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Abstract

In [Houk, 2005], the “Agents of the mind” idea is proposed as a suitable framework for studying the dynamics and complexities of mind. “Agents of the mind” is inspired by the society of mind idea of Marvin Minsky [Minsky, 1988]. According to the society of mind, the mind is a complex system. The mind agents are elusive to identify. The mind is proposed as a hierarchy of agents. The higher hierarchy agents compose of lower hierarchy agents. Higher level agents do not command lower level agents but they basically trigger or invoke lower level agents. Agents are functional entities and they interact with each other. One important part of the society of mind idea is that agents at the lowest level are the real workers. Higher level functionalities emerge as a result of the functioning of the lower level agents and the interactions between them.

In agents of the mind project, computational distributed processing modules (DPM) are posited for corresponding anatomically defined assemblies and they are referred to as the agents of the mind. M1 is an anatomical area in the cerebral cortex which produces voluntary commands via its loops through basal ganglia and cerebellum. M1-DPM is a computational distributed processing module which simulates M1 area and its loops for voluntary commands production. We use Netlogo 3.1 agent-based programming environment to illuminate the properties of mind. In this work, the attractor network in cerebellar loop and the effects of Purkinje cell on production of motor commands have been studied. The results are reported in this paper.

1. INTRODUCTION

Houk 2005 posits a framework where questions about how the mind thinks and controls actions may involve focusing on “networks of anatomically defined assemblies” that have been called distributed processing modules. This position firstly came after a series of experiments on functional imaging during a serial order recall task [Houk et al., 2005]. These experiments were made to study human brain activity during the selection of actions from working memory. Secondly, microelectrode recordings from monkeys trained in a step-tracking task were used to study natural selection of corrective sub movements. The DPM-based model assisted in the interpretation of the collected data. Thus, such a framework is suitable for focusing on questions about how the mind works and controls actions.

DPMs are posited as agents of the mind. That means that DPMs can be at different levels of hierarchy and higher level DPMs invoke lower level DPMs. The real workers are the lowest level DPMs. DPMs are composed of loops through the basal ganglia and through the cerebellum. The basal ganglia loop is involved in pattern classification and action selection whereas cerebellum loop is involved in refining actions. In basal ganglia loop, basal ganglia receives patterns from cerebral cortex and it can receive several patterns for a particular task from cerebral cortex (Figure 1). It has to make a decision between those patterns or sometimes one pattern can be strong and initiate its own selection. The decided/selected pattern is further sent to the cerebellum loop for refinement and amplification. In Figure 1, a hierarchy of DPMs is shown. In the figure, the cortical-cortical connections between levels are also shown. In the hierarchy, at the lowest level, M1-DPM produces the voluntary motor commands and these commands are sent to the muscles. In the human brain, it is suggested that there can be on the order of 100 DPMs.

Following example can be given to explain what a DPM module could do in practice. Imagine that there is a cup on a table and a person wants to reach the cup (Figure 2). There is an occluding object in front of the cup. There are many paths towards the cup from where the person’s hand is although the occluding object is putting constraints on the accessibility of the cup or the number of paths. Then, the cerebral cortex can visualize two approximate paths and send them to the basal ganglia for selection of one of them.
The basal ganglia, in addition and depending on the context, might also be reinforced to select the shortest one of the possible paths conveyed by the cerebral cortex to it. In that situation, the shortest path will be discovered and sent to cerebellum because of the contextual constraint posed by cerebral cortex. Cerebellum, then, estimates the tiny motions from the hand to the cup. Figure 3 shows the pattern selection, amplification and refinement process in generating a voluntary movement. The responsible DPM is the M1-DPM.

2. **M1 CORTICAL-CEREBELLAR LOOP**

M1 cortical-cerebellar loop may especially be important in regulating the intensity and the duration of voluntary commands. Cortical-cerebellar loop forms the loop between the cerebral cortex and cerebellum. It has macroscopic and
3. CEREBELLAR SIGNAL PROCESSING SCHEME

In Figure 5, one macroscopic module of cortical-cerebellar loop is given. There are two main divisions of the cerebellum: the cerebellar cortex and the cerebellar nuclei [Houk and Miller 2001]. Cerebellar cortex composes of a granular layer and a molecular layer. Mossy fiber inputs are projected into an even larger number of granular cells. The axons of granule cells then ascend into the molecular layer to form parallel fibers. The latter transmit diverge state of information to Purkinje cells (PCs) to detect and classify many different patterns of state-relevant input that may occur. The cerebral cortex sends state information to the granular layer of cerebellar cortex.

The results of each PC’s processing are then transmitted to a small cluster of neurons in the cerebellar nucleus, a projection that is exclusively inhibitory. The additional roles of a Purkinje cell are:

- Purkinje cell controls intensity, velocity and duration of a movement.
- Bi-stability of PC: It acts like a switch that can turn on or off a motor command with also the help of a sensory cue. PC inhibits a closed loop between a cerebellar nuclei cell and a motor cortical cell where the loop is called an attractor network.

Current work simulates the sequence of initiation of an upward tiny motion by simulating a microscopic module (Figure 6).

4. THE STATES OF THE ATTRACTOR NETWORK

The attractor network shown in Figure 6 has two stable states that it can be drawn to depending on the firing rate of the Purkinje cell and the strength of an input signal into the motor cortical neuron in the form of a sensory cue. High state occurs when there is sustained maximum positive feedback in the attractor network and a movement is initiated. Low state occurs when there is not any positive
feedback in the attractor network and the movement stops.

