE</td>
<td>Electronic equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OME</td>
<td>Machinery and equipment nec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMF</td>
<td>Manufactures nec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELY</td>
<td>Electricity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDT</td>
<td>Gas manufacture, distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTR</td>
<td>Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRD</td>
<td>Trade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTP</td>
<td>Transport nec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTP</td>
<td>Water transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP</td>
<td>Air transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMN</td>
<td>Communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFI</td>
<td>Financial services nec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISR</td>
<td>Insurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBS</td>
<td>Business services nec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROS</td>
<td>Recreational and other services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSG</td>
<td>Public Administration, Defense, Education, Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWE</td>
<td>Dwellings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>