In order to initiate a movement command, the following conditions should be met:

Purkinje cell inhibition is off in preparation for movement.

Sensory cue is applied into the motor cortical neuron to initiate a movement command.

When the attractor network moves into the high state, a constant velocity movement is commanded.

Figure 7 shows the signaling for a movement command initiation.

5. THE COMPUTATIONAL MICROSCOPIC MODEL IN NETLOGO 3.1

Netlogo 3.1 agent based modeling environment has been used for producing the computational model. In Figure 8, a microscopic model which consists of an attractor network between a motor cortical neuron (M1) and a cerebellar nuclear neuron (CN), the bias input (bb) to M1, and the Purkinje cell input (PC) is shown.

The non-linear equations which define the behavior of the cells involved in the attractor network circuitry are formulated depending on the data collected in human [Yuen et al., 1995] and animal study experiments [Sarrafizadeh et al., 1996; Holdefer et al., 2000; Ekerot et al., 1981]. Following variables are used in the equations of the computational microscopic module:

- m: motor cortical neuron
- n: nuclear neuron
- Rm: the firing rate of neuron m
- Vm: the membrane potential of neuron m
- Rn: the firing rate of neuron n
- Vn: the membrane potential of neuron n
- T: constant time factor
- f: activation function
- w: the synaptic weight between m and n
- p: the firing rate of Purkinje cell
- bb: bias input to the motor cortical neuron

Following are the non-linear dynamical equations of the model:

\[ T \frac{d(V_m)}{dt} + V_m = wR_n - bb \]  
\[ R_m = f(V_m) \]  
\[ T \frac{d(V_n)}{dt} + V_n = wR_m - p \]  
\[ R_n = f(V_n) \]

The attractor network has two states that it can go into: high state and low state. These states are represented in two dimensions. Vn is the x-direction and Vm is the y-direction in the two dimensional space. The network has an initial (Vm, Vn) state. This can correspond to imagining a particle in the attractor network at initial coordinates (Vm, Vn). The particle will be under \( \frac{d(V_m)}{dt} \) and \( \frac{d(V_n)}{dt} \) forces in y and x directions respectively and will move. The x and y forces are calculated by using the following equations derived from the four equations given above where f is a sigmoid activation function:

\[ \frac{d(V_m)}{dt} = \frac{(wR_n - bb - V_m)}{T} \]  
\[ \frac{d(V_n)}{dt} = \frac{(wR_m - p - V_n)}{T} \]  
\[ R_m = \frac{1}{(1 + \exp(- ycor))} \text{ and } R_n \frac{1}{(1 + \exp(- xcor))} \]

Changing the value of p will change the state of the attractor network and hence the position of the particle in the space. Figure 9 shows the vector fields and the fixed points of an attractor network where values of p, bb and w are 5.0, 5.0 and 10.00 respectively. These values are chosen such that two states of the attractor network mentioned above can be obtained using the given sigmoid function.
Figure 9. Vector fields and fixed points of an attractor network.

Figure 10. Vector fields and fixed points of an attractor network.
High state of the attractor network happens at the upper fixed point (rightmost full yellow circle). Low state happens at the lower fixed point (left most full yellow circle). Middle fixed point (middle empty yellow circle) represents the threshold between high and low state. With other words, sufficient intensity appears over threshold, maximum intensity occurs at the upper fixed point and lowest intensity occurs at the lower fixed point. Figure 10 shows the conditions when an attractor network has intensities over and below a threshold. If there are enough forces, a particle in the vector fields moves to the high fixed point. Otherwise, a particle moves to the lower fixed point. The initial position of the particle can vary.

Figure 11 shows the time course for initiation of a motor command. Firstly, the Purkinje cell discharge starts. It takes sometime for the attractor network to reach the high state. The attractor network states at the high state approximately between 100 and 1000 ms. Then, the Purkinje cell charge (firing) starts and the attractor network state returns back to the low state. The attractor network stays at the low state. This sequence produces the signaling shown in Figure 7.

6. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, a microscopic module of an M1-DPM has been implemented using the non-linear equations given above and a sigmoid transfer function within the scope of the agent of the mind idea. The simulations produce the same signaling that occurs in an attractor network between a nuclear neuron and a motor cortical neuron for different Purkinje cell values. The produced signaling imitates a tiny motion upwards in a complete motion such as reaching a glass cup. The future work will simulate motion in other directions (down, right and left). Netlogo 3.1 is a suitable environment for producing the micro and macro behaviors of hundreds of DPMs for a complete motion task.
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Abbreviations/ Acronyms

DPM: Computational Distributed Processing Module
M1: Anatomical area in the cerebral cortex which produces voluntary commands via its loops through basal ganglia and cerebellum.
M1-DPM: Computational Distributed Processing Module for M1 area
PC: Purkinje cell
CN: Cerebellar Nucleus
OP: Output Population
MF: Mossy Fiber
CF: Climbing Fiber
p: the firing rate of Purkinje cell
bb: bias input to the motor cortical neuron
m: motor cortical neuron
n: nuclear neuron
Rm: the firing rate of neuron m
Vm: the membrane potential of neuron m
Rn: the firing rate of neuron n
Vn: the membrane potential of neuron n
T: constant time factor
f: activation function
w: the synaptic weight between m and n
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